

ANNALES DE LA FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES DE TOULOUSE Mathématiques

STEVEN DALE CUTKOSKY, SAMAR ELHITTI

Formal prime ideals of infinite value and their algebraic resolution

Tome XIX, n° 3-4 (2010), p. 635-649.

http://afst.cedram.org/item?id=AFST_2010_6_19_3-4_635_0

© Université Paul Sabatier, Toulouse, 2010, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Annales de la faculté des sciences de Toulouse Mathématiques » (<http://afst.cedram.org/>), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://afst.cedram.org/legal/>). Toute reproduction en tout ou partie cet article sous quelque forme que ce soit pour tout usage autre que l'utilisation à fin strictement personnelle du copiste est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

cedram

Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du
Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques
<http://www.cedram.org/>

Formal prime ideals of infinite value and their algebraic resolution

STEVEN DALE CUTKOSKY⁽¹⁾ AND SAMAR ELHITTI⁽²⁾

ABSTRACT. — Suppose that R is a local domain essentially of finite type over a field of characteristic 0, and ν a valuation of the quotient field of R which dominates R . The rank of such a valuation often increases upon extending the valuation to a valuation dominating \hat{R} , the completion of R . When the rank of ν is 1, Cutkosky and Ghezzi handle this phenomenon by resolving the prime ideal of infinite value, but give an example showing that when the rank is greater than 1, there is no natural ideal in \hat{R} that leads to this obstruction. We extend their result on the resolution of prime ideals of infinite value to valuations of arbitrary rank.

RÉSUMÉ. — Soit R un domaine local essentiellement de type fini sur un corps de caractéristique 0, et ν une valuation du corps de fractions de R qui domine R . Le rang d'une telle valuation augmente souvent en prolongeant la valuation à une valuation dominante \hat{R} qui est la complétion de R . Dans le cas où le rang de ν est égal à 1, Cutkosky et Ghezzi manipule ce phénomène en résolvant l'idéal premier de valeur infinie. Dans le cas où le rang est plus grand que 1, ils donnent un exemple qui montre qu'il n'y a aucun idéal naturel dans \hat{R} qui mène à cette obstruction. Nous généralisons leurs résultats de résolution des idéaux premiers de valeurs infinies aux valuations de rang arbitraire.

(*) Reçu le 19/06/2008, accepté le 11/12/2009

The first author was partially supported by NSF

The second author was partially supported by the PSC-CUNY Award # 60070-39 40

⁽¹⁾ Department of Mathematics, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65211, USA.
cutkoskys@missouri.edu

⁽²⁾ Department of Mathematics, New York City College of Technology, 300 Jay street,
Brooklyn, NY 11201, USA.
selhitti@citytech.cuny.edu

1. Introduction

Since Zariski introduced general valuation theory into algebraic geometry, valuations have been important in addressing problems on resolution of singularities.

Suppose that K is an algebraic function field over a base field k , and V is a valuation ring of K . V determines a unique center on a proper variety X whose function field is K . The valuation gives a way of reducing a global problem on X , such as resolution, to a local problem, studying the local rings of centers of V on different varieties X whose function field is K .

The valuation theoretic analogue of resolution of singularities is local uniformization. The problem of local uniformization is to find, for a fixed valuation ring V of a function field K over k , a regular local ring R essentially of finite type over k with quotient field K such that V dominates R . That is, $R \subset V$ and $m_V \cap R = m_R$. In 1944, Zariski [23] proved local uniformization over fields of characteristic zero. A consequence is the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.1 (*Zariski*). — *Suppose that R is a regular local ring which is essentially of finite type over a field of characteristic zero, which is dominated by a valuation ring V . Suppose that $f \in R$. Then there exists a birational extension of regular local rings $R \rightarrow R_1$ such that R_1 is dominated by V , and $\text{ord}_{R_1} \bar{f} \leq 1$ where \bar{f} is the strict transform of f in R_1 . If V has rank 1, then there exists R_1 such that \bar{f} is a unit in R_1 .*

Zariski first proved local uniformization for two-dimensional function fields over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero in [22]. He later proved local uniformization for algebraic function fields of characteristic 0 in [23]. Hironaka proved resolution of singularities of characteristic zero varieties in 1964 [12].

Abhyankar has proven local uniformization and resolution of singularities in positive characteristic for two dimensional function fields, surfaces and three dimensional varieties (characteristic $p > 5$) [1], [4].

Recently, there has been progress on local uniformization in positive characteristic, including the work of Cossart and Piltant [5], [6], Kuhlmann [14], Knaf and Kuhlmann [13], Spivakovsky [18], [17], Temkin [20] and Teissier [19]. Some recent progress on understanding valuations in the context of algebraic geometry has been made by Favre and Jonsson [10], Ghezzi, Hà and Kascheyeva [15], Vaquié [21] and others.

One of the most important techniques in studying resolution problems is to pass to the completion of a local ring (the germ of a singularity). This allows us to reduce local questions on singularities to problems on power series.

