

# Bulletin

de la SOCIÉTÉ MATHÉMATIQUE DE FRANCE

## **RATIONAL BV-ALGEBRA IN STRING TOPOLOGY**

**Yves Félix & Jean-Claude Thomas**

**Tome 136  
Fascicule 1**

**2008**

**SOCIÉTÉ MATHÉMATIQUE DE FRANCE**

Publié avec le concours du Centre national de la recherche scientifique

pages 311-327

## RATIONAL BV-ALGEBRA IN STRING TOPOLOGY

BY YVES FÉLIX & JEAN-CLAUDE THOMAS

---

*To Micheline Vigué-Poirrier on her 60th birthday*

ABSTRACT. — Let  $M$  be a 1-connected closed manifold of dimension  $m$  and  $LM$  be the space of free loops on  $M$ . M. Chas and D. Sullivan defined a structure of BV-algebra on the singular homology of  $LM$ ,  $H_*(LM; \mathbf{k})$ . When the ring of coefficients is a field of characteristic zero, we prove that there exists a BV-algebra structure on the Hochschild cohomology  $HH^*(C^*(M); C^*(M))$  which extends the canonical structure of Gerstenhaber algebra. We construct then an isomorphism of BV-algebras between  $HH^*(C^*(M); C^*(M))$  and the shifted homology  $H_{*+m}(LM; \mathbf{k})$ . We also prove that the Chas-Sullivan product and the BV-operator behave well with a Hodge decomposition of  $H_*(LM)$ .

---

*Texte reçu le 7 juin 2007, accepté le 30 novembre 2007*

YVES FÉLIX, Université Catholique de Louvain, Département de mathématique, 2, Chemin du Cyclotron, 1348 Louvain-La-Neuve (Belgium) • *E-mail* : felix@math.ucl.ac.be  
JEAN-CLAUDE THOMAS, Faculté des Sciences, LAREMA-UMR au CNRS 6093, 2, Bd. Lavoisier, 49045 Angers (France) • *E-mail* : jean-claude.thomas@univ-angers.fr

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. — 55P35, 54N33, 81T30.

Key words and phrases. — String homology, rational homotopy, Hochschild cohomology, free loop space homology.

The authors are partially supported by INTAS program 03 51 32 51.

RÉSUMÉ (*BV-algèbres rationnelles en topologie des lacets libres*)

Soit  $M$  une variété simplement connexe compacte sans bord de dimension  $m$ . Désignons par  $LM$  l'espace des lacets libres sur  $M$ . M. Chas et D. Sullivan ont défini une structure de BV-algèbre sur l'homologie singulière  $H_*(LM; \mathbf{k})$ . Lorsque l'anneau des coefficients  $\mathbf{k}$  est un corps de caractéristique nulle, nous établissons l'existence d'une structure de BV-algèbre sur la cohomologie de Hochschild  $HH^*(C^*(M); C^*(M))$  qui étend la structure canonique d'algèbre de Gerstenhaber. De plus nous construisons un isomorphisme de BV-algèbres entre  $H_{*+m}(LM; \mathbf{k})$  et  $HH^*(C^*(M); C^*(M))$ . Finalement nous démontrons que le produit de Chas-Sullivan ainsi que le BV-opérateur sont compatibles avec la décomposition de Hodge de  $H_*(LM; \mathbf{k})$ .

## 1. Introduction

Chas and Sullivan considered in [3] the free loop space  $LM = \text{map}(S^1, M)$  for a smooth orientable closed manifold of dimension  $m$ . They use geometric methods to show that the shifted homology  $\mathbb{H}_*(LM) := H_{*+m}(LM)$  has the structure of a Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra (BV-algebra for short). Later on Cohen and Jones defined in [5] a ring spectrum structure on the Thom spectrum  $LM^{-TM}$  which realizes the Chas-Sullivan product in homology. More recently, Gruher and Salvatore proved in [17] that the algebra structure (and thus the BV-algebra structure) on  $\mathbb{H}_*(LM)$  is natural with respect to smooth orientation preserving homotopy equivalences.

Assume that the coefficients ring is a field. By a result of Jones, [19, Thm. 4.1] there exists a natural linear isomorphism

$$HH_*(C^*(M); C^*(M)) \cong H^*(LM),$$

and by duality an isomorphism  $H_*(LM) \cong HH^*(C^*(M); C_*(M))$ . Here  $HH_*(A; Q)$  (respectively  $HH^*(A; Q)$ ) denotes the Hochschild homology (respectively cohomology) of a differential graded algebra  $A$  with coefficients in the differential graded  $A$ -bimodule  $Q$ ,  $C^*(M)$  denotes the singular cochains algebra and  $C_*(M)$  the complex of singular chains. The cap product induces an isomorphism of graded vector spaces (for instance see [11, Appendix]),  $HH^*(C^*(M); C_*(M)) \cong HH^{*-m}(C^*(M); C^*(M))$ , and therefore an isomorphism of graded vector spaces

$$\mathbb{H}_*(LM) \cong HH^*(C^*(M); C^*(M)).$$

Since  $HH^*(A; A)$  is canonically a Gerstenhaber algebra, for any differential graded algebra  $A$ , it is natural to ask:

QUESTION 1. — *Does there exist an isomorphism of Gerstenhaber algebras between  $\mathbb{H}_*(LM)$  and  $HH^*(C^*(M); C^*(M))$ ?*

Various isomorphisms of graded algebras have been constructed. The first one has been constructed by Merkulov for real coefficients [24], [13] using iterated integrals. An another isomorphism has been constructed for rational coefficients by M. Vigué and the two authors, [12], using the chain coalgebra of the Quillen minimal model of  $M$ .

Although  $HH^*(A; A)$  does not have, for any differential graded algebra  $A$ , a natural structure of BV-algebra extending the canonical Gerstenhaber algebra, a second natural question is:

QUESTION 2. — *Does there exist on  $HH^*(C^*(M); C^*(M))$  a structure of BV-algebra extending the structure of Gerstenhaber algebra and an isomorphism of BV-algebras between  $\mathbb{H}_*(LM)$  and  $HH^*(C^*(M); C^*(M))$ ?*

The main result of this paper furnishes a positive answer to Question 2 and thus to Question 1 when the field of coefficients is assumed of characteristic zero.

