

MICHEL TALAGRAND

Choquet simplexes whose set of extreme points is K -analytic

Annales de l'institut Fourier, tome 35, n° 3 (1985), p. 195-206

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIF_1985__35_3_195_0

© Annales de l'institut Fourier, 1985, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'institut Fourier » (<http://annalif.ujf-grenoble.fr/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

CHOQUET SIMPLEXES WHOSE SET OF EXTREME POINTS IS \mathcal{K} -ANALYTIC

*Dedicated to Professor G. Choquet,
on his 70th birthday*

by Michel TALAGRAND

Introduction.

When the author started research in mathematics, he asked his advisor Professor Choquet a list of problems. This list consisted of ten problems. On nine of them the author could make no progress. The tenth was : If the set of extreme points of a convex compact set is \mathcal{K} -analytic, must it be a $K_{\sigma\delta}$ set (or more generally, a \mathcal{K} -Borel set) ? Let us recall that a subset T of a compact set K is called \mathcal{K} -analytic if it is the image of the irrationals under an upper continuous compact-valued map [1], [2]. The classes \mathcal{K}_α of \mathcal{K} -Borel sets of K are defined by induction over the ordinal α in the following way. $\mathcal{K}_0(K)$ is the class of compact sets. When α is even (resp. odd) $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha+1}(K)$ consists of the countable intersections (resp. unions) of sets of $\mathcal{K}_\alpha(K)$. Finally, if α is limit, $\mathcal{K}_\alpha(K)$ is the union of the classes $\mathcal{K}_\beta(K)$ for $\beta < \alpha$. A subset of K is called \mathcal{K} -Borel if it belongs to some class \mathcal{K}_α . A \mathcal{K} -Borel set is \mathcal{K} -analytic.

It has been known for some time that the set of extreme points \mathcal{E} of a convex compact set K has a lot of structure. It is known that \mathcal{E} can be topologically very irregular [5], [6]. However, if one assumes some regularity for \mathcal{E} , then \mathcal{E} often turns out to be very regular. Along this line R. Haydon showed that if E is a continuous image of a separable metric space, then K is metrizable, so E is actually a G_δ set [3]. See also [4]. The hypothesis that \mathcal{E} is a continuous image of a separable metric space is an hypothesis of smallness as well as of regularity, so it is of a fairly different nature than the hypothesis that \mathcal{E} is \mathcal{K} -analytic.

Mots-clés : Choquet simplex – \mathcal{K} -analytic – Extreme point.

In [8], the author showed that when \mathcal{E} is \mathcal{K} -analytic, it can be written as $\mathcal{E} = \bigcap_n (U_n \cup F_n)$, where U_n is open in \mathcal{E} and F_n is closed. So when \mathcal{E} is \mathcal{K} -analytic, it must be Borel of a very special type. So, the problem of Choquet is connected to the following question asked by Goulet de Rugy : If a subset X of a compact set is at the same time \mathcal{K} -analytic and Borel, must it be \mathcal{K} -Borel? The answer is yes when X is open, since then it is a K_σ set.

A seemingly unrelated question is the following question ([2], 10-7, 10-8). If a topological space X is a G_δ set in its Stone-Cech compactification it is a G_δ set in every compactification. But if X is a $K_{\sigma\delta}$ set in some compactification, is it a $K_{\sigma\delta}$ set in every compactification? (If X is a $K_{\sigma\delta}$ set in each compactification, it is called an absolute $K_{\sigma\delta}$ set). Our main construction will answer these questions.

THEOREM A. — *There exists a Choquet simplex K with the following properties :*

- 1) *The set of extreme points \mathcal{E} of K is \mathcal{K} -analytic.*
- 2) *\mathcal{E} is not \mathcal{K} -Borel in $\bar{\mathcal{E}}$.*
- 3) *\mathcal{E} is a $K_{\sigma\delta}$ set in its Stone-Cech compactification.*
- 4) *There is an open set U of $\bar{\mathcal{E}}$ and a point ω of $\bar{\mathcal{E}}$ such that $\mathcal{E} = \{\omega\} \cup U$.*
- 5) *$\bar{\mathcal{E}} \setminus \mathcal{E}$ is discrete.*

So our construction provides a negative answer to the problems of Choquet and Goulet de Rugy, as well as an example of a $K_{\sigma\delta}$ set that is not absolute.

