



ANNALES

DE

L'INSTITUT FOURIER

Vladimir MAZ'YA & Igor VERBITSKY

The form boundedness criterion for the relativistic Schrödinger operator

Tome 54, n° 2 (2004), p. 317-339.

http://aif.cedram.org/item?id=AIF_2004__54_2_317_0

© Association des Annales de l'institut Fourier, 2004, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Annales de l'institut Fourier » (<http://aif.cedram.org/>), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://aif.cedram.org/legal/>). Toute reproduction en tout ou partie cet article sous quelque forme que ce soit pour tout usage autre que l'utilisation à fin strictement personnelle du copiste est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

cedram

*Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du
Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques
<http://www.cedram.org/>*

THE FORM BOUNDEDNESS CRITERION FOR THE RELATIVISTIC SCHRÖDINGER OPERATOR

by Vladimir G. MAZ'YA & Igor E. VERBITSKY (*)

1. Introduction.

In the present paper we establish necessary and sufficient conditions for the relative form boundedness of the potential energy operator Q with respect to the *relativistic* kinetic energy operator $\mathcal{H}_0 = \sqrt{-\Delta}$, which is fundamental to relativistic quantum systems. Here Q is an arbitrary real- or complex-valued potential (possibly a distribution), and \mathcal{H}_0 is a nonlocal operator which replaces the standard Laplacian $H_0 = -\Delta$ used in the nonrelativistic theory.

More precisely, we characterize all potentials $Q \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$|\langle Qu, u \rangle| \leq a \langle \sqrt{-\Delta} u, u \rangle + b \langle u, u \rangle, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

for some $a > 0$, $b \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n) = C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

In particular, if Q is real-valued, and the form bound $a < 1$, then this inequality makes it possible to define, via the classical KLMN Theorem (see, e.g., [RS], Theorem X.17), the relativistic Schrödinger operator $\mathcal{H} = \sqrt{-\Delta} + Q$, where the sum $\sqrt{-\Delta} + Q$ is a uniquely defined self-adjoint operator associated with the sum of the corresponding quadratic forms whose form domain $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{H})$ coincides with the Sobolev space $W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(*) Supported in part by NSF Grant DMS-0070623.

Keywords: Relativistic Schrödinger operator – Form boundedness – Complex-valued distributional potentials.

Math. classification: 35J10 – 31C15 – 46E35.

(For complex-valued Q , this sum defines an m -sectorial operator provided $a < 1/2$; see [EE], Theorem IV.4.2.)

Equivalently, we give a complete characterization of the class of admissible potentials Q such that the relativistic Schrödinger operator $\mathcal{H} = \sqrt{-\Delta} + Q$ is bounded from $W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to the dual space $W_2^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

A nice introduction to the theory of the relativistic Schrödinger operator is given in [LL]. We observe that it is customary to develop the relativistic theory in parallel to its nonrelativistic counterpart, without making a connection between them. One of the advantages of our general approach where distributional potentials Q are admissible is that it provides a direct link between the two theories.

In Section 2, we develop an extension principle which establishes a connection between the relativistic Schrödinger operator $\mathcal{H} = \sqrt{-\Delta} + Q$ and the nonrelativistic one, $H = -\Delta + \tilde{Q}$, where \tilde{Q} is a distribution defined on a higher dimensional Euclidean space. Note that the *nonrelativistic* form boundedness problem was settled in full generality only recently by the authors in [MV2]. (The one-dimensional case of the Sturm-Liouville operator $H = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + Q$ on the real axis and half-axis is treated in [MV3].)

It is worth noting that in the above discussion of the relative form boundedness $\mathcal{H}_0 = \sqrt{-\Delta}$ can be replaced by $\mathcal{H}_m = \sqrt{-\Delta + m^2} - m$, where m represents the mass of the particle under consideration. This operator appears in the relativistic Schrödinger equation

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{H}_m \psi + Q \psi = E \psi \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

One of the central questions of the relativistic theory is the domination of the potential energy $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^2 Q(x) dx$ by the kinetic energy associated with $\|u\|_{W_2^{1/2}}^2$, which explains a special role of the Sobolev space $W_2^{1/2}$ in this context (see [LL], Sect. 7.11 and 11.3). We address this problem by characterizing the weighted norm inequality with “indefinite weights”

$$(1.2) \quad \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(x)|^2 Q(x) dx \right| \leq \text{const } \|u\|_{W_2^{1/2}}^2, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Here Q is a locally integrable real- or complex-valued function, or more generally, a distribution. In the latter case, the left-hand side of (1.2) is understood as $|\langle Qu, u \rangle|$, where $\langle Q \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the quadratic form associated with the corresponding multiplication operator.

An analogous inequality characterized in [MV2],

$$(1.3) \quad \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(x)|^2 Q(x) dx \right| \leq \text{const } \|u\|_{W_2^1}^2, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

with the Sobolev norm of order 1 in place of $1/2$, is used extensively in spectral theory of the nonrelativistic Schrödinger operator $H = -\Delta + Q$. (See [AiS], [Fef], [M1], [M2], [MV2], [Nel], [RS], [Sch], [Sim].) In particular, (1.3) is equivalent to the relative form boundedness of the potential energy operator Q with respect to the traditional kinetic energy operator $H_0 = -\Delta$.

We remark that, for *nonnegative* (or nonpositive) potentials Q (possibly measures on \mathbb{R}^n which may be singular with respect to n -dimensional Lebesgue measure), the inequalities (1.2) and (1.3) have been thoroughly studied, and are well understood by now. (See [ChWW], [Fef], [KeS], [M1], [MV1], [Ver].) On the other hand, for real-valued Q which may change sign, or complex-valued Q , only sufficient conditions, as well as examples of potentials with strong cancellation properties have been known, mostly in the framework of the nonrelativistic Schrödinger operator theory and Sobolev multipliers ([AiS], [CoG], [MSh], [Sim]).

We now state our main results on the relativistic Schrödinger operator with “indefinite” potentials Q in the form of the following two theorems. Simpler sufficient and necessary conditions in the scales of Sobolev, Lorentz-Sobolev, and Morrey spaces of negative order are obtained as corollaries. Their relationship to more conventional L_p and Fefferman-Phong classes is discussed at the end of the Introduction, and in Section 3 in more detail.

Note that rigorous definitions of the expressions like $\langle Q \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ or $(-\Delta + 1)^{-1/4}Q$ are given in the main body of the paper.

THEOREM I. — *Let $Q \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $n \geq 1$. The following statements are equivalent:*

(i) *The relativistic Schrödinger operator $\mathcal{H} = \sqrt{-\Delta} + Q$ is bounded from $W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $W_2^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

(ii) *The inequality*

$$(1.4) \quad |\langle Qu, u \rangle| \leq \text{const} \|u\|_{W_2^{1/2}}^2, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

holds, where the constant does not depend on u .

(iii) *$\Phi = (-\Delta + 1)^{-1/4}Q \in L_{2,\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and the inequality*

$$(1.5) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(x)|^2 |\Phi(x)|^2 dx \leq \text{const} \|u\|_{W_2^{1/2}}^2, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

holds, where the constant does not depend on u .

THEOREM II. — *Let $Q \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $n \geq 1$, and let $\mathcal{H} = \sqrt{-\Delta} + Q$. Then $\mathcal{H} : W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow W_2^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is bounded if and only if $\Phi =$*

$(-\Delta + 1)^{-1/4}Q \in L_{2,loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and any one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

(i) For every compact set $e \subset \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$(1.6) \quad \int_e |\Phi(x)|^2 dx \leq \text{const cap}(e, W_2^{1/2}),$$

where the constant does not depend on e . Here $\text{cap}(\cdot, W_2^m)$ is the capacity associated with the Sobolev space $W_2^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ defined by:

$$\text{cap}(e, W_2^m) = \inf \left\{ \|u\|_{W_2^m}^2 : u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n), u \geq 1 \text{ on } e \right\}.$$

(ii) The function $J_{1/2} |\Phi|^2$ is finite a.e., and

$$(1.7) \quad J_{1/2} (J_{1/2} |\Phi|^2)^2(x) \leq \text{const } J_{1/2} |\Phi|^2(x) \text{ a.e.}$$

Here $J_{1/2} = (-\Delta + 1)^{-1/4}$ is the Bessel potential of order $1/2$.