THEOREM 1. — *If  $M$  is 1-connected and the field of coefficients has characteristic zero then*

- (i) *Poincaré duality induces a BV-structure on  $HH^*(C^*(M); C^*(M))$  extending the structure of Gerstenhaber algebra;*
- (ii) *there exists an isomorphism of BV-algebras*

$$\mathbb{H}_*(LM) \cong HH^*(C^*(M); C^*(M)).$$

BV-algebra structures on the Hochschild cohomology  $HH^*(A; A)$  have been constructed by different authors under some conditions on  $A$ . First of all, Tradler and Zeinalian [29] did it when  $A$  is the dual of an  $A_\infty$ -coalgebra with  $\infty$ -duality (rational coefficients). This is in particular the case when  $A = C^*(M)$ , see [28]. Menichi [23] constructed also a BV-structure in the case when  $A$  is a symmetric algebra (any coefficients). Let us mention that Ginzburg [16, Thm. 3.4.3] has proved that  $HH^*(A; A)$  is a BV-algebra for certain algebras  $A$ . Using this result Vaintrob [30] constructed an isomorphism of BV-algebras between  $\mathbb{H}_*(LM)$  and  $HH^*(A; A)$  when  $A$  is the group ring with rational coefficients of the fundamental group of an aspherical manifold  $M$ . This is coherent with our Theorem 1 because in this case  $C_*(\Omega M)$  is quasi-isomorphic to  $A$  and using [9, Prop. 3.3] we have isomorphisms of Gerstenhaber algebras

$$HH^*(A; A) \cong HH^*(C_*(\Omega M); C_*(\Omega M)) \cong HH^*(C^*(M); C^*(M)).$$

Extending Theorem 1 to finite fields of coefficients would be difficult. For instance Menichi [22] proved that algebras  $\mathbb{H}_*(LS^2)$  and  $HH^*(H^*(S^2); H^*(S^2))$

are isomorphic as Gerstenhaber algebras but not as BV-algebras for  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -coefficients.

In this paper we work over a field of characteristic zero. We use rational homotopy theory for which we refer systematically to [7]. We only recall here that a morphism in some category of complexes is a *quasi-isomorphism* if it induces an isomorphism in homology. Two objects are *quasi-isomorphic* if they are related by a finite sequence of quasi-isomorphisms. We shall use the classical convention  $V^i = V_{-i}$  for degrees and  $V^\vee$  denotes the graded dual of the graded vector space  $V$ .

Let  $C_*(A; A) := (A \otimes T(s\bar{A}), \partial)$  be the Hochschild chain complex of a differential graded algebra  $A$  with coefficients in  $A$ . Here  $T(s\bar{A})$  denotes the free coalgebra generated by the graded vector space  $s\bar{A}$  with  $\bar{A} = \{A^i\}_{i \geq 1}$  and  $(s\bar{A})^i = A^{i+1}$ . We emphasise that  $C_*(A; A) = A \otimes T(s\bar{A})$  is considered as a cochain complex for upper degrees.

Now by a recent result of Lambrechts and Stanley [20] there is a commutative differential graded algebra  $A$  satisfying:

- 1)  $A$  is quasi-isomorphic to the differential graded algebra  $C^*(M)$ .
- 2)  $A$  is connected, finite dimensional and satisfies Poincaré duality in dimension  $m$ . This means there exists a  $A$ -linear isomorphism  $\theta : A \rightarrow A^\vee$  of degree  $-m$  which commutes with the differentials.

We call  $A$  a *Poincaré duality model* for  $M$ .

The starting point of the proof is to replace  $C^*(M)$  by  $A$  because there is an isomorphism of Gerstenhaber algebras, [9, Prop. 3.3],

$$(1) \quad HH^*(A; A) \cong HH^*(C^*(M); C^*(M)).$$

This will allow us to use Poincaré duality at the chain level.

Denote by  $\mu$  the multiplication of  $A$ . This is a model of the diagonal map. We define then the linear map  $\mu_A : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$  by the commutative diagram

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A^\vee & \xrightarrow{\mu^\vee} & (A \otimes A)^\vee = A^\vee \otimes A^\vee \\ \theta \uparrow \cong & & \cong \uparrow \theta \otimes \theta \\ A & \xrightarrow{\mu_A} & A \otimes A \end{array}$$

By definition  $\mu_A$  is a  $A \otimes A$ -linear map degree  $m$  which commutes with the differentials (Here  $A$  is a  $A \otimes A$ -module via  $\mu$ ). This is a representative of the Gysin map associated to the diagonal embedding. With these notation we prove in §4:

PROPOSITION 1. — 1) *The cochain complex  $C_*(A; A)$  is quasi-isomorphic to the complex  $C^*(LM)$ . In particular, there is an isomorphism of graded vector spaces*

$$HH_*(A; A) \cong H^*(LM).$$

2) *If  $\mu$  denotes the multiplication of  $A$  and  $\phi$  denotes the coproduct of the coalgebra  $T(s\bar{A})$  then the composite  $\Phi$*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes T(s\bar{A}) & \xrightarrow{id \otimes \phi} & A \otimes T(s\bar{A}) \otimes T(s\bar{A}) \cong A \otimes_{A^{\otimes 2}} (A \otimes T(s\bar{A}))^{\otimes 2} \\ \Phi \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu_A \otimes id \\ (A \otimes T(s\bar{A}))^{\otimes 2} & \xleftarrow{\cong} & A^{\otimes 2} \otimes_{A^{\otimes 2}} (A \otimes T(s\bar{A}))^{\otimes 2} \end{array}$$

*is a linear map of degree  $m$  which commutes with the differentials.*

3) *The isomorphism  $HH_*(A; A) \cong H^*(LM)$ , considered in 1), transfers the map induced by  $\Phi$  on  $HH_*(A; A)$  to the dual of the Chas-Sullivan product on  $H^{*-m}(LM)$ .*

4) *The duality isomorphism  $HH_*(A; A)^\vee \cong HH^*(A; A^\vee) \xrightarrow{(\theta)} HH^{*-m}(A; A)$  transfers the map induced by  $\Phi$  on  $HH_*(A; A)^\vee$  to the Gerstenhaber product on  $HH^*(A; A)$ .*