2. Construction.

The construction will use ideas from [7]. Let \mathcal{A} be a family of subsets of $\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{N}}$ that are closed and discrete for the usual topology. Let ω be a point which does not belong to $\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{N}}$, and let $T = \{\omega\} \cup \mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{N}}$. We provide T with the topology that makes each point of $\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{N}}$ open, and such that the neighborhoods of ω are the sets of the type $T \setminus B$, where B is the union of a finite set and finitely many elements of \mathcal{A} . Then T is completely regular and $T \setminus \{\omega\}$ is open in any compactification of T .

Let us fix some notations, that we will use through this paper. Given a finite sequence s of integers, let $|s|$ be its length, and let A_s be the subset of $\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{N}}$ of sequences such that their $|s|$ first terms coincide with those of s .

Denote by S the Stone-Cech compactification of T . We show that, (independently of the choice of \mathcal{A}), T is a $K_{\sigma\delta}$ set in S , and more precisely that

$$T = \{\omega\} \cup \bigcap_n \bigcup_{|s|=n} \overline{A_s}$$

where the closure is in S . This implies in particular that T is \mathcal{K} -analytic.

First, the inclusion of T in the right hand side is obvious, so we prove the reverse inclusion. Let $s \neq s'$ with $|s| = |s'|$. We show first that $\overline{A_s} \cap \overline{A_{s'}} = \{\omega\}$. If $t \in \overline{A_s} \cap \overline{A_{s'}} \setminus \{\omega\}$, then $t \in \overline{A_s} \cap \overline{A_{s'}} \setminus T$, and there is $B \in \mathcal{A}$ with $t \in B \cap A_s$, $t \in B \cap A_{s'}$. But since B is discrete for the topology of T , and since $A_s \cap A_{s'} \cap B = \emptyset$ this is impossible. It follows that if

$$t \in \bigcap_n \bigcup_{|s|=n} \overline{A_s} \setminus \{\omega\}$$

then there exists $\sigma \in \mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{N}}$ such that for each n we have $t \in \overline{A_{\sigma|n}}$, where $\sigma|n$ denotes the sequence of the first n terms of σ . Since $t \neq \omega$, there is $B \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $t \in \overline{B \cap A_{\sigma|n}}$ for each n . Since B is closed discrete in $\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{N}}$, there is a neighborhood of σ for the usual topology in $\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{N}}$ which meets B in a finite set, that is, there is n such that $B \cap A_{\sigma|n}$ is finite, so $t \in T$.

Given the family \mathcal{A} , we denote by $X(\mathcal{A})$ the compactification of T such that the closed sets of $X(\mathcal{A})$ can be identified to the algebra generated by \mathcal{A} and the finite sets of $\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{N}}$. The closure of the sets of extreme points of K will be identified to $X(\mathcal{A})$ for a suitably chosen family \mathcal{A} . Among other properties, \mathcal{A} must be chosen so that T is not a \mathcal{K} -Borel set of $X(\mathcal{A})$. Let first describe a family \mathcal{A} such that T is not a K_{σ} set (this is the family used in [7]). Let

$$\mathcal{A}_0 = \{B \subset \mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{N}}, \exists n, \forall \sigma, \rho \in B, \sigma|n = \rho|n, \sigma|n+1 \neq \rho|n+1\}.$$

Then each element of \mathcal{A}_0 is closed and discrete. Suppose now that $T = \bigcup_n K_n$. Then there is n such that (for the usual topology),

$\overset{\circ}{K}_n \neq \emptyset$; it is easily seen that this implies that there is an infinite $B \in \mathcal{A}_0$ with $B \subset K_n$. If $x \in \overline{B} \setminus B$, then $x \in \overline{K}_n \setminus T$, so T is not a K_σ set.