Let R be a regular local ring, and let V be a valuation ring of the quotient field of R which dominates R . Let ν be a valuation whose valuation ring is V .

A question which arises on completion is if the following generalization of local uniformization is true:

QUESTION 1.2. — *Given a reduced element $f \in \hat{R}$, when does there exist a birational extension $R \rightarrow R_1$ where R_1 is a regular local ring dominated by V such that $\text{ord}_{\hat{R}_1} \bar{f} \leq 1$, where \bar{f} is a strict transform of f in \hat{R}_1 ?*

Question 1.2 has a positive answer if R is a regular local ring of dimension 2, since a germ of a curve singularity can be resolved by blowing up points. If R is essentially of finite type over a field of characteristic zero, of arbitrary dimension, and $f \notin Q(\hat{R})$, then a positive answer to Question 1.2 is a consequence of Theorem 1.4.

The answer to question 1.2 is however generally no. We give a simple example stated below, which comes from a discrete valuation.

Example 1.3. — There exists a discrete valuation ring V dominating a regular local ring R of dimension 3 such that for all integers $r \geq 2$, there exists an irreducible element $f \in \hat{R}$ such that for all birational extensions $R \rightarrow R_1$ of regular local rings dominated by V , a strict transform of f has order $\geq r$ in \hat{R}_1 .

The example is constructed in Section 3. It can be understood in terms of an extension of our valuation ring V to a valuation ring dominating \hat{R} . It is a fact that the rank of a valuation V dominating R often increases when extending the valuation to a valuation ring \hat{V} dominating \hat{R} . In the comment after the statement of Theorem C on page 177 of [11], it is shown that if the extension of V to a valuation ring of the quotient field of \hat{R} which dominates \hat{R} is not unique, then V has extensions of at least two ranks. Some papers where this phenomenon is studied are Spivakovsky [17], Heinzer and Sally [11], and Cutkosky and Ghezzi [8].

The first measure of this increase of rank is the prime ideal $Q(\hat{R})$, defined in Section 2. This ideal is known as the prime ideal of infinite value. $Q(\hat{R})$

is generated by Cauchy sequences $\{f_n\}$ in R whose values are eventually larger than $t\nu(m_R)$ for any multiple t of $\nu(m_R)$.

This prime has been previously defined and studied by Teissier [19], Cutkosky [7], Cutkosky and Ghezzi [8] and Spivakovsky [17]. We show in Theorem 1.4, stated below, that if $f \notin Q(\hat{R})$, then Question 1.2 does have a positive answer.

THEOREM 1.4. — *Suppose that R is a regular local ring which is essentially of finite type over a field of characteristic zero, and is dominated by a valuation ring V . Suppose that $f \in \hat{R}$ is such that $f \notin Q(\hat{R})$. Then there exists a sequence of monoidal transforms $R \rightarrow R_1$ along V such that a strict transform \bar{f} of f in \hat{R}_1 is a unit.*

Theorem 1.4 is proven in Section 3.

If the rank of V is 1, then there is a unique extension of V to the quotient field of $\hat{R}/Q(\hat{R})$ dominating $\hat{R}/Q(\hat{R})$, and the rank of this extension coincides with the rank of ν .

In spite of the fact that we cannot always resolve the singularity of $f = 0$ by a birational extension of R , we can resolve the formal singularity, whose local ring is $\hat{R}/Q(\hat{R})$, by a birational extension of R . This is proven for valuations of rank 1 by Cutkosky and Ghezzi [8].

THEOREM 1.5 (*Cutkosky, Ghezzi*). — *Suppose that R is a local domain which is essentially of finite type over a field of characteristic zero and V is a rank 1 valuation ring which dominates R . Then there exists a birational extension $R \rightarrow R_1$ where R_1 is a regular local ring dominated by V such that $Q(\hat{R}_1)$ is a regular prime.*

A regular prime is a prime ideal P in a regular local ring R such that R/P is also a regular local ring.

In Example 4.3 [8] Cutkosky and Ghezzi consider a valuation ν of rank 2 and give two different extensions of V to \hat{R} one of rank 2 and the other of rank 3. Thus when the rank of V is greater than 1, there is no natural ideal in \hat{R} that obstructs the jumping of the rank of an extension of V to \hat{R} . This obstruction will be obtained in a series of prime ideals in quotient rings in \hat{R} as stated in section 4.

The main result of this paper is to generalize Cutkosky and Ghezzi's result on the resolution of prime ideals of infinite value to valuations of arbitrary rank. We state our result below.

THEOREM 1.6. — *Suppose that R is a local domain which is essentially of finite type over a field k of characteristic zero, and V is a valuation ring of the quotient field K of R which dominates R . Let*

$$(0) = P_{V,t} \subset \cdots \subset P_{V,1} \subset P_{V,0} = m_V$$

be the chain of prime ideals of V . Then there exists a sequence of monoidal transforms $R \rightarrow R_1$ such that R_1 is a regular local ring and V dominates R_1 . Further, $P_{R_1,i} = P_{V,i} \cap R_1$ are distinct regular primes such that $(V/P_{V,i})_{P_{V,i}}$ is algebraic over $(R_1/P_{R_1,i})_{P_{R_1,i}}$ for all i , and

$$Q((\widehat{R_1})_{P_{R_1,i}}) \subset (\widehat{R_1})_{P_{R_1,i}}$$

are regular primes for all i .