(iii) For every dyadic cube P_0 in \mathbb{R}^n of sidelength $\ell(P_0) \leq 1$,

$$(1.8) \quad \sum_{P \subset P_0} \left[\frac{\int_P |\Phi(x)|^2 dx}{|P|^{1-1/(2n)}} \right]^2 |P| \leq \text{const} \int_{P_0} |\Phi(x)|^2 dx,$$

where the sum is taken over all dyadic cubes P contained in P_0 , and the constant does not depend on P_0 .

We observe that statement (iii) of Theorem I reduces the problem of characterizing general weights Q such that either (i) or equivalently (ii) holds, to a similar problem for the nonnegative weight $|\Phi|^2$.

The proof of Theorem I makes use of the connection mentioned above between the boundedness problem for the relativistic operator

$$\mathcal{H} = \sqrt{-\Delta} + Q : W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow W_2^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

and its nonrelativistic counterpart,

$$H = -\Delta + \tilde{Q} : W_2^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \rightarrow W_2^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}).$$

The latter is acting on a pair of Sobolev spaces of integer order in the higher dimensional Euclidean space, and the corresponding potential $\tilde{Q} \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$. We also employ extensively a calculus of maximal and Fourier multiplier operators on the space of functions $f \in L_{2,loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^2 |u(x)|^2 dx \leq \text{const} \|u\|_{W_2^m}^2, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

developed in [MV1], [MV2], and based on the theory of Muckenhoupt weights and use of equilibrium measures associated with arbitrary compact sets of positive capacity.

Combining Theorem I with the characterizations of the inequality (1.4) for nonnegative weights established earlier (see, e.g., [ChWW], [Fef], [KeS], [M1], [M2], [MV1], [MV2], [Ver]) we obtain more explicit characterizations of admissible weights Q stated in Theorem II.

We now recall the well-known isoperimetric inequalities (see, e.g., [MSh], Sec. 2.1.2):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cap}(e, W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)) &\geq c|e|^{(n-1)/n}, & \text{diam}(e) \leq 1, \quad n \geq 2, \\ \text{cap}(e, W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^1)) &\geq \frac{c}{\log \frac{2}{|e|}}, & \text{diam}(e) \leq 1, \quad n = 1, \end{aligned}$$

where $|e|$ is Lebesgue measure of a compact set $e \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Note that the one-dimensional case is special in this setting, since $m = 1/2$ is the critical Sobolev exponent for $W_2^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $n = 1$. Thus, it requires certain modifications in comparison to the general case $n \geq 2$.

These estimates together with statement (i) of Theorem II (note that it is enough to verify (1.6) only for compact sets e such that $\text{diam}(e) \leq 1$), yield sharp sufficient conditions for (1.4) to hold.

COROLLARY 1. — *Suppose $Q \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $n \geq 1$. Then $\mathcal{H} = \sqrt{-\Delta} + Q$ is a bounded operator from $W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $W_2^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if one of the following conditions holds:*

$$(1.9) \quad \int_e |\Phi(x)|^2 dx \leq c|e|^{(n-1)/n}, \quad \text{diam}(e) \leq 1, \quad n \geq 2,$$

or

$$(1.9') \quad \int_e |\Phi(x)|^2 dx \leq \frac{c}{\log \frac{2}{|e|}}, \quad \text{diam}(e) \leq 1, \quad n = 1,$$

where the constant c does not depend on $e \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

Remark 1. — We observe that (1.9) holds if $\Phi \in L_{2n,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) + L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $n \geq 2$, where $L_{p,\infty}$ denotes the weak L_p (Lorentz) space. Similarly, in the one-dimensional case, (1.9') holds if $\Phi \in L_{1+\epsilon}(\mathbb{R}^1) + L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^1)$, $\epsilon > 0$.

Remark 2. — The class of admissible potentials Q satisfying (1.9) is substantially broader than the standard (in the relativistic case) class $Q \in L_n(\mathbb{R}^n) + L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $n \geq 2$. In particular, it contains highly oscillating functions with significant growth of $|Q|$ at infinity, along with singular measures and distributions. Similarly, in the one-dimensional case, the class of potentials defined by (1.9') is much wider than the standard class $Q \in L_{1+\epsilon}(\mathbb{R}^1) + L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^1)$, $\epsilon > 0$. (See [LL], Section 11.3.)

These relations, along with sharper estimates in terms of Morrey spaces of negative order which follow from Theorems I and II, are discussed in Section 3. They extend significantly relativistic analogues of the Fefferman-Phong class introduced in [Fef], as well as other known classes of admissible potentials.

2. The form boundedness criterion.

For positive integers m , the Sobolev space $W_2^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined as the space of weakly differentiable functions such that

$$(2.1) \quad \|f\|_{W_2^m} = \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|f(x)|^2 + |\nabla^m f(x)|^2) dx \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty.$$

More generally, for real $m > 0$, $W_2^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the space of all $f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which can be represented in the form $f = (-\Delta + 1)^{-m/2}g$, where $g \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Here $(-\Delta + 1)^{-m/2}g = J_m \star g$ is the convolution of g with the Bessel kernel J_m of order m , and $\|f\|_{W_2^m} = \|g\|_{L_2^m}$ (see [M2], [St1]). This definition is consistent with the previous one for integer m , and defines an equivalent norm on $W_2^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Note that another equivalent norm on $W_2^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is given by

$$\|f\|_{W_2^m} = \|f\|_{L_2} + \||D|^m f\|_{L_2}, \quad f \in W_2^m(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where $|D| = (-\Delta)^{1/2}$.

The dual space $W_2^{-m}(\mathbb{R}^n) = W_2^m(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ can be identified with the space of distributions f of the form $f = (-\Delta + 1)^{m/2}g$, where $g \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Let $\gamma \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a (complex-valued) distribution on \mathbb{R}^n . We will use the same notation for the corresponding multiplication operator $\gamma : \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ defined by

$$\langle \gamma u, v \rangle = \langle \gamma, \bar{u} v \rangle \quad u, v \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

For $m, l \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote by $\text{Mult}(W_2^m \rightarrow W_2^l)$ the class of bounded multiplication operators (multipliers) from W_2^m to W_2^l generated by $\gamma \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ so that the corresponding sesquilinear form $\langle \gamma \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is bounded

$$(2.2) \quad |\langle \gamma u, v \rangle| = |\langle \gamma, \bar{u} v \rangle| \leq C \|u\|_{W_2^m} \|v\|_{W_2^{-l}}, \quad \forall u, v \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where C does not depend on u, v . The multiplier norm denoted by $\|\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow W_2^l}$ is equal to the least bound C in the preceding inequality.