Denote by  $\Delta : \mathbb{H}_*(LM) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{*+1}(LM)$  and  $\Delta' : \mathbb{H}^*(LM) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{*-1}(LM)$  the morphisms induced by the canonical action of  $S^1$  on  $LM$ . As proved by Chas and Sullivan this operator  $\Delta$  defines on  $\mathbb{H}_*(M)$  a structure of BV-algebra. In section 5 we prove:

PROPOSITION 2. — *The isomorphism  $HH_*(A; A) \cong H^*(LM)$ , considered in Proposition 1, transfers Connes' boundary  $B : HH_*(A; A) \rightarrow HH_{*+1}(A; A)$  to the operator  $\Delta'$ .*

L. Menichi [23] proved that the duality isomorphism

$$HH_*(A; A)^\vee \cong HH^*(A; A^\vee) \xrightarrow{(\theta)} HH^*(A; A)$$

transfers  $B^\vee : (HH_{*+1}(A; A)^\vee) \rightarrow (HH_*(A; A)^\vee)$  to a BV-operator on  $HH^*(A; A)$  that defines a BV-structure extending the Gerstenhaber algebra structure. The isomorphisms of Gerstenhaber algebras (1) carries on the right hand term a structure of BV-algebra extending the Gerstenhaber algebra. This fact combined with Proposition 1 and 2 gives Theorem 1.

Since the field of coefficients is of characteristic zero, the homology of  $LM$  admits a Hodge decomposition,  $\mathbb{H}_*(LM) = \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} \mathbb{H}_*^{[r]}(LM)$  (see [33], [32], [15])

and [21, Thm. 4.5.10]). We prove that this decomposition behaves well with respect to the product  $\bullet$  and the BV-operator  $\Delta$  defined by Chas-Sullivan.

THEOREM 2. — *With the above notation, we have*

- 1)  $\mathbb{H}_*^{[r]}(LM) \otimes \mathbb{H}_*^{[s]}(LM) \xrightarrow{\bullet} \mathbb{H}_*^{[\leq r+s]}(LM),$
- 2)  $\Delta : \mathbb{H}_*^{[r]}(LM) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}_{*+1}^{[r+1]}(LM) .$

By definition  $\mathbb{H}_*^{[0]}(LM)$  is the image of  $H_{*+m}(M)$  by the homomorphism induced in homology by the canonical section  $M \rightarrow LM$ . It has been proved in [10] that if  $\text{aut } M$  denotes the monoid of (unbased) self-equivalences of  $M$  then there exists a natural isomorphism of graded algebras

$$\mathbb{H}_*^{[1]}(LM) \cong H_{*+m}(M) \otimes \pi_*(\Omega \text{aut } M).$$

For any  $r \geq 0$ , a description of  $\mathbb{H}_*^{[r]}(LM)$  can be obtained, using a Lie model  $(L, d)$  of  $M$ , as proved in the last result.

PROPOSITION 3. — *The graded vector space  $\mathbb{H}_*^{[r]}(LM)$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Tor}^{UL}(\mathbf{k}, \Gamma^r(L))$  where  $\Gamma^r(L)$  is the sub-UL-module of  $UL$  for the adjoint representation that is the image of  $\bigwedge^r L$  by the classical Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt isomorphism of coalgebras  $\wedge L \rightarrow UL$ .*

The text is organized as follows. Notation and definitions are made precise in sections 2 and 3. Proposition 1 is proved in Sections 4, Proposition 2 is proved in section 5. Theorem 2 and Proposition 3 are proved in the last section.

2. Hochschild homology and cohomology

2.1. Bar construction. — Let  $A$  be a differential graded augmented cochain algebra and let  $P$  (res.  $N$ ) be a differential graded right (resp. left)  $A$ -module,

$$A = \{A^i\}_{i \geq 0}, \quad P = \{P^j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}, \quad N = \{N^j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{A} = \ker(\varepsilon : A \rightarrow \mathbf{k}).$$

The two-sided (normalized) bar construction,

$$\mathbb{B}(P; A; N) = P \otimes T(s\bar{A}) \otimes N, \quad \mathbb{B}_k(P; A; N)^\ell = (P \otimes T^k(s\bar{A}) \otimes N)^\ell,$$

is the cochain complex defined as follows. For  $k \geq 1$ , a generic element  $p[a_1|a_2|\cdots|a_k]n$  in  $\mathbb{B}_k(P; A; N)$  has (upper) degree  $|p| + |n| + \sum_{i=1}^k (|sa_i|)$ . If  $k = 0$ , we write  $p[\ ]n = p \otimes 1 \otimes n \in P \otimes T^0(s\bar{A}) \otimes N$ . The differential  $d = d_0 + d_1$  is defined by

$$\mathbb{B}_k(P; A; N)^\ell \xrightarrow{d_0} \mathbb{B}_k(P; A; N)^{\ell+1},$$

$$d_0(p[a_1|a_2|\cdots|a_k]n) = d(p)[a_1|a_2|\cdots|a_k]n \\ - \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{\epsilon_i} p[a_1|a_2|\cdots|d(a_i)|\cdots|a_k]n \\ + (-1)^{\epsilon_{k+1}} p[a_1|a_2|\cdots|a_k]d(n),$$

$$\mathbb{B}_k(P; A; N)^\ell \xrightarrow{d_1} \mathbb{B}_{k-1}(P; A; N)^{\ell+1},$$

$$d_1(p[a_1|a_2|\cdots|a_k]n) = (-1)^{|p|} pa_1[a_2|\cdots|a_k]n \\ + \sum_{i=2}^k (-1)^{\epsilon_i} p[a_1|a_2|\cdots|a_{i-1}a_i|\cdots|a_k]n \\ - (-1)^{\epsilon_k} p[a_1|a_2|\cdots|a_{k-1}]a_k n.$$

Here  $\epsilon_i = |p| + \sum_{j < i} (|sa_j|)$ .