Theorem 1.6 is stated and proved in section 4. A different proof of Theorem 1.6 using a generalization of Zariski's Perron transforms to higher rank valuations is given in ElHitti's Ph.D. thesis [9].

The following related question is raised by Teissier and Spivakovsky in their work on local uniformization.

QUESTION 1.7. — *Suppose that R is a local domain, containing a field k (of any characteristic) which is dominated by V . Does there exist a birational extension $R \rightarrow R_1$ such that R_1 is regular and a prime ideal $P \subset \widehat{R_1}$ such that $P \cap R_1 = (0)$, $\widehat{R_1}/P$ is regular and there is a unique extension of V to the quotient field of $\widehat{R_1}/P$ which dominates $\widehat{R_1}/P$ and the rank does not increase?*

In the case when V has rank 1, and characteristic zero, a positive answer to Question 1.7 follows from Cutkosky and Ghezzi [8].

2. Notations and Preliminaries

The maximal ideal of a quasi local ring R will be written m_R . Suppose that $R \subset S$ is an inclusion of quasi local rings. We will say that R dominates S if $m_S \cap R = m_R$. If R is a local ring (a noetherian quasi local ring), \widehat{R} will denote the completion of R at its maximal ideal. A prime ideal P is a regular prime if R/P is a regular local ring.

Good introductions to valuation theory can be found in Chapter VI of [24] and in [3]. We will summarize a few basic results and set up the notation which we will use.

Suppose that V is a valuation of rank $t > 1$, with quotient field K and valuation ring $\Gamma = \Gamma_V$. Let ν be a valuation of K whose valuation ring is V . Suppose that V dominates a noetherian local domain R whose quotient field is K . Then the rank t of V is finite ([2] or Appendix 2 of [24]).

Let

$$(0) = P_{V,t} \subset \cdots \subset P_{V,1} \subset P_{V,0} = m_V$$

be the chain of distinct prime ideals in V . There is a one-to-one order reversing correspondence between the isolated subgroups Γ_i of Γ_V and the prime ideals of V (cf. Sections 8, 9, 10 of [3] and chapter VI, section 10 of [24]), giving the sequence

$$\{0\} = \Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma_1 \subset \cdots \subset \Gamma_t = \Gamma_V$$

of isolated subgroups of Γ_V . For $0 \leq i \leq t$, let

$$U_i = \{\nu(a) \mid a \in P_{V,i}\}.$$

Γ_i is defined to be the complement of U_i and $-U_i$ in Γ_V .

For $i < j$, ν induces a valuation on the field $(V/P_{V,j})_{P_{V,j}}$ with valuation ring $(V/P_{V,j})_{P_{V,i}}$ and value group Γ_j/Γ_i . In particular if $j = i + 1$, Γ_j/Γ_i has rank 1.

Let

$$(0) = P_{R,t} \subset \cdots \subset P_{R,1} \subset P_{R,0} = m_R \tag{2.1}$$

be the induced chain of prime ideals in R , where $P_{R,i} = P_{V,i} \cap R$. For all i , $V_{P_{V,i}}$ is a valuation ring of K dominating $R_{P_{R,i}}$. Let ν_i be the valuation associated to $V_{P_{V,i}}$.

Suppose that $f \in \widehat{R_{P_{R,i}}}$. Consider the following condition on a Cauchy sequence $\{f_n\}$ of elements $f_n \in R_{P_{R,i}}$ converging to f :

$$\text{For all } l \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ there exists } n_l \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } \nu_i(f_n) \geq l\nu(m_{R_{P_{R,i}}}) \text{ if } n \geq n_l. \tag{2.2}$$

The condition (2.2) is independent of the Cauchy sequence $\{f_n\}$ converging to f , although the specific numbers n_l depend on the Cauchy sequence.

Define the prime ideal $Q(\widehat{R_{P_{R,i}}}) \subset \widehat{R_{P_{R,i}}}$ for the valuation ring $V_{P_{V,i}}$ by

$$Q(\widehat{R_{P_{R,i}}}) = \left\{ f \in \widehat{R_{P_{R,i}}} \mid f \text{ satisfies (2.2)} \right\}.$$

We have

$$Q(\widehat{R_{P_{R,i}}}) \cap R_{P_{R,i}} = P_{R,i+1}R_{P_{R,i}}$$

for all i .

If $i = 0$, then we have $\nu_0 = \nu$, $R_{P_{R,0}} = R$, $m_{R_{P_{R,0}}} = m_R$, and

$$Q(\hat{R}) = \{f \in \hat{R} \mid f \text{ satisfies (2.2)}\}.$$

We have that $Q(\hat{R}) \cap R = P_{R,1}$, which is the zero ideal if V has rank 1.