It is easy to see that, in the case $l = -m$, (2.2) is equivalent to the quadratic form inequality

$$(2.2') \quad |\langle \gamma u, u \rangle| = |\langle \gamma, |u|^2 \rangle| \leq C' \|u\|_{W_2^m}^2, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

To verify this, suppose that $\|u\|_{W_2^m} \leq 1, \|v\|_{W_2^m} \leq 1$, where $u, v \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Applying (2.2') together with the polarization identity

$$\bar{u}v = \frac{1}{4} (|u+v|^2 - |u-v|^2 - i|u-iv|^2 + i|u+iv|^2),$$

and the parallelogram identity, we get

$$|\langle \gamma, \bar{u}v \rangle| \leq \frac{C'}{4} \left(\|u+v\|_{W_2^m}^2 + \|u-v\|_{W_2^m}^2 + \|u+iv\|_{W_2^m}^2 + \|u-iv\|_{W_2^m}^2 \right) \leq 2C'.$$

Hence, (2.2) holds for $l = -m$ with $C = 2C'$. Moreover, the least bound C' in (2.2') satisfies the inequality

$$C' \leq \|\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow W_2^{-m}} \leq 2C'.$$

Let $|D| = (-\Delta)^{1/2}$. We define the relativistic Schrödinger operator as

$$\mathcal{H} = |D| + Q : \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

(see [LL]), where $Q : \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a multiplication operator defined by $Q \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It is well-known that actually $|D|$ is a bounded operator from $W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $W_2^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus, \mathcal{H} can be extended to a bounded operator

$$\mathcal{H} : W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow W_2^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

if and only if $Q \in \text{Mult}(W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow W_2^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n))$, or, equivalently, if the quadratic form inequality (2.2') holds for $\gamma = Q$ and $m = 1/2$.

From the preceding discussion it follows that $\mathcal{H} : W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow W_2^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is bounded if and only if

$$(2.3) \quad |\langle Qu, u \rangle| \leq a \langle |D|u, u \rangle + b \langle u, u \rangle, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

for some $a, b > 0$. By definition this means that Q is *relatively form bounded* with respect to $|D|$.

In particular, if Q is real-valued, and $0 < a < 1$ in the preceding inequality, then by the so-called KLMN Theorem ([RS], Theorem X.17), $\mathcal{H} = |D| + Q$ is defined as a unique self-adjoint operator such that

$$\langle \mathcal{H}u, v \rangle = \langle |D|u, v \rangle + \langle Qu, v \rangle, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

For complex-valued Q such that (2.3) holds with $0 < a < 1/2$, it follows that $\mathcal{H} = |D| + Q$, understood in a similar sense, is an m -sectorial operator ([EE], Theorem IV.4.2).

In the case where $Q \in L_{1,\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, (2.3) is equivalent to the inequality

$$(2.4) \quad \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(x)|^2 Q(x) dx \right| \leq \text{const} \|u\|_{W_2^{1/2}}^2, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

and hence to the boundedness of the corresponding sesquilinear form

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x) \overline{v(x)} Q(x) dx \right| \leq \text{const} \|u\|_{W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|v\|_{W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where the constant is independent of $u, v \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Our characterization of potentials Q such that $\mathcal{H} : W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow W_2^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is based on a series of lemmas and propositions presented below, and the results of [MV2] for the nonrelativistic Schrödinger operator.

By $L_{2,\text{unif}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we denote the class of $f \in L_{2,\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$(2.5) \quad \|f\|_{L_{2,\text{unif}}} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|\chi_{B_1(x)} f\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty,$$

where $B_r(x)$ denotes a Euclidean ball of radius r centered at x .

LEMMA 2.1. — *Let $0 < l < 1$, and $m > l$. Then $\gamma \in \text{Mult}(W_2^m \rightarrow W_2^l)$ if and only if $\gamma \in W_2^{m-l} \rightarrow L_2$, and $|D|^l \gamma \in \text{Mult}(W_2^m \rightarrow L_2)$. Moreover,*

$$(2.6) \quad \|\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow W_2^l} \sim \| |D|^l \gamma \|_{W_2^m \rightarrow L_2} + \|\gamma\|_{W_2^{m-l} \rightarrow L_2}.$$

Proof. — We first prove the lower estimate for $\|\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow W_2^l}$

$$(2.7) \quad \| |D|^l \gamma \|_{W_2^m \rightarrow L_2} + \|\gamma\|_{W_2^{m-l} \rightarrow L_2} \leq c \|\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow W_2^l}.$$

Here and below c denotes a constant which depends only on l, m , and n .

Let $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Using the integral representation (which follows by inspecting the Fourier transforms of both sides),

$$(2.8) \quad |D|^l u(x) = c(n, l) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{n+l}} dy,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |D|^l(\gamma u)(x) - \gamma(x) |D|^l u(x) - u(x) |D|^l \gamma(x) \\ = -c(n, l) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(\gamma(x) - \gamma(y))}{|x - y|^{n+l}} dy. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(2.9) \quad \| |D|^l(\gamma u) - \gamma |D|^l u - u |D|^l \gamma \| \leq c \mathcal{D}_{l/2} u \cdot \mathcal{D}_{l/2} \gamma,$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}_s u(x) = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad s > 0.$$

Next, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|u \cdot |D|^l \gamma\|_{L_2} &\leq \| |D|^l (\gamma u) \|_{L_2} + \|\gamma |D|^l u\|_{L_2} + c \|\mathcal{D}_{l/2} u \cdot \mathcal{D}_{l/2} \gamma\|_{L_2} \\ &\leq \|\gamma u\|_{W_2^l} + \|\gamma\|_{W_2^{m-l} \rightarrow L_2} \| |D|^l u \|_{W_2^{m-l}} + c \|\mathcal{D}_{l/2} u \cdot \mathcal{D}_{l/2} \gamma\|_{L_2} \\ &\leq \|\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow W_2^l} \|u\|_{W_2^m} + \|\gamma\|_{W_2^{m-l} \rightarrow L_2} \|u\|_{W_2^m} \\ &\quad + c \|\mathcal{D}_{l/2} u \cdot \mathcal{D}_{l/2} \gamma\|_{L_2} \\ &\leq c \|\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow W_2^l} \|u\|_{W_2^m} + c \|\mathcal{D}_{l/2} u \cdot \mathcal{D}_{l/2} \gamma\|_{L_2}. \end{aligned}$$

In the last line we have used the known inequality ([MSh], Section 2.2.2)

$$\|\gamma\|_{W_2^{m-l} \rightarrow L_2} \leq c \|\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow W_2^l}.$$

To estimate the term $\|\mathcal{D}_{l/2} u \cdot \mathcal{D}_{l/2} \gamma\|_{L_2}$, we apply the pointwise estimate (Lemma 1 in [MSh], Section 3.1.1)

$$\mathcal{D}_{l/2} u \leq J_s \mathcal{D}_{l/2} ((-\Delta + 1)^{s/2} u),$$

with $s = m - l/2$, where $J_s = (-\Delta + 1)^{-s/2}$ is the Bessel potential of order s . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{D}_{l/2} u \cdot \mathcal{D}_{l/2} \gamma\|_{L_2} &\leq \|J_{m-l/2} \mathcal{D}_{l/2} ((-\Delta + 1)^{m/2-l/4} u)\|_{L_2} \cdot \|\mathcal{D}_{l/2} \gamma\|_{L_2} \\ &\leq c \|\mathcal{D}_{l/2} \gamma\|_{W_2^{m-l/2} \rightarrow L_2} \|J_{m-l/2} \mathcal{D}_{l/2} ((-\Delta + 1)^{m/2-l/4} u)\|_{W_2^{m-l/2}} \\ &\leq c \|\mathcal{D}_{l/2} \gamma\|_{W_2^{m-l/2} \rightarrow L_2} \|\mathcal{D}_{l/2} (-\Delta + 1)^{m/2-l/4} u\|_{L_2} \\ &\leq c \|\mathcal{D}_{l/2} \gamma\|_{W_2^{m-l/2} \rightarrow L_2} \|u\|_{W_2^m}. \end{aligned}$$

We next show that

$$\|\mathcal{D}_{l/2} \gamma\|_{W_2^{m-l/2} \rightarrow L_2} \leq c \|\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow W_2^l}.$$

By the Lemma in [MSh], Section 3.2.5 in the case $p = 2$, we have

$$\|\mathcal{D}_l \gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow L_2} + \|\gamma\|_{W_2^{m-l} \rightarrow L_2} \leq c \|\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow W_2^l},$$

where $m \geq l > 0$. Applying the preceding estimate with $m - l/2$ in place of m and $l/2$ in place of l respectively, we get

$$\|\mathcal{D}_{l/2} \gamma\|_{W_2^{m-l/2} \rightarrow L_2} + \|\gamma\|_{W_2^{m-l} \rightarrow L_2} \leq c \|\gamma\|_{W_2^{m-l/2} \rightarrow W_2^{l/2}}.$$

