

ANNALES DE LA FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES DE TOULOUSE Mathématiques

THIERRY GALLOUËT, YANNICK SIRE

Some possibly degenerate elliptic problems with measure data and non linearity on the boundary

Tome XX, n° 2 (2011), p. 231-245.

http://afst.cedram.org/item?id=AFST_2011_6_20_2_231_0

© Université Paul Sabatier, Toulouse, 2011, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Annales de la faculté des sciences de Toulouse Mathématiques » (<http://afst.cedram.org/>), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://afst.cedram.org/legal/>). Toute reproduction en tout ou partie cet article sous quelque forme que ce soit pour tout usage autre que l'utilisation à fin strictement personnelle du copiste est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

cedram

Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du
Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques
<http://www.cedram.org/>

Some possibly degenerate elliptic problems with measure data and non linearity on the boundary

THIERRY GALLOUËT⁽¹⁾, YANNICK SIRE⁽²⁾

ABSTRACT. — The goal of this paper is to study some possibly degenerate elliptic equation in a bounded domain with a nonlinear boundary condition involving measure data. We investigate two types of problems: the first one deals with the laplacian in a bounded domain with measure supported on the domain and on the boundary. A second one deals with the same type of data but involves a degenerate weight in the equation. In both cases, the nonlinearity under consideration lies on the boundary. For the first problem, we prove an optimal regularity result, whereas for the second one the optimality is not guaranteed but we provide however regularity estimates.

RÉSUMÉ. — Le but de cet article est l'étude d'équations elliptiques pouvant dégénérer, à données mesures, dans un domaine borné, et avec non-linéarité au bord du domaine. On étudie deux types de problèmes : un premier est une équation elliptique non dégénérée dans un domaine borné avec des données mesures, supportées à la fois à l'intérieur du domaine et sur le bord de celui-ci. On traite dans une deuxième partie une équation elliptique dégénérée. On établit des résultats d'existence et de régularité dans les deux cas. Dans les deux problèmes considérés, la nonlinéarité est au bord du domaine.

(*) Reçu le 05/03/2010, accepté le 16/11/2010

⁽¹⁾ Université Aix-Marseille 1 – LATP – Marseille, France
gallouet@cmi.univ-mrs.fr

⁽²⁾ Université Aix-Marseille 3, Paul Cézanne – LATP – Marseille, France
sire@cmi.univ-mrs.fr

Contents

1	Introduction	231
2	The case $\alpha = 0$: problem (1.1)	237
2.1	Regularization of the boundary problem	237
2.2	Estimates on the regularized problem	237
2.3	Passage to the limit in the regularized problem	240
3	The degenerate case $\alpha \neq 0$: problem (1.2)	241
3.1	Regularization	241
3.2	Estimates	241
4	Extensions of the previous result	244
	Bibliography	244

1. Introduction

Let Ω be a smooth bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^N for $N \geq 2$. Let $\{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2\}$ be a measurable partition of $\partial\Omega$ such that $|\Gamma_1| > 0$. Consider $\mu_1 \in \mathcal{M}(\overline{\Omega})$ and $\mu_2 \in \mathcal{M}(\partial\Omega)$, two Radon measures supported on Ω and Γ_2 respectively.

The paper is devoted to the study of the two following problems for $\gamma > 1$

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = \mu_1 \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_1, \\ \partial_\nu u = \mu_2 - |u|^{\gamma-1}u \text{ on } \Gamma_2. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \cdot (d(x, \partial\Omega)^\alpha \nabla) u = \mu_1 \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_1, \\ d(x, \partial\Omega)^\alpha \partial_\nu u = \mu_2 - |u|^{\gamma-1}u \text{ on } \Gamma_2. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where $\alpha \in (-1, 1)$ and $d(x, \partial\Omega)$ denotes the distance from a point $x \in \Omega$ to the boundary $\partial\Omega$. Notice that when $\alpha = 0$, problem (1.2) reduces to (1.1). The weight $d(x, \partial\Omega)^\alpha$ degenerates at the boundary either by explosion for $\alpha < 0$ or to 0 for $\alpha > 0$. We will see that there is a difference, as far as regularity is concerned, between the cases $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\alpha = 0$ and we will deal with these problems separately.