Suppose that R is a regular local ring. A monoidal transform $R \rightarrow R_1$ is a birational extension of local domains such that $R_1 = R \left[\frac{P}{x} \right]_m$ where P is a regular prime ideal of R , $0 \neq x \in P$ and m is a prime ideal of $R \left[\frac{P}{x} \right]$ such that $m \cap R = m_R$. $R \rightarrow R_1$ is called a quadratic transform if $P = m_R$.

If $R \rightarrow R_1$ is a monoidal transform, then there exists a regular system of parameters (x_1, \dots, x_n) in R and $r \leq n$ such that

$$R_1 = R \left[\frac{x_2}{x_1}, \dots, \frac{x_r}{x_1} \right]_m.$$

If V is a valuation ring dominating R , then $R \rightarrow R_1$ is called a monoidal transform along V if V dominates R_1 .

Suppose that $I \subset R$ is an ideal. We define the strict transform I_1 of I in R_1 by

$$I_1 = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} (IR_1 : P^j R_1).$$

For an ideal $J \subset \hat{R}$, we define the strict transform J_1 of J in \hat{R}_1 by

$$J_1 = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} (J\hat{R}_1 : P^j \hat{R}_1).$$

If $f \in R$, a strict transform \bar{f} of f in R_1 is a generator of the strict transform in R_1 of the ideal generated by f in R . A strict transform of an element in \hat{R} is defined in the same way.

We will make use of results on resolution of singularities by Hironaka [12] in a form suitable to application to monoidal transforms along valuations (from Chapter 2 of [7]).

Suppose that R is a local domain, $P \subset R$ is a prime ideal, and $f \in R$. We define $\text{ord}_P(f)$ to be the largest integer n such that $f \in P^{(n)}$. Here $P^{(n)}$ denotes the n -th symbolic power of P . If P is a regular prime in a

regular local ring R , then $P^{(n)} = P^n$ for all n ; that is, the ordinary and symbolic powers agree. We will write $\text{ord}_R(f) = \text{ord}_{m_R}(f)$. If $\gamma \subset \text{Spec}(R)$ is an integral subvariety, and I_γ is the prime ideal of γ , then we define $\text{ord}_\gamma(f) = \text{ord}_{I_\gamma}(f)$.

3. Algebraic resolution of formal series

In this section we give proofs of Theorem 1.4 and Example 1.3 stated in the introduction. We use the notation established in Section 2.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. — Let ν be a valuation whose valuation ring is V . By Theorem 2.9 [7], there exists a sequence of monoidal transforms $R \rightarrow R_1$ along V such that the strict transform of $P_{R,1}$ in R_1 is a regular prime, which is thus necessarily $P_{R_1,1}$. Set $A_1 = R_1/P_{R_1,1}$. ν induces a rank 1 valuation $\bar{\nu}$, on the quotient field K of A_1 , which dominates $\widehat{A_1}$ and has valuation ring $\bar{V} = (V/P_{V,1}) \cap K$. Let \tilde{f} be the residue of f in $\widehat{A_1}$. Let s be the rational rank of $\bar{\nu}$. We have that $\tilde{f} \notin Q(\widehat{A_1})$ (computed with respect to $\bar{\nu}$), since $f \notin Q(\widehat{R_1})$. Thus $\bar{\nu}(\tilde{f}) < \infty$ (in the notation of [7]). By Theorem 4.8 [7], there exists a sequence of monoidal transforms

$$A_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow A_a$$

along \bar{V} such that

$$\tilde{f} = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_s^{a_s} u + h$$

where $x_1, \dots, x_s, x_{s+1}, \dots, x_n$ are a regular system of parameters in A_a , $x_1 \cdots x_s = 0$ is a local equation of the exceptional divisor of $\text{Spec}(A_a) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A_1)$, $u \in \widehat{A_a}$ is a unit series, a_1, \dots, a_s are positive integers and $h \in m_{\widehat{A_a}}^N$ where $N\bar{\nu}(m_{A_a}) > \bar{\nu}(x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_s^{a_s})$.

Now by (2) of Theorem 4.10 [7], there exists a sequence of monoidal transforms along \bar{V}

$$A_a \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow A_{a+b}$$

and regular parameters $y_1, \dots, y_s, y_{s+1}, \dots, y_n$ in A_{a+b} such that $y_1 \cdots y_s = 0$ is a local equation of the exceptional divisor of $\text{Spec}(A_{a+b}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A_1)$ and

$$\tilde{f} = y_1^{b_1} \cdots y_s^{b_s} \tilde{u}$$

where b_1, \dots, b_s are positive integers and $\tilde{u} \in \widehat{A_{a+b}}$ is a unit series.

We may now construct a sequence of monoidal transforms

$$R_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow R_{a+b}$$

along V (as in the last part of the proof of Theorem 1.6 in the next section) such that

$$(R_j)_{P_{R_j,1}} \cong (R_1)_{P_{R_1,1}} \text{ and } R_j/P_{R_j,1} \cong A_j$$

for $1 \leq j \leq a+b$.