Let us now try to construct \mathcal{A}_1 such that T is not a $K_{\sigma\delta}$ in the corresponding compactification $X(\mathcal{A}_1)$. A natural idea is to use the family closed and discrete

$$\mathcal{A}_1 = \{B \subset \mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{N}}, B = \bigcup_n B_n, \forall n, B_n \in \mathcal{A}_0, \forall \sigma \in B_n, \sigma(1) = n\}.$$

Suppose that we have $T \subset \bigcap_n \bigcup_q K_{qn}$ where K_{qn} is a compact subset of $X(\mathcal{A}_1)$. Let

$$A_n = \{\sigma \in \mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{N}}; \sigma(1) = n\}.$$

For each n , there is q_n such that the closure of $A_n \cap K_{q_n, n}$ has non-empty interior (for the usual topology). So there is $B_n \subset K_{q_n, n}$ with $B_n \in \mathcal{A}_0, B_n \subset A_n$. It follows that $\bigcap_n \overline{B}_n \subset \bigcap_n \bigcup_q K_{qn}$. Unfortunately, the set $\bigcap_n \overline{B}_n$ is empty since for each p there is $C_p \in \mathcal{A}_1$ such that $C_p \cap (\bigcup_n B_n) = B_p$. We shall however be able to avoid this phenomenon by carefully restricting \mathcal{A}_1 . Of course if we use for \mathcal{A} a subfamily of \mathcal{A}_1 , T will be a $K_{\sigma\delta\sigma}$ of $X(\mathcal{A})$, so a construction of higher order is needed.

For two finite sequences $s = (s_1, \dots, s_n), t = (t_1, \dots, t_m)$ let $s \wedge t = (s_1, \dots, s_n, t_1, \dots, t_m)$. Suppose that for each $n \geq 1$ we are given a map ψ_n that associates a finite sequence $\psi_n(B_1, \dots, B_n)$ to each n -uple (B_1, \dots, B_n) of countable sets of finite sequences. The specific choice of ψ_n will be described in section 3. By induction over the countable ordinal α , we construct families \mathcal{B}_α of countable sets of finite sequences, in the following manner. \mathcal{B}_0 consists of the sets containing one single finite sequence. If \mathcal{B}_β has been constructed for $\beta < \alpha$, we define \mathcal{B}_α as the union of $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \mathcal{B}_\beta$ and of the collection of the sets of type

$$B = \{u \wedge (2n, 2n) \wedge \psi_{n-1}(B_1, \dots, B_{n-1}) \wedge t; t \in B_n, n \geq 1\}$$

where u is a fixed finite sequence, and $(B_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a sequence of $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \mathcal{B}_\beta$. (For $n = 1, \psi_{n-1}(B_1, \dots, B_{n-1})$ is defined as the empty sequence). We set $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_\alpha \mathcal{B}_\alpha$.

Recall that a set is called of first category if it is contained in a countable union of closed sets of empty interior.

The motivation for this construction is the following :

LEMMA 1. — *Let Z be a \mathcal{K} -Borel set of $X(\mathcal{O})$, so, say, $Z \in \mathcal{K}_\alpha(X(\mathcal{O}))$. Let t be a finite sequence. Assume that for the usual topology of $\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{N}}$, $Z \cap A_t$ is not of first category. Then there is $B \in \mathcal{B}_\alpha$ and a family $(L_s)_{s \in B}$ of compact sets of $X(\mathcal{O})$, with the following properties:*

1) $\bigcap_{s \in B} L_s \subset Z$.

2) For each $s \in B$, $L_s \cap A_{t \frown s}$ is dense in $A_{t \frown s}$ for the usual topology.

Proof. — It goes by induction over α . If $\alpha = 0$, Z is compact. The hypothesis implies that the closure of $Z \cap A_t$ has nonempty interior. So, there is a finite sequence s such that $Z \cap A_{t \frown s}$ is dense in $A_{t \frown s}$. We take $B = \{t \frown s\}$, $L_s = Z$.