Several works have been devoted to the study of elliptic equations with non smooth data. Particularly, the following equation

$$-\Delta u + |u|^{\gamma-1}u = f \in L^1 \text{ in } \Omega$$

with Dirichlet boundary conditions has been investigated by several authors starting with the works of Brézis and Strauss [4]. In the case of $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$, we refer the reader to the works [1] and [8]. In this latter work, the authors investigate the range $\gamma \leq 1$, and prove that a growth condition on f is necessary to ensure existence and uniqueness. The case of the p -laplacian instead of the laplacian has been investigated in [3].

When f is a measure, the picture is more complicated. In [2], the authors solved the existence and regularity problem for measure data in smooth domains for Leray-Lions operator of the type $-\nabla \cdot a(x, u, \nabla u)$. However one can prove existence and regularity if and only if $f \in L^1(\Omega) + W^{-2,\gamma}(\Omega)$. This latter condition is equivalent to $|f|(A) = 0$ for every borelian subset A of Ω having zero $W^{2,\gamma'}$ -capacity (here γ' is the conjugate exponent of γ).

Under some assumptions on γ , we prove that our problems (1.1)-(1.2) admit a solution and we study their regularity.

The motivation to investigate the degenerate problem (1.2) comes from recent investigations on non local operators. Indeed, the following result has been proved by Caffarelli and Silvestre (see [5]): Given $s \in (0, 1)$, let $\alpha = 1 - 2s \in (-1, 1)$. Using variables $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} := (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N$, the space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ coincides with the trace on $\partial\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}$ of

$$H^1(x^\alpha) := \left\{ u \in H_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}) : \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} x^\alpha (u^2 + |\nabla u|^2) dx dy < +\infty \right\}.$$

In other words, given any function $u \in H^1(x^\alpha) \cap C(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}})$, $v := u|_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and there exists a constant $C = C(N, s) > 0$ such that

$$\|v\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C \|u\|_{H^1(x^\alpha)}.$$

So, by a standard density argument (see [6]), every $u \in H^1(x^\alpha)$ has a well-defined trace $v \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Conversely, any $v \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the trace of a function $u \in H^1(x^\alpha)$. In addition, the function $u \in H^1(x^\alpha)$ defined by

$$u := \arg \min \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} x^\alpha |\nabla w|^2 dx : w|_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} = v \right\} \quad (1.3)$$

solves the PDE

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \cdot (x^\alpha \nabla u) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} \\ u = v & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}. \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

By standard elliptic regularity, u is smooth in \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} . It turns out that $x^\alpha u_x(x, \cdot)$ converges in $H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to a distribution $f \in H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, as $x \rightarrow 0^+$

i.e. u formally solves

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \cdot (x^\alpha \nabla u) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} \\ -x^\alpha u_x = f & \text{on } \partial \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}. \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

Consider the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator

$$\Gamma_\alpha : \begin{cases} H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^N) \\ v \mapsto \Gamma_\alpha(v) = f := -x^\alpha u_x|_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}}, \end{cases}$$

where u is the solution of (1.3)–(1.5). Given $f \in H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, a function $v \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ solves the equation

$$\frac{1}{d_{N,s}}(-\Delta)^s v = f \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \quad (1.6)$$

if and only if its lifting $u \in H^1(x^\alpha)$ solves $u = v$ on $\partial \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}$ and

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \cdot (x^\alpha \nabla u) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} \\ -x^\alpha u_x = f & \text{on } \partial \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}. \end{cases} \quad (1.7)$$

Here $d_{N,s}$ is a normalizing constant. Equation (1.6) involves the fractional laplacian, which symbol is a Fourier multiplier $|\xi|^{2s}$. We refer the reader to [9] for a potential-theoretic study of the fractional laplacian and Riesz kernels.