There exists a regular system of parameters z_1, \dots, z_c in R_{a+b} such that the residue of z_i in A_{a+b} is y_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $P_{R_{a+b},1} = (z_{n+1}, \dots, z_c)$. Let

$$B = R_{a+b} \left[\frac{z_{n+1}}{z_1^{b_1} \cdots z_s^{b_s}}, \dots, \frac{z_c}{z_1^{b_1} \cdots z_s^{b_s}} \right].$$

We have $B \subset V$. Let $R' = B_{B \cap m_V}$. Then $R_{a+b} \rightarrow R'$ factors as a sequence of monoidal transforms along V . Define a regular system of parameters $z_1(1), \dots, z_c(1)$ in R' by

$$z_i = \begin{cases} z_i(1) & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n \\ z_1(1)^{b_1} \cdots z_s(1)^{b_s} z_i(1) & \text{if } n+1 \leq i \leq c. \end{cases}$$

There exists a unit series $\Lambda \in \widehat{R_{a+b}}$ and $g \in P_{R_{a+b},1} \widehat{R_{a+b}}$ such that

$$f = z_1^{b_1} \cdots z_s^{b_s} \Lambda + g.$$

Thus

$$f = z_1(1)^{b_1} \cdots z_s(1)^{b_s} (\Lambda + g')$$

where $g' \in P_{R',1} \widehat{R'}$. The unit series $\Lambda + g'$ is a strict transform \bar{f} of f in $\widehat{R'}$. \square

Theorem 1.4 does not generalize if $f \in Q(\hat{R})$, as is shown by Example 1.3 stated in the introduction.

Proof of Example 1.3. — Let k be a field, $k[[t]]$ be a power series ring over k and $t, \phi(t), \psi(t) \in k[[t]]$ be algebraically independent elements of positive order. We have an inclusion $k(x, y, z) \hookrightarrow k((t))$ of k -algebras defined by the substitutions $x = t, y = \phi(t)$ and $z = \psi(t)$. The order valuation on $k((t))$ (with valuation ring $k[[t]]$) restricts to a discrete rank 1 valuation ν on $k(x, y, z)$, dominating $R = k[x, y, z]_{(x,y,z)}$.

The kernel $Q(\hat{R}) = (y - \phi(x), z - \psi(x)) \subset \hat{R}$ of the induced homomorphism $\hat{R} \rightarrow k[[t]]$ is a regular prime of height 2, and it defines a nonsingular curve $\gamma \subset \text{Spec}(\hat{R})$, with ideal $I_\gamma = Q(\hat{R})$. We have $Q(\hat{R}) \cap R = (0)$.

Suppose that $r \in \mathbb{N}$ (with $r \geq 2$). Let

$$f = (y - \phi(x))^r + (z - \psi(x))^{r+1} \in \hat{R}.$$

We have

$$\text{ord}_{\hat{R}}(f) = r \text{ and } \text{ord}_{\gamma}(f) = \text{ord}_{(y-\phi(x), z-\psi(x))}(f) = r.$$

Suppose that $R \rightarrow R_1$ is a birational extension where R_1 is a regular local ring dominated by V .

Let \bar{f} be the strict transform of f in R_1 . The ideal $Q(\widehat{R_1})$ is the kernel of the induced homomorphism $\widehat{R_1} \rightarrow k[[t]]$. Let $S_1 = R_1 \otimes_R \hat{R}$, and let γ' be the strict transform of γ in $\text{Spec}(S_1)$, with ideal sheaf $I_{\gamma'}$. Since $I_{\gamma} \cap R = \{0\}$ and R_1 is a local ring of the blow up of a nonzero ideal in R , $(S_1)_{I_{\gamma'}} \cong (\hat{R})_{I_{\gamma}}$. Thus

$$r = \text{ord}_{\gamma}(f) = \text{ord}_{\gamma'}(f) = \text{ord}_{\gamma'}(\bar{f}).$$

From the natural inclusions $\hat{R}/I_{\gamma} \subset S_1/I_{\gamma'} \subset k[[t]]$, and the fact that $\hat{R}/I_{\gamma} \cong k[[t]]$, we have $S_1/I_{\gamma'} \cong k[[t]]$. Thus $I_{\gamma'}$ is a regular prime in the regular local ring S_1 , and thus since $\widehat{S_1} \cong \widehat{R_1}$ and $I_{\gamma'}\widehat{R_1} = Q(\widehat{R_1})$, we have

$$\text{ord}_{\widehat{R_1}}(\bar{f}) \geq \text{ord}_{Q(\widehat{R_1})}(\bar{f}) = \text{ord}_{\gamma'}(\bar{f}) = r.$$

□

In Example 1.3, the rank of the valuation ν must increase when passing to the completion \hat{R} , since $Q(\hat{R}) \neq 0$. The following is a construction of a valuation $\hat{\nu}$ of the quotient field of \hat{R} which extends ν and dominates \hat{R} . For a nonzero element $\delta \in \hat{R}$, write $\delta = (y - \phi(x))^{\alpha} g(x, y, z)$ where $g(x, \phi(x), z) \neq 0$. Write $g(x, \phi(x), z) = (z - \psi(x))^{\beta} h(x, \phi(x), z)$ where $h(x, \phi(x), \psi(x)) \neq 0$. Set $\gamma = \text{ord}(h(t, \phi(t), \psi(t)))$.