Now by interpolation,

$$\|\gamma\|_{W_2^{m-l/2} \rightarrow W_2^{l/2}} \leq \|\gamma\|_{W_2^{m-l} \rightarrow L_2}^{1/2} \|\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow W_2^l}^{1/2}.$$

Since $\|\gamma\|_{W_2^{m-l} \rightarrow L_2} \leq c \|\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow W_2^l}$, it follows that

$$\|\gamma\|_{W_2^{m-l/2} \rightarrow W_2^{l/2}} \leq c \|\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow W_2^l}.$$

Hence,

$$\|\mathcal{D}_{l/2}\gamma\|_{W_2^{m-l/2} \rightarrow L_2} \leq c \|\gamma\|_{W_2^{m-l/2} \rightarrow W_2^{l/2}} \leq c \|\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow W_2^l}.$$

Combining these estimates, we obtain

$$\|u \cdot |D|^l \gamma\|_{L_2} \leq c \|\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow W_2^l} \|u\|_{W_2^m},$$

which is equivalent to the inequality

$$\||D|^l \gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow L_2} \leq c \|\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow W_2^l}.$$

This, together with the inequality $\|\gamma\|_{W_2^{m-l} \rightarrow L_2} \leq c \|\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow W_2^l}$ used above, completes the proof of (2.7).

We now prove the upper estimate

$$(2.10) \quad \|\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow W_2^l} \leq c \left(\||D|^l \gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow L_2} + \|\gamma\|_{W_2^{m-l} \rightarrow L_2} \right).$$

By (2.9),

$$\||D|^l(\gamma u)\|_{L_2} \leq \|\gamma |D|^l u\|_{L_2} + \||D|^l \gamma \cdot u\|_{L_2} + c \|\mathcal{D}_{l/2} u \cdot \mathcal{D}_{l/2} \gamma\|_{L_2}.$$

Using an elementary estimate $\|u\|_{W_2^{m-l}} \leq c \|u\|_{W_2^m}$, we have

$$\|\gamma u\|_{L_2} \leq \|\gamma\|_{W_2^{m-l} \rightarrow L_2} \|u\|_{W_2^{m-l}} \leq c \|\gamma\|_{W_2^{m-l} \rightarrow L_2} \|u\|_{W_2^m}.$$

From these inequalities, combined with the estimate

$$\|\mathcal{D}_{l/2} u \cdot \mathcal{D}_{l/2} \gamma\|_{L_2} \leq c \|\gamma\|_{W_2^{m-l/2} \rightarrow W_2^{l/2}} \|u\|_{W_2^m}$$

established above, it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \|\gamma u\|_{W_2^l} &\leq c \left(\|\gamma\|_{W_2^{m-l} \rightarrow L_2} \|u\|_{W_2^m} + \||D|^l \gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow L_2} \|u\|_{W_2^m} \right) \\ &\quad + c \|\gamma\|_{W_2^{m-l/2} \rightarrow W_2^{l/2}} \|u\|_{W_2^m}. \end{aligned}$$

As above, by an interpolation argument,

$$\|\gamma\|_{W_2^{m-l/2} \rightarrow W_2^{l/2}} \leq \|\gamma\|_{W_2^{m-l} \rightarrow L_2}^{1/2} \|\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow W_2^l}^{1/2}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow W_2^l} &\leq c \left(\||D|^l \gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow L_2} + \|\gamma\|_{W_2^{m-l} \rightarrow L_2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\gamma\|_{W_2^{m-l} \rightarrow L_2}^{1/2} \|\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow W_2^l}^{1/2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, the preceding estimate yields

$$\|\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow W_2^l} \leq c \left(\||D|^l \gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow L_2} + \|\gamma\|_{W_2^{m-l} \rightarrow L_2} \right).$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.1.

LEMMA 2.2. — *Let $0 < l < 1$, and $\frac{n}{2} \geq m > l$. Then $\gamma \in \text{Mult}(W_2^m \rightarrow W_2^l)$ if and only if $(-\Delta + 1)^{l/2}\gamma \in \text{Mult}(W_2^m \rightarrow L_2)$, and*

$$(2.11) \quad \|\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow W_2^l} \sim \|(-\Delta + 1)^{l/2}\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow L_2}.$$

Proof. — We denote by M the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{|B_r(x)|} \int_{B_r(x)} |f(y)| dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Recall that a nonnegative weight $w \in L_{1,\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is said to be in the Muckenhoupt class $A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if

$$Mw(x) \leq \text{const } w(x) \quad \text{a.e.}$$

The least constant on the right-hand side of the preceding inequality is called the A_1 -bound of w .

We will need the following statement established earlier in [MV1], Lemma 3.1 (see also [MSh], Section 2.6.5) for the homogeneous Sobolev spaces $\dot{W}_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ defined as the completion of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_{\dot{W}_p^m} = \|(-\Delta)^{m/2}u\|_{L_p}, \quad u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

LEMMA 2.3. — *Let $\gamma \in \text{Mult}(\dot{W}_p^m \rightarrow L_p)$, where $1 < p < \infty$, and $0 < m < \frac{n}{p}$. Suppose that T is a bounded operator on the weighted space $L_p(w)$ for every $w \in A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Suppose additionally that, for all $f \in L_p(w)$, the inequality*

$$\|Tf\|_{L_p(w)} \leq C\|f\|_{L_p(w)}$$

holds with a constant C which depends only on the A_1 -bound of the weight w . Then $T\gamma \in \text{Mult}(\dot{W}_p^m \rightarrow L_p)$, and

$$\|T\gamma\|_{\dot{W}_p^m \rightarrow L_p} \leq C_1\|\gamma\|_{\dot{W}_p^m \rightarrow L_p},$$

where the constant C_1 does not depend on γ .

We will also need a Fourier multiplier theorem of Mihlin type for L_p spaces with weights. Let $m \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then the Fourier multiplier operator with symbol m is defined on $L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by $T_m = \mathcal{F}^{-1} m \mathcal{F}$, where \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}^{-1} are respectively the direct and inverse Fourier transforms.

The following lemma follows from the results of Kurtz and Wheeden [KW], Theorem 1.

LEMMA 2.4. — Suppose $1 < p < \infty$ and $w \in A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Suppose that $m \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ satisfies the Mihlin multiplier condition

$$(2.12) \quad |D^\alpha m(x)| \leq C_\alpha |x|^{-|\alpha|}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\},$$

for every multi-index α such that $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq n$. Then the inequality

$$\|T_m f\|_{L_p(w)} \leq C \|f\|_{L_p(w)}, \quad f \in L_p(w) \cap L_2(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

holds with the constant that depends only on p , n , the A_1 -bound of w , and the constant C_α in (2.12).

COROLLARY 2.5. — Suppose $1 < p < \infty$ and $w \in A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Suppose $0 < l \leq 2$. Define

$$(2.13) \quad m_l(x) = (1 + |x|^2)^{l/2} - |x|^l.$$

Then

$$(2.14) \quad \|T_{m_l} f\|_{L_p(w)} \leq C \|f\|_{L_p(w)}, \quad f \in L_p(w) \cap L_2(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where the constant C depends only on l , p , n , and the A_1 -constant of w .

Remark. — It is well known that in the unweighted case the operator $T_{m_l} = (1 - \Delta)^{-l/2} T_{m_l}$, is bounded on $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $l > 0$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, including the endpoints ([St1], Section 5.3.2, Lemma 2).