Suppose now that the lemma has been proved for each $\beta < \alpha$. If α is limit, then $Z \in K_\beta(X(\mathcal{O}))$ for some $\beta < \alpha$ and there is nothing to prove. Suppose that $\alpha = \beta + 1$, where β is odd. Then $Z = \cup Z_n$, with $Z_n \in K_\beta(X(\mathcal{O}))$. Since there exists n such that $Z_n \cap A_t$ is not of first category for the usual topology, the conclusion follows by induction hypothesis. Suppose finally that $\alpha = \beta + 1$, where β is even, so $Z = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} Z_n$ where $Z_n \in \mathcal{K}_\beta(X(\mathcal{O}))$. Let u be a finite sequence such that (for the usual topology) Z is not of first category in any nonempty subset of $A_{t \frown u}$. By induction over n we construct sets $B_n \in \mathcal{B}_\beta$ and compact sets $(L_s^n)_{s \in B_n}$. Let $v_1 = t \frown u \frown (2, 2)$. Then Z_1 is not of first category in A_{v_1} , so by induction hypothesis there exists $B_1 \in \mathcal{B}_\beta$ and a family $(M_s^1)_{s \in B_1}$ of compact subsets of $X(\mathcal{O})$ such that $\bigcap_{s \in B_1} M_s^1 \subset Z_1$ and for each $s \in B_1$, $M_s^1 \cap A_{v_1 \frown s}$ is dense in $A_{v_1 \frown s}$. Suppose now that B_1, \dots, B_{n-1} have been constructed. Let

$$v_n = t \frown u \frown (2n, 2n) \frown \psi_{n-1}(B_1, \dots, B_{n-1}).$$

Then Z_n is not of first category in A_{v_n} so by induction hypothesis there exists $B_n \in \mathcal{B}_\beta$ and a family $(M_s^n)_{s \in B_n}$ of compact subsets of $X(\mathcal{O})$ such that $\bigcap_{s \in B_n} M_s^n \subset Z_n$ and that

for each $s \in B_n$, $M_s^n \cap A_{v_n} \wedge s$ is dense in $A_{v_n} \wedge s$. This completes the construction of the B_n . By definition of \mathcal{B}_α ,

$$B = \bigcup_n \{u \wedge (2n, 2n) \wedge \psi_{n-1}(B_1, \dots, B_{n-1}) \wedge s; s \in B_n\}$$

belongs to \mathcal{B}_α . For $v \in B$, if v is of the type

$$u \wedge (2n, 2n) \wedge \psi_{n-1}(B_1, \dots, B_{n-1}) \wedge s, s \in B_n,$$

let $L_v = M_s^n$. Then, by construction, $A_t \wedge v \cap L_v$ is dense in $A_t \wedge v$. Moreover

$$\bigcap_{v \in B} L_v \subset \bigcap_n \bigcap_{s \in B_n} M_s^n \subset \bigcap Z_n \subset Z.$$

Remark. — We shall apply lemma 1 when t is the empty sequence.

Each element of \mathcal{B} is countable. We fix an enumeration $(s_B^n)_n$ of each $B \in \mathcal{B}$. We also fix an enumeration $(\theta_1(n), \theta_2(n))$ of \mathbf{N}^2 , where $\theta_1(n) \leq n$. Suppose that for each n , we are given a map ϕ_n that associates a finite sequence $\phi_n(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$ to each $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n \in \mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{N}}$. The explicit choice of ϕ_n will be described in section 3. For a finite sequence s and $\sigma \in \mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{N}}$, write $s < \sigma$ if $s = \sigma|n$ for $n = |s|$. We then describe \mathcal{A} as the family of sets H for which there exists an enumeration (σ_n) of H and $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that for each n we have

$$s_B^{\theta_1(n)} \wedge (2n+1, 2n+1) \wedge \phi_{n-1}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}) < \sigma_n.$$

We shall call this enumeration of H the *defining* enumeration of H , and B the *root* of H .