A quick look at the previous development shows that the weight x^α represents the distance of a point $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}$ to the boundary $\partial \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}$ to the power α . It is then natural to consider a somehow "localized" version of it, namely problem (1.2), as a starting point of regularity study of fractional order operators. We postpone to future work the study of the equation

$$(-\Delta)^s u + |u|^{\gamma-1} u = f \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N$$

where $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. This problem is more challenging since it requires local estimates, as done for instance in [3] in the case $s = 1$. More precisely, we would need local estimates independent of the radius R of the following boundary problem

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \cdot (x^\alpha \nabla u) = \mu_1, & \text{in } B_R^+, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial^+ B_R^+, \\ x^\alpha \partial_x u = \mu_2 - |u|^{\gamma-1} u, & \text{on } \partial^0 B_R^+ \end{cases} \quad (1.8)$$

where

$$B_R^+ = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^N, |(x, y)| < R\},$$

Degenerate elliptic equations with measures

$$\begin{aligned}\partial^+ B_R^+ &= \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^N, |(x, y)| = R\}, \\ \partial^0 B_R^+ &= \{(0, y), y \in \mathbb{R}^N, |(0, y)| < R\}.\end{aligned}$$

It has to be noticed that the weight $d(x, \partial\Omega)^\alpha$, since $\alpha \in (-1, 1)$, is degenerate at the boundary of the smooth domain Ω . However, this function falls into a specific class of functions, namely A_2 weights introduced by Muckenhoupt [10]. Indeed, a function $w(x)$ defined on \mathbb{R}^N is said to be A_2 if the following holds: there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\sup_B \left\{ \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B w(x) dx \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B w(x)^{-1} dx \right\} \leq C,$$

for every ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^N$. In our context the A_2 condition writes

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{R^n} \int_0^R (R-r)^\alpha r^{N-1} dr \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{R^n} \int_0^R (R-r)^{-\alpha} r^{N-1} dr \right\} \leq C,$$

which is clearly satisfied since $\alpha \in (-1, 1)$.

The class A_2 enjoys several very nice properties that we will describe further in the paper. First, we introduce the following notion of weak solution, valid for both problems.

DEFINITION 1.1. — *A function $u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of (1.2) (or (1.1) taking $\alpha = 0$) if for all $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $\varphi = 0$ on Γ_1*

$$\int_\Omega d(x, \partial\Omega)^\alpha \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi + \int_\Omega \varphi d\mu_1 = \int_{\Gamma_2} \varphi d\mu_2 - \int_{\Gamma_2} |u|^{\gamma-1} u \varphi. \quad (1.9)$$

We now state our results.

Our main result is an existence and regularity result for (1.9).

THEOREM 1.2. — *For every $\mu_1 \in \mathcal{M}(\overline{\Omega})$, $\mu_2 \in \mathcal{M}(\partial\Omega)$ supported on Ω and Γ_2 respectively, $\gamma > 1$, there exists a weak solution u to (1.1) such that*

$$u \in \bigcap_{1 < q < \frac{N}{N-1}} W^{1,q}(\Omega).$$

As a consequence, the trace Tu of u on $\partial\Omega$ satisfies

$$Tu \in \bigcap_{1 \leq q < \frac{N}{N-1}} W^{1-\frac{1}{q},q}(\partial\Omega)$$

The previous theorem is optimal in the sense that one can construct measures such that $u \notin W^{1,N/N-1}(\Omega)$. Indeed, consider the case $\mu_1 = \delta$. Then the solution has the singularity of the Green function, hence is not in $W^{1,N/N-1}(\Omega)$.

The second one concerns the degenerate case.