In particular, considering  $\mathbf{k}$  as a trivial  $A$ -bimodule we obtain the complex

$$\mathbb{B}A = \mathbb{B}(\mathbf{k}; A; \mathbf{k})$$

which is a differential graded coalgebra whose comultiplication is defined by

$$\phi([a_1|\cdots|a_r]) = \sum_{i=0}^r [a_1|\cdots|a_i] \otimes [a_{i+1}|\cdots|a_r].$$

Recall that a differential  $A$ -module  $N$  is called *semifree* if  $N$  is the union of an increasing sequence of sub-modules  $N(i)$ ,  $i \geq 0$ , such that each  $N(i)/N(i-1)$  is an  $R$ -free module on a basis of cycles (see [7]). Then,

LEMMA 1 (see [7, Lemma 4.3]). — *The canonical map  $\varphi : \mathbb{B}(A; A; A) \rightarrow A$  defined by  $\varphi[ ] = 1$  and  $\varphi([a_1|\cdots|a_k]) = 0$  if  $k > 0$ , is a semifree resolution of  $A$  as an  $A$ -bimodule.*

**2.2. Hochschild complexes.** — Let us denote by  $A^e = A \otimes A^{\text{op}}$  the enveloping algebra of  $A$ .

If  $P$  is a differential graded right  $A^e$ -module then the cochain complex

$$C_*(P; A) := (P \otimes T(s\bar{A}), \partial) \stackrel{\text{def}}{\cong} P \otimes_{A^e} \mathbb{B}(A; A; A),$$

is called the *Hochschild chain complex of  $A$  with coefficients in  $P$* . Its homology is called the *Hochschild homology of  $A$  with coefficients in  $P$*  and is denoted by  $HH_*(A; P)$ . When we consider  $C_*(A; A)$  as well as  $HH_*(A; A)$ ,  $A$  is supposed equipped with its canonical right  $A^e$ -module structure.

For sake of completeness, let us recall the definition of the Connes' coboundary:

$$B : C_*(A; A) \longrightarrow C_*(A; A).$$



One has  $B(a_0 \otimes [a_1 | \cdots | a_n]) = 0$  if  $|a_0| = 0$  and

$$B(a_0 \otimes [a_1 | \cdots | a_n]) = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{\bar{\epsilon}_i} 1 \otimes [a_i | \cdots | a_n | a_0 | a_1 | \cdots | a_{i-1}]$$

if  $|a_0| > 0$ , where

$$\bar{\epsilon}_i = (|sa_0| + |sa_1| + \cdots + |sa_{i-1}|)(|sa_i| + \cdots + |sa_n|).$$

It is well known that  $B^2 = 0$  and  $B \circ \partial + \partial \circ B = 0$ . We also denote by  $B$  the induced operator in Hochschild homology  $HH_*(A; A)$ .

If  $N$  is a (left) differential graded  $A^e$ -module then the ( $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded) complex

$$\mathbf{C}^*(A; N) := (\text{Hom}(T(s\bar{A}), N), \delta) \stackrel{\text{def}}{\cong} \text{Hom}_{A^e}(\mathbb{B}(A; A; A), N),$$

is called the *Hochschild cochain complex* of  $A$  with coefficients in the differential graded  $A$ -bimodule  $N$ . Its homology is called the *Hochschild cohomology of  $A$  with coefficients in  $N$*  and is denoted by  $HH^*(A; N)$ . When we consider  $\mathbf{C}^*(A; A)$  as well as  $HH^*(A; A)$ ,  $A$  is supposed equipped with its canonical left  $A^e$ -bimodule structure.

Consider the graded dual,  $V^\vee$ , of the graded vector space  $V = \{V^i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , i.e.  $V^\vee = \{V_i^\vee\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  with  $V_i^\vee := \text{Hom}(V^i, \mathbf{k})$ . The canonical isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}(A \otimes_{A^e} \mathbb{B}(A; A; A), \mathbf{k}) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{A^e}(\mathbb{B}(A; A; A), A^\vee)$$

induces the isomorphism of complexes  $\mathbf{C}_*(A; A)^\vee \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^*(A; A^\vee)$ .

**2.3. The Gerstenhaber algebra on  $HH^*(A; A)$ .** — A *Gerstenhaber algebra* is a commutative graded algebra  $H = \{H_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  with a bracket

$$H_i \otimes H_j \rightarrow H_{i+j+1}, \quad x \otimes y \mapsto \{x, y\}$$

such that for  $a, a', a'' \in H$ :

- (a)  $\{a, a'\} = (-1)^{(|a|-1)(|a'|-1)} \{a', a\};$
- (b)  $\{a, \{a', a''\}\} = \{\{a, a'\}, a''\} + (-1)^{(|a|-1)(|a'|-1)} \{a', \{a, a''\}\}.$

For instance the Hochschild cohomology  $HH^*(A; A)$  is a Gerstenhaber algebra [14]. The bracket can be defined by identifying  $\mathbf{C}^*(A; A)$  with a differential graded Lie algebra of coderivations (see [26] and [9, 2.4]).

**2.4. BV-algebras and differential graded Poincaré duality algebras.** — A Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra (BV-algebra for short) is a commutative graded algebra,  $H$  together with a linear map (called a BV-operator)

$$\Delta : H^k \longrightarrow H^{k-1}$$

such that:

- 1)  $\Delta \circ \Delta = 0$ ;
- 2)  $H$  is a Gerstenhaber algebra with the bracket defined by

$$\{a, a'\} := (-1)^{|a|} (\Delta(aa') - \Delta(a)a' - (-1)^{|a|} ab\Delta(a')).$$

### 3. The Chas-Sullivan algebra structure on $\mathbb{H}_*(LM)$ and its dual

We assume in this section and in the following ones that  $\mathbf{k}$  is a field of characteristic zero.

Denote by  $p_0 : LM \rightarrow M$  the evaluation map at the base point of  $S^1$ , and recall that the space  $LM$  can be replaced by a smooth manifold ([4], [25]) so that  $p_0$  is a smooth locally trivial fibre bundle ([1], [25]).

The Chas-Sullivan product

$$\bullet : H_*(LM)^{\otimes 2} \longrightarrow H_{*-m}(LM), \quad x \otimes y \longmapsto x \bullet y$$

was first defined in [3] by using “transversal geometric chains”. Then

$$\mathbb{H}_*(LM) := H_{*+m}(LM)$$

becomes a commutative graded algebra.

It is convenient for our purpose to introduce the *dual of the loop product*  $H^*(LM) \rightarrow H^{*+m}(LM^{\times 2})$ . Consider the commutative diagram

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} LM^{\times 2} & \xleftarrow{i} & LM \times_M LM & \xrightarrow{\text{Comp}} & LM \\ p_0^{\times 2} \downarrow & & p_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow p_0 \\ M^{\times 2} & \xleftarrow{\Delta} & M & \xlongequal{\quad} & M \end{array}$$

where

- $\text{Comp}$  denotes composition of free loops,
- the left hand square is a pullback diagram of locally trivial fibrations,
- $i$  is the embedding of the manifold of composable loops into  $LM \times LM$ .