LEMMA 2. — *Each $H \in \mathcal{A}$ is closed discrete for the usual topology.*

Proof. — Suppose there is $H \in \mathcal{A}$ that is not closed discrete. Let (σ_n) be the defining enumeration of H and B the root of H . There exists a one to one sequence $n(k)$ and $\sigma \in \mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{N}}$ with $\sigma_{n(k)} \longrightarrow \sigma$. Let $m(k) = \theta_1(n(k))$. We have

$$s_B^{m(k)} \wedge (2n(k)+1, 2n(k)+1) < \sigma_{n(k)}.$$

This shows that $m(k) \longrightarrow \infty$. So we have found B in \mathcal{B} , a sequence s_k in B , $\rho_k \in \mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{N}}$ with $s_k < \rho_k$ and $\rho_k \longrightarrow \sigma$. If

α is the smallest ordinal for which $B \in \mathcal{B}_\alpha$, it is routine to show by induction over α that this cannot happen.

LEMMA 3. — *Let Z be a \mathcal{B} -Borel set of $X(\mathcal{A})$, such that $Z \cap \mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{N}}$ is not of first category for the usual topology. Then there exists $H \in \mathcal{A}$ and a family (L_s) of compact sets of $X(\mathcal{A})$ such that $\bigcap L_s \subset Z$ and $H \cap L_s$ is infinite for each s .*

Proof. — We use lemma 1 to find $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and for $s \in B$ a compact set L_s of $X(\mathcal{A})$ such that $L_s \cap A_s$ is dense in A_s , and $\bigcap L_s \subset Z$. By induction over n , we construct $\sigma_n \in L_{u(n)}$, where $u(n) = s_B^{\theta_1(n)}$, such that (3) holds. This is possible since $L_{u(n)} \cap A_{u(n)}$ is dense in $A_{u(n)}$.

The cornerstone of the construction is the following lemma, that will be proved in section 3.

LEMMA 4. — *It is possible to choose the maps ϕ_n and ψ_n such that for $H_1, H_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ we have either $H_1 = H_2$ or $H_1 \cap H_2$ is finite.*

We assume that \mathcal{A} has this property, and we finish the proof of theorem A.

For each H in \mathcal{A} , the trace on H of the algebra generated by H and by the finite sets is the algebra of sets that are either finite or cofinite. It follows that $\overline{H} \setminus T$ (where the closure is in $X(\mathcal{A})$) consists of a single point a_H , and that for each infinite subset G of H , we have $a_H \in \overline{G}$.

PROPOSITION 5. — *T is not \mathcal{K} -Borel in $X(\mathcal{A})$. Actually, if $Z \subset T$ is \mathcal{K} -Borel, then $Z \cap \mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{N}}$ is of first category for the usual topology.*

Proof. — Suppose Z is \mathcal{K} -Borel, but that $Z \cap \mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{N}}$ is not of first category for the usual topology. Let H and (L_s) be as in lemma 3. Since $H \cap L_s$ is infinite for each s , we have $a_H \in L_s$, so $a_H \in \bigcap L_s \subset Z$. Q.E.D.

We note also that the set $(a_H)_{H \in \mathcal{A}}$ is discrete, ω is its only cluster point. To prove theorem A, it remains only to construct a Choquet simplex K such that \mathcal{E} can be identified

with T and $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$ can be identified with $X(\mathcal{A})$. Denote by R the subset of $\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{N}}$ of sequences $\sigma = (\sigma(n))$ such that $\sigma(m) \neq \sigma(n)$ for $m \neq n$. We note that by construction $H \cap R$ is empty for $H \in \mathcal{A}$. Since R and H both have the power of continuum, we can find for $H \in \mathcal{A}$ points b_H, c_H in R such that these points are all distinct. Denote by Y the subspace of $C(X(\mathcal{A}))$ consisting of those functions f such that