THEOREM 1.3. — *For every $\mu_1 \in \mathcal{M}(\overline{\Omega})$, $\mu_2 \in \mathcal{M}(\partial\Omega)$ supported on Ω and Γ_2 respectively, $\gamma > 1$ and $\alpha \in (-1, 1)$, there exists a weak solution u to (1.2) such that*

$$u \in \bigcap_{1 \leq q < \frac{2N+2\delta(N-1)}{2N-1+\delta(N-1)}} W^{1,q}(\Omega, d(x, \partial\Omega)^\alpha)$$

for some $\delta > 0$ depending on Ω and α . As a consequence, the trace Tu of u on $\partial\Omega$ satisfies

$$Tu \in \bigcap_{1 < q < \frac{2N+2\delta(N-1)}{2N-1+\delta(N-1)}} W^{1-\frac{1+\alpha}{q},q}(\partial\Omega)$$

This second theorem is not optimal, as far as regularity is concerned, because of the degeneracy of the weight close to the boundary.

In order to prove Theorems 1.2-1.3, we proceed in several steps:

- We first approximate the problem with smooth data.
- We obtain estimates with suitable dependence on the data.
- We pass to the limit.

This technique is reminiscent of the technique developped in [2], for instance. In the degenerate case, even if the techniques are similar, this requires new ingredients from the theory of degenerate elliptic equations with A_2 weights, which have been developped in [7]. For sake of clarity, we will first deal with the problem $\alpha = 0$, for which an optimal result can be obtained. In a second part, we will consider $\alpha \neq 0$.

2. The case $\alpha = 0$: problem (1.1)

2.1. Regularization of the boundary problem

To do so we start by approximating the problem (1.1) and consider it for smooth sequences $\{\mu_1^n\}_{n \geq 0}, \{\mu_2^n\}_{n \geq 0} \subset L^\infty$ converging to μ_1 and μ_2 in the weak* topology, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \varphi d\mu_1^n &\rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \varphi d\mu_1, \quad \forall \varphi \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \\ \int_{\Gamma_2} \varphi d\mu_2^n &\rightarrow \int_{\Gamma_2} \varphi d\mu_2, \quad \forall \varphi \in C(\partial\Omega) \end{aligned}$$

The regularized problem then writes weakly

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla \varphi + \int_{\Omega} \varphi \mu_1^n = \int_{\Gamma_2} \varphi \mu_2^n - \int_{\Gamma_2} |u_n|^{\gamma-1} u_n \varphi. \quad (2.1)$$

We have the following result, as a consequence of a standard topological degree argument (see [1]).

THEOREM 2.1. — *Let $\gamma > 1$ and μ_1^n, μ_2^n as before. There exists a weak solution $H^1(\Omega)$ of (2.1).*

2.2. Estimates on the regularized problem

We now estimate the solution u_n and its gradient ∇u_n with a convenient dependence on the data μ_1^n, μ_2^n .

LEMMA 2.2. — *Let $\gamma > 1$ and u_n be a weak solution of (2.1) with $\mu_1^n \in L^\infty(\Omega), \mu_2^n \in L^\infty(\Gamma_2)$. Then for every $\theta > 1$, there exists $C > 0$ depending on $\theta, \gamma, |\Gamma_2|$ and on a bound of the L^1 norm of μ_1^n, μ_2^n such that*

$$\|u_n\|_{L^\gamma(\Gamma_2)} \leq C \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^2}{(1 + |u_n|)^\theta} \leq C. \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. — We proof follows the same lines as the one in [3], taking into account that the nonlinearity is on the boundary. Consider the weak formulation of (2.1), i.e.

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla \varphi + \int_{\Omega} \varphi \mu_1^n = \int_{\Gamma_2} \varphi \mu_2^n - \int_{\Gamma_2} |u_n|^{\gamma-1} u_n \varphi. \quad (2.4)$$

for any φ defined as before. We consider a suitable test function for the weak formulation (2.4), by considering for $\theta > 1$

$$\phi_{\theta}(r) = \begin{cases} \int_0^r \frac{dt}{(1+t)^{\theta}} & \text{if } r \geq 0, \\ -\phi_{\theta}(-r) & \text{if } r < 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

Notice that ϕ_{θ} is bounded in \mathbb{R} . We plug the following test function in (2.4)

$$\varphi = \phi_{\theta}(u_n),$$

which is a suitable test function by Stampacchia theorem. This gives, since ϕ_{θ} is bounded,

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^2}{(1+|u_n|)^{\theta}} + \int_{\Gamma_2} |u_n|^{\gamma-1} u_n \phi_{\theta}(u_n) \leq C(\|\mu_1^n\|_{L^1(\Gamma_2)} + \|\mu_2^n\|_{L^1(\Omega)}).$$

This gives the desired result since $|u_n|^{\gamma} \leq C_1 |u_n|^{\gamma-1} u_n \phi_{\theta}(u_n) + C_2$ for some $C_1, C_2 > 0$ depending on θ .