Proof of Corollary 2.5. — Clearly,

$$0 \leq m_l(x) \leq C(1 + |x|)^{l-2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Furthermore, it is easy to see by induction that, for any multi-index α , $|\alpha| \geq 1$, we have the following estimates

$$|D^\alpha m_l(x)| \leq C_{\alpha,l} |x|^{l-2-|\alpha|}, \quad |x| \rightarrow \infty,$$

and

$$|D^\alpha m_l(x)| \leq C_{\alpha,l} |x|^{l-|\alpha|}, \quad |x| \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $0 < l \leq 2$, from this it follows that m_l satisfies (2.12), and hence by Lemma 2.4 the inequality

$$\|T_{m_l} f\|_{L_p(w)} \leq C \|f\|_{L_p(w)}$$

holds with a constant that depends only on l , p , and the A_1 -bound of w . \square

We are now in a position to complete the proof of Lemma 2.2. Suppose that $\gamma \in \text{Mult}(W_2^m \rightarrow W_2^l)$, where $\frac{n}{2} \geq m > l$ and $0 < l < 1$. By Corollary 2.5, the operator $T_{m_l} = (1 - \Delta)^{l/2} - |D|^l$ is bounded on $L_2(w)$

for every $w \in A_1$, and its norm is bounded by a constant which depends only on l, n , and the A_1 -bound of w . Hence by Lemma 2.3 it follows that $\gamma \in \text{Mult}(\dot{W}_2^m \rightarrow L_2)$ yields $T_{m_l} \gamma = ((1 - \Delta)^{l/2} - |D|^l) \gamma \in \text{Mult}(\dot{W}_2^m \rightarrow L_2)$, and

$$\|T_{m_l} \gamma\|_{\dot{W}_2^m \rightarrow L_2} \leq c \|\gamma\|_{\dot{W}_2^m \rightarrow L_2},$$

where c depends only on l, m , and n .

We need to replace \dot{W}_2^m in the preceding inequality by W_2^m . To this end, let $B = B_1(x_0)$ denote a ball of radius 1 in \mathbb{R}^n , and $2B = B_2(x_0)$. Suppose that $m < \frac{n}{2}$ (the case $m = \frac{n}{2}$ requires usual modifications). Then $\gamma \in \text{Mult}(W_2^m \rightarrow L_2)$ if and only if $\sup_B \|\chi_B \gamma\|_{\dot{W}_2^m \rightarrow L_2} < +\infty$, and (see [MSh], Section 1.1.4) $\|\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow L_2}$ is equivalent to $\sup_B \|\chi_B \gamma\|_{\dot{W}_2^m \rightarrow L_2}$.

Hence,

$$\|T_{m_l} \gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow L_2} \leq c \sup_B \|\chi_B T_{m_l} \gamma\|_{\dot{W}_2^m \rightarrow L_2}.$$

We set $\gamma = \chi_{2B} \gamma + \chi_{(2B)^c} \gamma$, and estimate each term separately. By Lemma 2.3,

$$\|\chi_B T_{m_l} (\chi_{2B} \gamma)\|_{\dot{W}_2^m \rightarrow L_2} \leq c \sup_B \|\chi_{2B} \gamma\|_{\dot{W}_2^m \rightarrow L_2} \leq c \|\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow L_2}.$$

To estimate the second term, notice that $T_{m_l} (\chi_{(2B)^c} \gamma) \in L_\infty(B)$, and hence

$$\|\chi_B T_{m_l} (\chi_{(2B)^c} \gamma)\|_{\dot{W}_2^m \rightarrow L_2} \leq c \|T_{m_l} (\chi_{(2B)^c} \gamma)\|_{L_\infty(B)} \leq c \|\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow L_2}.$$

Indeed, for $x \in B$,

$$|T_{m_l} (\chi_{(2B)^c} \gamma)(x)| \leq c \int_{|x-y| \geq 1} \frac{|\gamma(y)|}{|x-y|^{n+l}} dy \leq c \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\int_{B_r(x)} |\gamma(y)| dy}{r^{n+l+1}} dr.$$

Since $\gamma \in \text{Mult}(W_2^m \rightarrow L_2)$, it follows that $\gamma \in L_{2,\text{unif}}$, and hence

$$\int_{B_r(x)} |\gamma(y)|^2 dy \leq cr^n \|\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow L_2}^2, \quad r \geq 1.$$

Consequently,

$$\int_{B_r(x)} |\gamma(y)| dy \leq cr^{n/2} \|\gamma\|_{L_2(B_r(x))} \leq cr^n \|\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow L_2}, \quad r \geq 1.$$

Hence,

$$\|T_{m_l} (\chi_{(2B)^c} \gamma)\|_{L_\infty(B)} \leq c \|\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow L_2}.$$

Thus, we have proved the inequality

$$\left\| \left((1 - \Delta)^{l/2} - |D|^l \right) \gamma \right\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow L_2} \leq c \|\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow L_2}.$$

Clearly, $\|\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow L_2} \leq \|\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow W_2^l}$. Using these estimates and Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$\|(1-\Delta)^{l/2}\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow L_2} \leq c \left(\| |D|^l \gamma \|_{W_2^m \rightarrow L_2} + \|\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow L_2} \right) \leq c \|\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow W_2^l}.$$

Conversely, suppose that $(1-\Delta)^{l/2}\gamma \in \text{Mult}(W_2^m \rightarrow L_2)$. It follows from the above estimate of $\|((1-\Delta)^{l/2} - |D|^l)\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow L_2}$ that

$$\| |D|^l \gamma \|_{W_2^m \rightarrow L_2} \leq c \left(\|(1-\Delta)^{l/2}\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow L_2} + \|\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow L_2} \right).$$

Obviously, $\|\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow L_2} \leq c \|\gamma\|_{W_2^{m-l} \rightarrow L_2}$. Applying again Lemma 2.1 together with the preceding estimates, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow W_2^l} &\leq c \left(\| |D|^l \gamma \|_{W_2^m \rightarrow L_2} + \|\gamma\|_{W_2^{m-l} \rightarrow L_2} \right) \\ &\leq c \left(\|(1-\Delta)^{l/2}\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow L_2} + \|\gamma\|_{W_2^{m-l} \rightarrow L_2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

It remains to obtain the estimate

$$\|\gamma\|_{W_2^{m-l} \rightarrow L_2} \leq c \|(1-\Delta)^{l/2}\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow L_2},$$

whose proof is similar to the argument used in [MSh], Section 2.6, and is outlined below.

Since $(1-\Delta)^{l/2}\gamma \in \text{Mult}(W_2^m \rightarrow L_2)$, it follows that

$$\int_e |(1-\Delta)^{l/2}\gamma|^2 dx \leq \|(1-\Delta)^{l/2}\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow L_2}^2 \text{cap}(e, W_2^m),$$

for every compact set $e \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Hence, for every ball $B_r(a)$,

$$\int_{B_r(a)} |(1-\Delta)^{l/2}\gamma|^2 dx \leq c \|(1-\Delta)^{l/2}\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow L_2}^2 r^{n-2m}, \quad 0 < r \leq 1,$$

and in particular

$$\|(1-\Delta)^{l/2}\gamma\|_{L_2, \text{unif}} \leq c \|(1-\Delta)^{l/2}\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow L_2}.$$

Notice that $\gamma = J_l(1-\Delta)^{l/2}\gamma$, where the Bessel potential $J_l = (1-\Delta)^{-l/2}$ can be represented as a convolution operator, $J_l f = G_l \star f$. Here G_l is a positive radially decreasing function whose behavior at 0 and infinity respectively is given by

$$\begin{aligned} G_l(x) &\asymp |x|^{l-n} \text{ as } x \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{if } 0 < l < n, \\ G_l(x) &\asymp |x|^{(l-n-1)/2} e^{-|x|} \text{ as } |x| \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