$$\forall H \in \mathcal{A}, f(a_H) = \frac{1}{2} (f(b_H) + f(c_H)). \quad (4)$$

Note that $1 \in Y$. Let

$$K = \{x^* \in Y^* ; \|x^*\| \leq 1, x^*(1) = 1\}.$$

Then, for the weak* topology, K is convex compact. Let M denote the set of probability measures on $X(\mathcal{A})$ (provided with the weak* topology) and let θ be the natural map $\theta : M \rightarrow K$. We identify $X(\mathcal{A})$ to a subset of M . Let $u \in \mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{N}}$. If u is not equal to b_H or c_H for any $H \in \mathcal{A}$, then $f = 1_{\{u\}} \in Y$. Since $f(u) > f(x)$ for x in $X(\mathcal{A})$, $x \neq u$, $\theta(u)$ is actually an exposed point of K . If u is equal to b_H or c_H for some $H \in \mathcal{A}$, then $f = 1_{\{u\}} + \frac{1}{2} 1_{H \cup \{a_H\}} \in Y$ so again $\theta(u)$ is an exposed point of K . This also shows that $\theta(\omega)$ is extreme. By the same type of arguments, one gets that θ is one to one, so is an isomorphism on its image. Moreover, $\theta(a_H)$ is not extreme since $\theta(a_H) = \frac{1}{2} (\theta(b_H) + \theta(c_H))$, and $\theta(b_H) \neq \theta(c_H)$. It follows that $\theta(T) = \mathcal{E}$, $\theta(X(\mathcal{A})) = \overline{\mathcal{E}}$. It remains to show that K is a Choquet simplex. It is enough to show that for μ, ν two probability measures on T then

$$\forall f \in Y, \mu(f) = \nu(f) \implies \mu = \nu$$

(it will then follow that each point of K is barycenter of a unique maximal measure). Note that μ and ν are atomic.

Let $\epsilon > 0$, and let F be a finite set with

$$\mu(\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{N}} \setminus F) < \epsilon, \nu(\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{N}} \setminus F) < \epsilon.$$

Let $u \in \mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{N}}$. Assume for example that u is of the type b_H . Then if $G = \{a_H\} \cup (H \setminus F)$

$$f = 1_{\{u\}} + \frac{1}{2} 1_G \in Y$$

so $|\mu(\{u\}) - \nu(\{u\})| \leq \epsilon$. Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get $\mu(\{u\}) = \nu(\{u\})$ for $u \in \mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{N}}$, so $\mu = \nu$. Theorem A is proved.

3. Choice of ψ_n and ϕ_n .

The set \mathfrak{F} of countable sets of finite sequences has the power of continuum, so there is a one to one map $B \rightarrow \sigma(B)$ from \mathfrak{F} to $\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{N}}$. We define $\psi_n(B_1, \dots, B_n)$ as the sequence of length n^2 obtained by taking the first n terms of $\sigma(B_1)$, then the first n terms of $\sigma(B_2)$, etc. The only two properties of ψ_n we shall use is that $|\psi_n(B_1, \dots, B_n)|$ depends on n only, and that if $B_1, \dots, B_n, \dots, C_1, \dots, C_n, \dots$ are two sequences of \mathfrak{B} such that

$$\psi_n(B_1, \dots, B_n) = \psi_n(C_1, \dots, C_n)$$

for infinitely many integers n , then $C_i = B_i$ for each i .

We define $\phi_n(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$ as the sequence of length n^2 obtained by taking the first n terms of σ_1 then the first n terms of σ_2 , etc. The only two properties of ϕ_n we shall use are again that $|\phi_n(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)|$ depends on n only, and that if $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, \dots, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_n, \dots$ are two sequences in $\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{N}}$ such that

$$\phi_n(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) = \phi_n(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n)$$

for infinitely many values of n , then $\sigma_i = \rho_i$ for each i .