□

From the previous estimates, we deduce regularity estimates on the gradient of u_n in some Lebesgue space. This is the object of the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.3. — *Let $\mu_1^n \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $\mu_2^n \in L^{\infty}(\Gamma_2)$. Consider u_n a weak solution of (2.4) such that*

$$\int_{\Gamma_2} |u_n|^{\gamma} \leq C$$

for some constant $C > 0$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^2}{(1+|u_n|)^{\theta}} \leq D \quad (2.6)$$

for all $\theta > 1$ and some constant $D > 0$ depending on θ and on a bound of the L^1 norm of μ_1^n, μ_2^n . Then, for every $q \in [1, \frac{N}{N-1})$, there exists \tilde{C} depending on C, D such that

$$\|u_n\|_{W^{1,q}(\Omega)} \leq \tilde{C}.$$

Proof. — We write, by Hölder inequality for $q \in [1, 2)$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^q &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^q}{(1 + |u_n|)^{\theta q/2}} (1 + |u_n|)^{\theta q/2} \leq \\ &\left\{ \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^2}{(1 + |u_n|)^{\theta}} \right\}^{q/2} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} (1 + |u_n|)^{\frac{\theta q}{2-q}} \right\}^{\frac{2-q}{2}} \leq \\ &C_1 \left\{ \int_{\Omega} (1 + |u_n|)^{\frac{\theta q}{2-q}} \right\}^{\frac{2-q}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

We have for $q^* = \frac{qN}{N-q}$

$$\int_{\Omega} (1 + |u_n|)^{\frac{\theta q}{2-q}} \leq \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{q^*} + C_2$$

for every $\varepsilon > 0$ provided that θ is chosen such that $\frac{\theta q}{2-q} < q^*$ and for some constant C_2 depending on θ, q, ε . We now use the Sobolev embeddings to estimate the last term: since $u_n = 0$ on $\Gamma_1 \subset \partial\Omega$ and $q \in [1, 2)$, we have the Sobolev embedding

$$\|u_n\|_{L^{q^*}(\Omega)} \leq C \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^q(\Omega)}.$$

Hence, choosing ε small enough, this yields to

$$\|u\|_{L^{q^*}(\Omega)} \leq C_3$$

for some $C_3 > 0$. By observing that one can take θ arbitrary close to 1, one gets that

$$\|\nabla u_n\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \leq \tilde{C}$$

for every $q \in [1, \frac{N}{N-1})$. \square

The previous theorem admits the following corollary by just using the trace embedding.

COROLLARY 2.4. — *Let μ_1^n, μ_2^n as before. Consider u_n a weak solution of (2.4) such that*

$$\int_{\Gamma_2} |u_n|^\gamma \leq C$$

for some constant $C > 0$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^2}{(1 + |u_n|)^{\theta}} \leq D \tag{2.7}$$

for $\theta > 1$ and some constant $D > 0$ depending on θ and on a bound of the L^1 norm of μ_1^n, μ_2^n . Then the trace on $\partial\Omega$ of u_n denoted Tu_n satisfies for every $q \in (1, \frac{N}{N-1})$, the estimate

$$\|Tu_n\|_{W^{1-\frac{1}{q},q}(\partial\Omega)} \leq \tilde{C}$$

for some \tilde{C} depending C and D .