From this, it is easy to derive the pointwise estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |\gamma(x)| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G_l(x-t) |(1-\Delta)^{l/2}\gamma(t)| dt \\ &\leq c \left(\int_{|z| \leq 1} \frac{|(1-\Delta)^{l/2}\gamma(x+z)|}{|z|^{n-l}} dz + \|(1-\Delta)^{l/2}\gamma\|_{L_2, \text{unif}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using Hedberg’s inequality together with the preceding pointwise estimate, as in the proof of Lemma 2.6.2 in [MSh], we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\gamma(x)| &\leq c(M(1-\Delta)^{l/2}\gamma(x))^{1-\frac{1}{m}} \left(\sup_{0 < r \leq 1, a \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\int_{B_r(a)} |(1-\Delta)^{l/2}\gamma|^2 dy}{r^{n-2m}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2m}} \\
 &\quad + c\|(1-\Delta)^{l/2}\gamma\|_{L_2, \text{unif}} \\
 &\leq c(M(1-\Delta)^{l/2}\gamma(x))^{1-\frac{1}{m}} \|(1-\Delta)^{l/2}\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow L_2}^{\frac{1}{m}} \\
 &\quad + c\|(1-\Delta)^{l/2}\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow L_2},
 \end{aligned}$$

where M is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator. Using the preceding estimates, together with the boundedness of M on the space $\text{Mult}(W_2^m \rightarrow L_2)$ (see [MSh], Section 2.6) we obtain

$$\|\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow L_2}^{1-\frac{1}{m}} \leq c\|(1-\Delta)^{l/2}\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow L_2}.$$

By Lemma 2 in [MSh], Section 2.2.1, it follows

$$\|\gamma\|_{W_2^{m-l} \rightarrow L_2} \leq c\|\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow L_2}^{1-\frac{1}{m}} \leq c\|(1-\Delta)^{l/2}\gamma\|_{W_2^m \rightarrow L_2}.$$

The proof of Lemma 2.2 is complete. □

THEOREM 2.6. — *Let $\gamma \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then $\gamma \in \text{Mult}(W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow W_2^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ if and only if $\Phi = (-\Delta + 1)^{-1/4}\gamma \in \text{Mult}(W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^n))$. Furthermore,*

$$\|\gamma\|_{W_2^{1/2} \rightarrow W_2^{-1/2}} \sim \|\Phi\|_{W_2^{1/2} \rightarrow L_2}.$$

Proof. — To prove the “if” part, it suffices to verify that, for every $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\Phi = (-\Delta + 1)^{-1/4}\gamma \in \text{Mult}(W_2^{1/2} \rightarrow L_2)$, the inequality

$$(2.15) \quad \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^2 \gamma \right| \leq C\|\Phi\|_{W_2^{1/2} \rightarrow L_2} \|u\|_{W_2^{1/2}}^2$$

holds. Here the integral on the left-hand side is understood in the sense of quadratic forms

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^2 \gamma = \langle \gamma u, u \rangle,$$

where $\langle \gamma \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the quadratic form associated with the multiplier operator γ , as explained in detail in [MV2].

Since $\gamma = (-\Delta + 1)^{1/4}\Phi$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^2 \gamma \right| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (-\Delta + 1)^{1/4}\Phi \cdot |u|^2 \right| \\
 &\leq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left((-\Delta + 1)^{1/4} - |D|^{1/2} \right) \Phi \cdot |u|^2 \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |D|^{1/2}\Phi \cdot |u|^2 \right|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that $(-\Delta + 1)^{1/4} - |D|^{1/2} = T_{m_{1/2}}$, where T_{m_l} is the Fourier multiplier operator defined by (2.13). By Corollary 2.5, $T_{m_{1/2}}$ is a bounded operator on $L_2(w)$ for any A_1 -weight w , and its norm depends only on the A_1 -bound of w . Hence by Lemma 2.3 it follows that $((-\Delta + 1)^{1/4} - |D|^{1/2})\Phi \in \text{Mult}(W_2^{1/2} \rightarrow L_2)$, and

$$\|((-\Delta + 1)^{1/4} - |D|^{1/2})\Phi\|_{W_2^{1/2} \rightarrow L_2} \leq C\|\Phi\|_{W_2^{1/2} \rightarrow L_2}.$$

Using this estimate and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} ((-\Delta + 1)^{1/4} - |D|^{1/2})\Phi \cdot |u|^2 \right| \\ \leq C\|((-\Delta + 1)^{1/4} - |D|^{1/2})\Phi \cdot u\|_{L_2}\|u\|_{L_2} \\ \leq C\|\Phi\|_{W_2^{1/2} \rightarrow L_2}\|u\|_{W_2^{1/2}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, in order to prove (2.15) it suffices to establish the inequality

$$(2.16) \quad \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |D|^{1/2}\Phi \cdot |u|^2 \right| \leq C\|\Phi\|_{W_2^{1/2} \rightarrow L_2}\|u\|_{W_2^{1/2}}^2.$$

By duality,

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |D|^{1/2}\Phi \cdot |u|^2 \right| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(|D|^{1/2}|u|^2) \right|,$$

where $\Phi \in L_{2,\text{loc}}$, and the integral on the right-hand side is well-defined (see details in [MV2]).

Notice that, for $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$|D|^{1/2}|u|^2(x) = c \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x)|^2 - |u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+1/2}} dy.$$

Using the identity $|a|^2 - |b|^2 = |a - b|^2 - 2\text{Re}[\bar{b}(b - a)]$ with $b = u(x)$ and $a = u(y)$, and integrating against $\frac{dy}{|x - y|^{n+1/2}}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x)|^2 - |u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+1/2}} dy &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+1/2}} dy \\ &\quad - 2\text{Re} \left[\overline{u(x)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{n+1/2}} dy \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| |D|^{1/2}|u|^2(x) \right| &\leq c \left(2|u(x)| \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{n+1/2}} dy \right| + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+1/2}} dy \right) \\ &= 2c|u(x)| \left| |D|^{1/2}u(x) \right| + c|\mathcal{D}_{1/4}u(x)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using the preceding inequality, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi |D|^{1/2} |u|^2 dx \right| &\leq c \|\Phi u\|_{L_2} \left\| |D|^{1/2} u \right\|_{L_2} + c \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Phi| |\mathcal{D}_{1/4} u|^2 dx \\ &\leq c \|\Phi\|_{W_2^{1/2} \rightarrow L_2} \|u\|_{W_2^{1/2}}^2 + c \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Phi| |\mathcal{D}_{1/4} J_{1/2} f|^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $f = (-1 + \Delta)^{1/4} u$. The last integral is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Phi| |J_{1/4} \mathcal{D}_{1/4} J_{1/4} f|^2 dx &\leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Phi| M(\mathcal{D}_{1/4} J_{1/4} f) |J_{1/2} \mathcal{D}_{1/4} J_{1/4} f| dx \\ &\leq c \|M(\mathcal{D}_{1/4} J_{1/4} f)\|_{L_2} \|\Phi J_{1/2} \mathcal{D}_{1/4} J_{1/4} f\|_{L_2} \\ &\leq c \|\mathcal{D}_{1/4} J_{1/4} f\|_{L_2} \|\Phi\|_{W_2^{1/2} \rightarrow L_2} \|J_{1/2} \mathcal{D}_{1/4} J_{1/4} f\|_{W_2^{1/2}} \\ &\leq c \|\Phi\|_{W_2^{1/2} \rightarrow L_2} \|f\|_{L_2}^2 = c \|\Phi\|_{W_2^{1/2} \rightarrow L_2} \|u\|_{W_2^{1/2}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

In the preceding chain of inequalities we first applied Hedberg’s inequality (see, e.g., [MSh], Section 1.1.3 and Section 3.1.2)

$$J_{1/4} g \leq c(Mg)^{1/2} (J_{1/2} g)^{1/2},$$

with $g = |\mathcal{D}_{1/4} J_{1/4} f|$, and then the Hardy-Littlewood maximal inequality for the operator M . This completes the proof of (2.15).