LEMMA 6. — Let $B \in \mathfrak{B}$, and (s_n) be a sequence of elements of B with $s_n \neq s_m$ for $n \neq m$. Then there is a subsequence (s'_k) of (s_n) , there is a finite sequence t , there is a strictly increasing sequence $m(k)$ of integers, a sequence (B_p) of \mathfrak{B} and a sequence $x_k \in B_{m(k)}$ such that for each k

$$t \wedge (2m(k), 2m(k)) \wedge \psi_{m(k)-1}(B_1, \dots, B_{m(k)-1}) \wedge x_k = s'_k.$$

Proof. — Suppose $B \in \mathcal{B}_\alpha$. The proof goes by induction over α . It is obvious for $\alpha = 0$. Suppose it has been proved for $\beta < \alpha$. By definition, there is a finite sequence u , and a sequence (C_i) of $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \mathcal{B}_\beta$ such that B is the set of sequences of the type $t_n \wedge v$, for $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $v \in C_n$, where

$$t_n = u \wedge (2n, 2n) \wedge \psi_{n-1}(C_1, \dots, C_{n-1}).$$

If there exists a strictly increasing sequence $n(k)$ such that $t_{n(k)} < s_{n(k)}$, the conclusion holds. Otherwise, there is n_0 and a subsequence s'_k of s_n with $t_{n_0} < s'_k$ for each k , so $s'_k = t_{n_0} \wedge v_k$ for $v_k \in C_{n_0}$. The induction hypothesis implies that there is a subsequence v'_k of v_k , a finite sequence u , a sequence (B_p) of \mathcal{B} , a strictly increasing sequence $m(k)$ of integers and a sequence $x_k \in B_k$ such that $v'_k = u \wedge w_k \wedge x_k$, where

$$w_k = (2m(k), 2m(k)) \wedge \psi_{m(k)}(B_1, \dots, B_{m(k)}).$$

If $s'_k = t_{n_0} \wedge v'_k$, we have $t_{n_0} \wedge u \wedge w_k \wedge z_k = s'_k$. The proof is complete.

LEMMA 7. — Let $B \in \mathcal{B}$. If $s, t \in B$, $s < t$, then $s = t$.

The obvious induction is left to the reader. As a consequence, if $\sigma \in H \in \mathcal{A}$ and B is the root of H , there is a unique $s \in B$ with $s < \sigma$.

We now start proving that if $G, H \in \mathcal{A}$ have an infinite intersection, then $G = H$. Let (σ_k) (resp. (ρ_k)) be the defining enumeration of G (resp. H) and B (resp. C) be the root of G (resp. H). So, we assume that we have two sequences $k(n), \ell(n)$ such that $\sigma_{k(n)} = \rho_{\ell(n)}$ for each n , and we want to prove that $G = H$. Let s^n (resp. t^n) be the unique element of B (resp. C) such that $s^n < \sigma_{k(n)}$ (resp. $t^n < \rho_{\ell(n)}$). We have to distinguish four cases.

Case 1. — There exists an infinite $I \subset \mathbf{N}$, and s, t such that $s^n = s$, $t^n = t$ for $n \in I$.

In this case, we have for each $n \in I$

$$s^{(2k(n) + 1, 2k(n) + 1)} \wedge \phi_{k(n)-1}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{k(n)-1}) < \sigma_{k(n)}$$

$$t^{(2\ell(n) + 1, 2\ell(n) + 1)} \wedge \phi_{\ell(n)-1}(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{\ell(n)-1}) < \rho_{\ell(n)}.$$

It follows that $s = t$, and $k(n) = \ell(n)$ for $n \in I$. Since the length of $\phi_k(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)$ depends only of k , this forces

$$\phi_{k(n)-1}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{k(n)-1}) = \phi_{\ell(n)-1}(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{\ell(n)-1})$$

for each $n \in I$. This implies that $\sigma_i = \rho_i$ for each i , i.e. $G = H$.

Case 2. There exists an infinite $I \subset \mathbf{N}$ and t , such that $t^n = t$ for $n \in I$, and $s^n \neq s^m$ for $n, m \in I, n \neq m$.

From lemma 6, by restricting I one can assume that there is a finite sequence s , integers $m(n)$ such that for $n \in I$,

$$s^{(2m(n), 2m(n))} < s^n < \sigma_{k(n)}.$$

On the other hand

$$t^{(2\ell(n) + 1, 2\ell(n) + 1)} < \rho_{\ell(n)} = \sigma_{k(n)}.$$

Since $2m(n)$ is even, while $2\ell(n) + 1$ is odd, this is impossible.