2.3. Passage to the limit in the regularized problem

We now come to the proof of Theorem 1.2 by passing to the limit $n \rightarrow +\infty$ in the weak formulation (2.4). From the previous sections, we have that up to subsequence

$$u_n \rightarrow u \text{ weakly in } W^{1,q}(\Omega), q \in [1, \frac{N}{N-1}). \quad (2.8)$$

By continuity of the trace operator, we have

$$Tu_n \rightarrow Tu \text{ weakly in } W^{1-\frac{1}{q},q}(\partial\Omega), q \in [1, \frac{N}{N-1}). \quad (2.9)$$

Then Tu_n converges strongly to Tu in $L^q(\partial\Omega)$ for some q and then we have up to extraction of a subsequence

$$Tu_n \rightarrow Tu \text{ a.e. on } \Gamma_2. \quad (2.10)$$

We have now to pass to the limit in the non linear term of the weak formulation (2.4). To do so, we adopt the strategy of [3] and have to prove equi-integrability of $|u_n|^\gamma$ on Γ_2 . Let $t > 0$ and define

$$\psi(s) = \begin{cases} \inf((s-t)^+, 1) & \text{if } s \geq 0, \\ -\psi(-s) & \text{if } s < 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.11)$$

Plugging $\varphi = \psi(u_n)$ in (2.4) and noticing that

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla \psi(u_n) \geq 0,$$

we are led to the following estimate

$$\int_{E_{n,t+1}^0} |u_n|^\gamma \leq \int_{E_{n,t}^0} |\mu_2^n| + \int_{E_{n,t}} |\mu_1^n|$$

where

$$E_{n,t} = \{(x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+ \mid |u_n(x)| \geq t\}$$

and

$$E_{n,t}^0 = \{(x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+ \mid |Tu_n(x)| \geq t\}.$$

Hence the quantity $|u_n|^\gamma$ is equi-integrable and Vitali's theorem ensures that

$$\int_{\Gamma_2} |u_n|^{\gamma-1} u_n \rightarrow \int_{\Gamma_2} |u|^{\gamma-1} u.$$

This proves the desired result.

3. The degenerate case $\alpha \neq 0$: problem (1.2)

We now come to the proof of Theorem (1.3). We will not give all the proofs since some of them are almost identical but emphasize the place where we lose regularity.

3.1. Regularization

Consider again smooth sequences $\{\mu_1^n\}_{n \geq 0}, \{\mu_2^n\}_{n \geq 0}$ converging to μ_1 and μ_2 in the weak* topology in the sense described above. The regularized problem then writes weakly

$$\int_{\Omega} d(x, \partial\Omega)^\alpha \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla \varphi + \int_{\Omega} \varphi \mu_1^n = \int_{\Gamma_2} \varphi \mu_2^n - \int_{\Gamma_2} |u_n|^{\gamma-1} u_n \varphi. \quad (3.1)$$

Using the Lax-Milgram theorem in [7] together with a standard topological degree argument, one gets

THEOREM 3.1. — *Let $\gamma > 1$ and μ_1^n, μ_2^n as before. There exists a weak solution $H^1(\Omega, d(x, \partial\Omega)^\alpha)$ of (3.1).*

3.2. Estimates

The proof of the following lemma is identical to the proof of Lemma (2.2)

LEMMA 3.2. — *Let $\gamma > 1$ and u_n be a weak solution of (3.1) with $\mu_1^n, \mu_2^n \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $L^\infty(\Gamma_2)$ respectively. Then for every $\theta > 1$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ depending on a bound of the L^1 norms of μ_1^n, μ_2^n and θ such that*

$$\|u_n\|_{L^\gamma(\Gamma_2)} \leq C \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} d(x, \partial\Omega)^\alpha \frac{|\nabla u_n|^2}{(1 + |u_n|)^\theta} \leq C. \tag{3.3}$$

Before stating the lemma concerning the regularity on the gradient of u_n , we recall the Sobolev embedding proved in [7].