The embeddings  $\Delta$  and  $i$  have both codimension  $m$ . Thus, using the Thom-Pontryagin construction we obtain the Gysin maps

$$\Delta^! : H^k(M) \longrightarrow H^{k+m}(M^{\times 2}), \quad i^! : H^k(LM \times_M LM) \longrightarrow H^{k+m}(LM^{\times 2}).$$

Thus diagram (1) yields the diagram

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} H^{k+m}(LM^{\times 2}) & \xleftarrow{i^!} & H^k(LM \times_M LM) & \xleftarrow{H^k(\text{Comp})} & H^k(LM) \\ H^*(p_0)^{\otimes 2} \uparrow & & H^*(p_0) \uparrow & & \uparrow H^*(p_0) \\ H^{k+m}(M^{\times 2}) & \xleftarrow{\Delta^!} & H^k(M) & \xlongequal{\quad} & H^k(M) \end{array}$$

Following [27], [6], the *dual of the loop product* is defined by composition of maps on the upper line :

$$i^! \circ H^*(\text{Comp}) : H^*(LM) \longrightarrow H^{*+m}(LM^{\times 2}).$$

**4. Proof of Proposition 1 and the Cohen-Jones-Yan spectral sequence.**

The composition of free loops  $\text{Comp} : LM \times_M LM \rightarrow LM$  is obtained by pullback from the composition of paths  $\text{Comp}' : M^I \times_M M^I \rightarrow M^I$  in the following commutative diagram.

$$(Comp) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & LM \times_M LM & \xrightarrow{j} & M^I \times_M M^I & \\ & \swarrow \text{Comp} & & \swarrow \text{Comp}' & \\ LM & \xrightarrow{j} & M^I & & \\ \downarrow ev_0=p_0 & & \downarrow ev_0 & & \downarrow (ev_0, ev_1) \\ & M & \xrightarrow{(\text{id} \times \Delta) \circ \Delta} & M^{\times 3} & \\ & \swarrow & & \swarrow \text{pr}_{13} & \\ M & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & M^{\times 2} & & \end{array}$$

Here  $\Delta$  denotes the diagonal embedding,  $j$  the obvious inclusions,  $ev_t$  denotes the evaluation maps at  $t$ , and  $\text{pr}_{13}$  the map defined by  $\text{pr}_{13}(a, b, c) = (a, c)$ .

Let  $(A, d)$  be a commutative differential graded algebra quasi-isomorphic to the differential graded algebra  $C^*(M)$ . A cochain model of the right hand square in diagram (Comp) is given by the commutative diagram

$$(†) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{B}(A; A; A) & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & \mathbb{B}(A; A; A) \otimes_A \mathbb{B}(A; A; A) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ A^{\otimes 2} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & A^{\otimes 3} \end{array}$$

where  $\Psi$  and  $\psi$  denote the homomorphism of cochain complexes defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(a \otimes [a_1 | \cdots | a_k] \otimes a') &= \sum_{i=0}^k a \otimes [a_1 | \cdots | a_i] \otimes 1 \otimes [a_{i+1} | \cdots | a_k] \otimes a', \\ \psi(a \otimes a') &= a \otimes 1 \otimes a' .\end{aligned}$$

We consider now the commutative diagram obtained by tensoring diagram (†) by  $A$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes_{A^{\otimes 2}} \mathbb{B}(A, A, A) & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \Psi} & A \otimes_{A^{\otimes 3}} (\mathbb{B}(A; A; A) \otimes_A \mathbb{B}(A; A; A)) \\ (\ddagger) \quad \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ A \otimes_{A^{\otimes 2}} A^{\otimes 2} & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \psi} & A \otimes_{A^{\otimes 3}} A^{\otimes 3} \end{array}$$

Since  $\mathbb{B}(A; A; A)$  is a semifree model of  $A$  as  $A$ -bimodule, we deduce from [8], p. 78, that diagram (‡) is a cochain model of the left hand square in diagram (Comp). Obviously, we have also the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes_{A^{\otimes 2}} \mathbb{B}(A, A, A) & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \Psi} & A \otimes_{A^{\otimes 3}} \mathbb{B}(A; A; A) \otimes_A \mathbb{B}(A; A; A) \\ \uparrow \cong & & \cong \uparrow \\ A \otimes T(s\bar{A}) & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \phi} & A \otimes T(s\bar{A}) \otimes T(s\bar{A}) \end{array}$$

where  $\phi$  denotes the coproduct of the coalgebra  $T(s\bar{A})$ . Thus we have proved:

LEMMA 2. — *The cochain complex  $C_*(A; A)$  is a cochain model of  $LM$ , (i.e. we have an isomorphism of graded vector spaces  $HH_*(A; A) \cong H^*(LM)$ .) Moreover, the composite*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_*(A; A) & \longrightarrow & C_*(A; A) \otimes_A C_*(A; A) \\ \parallel & & \uparrow \cong \\ A \otimes T(s\bar{A}) & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \phi} & A \otimes T(s\bar{A}) \otimes T(s\bar{A}) \end{array}$$

*is model of the composition of free loops.*

Recall now that the Gysin map  $\Delta^!$  of the diagonal embedding  $\Delta : M \rightarrow M \times M$  is the Poincaré dual of the homomorphism  $H_*(\Delta)$ . This means that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_*(M) & \xrightarrow{H_*(\Delta)} & H_*(M \times M) \\ -\cap[M] \uparrow \cong & & \cong \uparrow -\cap[M \times M] \\ H^*(M) & \xrightarrow{\Delta^!} & H^*(M \times M) \end{array}$$

Let  $A$  be a Poincaré duality model of  $M$  and  $\mu_A$  as defined by diagram (2) of the introduction. The linear map  $\mu_A = A \rightarrow A \otimes A$  is a cochain model for  $\Delta^!$ . Next observe that, [26], we can choose the pullback of a tubular neighborhood of the diagonal embedding  $\Delta$  as a tubular neighborhood of the embedding  $i : LM \times_M LM \rightarrow LM \times LM$ . Thus the Gysin map  $i^!$  is obtained by pullback from  $\Delta^!$ . Therefore, since  $A$  is graded commutative, then  $C_*(A; A)$  is a  $A$ -semifree and we have proved:

LEMMA 3. — *The linear map of degree  $m$*

$$C_*(A; A) \otimes_A C_*(A; A) \xrightarrow{\cong} A \otimes_{A^{\otimes 2}} C_*(A; A)^{\otimes 2} \xrightarrow{\mu_A \otimes \text{id}} C_*(A; A)^{\otimes 2}$$

*commutes with the differential and induces  $i^!$  in homology.*

Then a combination of Lemmas 2, 3 and Lemma 4 below gives Proposition 1 of the introduction.