Define

$$\hat{\nu}(\delta) = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$$

where \mathbb{Z}^3 has the lexicographic order. $\hat{\nu}$ extends to a rank 3 valuation of the quotient field of \hat{R} which dominates \hat{R} and extends ν .

4. A resolution theorem for formal ideals along a high rank valuation

In this section we prove our main resolution theorem, Theorem 1.6, which is stated in the introduction. We use the notation established in Section 2.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. — Let ν be a valuation of the quotient field of R whose valuation ring is V . R is a local domain which is essentially of finite type over k . Thus there exists a regular local ring T which is essentially of finite type over k , and a prime ideal P in T such that $R = T/P$. Let ν_1 be the PT_P -adic valuation of the regular local ring T_P , and let $w = \nu \circ \nu_1$ be the composite valuation (Section 10 of [3] or Section 11, Chapter VI of [24]). w is a rank $t + 1$ valuation that dominates T . Let W be the valuation ring of w , and

$$(0) = P_{W,t+1} \subset \cdots \subset P_{W,0} = m_W$$

be the chain of prime ideals in W . We have that $V/P_{V,i} \cong W/P_{W,i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq t$.

By Theorem 2.9 [7] there exists a sequence of monoidal transforms $T \rightarrow T_0$ along W such that T_0 is a regular local ring, the strict transform P_0 of P in T_0 is a regular prime, and $R \rightarrow R_0 = T_0/P_0$ factors as a sequence of monoidal transforms along V . We will first show that there exists a sequence of monoidal transforms

$$R \rightarrow R_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow R_t$$

along V such that

$$(V/P_{V,i})_{P_{V,i}} \text{ is algebraic over } (R_t/P_{R_t,i})_{P_{R_t,i}} \text{ for all } i \quad (4.1)$$

and

$$P_{R_t,i} \text{ are regular and distinct prime ideals in } R_t \text{ for all } i. \quad (4.2)$$

Let

$$(0) = P_{R_0,t} \subset \cdots \subset P_{R_0,1} \subset P_{R_0,0} = m_{R_0}$$

be the induced chain of prime ideals in R_0 as defined in (2.1). We have that $V_{P_{V,i}}$ dominates $(R_0)_{P_{R_0,i}}$.

Let $d_i = \text{trdeg}_{(R_0)_{P_{R_0,i}}/P_{R_0,i}} (R_0)_{P_{R_0,i}} V_{P_{V,i}}/P_{V,i} V_{P_{V,i}}$. By Abhyankar's inequality, d_i is finite (Theorem 1 [2]). For all i , let $\bar{t}_{i1}, \dots, \bar{t}_{id_i}$ be a transcendence basis of $(V/P_{V,i})_{P_{V,i}}$ over $(R_0/P_{R_0,i})_{P_{R_0,i}}$. For all i , let t_{i1}, \dots, t_{id_i} be

a lift of this transcendence basis to V , after possibly replacing some t_{ij} with $1/t_{ij}$, so that we have $\nu(t_{ij}) \geq 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, d_i$ and $i = 0, \dots, t$.

By Theorem 2.7 [7] there exists a sequence of monoidal transforms $R_0 \rightarrow R_1$ along V such that $t_{i1}, \dots, t_{id_i} \in R_1$ for all i . Thus

$$(V/P_{V,i})_{P_{V,i}} \text{ is algebraic over } (R_1/P_{R_1,i})_{P_{R_1,i}} \text{ for all } i. \quad (4.3)$$

Consider the chain of prime ideals in R_1 given by $P_{R_1,i} = P_{V,i} \cap R_1$ for $i = 0, \dots, t$. Suppose that $P_{R_1,i} = P_{R_1,i-1}$ for some i . Choose $f \in P_{V,i-1} - P_{V,i}$. Let $S = R_1[f]$. Define $P_{S,j} = P_{V,j} \cap S$ for $0 \leq j \leq t$. We have an inequality of heights

$$\text{ht}(P_{S,i}) < \text{ht}(P_{S,i-1}), \text{ since } f \in P_{S,i-1} - P_{S,i}.$$

For all j , we have that $(S/P_{S,j})_{P_{S,j}}$ is an intermediate field between $(R_1/P_{R_1,j})_{P_{R_1,j}}$ and $(V/P_{V,j})_{P_{V,j}}$. Thus $(S/P_{S,j})_{P_{S,j}}$ is algebraic over $(R_1/P_{R_1,j})_{P_{R_1,j}}$ for all j . Since S is a birational extension of R_1 , we now conclude that $\text{ht}(P_{R_1,j}) = \text{ht}(P_{S,j})$ for all j by the dimension formula (Theorem 15.6 [16]). This contradicts our assumption that $P_{R_1,i} = P_{R_1,i-1}$. Thus $P_{R_1,i}$ are distinct for all i .