THEOREM 3.3 (see [7]). — *Let Ω be an open set of \mathbb{R}^N and w an A_2 function. Let u be a function which is 0 on a portion of $\partial\Omega$. Then there exist constants $C > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ depending on Ω , the weight w and the portion of $\partial\Omega$ where u is zero such that for all $u \in H^1(\Omega, w)$ and all $1 \leq k \leq \frac{N}{N-1} + \delta$ the following holds*

$$\|u\|_{L^{2k}(\Omega, w)} \leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega, w)} \tag{3.4}$$

Remark that here the Sobolev exponent is not $\frac{2N}{N-2}$ but $\frac{2N}{N-1} + 2\delta$ and this is where we loose regularity in the estimates. The constant δ depends on the weight $d(x, \partial\Omega)^\alpha$ and the domain Ω .

LEMMA 3.4. — *Let μ_1^n and μ_2^n as before. Consider u_n a weak solution of (3.1) such that*

$$\int_{\Gamma_2} |u_n|^\gamma \leq C$$

for some constant $C > 0$ depending on θ and

$$\int_{\Omega} d(x, \partial\Omega)^\alpha \frac{|\nabla u_n|^2}{(1 + |u_n|)^\theta} \leq D \tag{3.5}$$

for $\theta > 1$ and some constant $D > 0$ depending on θ and on a bound of the L^1 norms of μ_1^n, μ_2^n . Therefore, we have that u_n is bounded (in terms of q, C, D) in $W^{1,q}(\Omega, d(x, \partial\Omega)^\alpha)$ for every $1 \leq q < \frac{2N+2\delta(N-1)}{2N-1+\delta(N-1)}$.

Proof. — We write, by Hölder inequality for $q \in [1, 2)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} d(x, \partial\Omega)^\alpha |\nabla u_n|^q = \\ & \int_{\Omega} d(x, \partial\Omega)^{q\alpha/2} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^q}{(1 + |u_n|)^{q\theta/2}} d(x, \partial\Omega)^{\alpha - q\alpha/2} (1 + |u_n|)^{\theta q/2} \leq \end{aligned}$$

Degenerate elliptic equations with measures

$$\left\{ \int_{\Omega} d(x, \partial\Omega)^{\alpha} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^2}{(1 + |u_n|)^{\theta}} \right\}^{q/2} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} d(x, \partial\Omega)^{\alpha} (1 + |u_n|)^{\frac{\theta q}{2-q}} \right\}^{\frac{2-q}{2}} \leqslant D_1 \left\{ \int_{\Omega} d(x, \partial\Omega)^{\alpha} (1 + |u_n|)^{\frac{\theta q}{2-q}} \right\}^{\frac{2-q}{2}}.$$

We now use the Sobolev embedding (3.4) to estimate the last term. Since $u_n = 0$ on $\Gamma_1 \subset \partial\Omega$, we have the Sobolev embedding

$$\|u_n\|_{L^{2k}(\Omega, d(x, \partial\Omega)^{\alpha})} \leqslant C \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega, d(x, \partial\Omega)^{\alpha})}$$

for all $1 \leqslant k \leqslant \frac{N}{N-1} + \delta$ for some $\delta > 0$.

On the other hand from the inequality (3.5), we have that $\{\phi_{\theta/2}(u_n)\}_n$ is bounded in $H^1(\Omega, d(x, \partial\Omega)^{\alpha})$. By the previous embedding, the sequence $\{\phi_{\theta/2}(u_n)\}_n$ is also bounded in $L^{2k}(\Omega, d(x, \partial\Omega)^{\alpha})$ and since, when r is big enough, the function $\phi_{\theta/2}(r)$ behaves like $r^{1-\theta/2}$, we deduce that

$$|u_n|^{1-\theta/2} \in L^{2k}(\Omega, d(x, \partial\Omega)^{\alpha})$$

and then

$$|u_n|^{2k-k\theta} \in L^1(\Omega, d(x, \partial\Omega)^{\alpha}).$$

Therefore, the sequence $\{u_n\}_n$ is bounded in $L^q(\Omega, d(x, \partial\Omega)^{\alpha})$ if

$$\frac{\theta q}{2-q} = 2k - k\theta, \text{ i.e.}$$

$$q = \frac{2(2-\theta)k}{\theta + k(2-\theta)} > 1$$

for $1 < \theta < 2$. Taking θ arbitrarily close to 1, one gets

$$q < \frac{2N + 2\delta(N-1)}{2N-1 + \delta(N-1)}.$$

Since $d(x, \partial\Omega)^{\alpha}$ is integrable, one gets a bound for the last term in the previous range of q . \square

The previous theorem admits the following corollary by just using the trace embedding in [11].