LEMMA 4. — *The duality isomorphism  $(HH_{*+m}(A; A))^\vee \cong HH^{*+m}(A; A^\vee) \stackrel{(\theta)}{\cong} HH^*(A; A)$  transfers the map induced by  $\Phi$  on  $HH_*(A; A)$  to the Gerstenhaber product on  $HH^*(A; A)$ .*

*Proof.* — Observe that the composite (dotted arrow in the next diagram) induces the Gerstenhaber product in  $HH^*(A; A)$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \text{Hom}(T(s\bar{A}), A)^{\otimes 2} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{Hom}_{A^e}(\mathbb{B}(A; A; A), A)^{\otimes 2} & & f \otimes g \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & \text{Hom}_{A^e}(\mathbb{B}(A; A; A) \otimes_A \mathbb{B}(A; A; A), A \otimes_A A) & & f \otimes_A g \\
 & & \cong \downarrow & & \\
 & & \text{Hom}(\mathbb{B}(A; A; A) \otimes_A \mathbb{B}(A; A; A), \mu) & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & \text{Hom}_{A^e}(\mathbb{B}(A; A; A) \otimes_A \mathbb{B}(A; A; A), A) & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & \text{Hom}(\Psi, A) & & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 \text{Hom}(T(s\bar{A}), A) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{Hom}_{A^e}(\mathbb{B}(A; A; A), A) & & 
 \end{array}$$

Then the remaining of the proof follows by considering an obvious commutative diagram.  $\square$

**Spectral sequence.** — By putting  $F_p := A \otimes (T(s\bar{A}))^{\leq p}$ , for  $p \geq 0$ , we define a filtration

$$A \otimes T(s\bar{A}) \supset \cdots \supset F_p \supset F_{p-1} \supset \cdots \supset A = F_0$$

such that  $\partial F_p \subset F_p$  and  $\Phi(F_p) \subset \bigoplus_{k+\ell=p} F_k \otimes F_\ell$ . The resulting spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^q(M) \otimes H^p(\Omega M) \implies H^{p+q}(LM)$$

is the comultiplicative “regraded” Serre spectral sequence for the fibration  $p_0 : LM \rightarrow M$ . It dualizes into a spectral sequence of algebras

$$H_{q+m}(M) \otimes H_p(\Omega M) \implies \mathbb{H}_{p+q}(LM).$$

We recover in this way, for coefficients in a field of characteristic zero, the spectral sequence defined previously by Cohen, Jones and Yan [6].

## 5. Proof of Proposition 2.

Let  $\rho : S^1 \times LM \rightarrow LM$  be the canonical action of the circle on the space  $LM$ . The action  $\rho$  induces an operator  $\Delta : \mathbb{H}_*(LM) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{*+1}(LM)$ . The Chas-Sullivan product together with  $\Delta$  gives to  $\mathbb{H}_*(LM)$  a BV-structure [3].

Denote by  $\mathfrak{M}_M = (\bigwedge V, d)$  a (non necessary minimal) Sullivan model for  $M$  [8, §12]. We put  $sV = \bar{V}$  and denote by  $S$  the derivation of  $\bigwedge V \otimes \bigwedge \bar{V}$  defined by  $S(v) = \bar{v}$  and  $S(\bar{v}) = 0$  for  $v \in V$  and  $\bar{v} \in \bar{V}$ . Then a Sullivan model for  $LM$  is given by the commutative differential graded algebra  $(\bigwedge V \otimes \bigwedge \bar{V}, \bar{d})$  where  $\bar{d}(\bar{v}) = -S(dv)$  [34]. Moreover in [33] Burghlea and Vigué prove that a Sullivan model of the action  $\rho : S^1 \times LM \rightarrow LM$  is given by

$$\mathfrak{M}_\rho : (\bigwedge V \otimes \bigwedge \bar{V}, \bar{d}) \longrightarrow (\bigwedge u, 0) \otimes (\bigwedge V \otimes \bigwedge \bar{V}, \bar{d}), \quad |u| = 1,$$

$$\mathfrak{M}_\rho(\alpha) = 1 \otimes \alpha + u \otimes S(\alpha), \quad \alpha \in \bigwedge V \otimes \bigwedge \bar{V}.$$

In particular the map induced in cohomology by the action of  $S^1$  on  $LM$  is given by the derivation  $S : H^*(\bigwedge V \otimes \bigwedge \bar{V}) \rightarrow H^{*-1}(\bigwedge V \otimes \bigwedge \bar{V})$ . Denote now by  $B$  the Connes’ boundary on  $C_*(\mathfrak{M}_M; \mathfrak{M}_M) = \bigwedge V \otimes T(s\overline{\bigwedge V})$ . D. Burghlea and M. Vigué proved the following lemma in [31, Thm. 2.4].

LEMMA 5. — *The morphism  $f : C_*(\mathfrak{M}_M; \mathfrak{M}_M) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{M}_M \otimes \bigwedge \bar{V})$  defined by*

$$f(a \otimes [a_1 | \cdots | a_n]) = \frac{1}{n!} a S(a_1) \cdots S(a_n)$$

*is a quasi-isomorphism of complexes and  $f \circ B = S \circ f$ .*

Lemma 5 identifies the Connes boundary,  $B$  acting on  $HH_*(A; A) \cong H_*(\mathfrak{M}_M; \mathfrak{M}_M)$  with the circle action and thus with the Chas-Sullivan BV-operator on  $H^*(LM) \cong HH_*(A; A)$ . This is Proposition 2 of the introduction.