To prove the “only if” part of the Theorem, we will show that

$$\|\Phi\|_{W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c \|\gamma\|_{W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow W_2^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

The proof of this estimate is based on the extension of the distribution $\gamma \in \text{Mult}(W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow W_2^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ to the higher dimensional Euclidean space, and subsequent application of the characterization of the class of multipliers $\text{Mult}(W_2^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \rightarrow W_2^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}))$ obtained by the authors in [MV2].

We denote by $\gamma \otimes \delta$ the distribution on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} defined by

$$\langle \gamma \otimes \delta, u(x, x_{n+1}) \rangle = \langle \gamma, u(x, 0) \rangle,$$

where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $\delta = \delta(x_{n+1})$ is the delta-function supported on $x_{n+1} = 0$. It is not difficult to see that

$$\|\gamma \otimes \delta\|_{W_2^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \rightarrow W_2^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \sim \|\gamma\|_{W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow W_2^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

This follows from the well-known fact that the space of traces on \mathbb{R}^n of functions in $W_2^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ coincides with $W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with the equivalence of norms (see, e.g., [MSh], Section 5.1). Indeed, for any $U, V \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ let $u(x) = U(x, 0)$ and $v(x) = V(x, 0)$. Then by the trace estimate mentioned above $\|u\|_{W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c \|U\|_{W_2^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \gamma \otimes \delta, \overline{UV} \rangle| &= |\langle \gamma, \overline{uv} \rangle| \leq \|\gamma\|_{W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow W_2^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|u\|_{W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|v\|_{W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq c^2 \|\gamma\|_{W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow W_2^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|U\|_{W_2^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \|V\|_{W_2^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}. \end{aligned}$$

This gives the estimate:

$$\|\gamma \otimes \delta\|_{W_2^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \rightarrow W_2^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \leq c^2 \|\gamma\|_{W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow W_2^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

The converse inequality (which is not used below) follows similarly by extending $u, v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $U, V \in W_2^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ with the corresponding estimates of norms.

For the rest of the proof, it will be convenient to introduce the notation $J_s^{(n+1)} = (-\Delta_{n+1} + 1)^{-s/2}$, $s > 0$, for the Bessel potential of order s on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} ; here Δ_{n+1} denotes the Laplacian on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

Now by Theorem 4.2, [MV2] we obtain that $\gamma \otimes \delta \in \text{Mult}(W_2^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \rightarrow W_2^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}))$ if and only if $J_1^{(n+1)}(\gamma \otimes \delta) \in \text{Mult}(W_2^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}))$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \|J_1^{(n+1)}(\gamma \otimes \delta)\|_{W_2^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} &\leq c \|\gamma \otimes \delta\|_{W_2^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \rightarrow W_2^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \\ &\leq c_1 \|\gamma\|_{W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow W_2^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, pick $0 < \epsilon < 1/2$ and observe that

$$J_1^{(n+1)} = (-1 + \Delta_{n+1})^{1/4 + \epsilon/2} J_{\epsilon+3/2}^{(n+1)}.$$

Using Lemma 2.2 with $l = 1/2 + \epsilon$, $m = 1$, and $J_{\epsilon+3/2}^{(n+1)}(\gamma \otimes \delta)$ in place of γ , we deduce

$$\|J_1^{(n+1)}(\gamma \otimes \delta)\|_{W_2^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \sim \|J_{\epsilon+3/2}^{(n+1)}(\gamma \otimes \delta)\|_{W_2^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \rightarrow W_2^{1/2+\epsilon}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}.$$

As was proved above, the left-hand side of the preceding relation is bounded by a constant multiple of $\|\gamma\|_{W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow W_2^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

Thus,

$$\|J_{\epsilon+3/2}^{(n+1)}(\gamma \otimes \delta)\|_{W_2^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \rightarrow W_2^{1/2+\epsilon}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \leq c \|\gamma\|_{W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow W_2^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Passing to the trace on $\mathbb{R}^n = \{x_{n+1} = 0\}$ in the multiplier norm on the left-hand side (see [MSh], Section 5.2), we obtain

$$\|\text{Trace } J_{\epsilon+3/2}^{(n+1)}(\gamma \otimes \delta)\|_{W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow W_2^\epsilon(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c \|\gamma\|_{W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow W_2^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

We now observe that

$$\text{Trace } J_{\epsilon+3/2}^{(n+1)}(\gamma \otimes \delta) = \text{const } J_{\epsilon+1/2}^{(n)}(\gamma),$$

which follows immediately by inspecting the corresponding Fourier transforms.

In other words,

$$(2.17) \quad \|J_{\epsilon+1/2}^{(n)}\gamma\|_{W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow W_2^\epsilon(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c \|\gamma\|_{W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow W_2^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

From this estimate and Lemma 2.2 with $l = \epsilon$, $m = 1/2$, and with γ replaced by $J_{\epsilon+1/2}^{(n)}\gamma$, it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \|J_{1/2}^{(n)}\gamma\|_{W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \|(-\Delta + 1)^{\epsilon/2} J_{\epsilon+1/2}^{(n)}\gamma\|_{W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq c \|J_{\epsilon+1/2}^{(n)}\gamma\|_{W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow W_2^\epsilon(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|\gamma\|_{W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow W_2^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\Phi = J_{1/2}^{(n)}\gamma \in \text{Mult}(W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^n))$, and

$$\|\Phi\|_{W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|\gamma\|_{W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow W_2^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

The proof of Theorem 2.6 is complete. □

3. Some corollaries of the form boundedness criterion.

Theorem 2.6 proved in Section 2, combined with the known criteria for nonnegative potentials, yields Theorem II stated in the Introduction. In particular, it follows that, if $Q \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $\Phi = (-\Delta + 1)^{-1/4}Q$, then the multiplier defined by Q , and hence $\mathcal{H} = \sqrt{-\Delta} + Q$, is a bounded operator from $W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $W_2^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if

$$(3.1) \quad \int_e |\Phi(x)|^2 dx \leq c \cap (e, W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)),$$

for every compact set $e \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\text{diam}(e) \leq 1$.

Some simpler conditions which do not involve capacities are discussed in this section.

The following *necessary* condition is immediate from (3.1) and the known estimates of the capacity of the ball in \mathbb{R}^n ([MSh], Section 2.1.2).

COROLLARY 3.1. — *Suppose $Q \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $n \geq 1$. Suppose $\mathcal{H} = \sqrt{-\Delta} + Q : W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow W_2^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a bounded operator. Then, for every ball $B_r(a)$ in \mathbb{R}^n ,*

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{B_r(a)} |\Phi(x)|^2 dx \leq cr^{n-1}, \quad 0 < r \leq 1, \quad n \geq 2,$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad \int_{B_r(a)} |\Phi(x)|^2 dx \leq \frac{c}{\log \frac{2}{r}}, \quad 0 < r \leq 1, \quad n = 1,$$

where the constant does not depend on $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and r .

We notice that the class of distributions Q such that $\Phi = (-\Delta + 1)^{-1/4}Q$ satisfies (3.2) can be regarded as a Morrey space of order $-1/2$.

Combining Theorem II with the Fefferman-Phong condition [Fef] applied to $|\Phi|^2$, we arrive at *sufficient* conditions in terms of Morrey spaces of negative order. (Strictly speaking, the Fefferman-Phong condition [Fef] was originally established for estimates in the homogeneous Sobolev space \dot{W}_2^1 of order $m = 1$. However, it can be carried over to Sobolev spaces W_2^m for all $0 < m \leq n/2$. See, e.g., [KeS] or [MV1], p. 98.)