COROLLARY 3.5. — Let μ_1^1, μ_2^n as before. Consider u_n a weak solution of (3.1) such that

$$\int_{\Gamma_2} |u_n|^\gamma \leq C$$

for some constant $C > 0$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} d(x, \partial\Omega)^\alpha \frac{|\nabla u_n|^2}{(1 + |u_n|)^\theta} \leq D \tag{3.6}$$

for $\theta > 1$ and some constant $D > 0$ depending on θ and on a bound of the L^1 norm of μ_1^n, μ_2^n . Then the trace on $\partial\Omega$ of u_n denoted Tu_n is bounded in $W^{1-\frac{1+\alpha}{q}, q}(\partial\Omega)$ for every $1 < q < \frac{2N+2\delta(N-1)}{2N-1+\delta(N-1)}$.

The passage to the limit works exactly as in the previous section and this proves Theorem 1.3.

4. Extensions of the previous result

Thanks to the approach developed in [2], it would be possible to extend our results to more general problems, such as

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div} A(x, \nabla u) = \mu_1, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \Gamma_1 \subset \partial\Omega, \\ A(x, \nabla u) \cdot \nu = \mu_2 + h(u), & \text{on } \Gamma_2 \subset \partial\Omega \end{cases} \tag{4.7}$$

under structural assumptions on $A(x, \nabla u)$ (as to be monotone on the gradient term (like for instance the case of the p -laplacian)) and structural assumptions on h .

We refer the interested reader to [2] for the structural assumptions on the data. Remark that we can assume, thanks to the present work, degeneracy of the operator $A(x, \cdot)$ close to the boundary.

Bibliography

- [1] BENILAN (P.), BREZIS (H.), CRANDALL (M. G.). — A semilinear equation in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4), 2(4), p. 523-555 (1975).
- [2] BOCCARDO (L.), GALLOWËT (T.). — Nonlinear elliptic and parabolic equations involving measure data. J. Funct. Anal., 87(1), p. 149-169 (1989).

- [3] BOCCARDO (L.), GALLOUËT (T.), VÁZQUEZ (J. L.). — Nonlinear elliptic equations in \mathbb{R}^N without growth restrictions on the data. *J. Differential Equations*, 105(2), p. 334-363 (1993).
- [4] BRÉZIS (H.), STRAUSS (W. A.). — Semi-linear second-order elliptic equations in L^1 . *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 25, p. 565-590 (1973).
- [5] CAFFARELLI (L.), SILVESTRE (L.). — An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian. *Commun. in PDE*, 32(8), p. 1245 (2007).
- [6] CHIADÒ PIAT (V.), SERRA CASSANO (F.). — Relaxation of degenerate variational integrals. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 22(4), p. 409-424 (1994).
- [7] FABES (E. B.), KENIG (C. E.), SERAPIONI (R. P.). — The local regularity of solutions of degenerate elliptic equations. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 7(1), p. 77-116 (1982).
- [8] GALLOUËT (T.), MOREL (J.-M.). — The equation $-\Delta u + |u|^{\alpha-1}u = f$, for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 11(8), p. 893-912 (1987).
- [9] LANDKOF (N. S.). — Foundations of modern potential theory. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972. Translated from the Russian by A. P. Doohovskoy, *Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften*, Band 180.
- [10] MUCKENHOUPT (B.). — Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal function. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 165, p. 207-226 (1972).
- [11] NEKVINDA (A.). — Characterization of traces of the weighted Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(\Omega, d_M^\varepsilon)$ on M . *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, 43(118)(4), p. 695-711 (1993).