## 6. Hodge decomposition

With the notation of the previous sections, let  $(\mathfrak{M}_M \otimes \wedge \bar{V}, \bar{d})$  be a Sullivan model for  $LM$ . Denote by  $G^p = \wedge V \otimes \wedge^p \bar{V}$  the subvector space generated by the words of length  $p$  in  $\bar{V}$ . The differential  $\bar{d}$  satisfies  $\bar{d}(G^p) \subset G^p$ . Thus we put

$$H_{[p]}^n(LM) := H^n(G^p).$$

This decomposition splits  $H^*(LM; \mathbf{k})$  into summands given as eigenspaces of the maps  $LM \rightarrow LM$  induced from the  $n$ -power maps of the circle  $e^{it} \mapsto e^{int}$  [33]. It defines by duality a Hodge decomposition on  $H_*(LM)$ . We are now ready to prove Theorem 2 of the introduction.

*Proof of Theorem 2.* — Recall that the differential  $\partial$  in  $C^*(\mathfrak{M}_M; \mathfrak{M}_M)$  decomposes into  $\partial = \partial_0 + \partial_1$  with  $\partial_0(\mathfrak{M}_M \otimes T^p(s\bar{\wedge}V)) \subset \mathfrak{M}_M \otimes T^p(s\bar{\wedge}V)$ , and  $\partial_1(\mathfrak{M}_M \otimes T^p(s\bar{\wedge}V)) \subset \mathfrak{M}_M \otimes T^{p-1}(s\bar{\wedge}V)$ .

We consider the quasi-isomorphism  $f : C^*(\mathfrak{M}_M; \mathfrak{M}_M) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{M}_M \otimes \wedge \bar{V}, \bar{d})$  defined in Lemma 5. If we apply Lemma 5, when  $d = 0$  in  $\wedge V$ , we deduce that  $\text{Ker } f$  is  $\partial_1$ -acyclic.

LEMMA 6. — *Let us define  $K^{(p)} := \text{Ker } f \cap (\mathfrak{M}_M \otimes T^p(s\bar{\wedge}V))$ .*

- 1) *If  $\omega \in K^{(p)} \cap \text{Ker } \partial$  then there exists  $\omega' \in \bigoplus_{r \geq p+1} K^{(r)}$  such that  $\partial\omega' = \omega$ .*
- 2)  *$f$  induces a surjective map*

$$(\mathfrak{M}_M \otimes T^{\geq p}(s\bar{\wedge}V)) \cap \text{Ker } \partial \longrightarrow (\mathfrak{M}_M \otimes \wedge^p sV) \cap \text{Ker } \bar{d}.$$

*Proof.* — If  $\omega \in K^{(p)} \cap \text{Ker } \partial$  then  $\omega = \partial(u+v)$  with  $u \in K^{(p)}$  and  $v \in K^{(\geq p+1)}$ . Since  $\partial_1 u = 0$  we have  $u = \partial\beta_1$  some  $\beta \in K^{(p+1)}$  and thus  $\omega - d\beta_1 \in K^{(\geq p+1)}$ . An induction on  $n \geq 1$  we prove that there exists  $\beta_n \in K^{(p+n)}$  such that  $\omega - d\beta_n \in K^{(p+n)}$ . Since  $\wedge V$  is 1-connected  $(\mathfrak{M}_M \otimes T^{p+n}(s\bar{\wedge}V))^{| \omega |} = 0$  for some integer  $n_0$ . We put  $\omega' = \beta_{n_0}$ .

In order to prove the second statement, we consider a  $\bar{d}$ -cocycle  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{M}_M \otimes \wedge^p sV$  and we write  $\alpha = f(\omega)$  for some  $\omega \in \mathfrak{M}_M \otimes T^p(s\bar{\wedge}V)$ . It follows from the definition of  $f$  that  $\partial\omega \in K^{(p-1)}$ . Thus, by the first statement,  $\partial\omega = \partial\omega'$  some  $\omega' \in K^{(\geq p)}$ . Then  $\varpi = \omega - \omega'$  is  $\partial$ -cocycle of  $K^{\geq p}$  such that  $f(\varpi) = \alpha$ .  $\square$

To end the proof of Theorem 2, let us consider  $\alpha \in H_{[n]}^*(LM)$ . By Lemma 6,  $\alpha$  is the class of  $f(\beta)$  where  $\beta \in \mathfrak{M}_M \otimes T^{\geq n}(s\bar{\wedge}V)$ . Therefore  $\Phi(\beta)$  belongs to  $\bigoplus_{i+j \geq n} (\mathfrak{M}_M \otimes T^i(s\bar{\wedge}V)) \otimes (\mathfrak{M}_M \otimes T^j(s\bar{\wedge}V))$  (see Lemma 2). Now since  $f(\mathfrak{M}_M \otimes T^p(s\bar{\wedge}V)) \subset \mathfrak{M}_M \otimes \wedge^p sV$ ,

$$[\Phi(\alpha)] \in \bigoplus_{i+j \geq n} H_{[i]}^*(LM) \otimes H_{[j]}^*(LM). \quad \square$$

Now, as announced in the introduction (Proposition 3) there is an other interpretation of  $H_{[p]}^n(LM)$  in terms of the cohomology of a differential graded Lie algebra.

Let  $L$  be a differential graded algebra  $L$  such that the cochain algebra  $\mathcal{C}^*(L)$  is a Sullivan model of  $M$ , [8, p. 322]. In particular, the homology of the enveloping universal algebra of  $L$ , denoted  $UL$ , is a Hopf algebra isomorphic to  $H_*(\Omega M)$ . We consider the cochain complex  $\mathcal{C}^*(L; UL_a^\vee)$  of  $L$  with coefficients in  $UL^\vee$  considered as an  $L$ -module for the adjoint representation. We have shown (see [12, Lemma 4]) that the natural inclusion  $\mathcal{C}^*(L) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}^*(L; UL_a^\vee)$  is a relative Sullivan model of the fibration  $p_0 : LM \rightarrow M$ . Write  $\mathcal{C}^*(L) = (\bigwedge V, d)$ , then  $V = (sL)^\vee$  and  $\bar{V} = L^\vee$ . There is also (Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt Theorem) an isomorphism of graded coalgebras, [8, Prop. 21.2]:

$$\gamma : \bigwedge L \longrightarrow UL, \quad x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_k \longmapsto \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_k} \epsilon_\sigma x_{\sigma(1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(k)}.$$