The next step is to construct a sequence of monoidal transforms of R along V such that the induced chain of prime ideals are not only distinct, but also regular. First notice that if $R_1 \rightarrow R_2$ is a single monoidal transform along V , then $(V/P_{V,i})_{P_{V,i}}$ is algebraic over $(R_2/P_{R_2,i})_{P_{R_2,i}}$ for all i , since $(R_2/P_{R_2,i})_{P_{R_2,i}}$ is an intermediate field between $(R_1/P_{R_1,i})_{P_{R_1,i}}$ and $(V/P_{V,i})_{P_{V,i}}$. Thus the condition (4.3) is preserved by further monoidal transforms of R_1 along V .

Note that the strict transform of $P_{R_1,i}$ in R_2 is $P_{V,i} \cap R_2 = P_{R_2,i}$, if $R_1 \rightarrow R_2$ is centered at a regular prime ideal \bar{a} which properly contains $P_{R_1,i}$.

When $t > 1$, we apply Theorem 2.9 [7] to construct a sequence of monoidal transforms $R_1 \rightarrow R_2$ along V , centered at ideals which properly contain the strict transform of $P_{R_1,t-1}$, such that the strict transform of $P_{R_1,t-1}$ in R_2 is a regular prime. This regular prime is necessarily $P_{R_2,t-1}$.

We apply this argument for $P_{R_2,t-2}$ and recursively for all $t - i$ up to $i = t - 1$ to construct sequences of monoidal transforms

$$R \rightarrow R_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow R_t$$

along V such that $(V/P_{V,i})_{P_{V,i}}$ is algebraic over $(R_t/P_{R_t,i})_{P_{R_t,i}}$ for all i , and $P_{R_t,i}$ are regular and distinct prime ideals in R_t for all i .

Now we will assume that R has properties (4.1) and (4.2) since otherwise, we can replace R with R_t . We will proceed to prove the Theorem by induction on $t = \text{rank } \nu$.

The proof when $t = 1$ follows from Theorem 6.5 [8] in the case when $K = K^*$ and $R^* = S^*$.

Assume that the Theorem is true for valuation rings of rank less than t . $V_{P_{V,1}}$ is a valuation ring of K of rank $t - 1$ (since its value group is Γ_t/Γ_1), which dominates $R_{P_{R,1}}$. By the induction statement, there exists a sequence of monoidal transforms $R_{P_{R,1}} \rightarrow T_1$ along $V_{P_{V,1}}$ such that the conclusions of the Theorem hold for T_1 and $V_{P_{V,1}}$. Let $P_{V_{P_{V,1}},j} = P_{V,j+1}V_{P_{V,1}}$ for $j = 0, \dots, t - 1$. We have $P_{V_{P_{V,1}},0} = m_{V_{P_{V,1}}}$. The induction statement of the Theorem gives us that $Q(\widehat{(T_1)_{P_{T_1,j}}}) \subset \widehat{(T_1)_{P_{T_1,i}}}$ are regular primes for all $j = 0, \dots, t - 1$.

By Theorem 2.9 [7], there exists a sequence of monoidal transforms $R \rightarrow R_1$ along V such that $(R_1)_{P_{R_1,1}} \cong T_1$ and $R_1/P_{R_1,i}$ are regular local rings for all i . Thus $(T_1)_{P_{T_1,j}} \cong (R_1)_{P_{R_1,j+1}}$ and $Q(\widehat{(R_1)_{P_{R_1,j+1}}})$ are regular primes for the valuation ring $(V_{P_{V,1}})_{P_{V,j+1}}V_{P_{V,1}} \cong V_{P_{V,j+1}}$ for $j = 0, \dots, t - 1$.

Let $A_1 = R_1/P_{R_1,1}$. This ring is dominated by the rank 1 valuation ring $V/P_{V,1}$. By Theorem 6.5 [8] with $K = K^*$ and $R^* = S^*$ there exists a sequence of monoidal transforms along $V/P_{V,1}$

$$A_1 \rightarrow A_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow A_r \tag{4.4}$$

such that A_r is a regular local ring and the conclusions of the Theorem hold for A_r and $V/P_{V,1}$.

Now we will show that there exists a sequence of monoidal transforms along V

$$R_1 \rightarrow R_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow R_r$$

such that $A_r \cong R_r/P_{R_r,1}$ and $(R_r)_{P_{R_r,1}} \cong T_1$.

For $i = 1, \dots, r - 1$, A_{i+1} is a local ring of the blow-up of a non-zero regular prime ideal $a_i \subset A_i$.