Case 3. Same as Case 2, exchanging the role of G and H .

This case is impossible just as Case 2.

Case 4. There exists an infinite $I \subset \mathbf{N}$ such that for $n, m \in I, n \neq m$, we have $s^n \neq s^m, t^n \neq t^m$.

From lemma 6, by restricting I , one can assume that there exists finite sequences s, t , strictly increasing sequences $(m(n)), (p(n))$, sequences $(D_p), (F_p)$ of \mathcal{B} , sequences $x_n \in D_{m(n)}, y_n \in F_{p(n)}$ such that for $n \in I$ we have

$$s^n = s^{(2m(n), 2m(n))} \wedge \psi_{m(n)-1}(D_1, \dots, D_{m(n)-1}) \wedge x_n$$

$$t^n = t^{(2p(n), 2p(n))} \wedge \psi_{p(n)-1}(F_1, \dots, F_{p(n)-1}) \wedge y_n.$$

Since $s^n < \sigma_{k(n)}, t^n < \rho_{\ell(n)}$, and $\sigma_{k(n)} = \rho_{\ell(n)}$, it follows first that $s = t$, and $m(n) = p(n)$ for each n . It then follows that for $n \in I$

$$\psi_{m(n)-1}(D_1, \dots, D_{m(n)-1}) = \psi_{m(n)-1}(F_1, \dots, F_{m(n)-1})$$

since these sequences have the same length. This in turns implies that $D_i = F_i$ for each i . We have $x_n, y_n \in D_{m(n)}$. Since either

$x_n < y_n$ or $y_n < x_n$, lemma 7 shows $x_n = y_n$. We have proved that $s^n = t^n$ for each n . By definition of \mathcal{A} , we have for $n \in I$:

$$s^n \wedge (2k(n) + 1, 2k(n) + 1) \wedge \psi_{k(n)-1}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{k(n)-1}) < \sigma_{k(n)}$$

$$t^n \wedge (2\ell(n) + 1, 2\ell(n) + 1) \wedge \psi_{\ell(n)-1}(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{\ell(n)-1}) < \rho_{\ell(n)}.$$

Since $s^n = t^n$, this shows $\ell(n) = k(n)$. This implies

$$\psi_{k(n)-1}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{k(n)-1}) = \psi_{k(n)-1}(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{k(n)-1})$$

since these sequences have the same length. It follows that $\sigma_1 = \rho_1$ for each i , so $G = H$. The proof is complete.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] G. CHOQUET, *Lectures on analysis*, New York, W.A. Benjamin, 1969 (Math. Lecture Notes series).
- [2] Z. FROLICK, A survey of separable descriptive theory of sets and spaces, *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, 20 (1967), 406-467.
- [3] R. HAYDON, An extreme point criterion for separability of a dual Banach space, and a new proof of a theorem of Corson, *Quarterly J. Math.*, 27 (1976), 379-385.
- [4] B. MACGIBBON, A criterion for the metrizable of a compact convex set in terms of the set of extreme points, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 11 (1972), 385-392.
- [5] R. PHELPS, Lectures on Choquet's theorem, *Van Nostrand Math. studies*, 7 (1966).
- [6] M. TALAGRAND, Géométrie du simplexe des moyennes, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 33 (1979), 304-333.
- [7] M. TALAGRAND, Espaces de Banach faiblement \mathcal{K} -analytiques, *Ann. of Math.*, 110 (1979), 407-438.
- [8] M. TALAGRAND, Sur les convexes compacts dont l'ensemble des points extrémaux est \mathcal{K} -analytique, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 107 (1979), 49-53.

Manuscrit reçu le 11 octobre 1984.

Michel TALAGRAND,
Equipe d'Analyse – Tour 46
Université Paris VI
4 Place Jussieu
75230 Paris Cedex 05.