If we put  $\Gamma^p = \gamma(\bigwedge^p V)$  we obtain the following isomorphisms of cochain complexes

$$(\bigwedge V \otimes \bigwedge \bar{V}, \bar{d}) \cong \mathcal{C}^*(L; UL_a^\vee), \quad G^p \cong \mathcal{C}^*(L; (\Gamma^p)^\vee)$$

which in turn induce the isomorphisms

$$\mathbb{H}^*(LM) \cong \text{Ext}_{UL}(\mathbf{k}, UL_a^\vee), \quad \mathbb{H}_{[p]}^*(LM) \cong \text{Ext}_{UL}(\mathbf{k}, \Gamma^p(L)^\vee)$$

and by duality,

$$\mathbb{H}_*(LM) \cong \text{Tor}^{UL}(\mathbf{k}, UL_a), \quad \mathbb{H}_*^{[p]}(LM) \cong \text{Tor}^{UL}(\mathbf{k}, \Gamma^p).$$

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] J.-L. BRYLINSKI – *Loop spaces, characteristic classes and geometric quantization*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 107, Birkhäuser, 1993.
- [2] D. BURGHELEA & M. VIGUÉ-POIRRIER – “Cyclic homology of commutative algebras  $\Gamma$ ”, Proceedings of the Meeting on Algebraic Homotopy, Louvain, 1986, *Lectures Notes in Math.* **1318** (1988), p. 51–72.
- [3] M. CHAS & D. SULLIVAN – “String topology”, preprint [arXiv:math.GT/9911159](https://arxiv.org/abs/math.GT/9911159), 1999.
- [4] D. CHATAUR – “A bordism approach to string topology”, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **46** (2005), p. 2829–2875.
- [5] R. L. COHEN & J. D. S. JONES – “A homotopy theoretic realization of string topology”, *Math. Ann.* **324** (2002), p. 773–798.
- [6] R. L. COHEN, J. D. S. JONES & J. YAN – *The loop homology algebra of spheres and projective spaces*, Progr. Math., vol. 215, Birkhäuser, 2004.



- [7] Y. FÉLIX, S. HALPERIN & J.-C. THOMAS – *Differential graded algebras in topology*, North-Holland, 1995.
- [8] ———, *Rational homotopy theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 205, Springer, 2001.
- [9] Y. FÉLIX, L. MENICHI & J.-C. THOMAS – “Gerstenhaber duality in Hochschild cohomology”, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **199** (2005), p. 43–59.
- [10] Y. FÉLIX & J.-C. THOMAS – “Monoid of self-equivalences and free loop spaces”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **132** (2004), p. 305–312.
- [11] Y. FELIX, J.-C. THOMAS & M. VIGUÉ-POIRRIER – “The Hochschild cohomology of a closed manifold”, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **99** (2004), p. 235–252.
- [12] Y. FÉLIX, J.-C. THOMAS & M. VIGUÉ-POIRRIER – “Rational string topology”, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **9** (2007), p. 123–156.
- [13] K. FUJII – “Iterated integrals and the loop product”, preprint [arXiv:math/07040014](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/07040014).
- [14] M. GERSTENHABER – “The cohomology structure of an associative ring”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **78** (1963), p. 267–288.
- [15] M. GERSTENHABER & S. D. SCHAK – “A Hogde type decomposition for commutative algebras”, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **48** (1987), p. 229–289.
- [16] V. GINSBURG – “Calabi-Yau algebras”, preprint [arXiv:math/0612139](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0612139).
- [17] K. GRUHER & P. SALVATORE – “Generalized string topology operations”, preprint [arXiv:math.AT/0602210](https://arxiv.org/abs/math.AT/0602210).
- [18] A. HAMILTON & A. LAZAREV – “Homotopy algebras and noncommutative geometry”, preprint [arXiv:math.QA/0410621](https://arxiv.org/abs/math.QA/0410621).
- [19] J. D. S. JONES – “Cyclic homology and equivariant homology”, *Invent. Math.* **87** (1987), p. 403–423.
- [20] P. LAMBRECHTS & D. STANLEY – “Poincaré duality and commutative differential graded algebras”, preprint [arXiv:math/0701309](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0701309).
- [21] J.-L. LODAY – “Opérations sur l’homologie cyclique des algèbres commutatives”, *Invent. Math.* **96** (1989), p. 205–230.
- [22] L. MENICHI – “String topology for spheres”, preprint [arXiv:math/AT/0609304](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/AT/0609304).
- [23] ———, “Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras and cyclic cohomology of Hopf algebras”, *K-Theory* **32** (2004), p. 231–251.
- [24] S. A. MERKULOV – “De Rham model for string topology”, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* (2004), p. 2955–2981.
- [25] A. STACEY – “The differential topology of loop spaces”, preprint [arXiv:math.DG/0510097](https://arxiv.org/abs/math.DG/0510097).
- [26] J. STASHEFF – “The intrinsic bracket on the deformation complex of an associative algebra”, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **89** (1993), p. 231–235.

- [27] D. SULLIVAN – “Open and closed string field theory interpreted in classical algebraic topology”, in *Topology, geometry and quantum field theory*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 308, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2004, p. 344–357.
- [28] T. TRADLER – “The BV algebra on Hochschild cohomology induced by infinity inner products”, preprint [arXiv:math.QA/0210150](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0210150).
- [29] T. TRADLER & M. ZEINALIAN – “Infinity structure of Poincaré duality spaces”, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **7** (2007), p. 233–260, Appendix by Dennis Sullivan.
- [30] D. VAINTROB – “The string topology BV algebra, Hochschild cohomology and the Goldman bracket on surfaces”, preprint [arXiv:math/0702859](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0702859).
- [31] M. VIGUÉ-POIRRIER – “Homologie de Hochschild et homologie cyclique des algèbres différentielles graduées”, *Astérisque* **191** (1990), p. 7, 255–267, International Conference on Homotopy Theory (Marseille-Luminy, 1988).
- [32] ———, “Décompositions de l’homologie cyclique des algèbres différentielles graduées commutatives”, *K-Theory* **4** (1991), p. 399–410.
- [33] M. VIGUÉ-POIRRIER & D. BURGHELEA – “A model for cyclic homology and algebraic  $K$ -theory of 1-connected topological spaces”, *J. Differential Geom.* **22** (1985), p. 243–253.
- [34] M. VIGUÉ-POIRRIER & D. SULLIVAN – “The homology theory of the closed geodesic problem”, *J. Differential Geometry* **11** (1976), p. 633–644.