COROLLARY 3.2. — *Suppose $Q \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $n \geq 2$. Suppose $\Phi = (-\Delta + 1)^{-1/4}Q$, and $s > 1$. Then \mathcal{H} is a bounded operator from $W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $W_2^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if*

$$(3.4) \quad \int_{B_r(a)} |\Phi(x)|^{2s} dx \leq \text{const } r^{n-s}, \quad 0 < r \leq 1,$$

where the constant does not depend on $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and r .

Remark. — It is worth mentioning that condition (3.4) defines a class of potentials which is strictly broader than the (relativistic) Fefferman-Phong class of Q such that

$$(3.5) \quad \int_{B_r(a)} |Q(x)|^s dx \leq \text{const } r^{n-s}, \quad 0 < r \leq 1, \quad n \geq 2,$$

for some $s > 1$.

This follows from the observation that if one replaces Q by $|Q|$ in (3.4), then obviously the resulting class defined by

$$(3.6) \quad \int_{B_r(a)} (J_{1/2}|Q|)^{2s} dx \leq \text{const } r^{n-s}, \quad 0 < r \leq 1, \quad n \geq 2,$$

becomes smaller, but still contains some singular measures, together with all functions in the Fefferman-Phong class (3.5). (The latter was noticed earlier in [MV1], Proposition 3.5.)

A smaller but more conventional class of admissible potentials appears when one replaces $\text{cap}(e, W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ on the right-hand side of (3.1) by its lower estimate in terms of Lebesgue measure of $e \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. This yields the following result (stated as Corollary 1 in the Introduction).

COROLLARY 3.3. — *Suppose $Q \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $n \geq 1$. Suppose $\Phi = (-\Delta + 1)^{-1/4}Q$. Then $\mathcal{H} = \sqrt{-\Delta + Q}$ is a bounded operator from $W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $W_2^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if, for every measurable set $e \subset \mathbb{R}^n$,*

$$(3.7) \quad \int_e |\Phi(x)|^2 dx \leq c|e|^{(n-1)/n}, \quad \text{diam}(e) \leq 1, \quad n \geq 2,$$

or

$$(3.8) \quad \int_e |\Phi(x)|^2 dx \leq \frac{c}{\log \frac{2}{|e|}}, \quad \text{diam}(e) \leq 1, \quad n = 1,$$

where the constant c does not depend on e .

We remark that (3.7), without the extra assumption $\text{diam}(e) \leq 1$, is equivalent to $\Phi \in L_{2n,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $L_{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the Lorentz (weak L_p) space of functions f such that

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |f(x)| > t\}| \leq \frac{C}{t^p}, \quad t > 0.$$

In particular, (3.7) holds if $\Phi \in L_{2n}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, or equivalently, $Q \in W_{2n}^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Furthermore, if $\Phi \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then obviously (3.7) holds as well, since

$$\text{cap}(e, W_2^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \geq C|e|,$$

if $\text{diam}(e) \leq 1$. This leads to the sufficient condition $\Phi \in L_{2n}(\mathbb{R}^n) + L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $n \geq 2$.

It is worth noting that (3.7) defines a substantially broader class of admissible potentials than the standard (in the relativistic case) class $Q \in L_n(\mathbb{R}^n) + L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $n \geq 2$ ([LL], Section 11.3). This is a consequence of the imbedding

$$L_n(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset W_{2n}^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad n \geq 2,$$

which follows from the classical Sobolev imbedding $W_p^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L_r(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for $p = 2n/(2n - 1)$ and $r = n/(n - 1)$, $n \geq 2$. Indeed, by duality, the latter is equivalent to

$$L_n(\mathbb{R}^n) = L_r(\mathbb{R}^n)^* \subset W_p^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)^* = W_{2n}^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Similarly, in the one-dimensional case, the class of potentials defined by (3.8) is wider than the standard class $L_{1+\epsilon}(\mathbb{R}^1) + L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^1)$, $\epsilon > 0$.

It is easy to see that actually $Q \in L_n(\mathbb{R}^n) + L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $n \geq 2$, or $Q \in L_{1+\epsilon}(\mathbb{R}^1) + L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^1)$ if $n = 1$, is sufficient for the inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(x)|^2 |Q(x)| dx \leq \text{const} \|u\|_{W_2^{1/2}}^2, \quad u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

which is a “naïve” version of (1.2) where Q is replaced by $|Q|$.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [AiS] M. AIZENMAN and B. SIMON, Brownian motion and Harnack inequality for Schrödinger operators, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 35 (1982), 209–273.
- [ChWW] S.-Y. A. CHANG, J.M. WILSON and T.H. WOLFF, Some weighted norm inequalities concerning the Schrödinger operators, *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 60 (1985), 217–246.
- [CoG] M. COMBESURE and J. GINIBRE, Spectral and scattering theory for the Schrödinger operator with strongly oscillating potentials, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Sec. A, Physique théorique*, 24 (1976), 17–29.
- [EE] D.E. EDMUNDS and W.D. EVANS, *Spectral Theory and Differential Operators*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1987.
- [Fef] C. FEFFERMAN, The uncertainty principle, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 9 (1983), 129–206.
- [KeS] R. KERMAN and E. SAWYER, The trace inequality and eigenvalue estimates for Schrödinger operators, *Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble*, 36 (1987), 207–228.
- [KWWh] D.S. KURTZ and R.L. WHEEDEN, Results on weighted norm inequalities for multipliers, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 255 (1979), 343–362.
- [LL] E.H. LIEB and M. LOSS, *Analysis*, Second Edition, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001.
- [M1] V.G. MAZ'YA, On the theory of the n -dimensional Schrödinger operator, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, ser. Matem.*, 28 (1964), 1145–1172.
- [M2] V.G. MAZ'YA, *Sobolev Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York, 1985.
- [MSh] V.G. MAZ'YA and T.O. SHAPOSHNIKOVA, *Theory of Multipliers in Spaces of Differentiable Functions*, Pitman, Monographs and Studies in Mathematics, 23, Boston–London, 1985.
- [MV1] V.G. MAZ'YA and I.E. VERBITSKY, Capacitary estimates for fractional integrals, with applications to partial differential equations and Sobolev multipliers, *Arkiv för Matem.*, 33 (1995), 81–115.
- [MV2] V.G. MAZ'YA and I.E. VERBITSKY, The Schrödinger operator on the energy space: boundedness and compactness criteria, *Acta Math.*, 188 (2002), 263–302.
- [MV3] V.G. MAZ'YA and I.E. VERBITSKY, Boundedness and compactness criteria for the one-dimensional Schrödinger operator, in *Function Spaces, Interpolation Theory and Related Topics. Proc. Jaak Peetre Conf.*, Lund, Sweden, August 17–22, 2000. Eds. M. Cwikel, A. Kufner, G. Sparr. De Gruyter, Berlin, 2002, 369–382.
- [Nel] E. NELSON, *Topics in Dynamics. I: Flows*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1969.
- [RS] M. REED and B. SIMON, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics. II: Fourier Analysis, Self-Adjointness*, Academic Press, New York–London, 1975.
- [Sch] M. SCHECHTER, *Operator Methods in Quantum Mechanics*, North-Holland, Amsterdam – New York – Oxford, 1981.
- [Sim] B. SIMON, Schrödinger semigroups, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 7 (1982), 447–526.
- [St1] E.M. STEIN, *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1970.

- [St2] E.M. STEIN, *Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1993.
- [Ver] I.E. VERBITSKY, *Nonlinear potentials and trace inequalities*, The Maz'ya Anniversary Collection, Eds. J. Rossmann, P. Takác, and G. Wildenhain, *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*, 110 (1999), 323–343.

Manuscrit reçu le 29 janvier 2003,
accepté le 17 juillet 2003.

Vladimir G. MAZ'YA,
Linköping University
Department of Mathematics
SE-581 83, Linköping (Sweden).
vlmaz@mai.liu.se

Igor E. VERBITSKY,
University of Missouri
Department of Mathematics
Columbia, MO 65211, (USA).
igor@math.missouri.edu