Let $i = 1$. Let $\overline{a_1}$ be the preimage of a_1 in R_1 . The ideal $\overline{a_1}$ is a regular prime in R_1 (since $R_1/\overline{a_1} \cong A_1/a_1$) which properly contains $P_{R_1,1}$.

Choose \overline{a}_1 to be the center of the blow-up of R_1 along V . Let R_2 be the local ring of this blow-up which is dominated by V . We have that R_2 is a regular local ring, $P_{R_2,i}$ is the strict transform of $P_{R_1,i}$ in R_2 for $i = 1, \dots, t$, and thus $P_{R_2,i}$ are regular primes for $i = 0, \dots, t$. Moreover, by the universal property of blowing up, $A_2 \cong R_2/P_{R_2,1}$. Further, since $T_1 \cong (R_1)_{P_{R_1,1}}$ and $P_{R_1,1}$ is a proper subset of \overline{a}_1 , $(R_2)_{P_{R_2,1}} \cong (R_1)_{P_{R_1,1}} \cong T_1$.

Now, we repeat this construction along (4.4), and obtain a sequence of monoidal transforms

$$R_1 \rightarrow R_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow R_r$$

along V such that

$$A_i \cong R_i/P_{R_i,1} \text{ and } (R_i)_{P_{R_i,1}} \cong T_1 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, r.$$

Thus the conclusions of the theorem hold for R_r , since $A_r \cong R_r/P_{R_r,1}$ and $\widehat{R}_r/Q(\widehat{R}_r) \cong \widehat{A}_r/Q(\widehat{A}_r)$. \square

Bibliography

- [1] ABHYANKAR (S.). — Local uniformization on algebraic surfaces over ground fields of characteristic $p \neq 0$. *Annals of Math.*, 63:491-526 (1956).
- [2] ABHYANKAR (S.). — On the valuations centered in a local domain. *Amer. J. of Math.*, 78:321-348 (1956).
- [3] ABHYANKAR (S.). — Ramification theoretic methods in algebraic geometry. *Bulletin of the Amer. Mathematical Society*, 66(4):250-252 (1960).
- [4] ABHYANKAR (S.). — Resolution of singularities of embedded algebraic surfaces. Academic Press (1966).
- [5] COSSART (V.) and PILTANT (O.). — Resolution of singularities of threefolds in positive characteristic I. *J. of Algebra*, 320:1051-1082 (2008).
- [6] COSSART (V.) and PILTANT (O.). — Resolution of singularities of threefolds in positive characteristic II. *J. of Algebra*, 321:1836-1976 (2009).
- [7] CUTKOSKY (S. D.). — Local monomialization and factorization of morphisms. *Asterisque*, 260 (1999).
- [8] CUTKOSKY (S. D.) and GHEZZI (L.). — Completions of valuation rings. *Contemporary Mathematics*, 386:13-34 (2005).
- [9] ELHITTI (S.). — Algebraic resolution of formal ideals along a valuation. PhD thesis, University of Missouri (2008).
- [10] FAVRE (C.) and JONSSON (M.). — The valuative tree. Springer (2004).
- [11] HEINZER (W.) and SALLY (J.). — Extensions of valuations to the completion of a local domain. *J. of pure and applied Algebra*, 71:175-185 (1991).
- [12] HIRONAKA (H.). — Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero. *Annals of Math.*, 79:109-326 (1964).
- [13] KNAF (H.) and KUHLMANN (F. V.). — Every place admits local uniformization in a finite extension of the function field. *Advances in Math.*, 221:428-453 (2009).
- [14] KUHLMANN (F. V.). — On places of algebraic function fields in arbitrary characteristic. *Advances in Math*, 188:399-424 (2004).

- [15] HÀ (H. T.), GHEZZI (L.) and KASHCHEYEVA (O.). — Toroidalization of generating sequences in dimension two function fields. *J. of Algebra*, 301:838-866 (2006).
- [16] MATSUMURA (H.). — *Commutative ring theory*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1986).
- [17] SPIVAKOVSKY (M.). — Sandwiched singularities and desingularization of surfaces by normalized nash transforms. *Ann. of Math*, 131:441-491 (1990).
- [18] SPIVAKOVSKY (M.). — Valuations in function fields of surfaces. *Amer. J. Math.*, 112:107-156 (1990).
- [19] TEISSIER (B.). — Valuations, deformations and toric geometry. In S. Kuhlmann F. V. Kuhlmann and M. Marshall, editors, *Valuation theory and its applications II*, pages 361-459. AMS and Fields Institute (2003.)
- [20] TEMKIN (M.). — Inseparable local uniformization. Preprint.
- [21] VAQUIÉ (M.). — Extension d'une valuation. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 359:3439-3481 (2007).
- [22] ZARISKI (O.). — The reduction of the singularities of an algebraic surface. *Annals of Math.*, 40 (1939).
- [23] ZARISKI (O.). — Local uniformization of algebraic varieties. *Annals of Math.*, 41:852-896, (1940).
- [24] ZARISKI (O.) and SAMUEL (P.). — *Commutative algebra*, volume 2. Van Nostrand, Princeton (1960).