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## Numdam

## TWO GARTESIAN PRODUGTS WHIGH ARE EUCLIDEAN SPACES

BY<br>James GLIMM<br>(Princeton) ( ${ }^{1}$ ).

Whitehead has given an example of a three-dimensional manifold $W$ which is not (homeomorphic to) $E^{3}$, Euclidean 3-space [3]. We prove the following theorem about $W$, the first statement of which is due to A. Shapiro.

Theorem. - If $W$ is the manifold described below then $W \times E^{1}$ is homeomorphic to $E^{\natural}$. Also $W \times W$ is homeomorphic to $E^{3} \times W$ (which is homeomorphic to $E^{6}$ ).

That $W$ is not homeomorphic to $E^{3}$ was proved in [1], [2]. In [1] it is shown that no cube in $W$ contains $W_{0}$ (defined below), which implies $W$ is not $E^{3}$. The homeomorphism $W \times E^{1} \approx E^{4}$ can be used to show the existence of a two element (and so compact) group of homeomorphisms of $E^{4}$ onto itself whose fixed point set is $W$. The problem of showing that $W \times W$ is homeomorphic to $E^{\text {b }}$ was suggested to the author by L. Zippin.

Let $W_{0}, W_{1}, R_{0}, R_{1}$ be solid tori with $W_{0}$ simply self-linked in the interior of $W_{1}$ (see fig. i) and $R_{0}$ trivially imbedded in the interior of $R_{1}$. Let $I_{0}$ and $I_{1}$ be closed bounded intervals of $E^{1}$ with $I_{0}$ contained in the interior of $I_{1}$. Let $w$ (resp. $r$ ) be a 3 -cell in the interior of $W_{0}$ (resp. $R_{0}$ ), let $e$ (resp. $f, g$ ) be a homeomorphism of $E^{3}$ (resp. $E^{3}, E^{1}$ ) onto itself with $e\left(W_{0}\right)=W_{1}\left[\right.$ resp. $\left.f\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{0}\right)=\boldsymbol{R}_{1}, g\left(I_{0}\right)=I_{1}\right]$ and $e \mid \omega($ resp. $f \mid r)$ the identity. Let

$$
\boldsymbol{W}_{n}=e^{n}\left(\boldsymbol{W}_{0}\right), \quad \boldsymbol{R}_{n}=f^{n}\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{0}\right), \quad I_{n}=g^{n}\left(\boldsymbol{I}_{0}\right)
$$

Let $W=\bigcup_{n=1} W_{n}$, we suppose that

$$
E^{3}=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} R_{n}, \quad E^{1}=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{n}
$$

[^0]Let $S=\left\{h \mid A: A \subset E^{3}, h\right.$ is a homeomorphism of $E^{3}$ onto itself which is the identity outside a compact set \}; we further suppose $e \in S, f \mid R_{i} \in S$ and $\lambda^{\prime}\left(R_{0}\right)=W_{0}$ for some $\lambda^{\prime}$ in $S$.


Proof. - We prove both statements simultaneously. Let $V_{n}$ denote $I_{n}$ (resp. $W_{n}$ ), $V$ denote $E^{1}$ (resp. $W$ ). For each positive integer $n$, we construct a homeomorphism $h_{n}: W_{n} \times V_{n} \rightarrow R_{n} \times V_{n}$ with the properties
(1) $h_{n}\left(W_{n-1} \times V_{n-1}\right)=R_{n-1} \times V_{n-1}$;
(2) $h_{n}\left|W_{n-2} \times V_{n-2}=h_{n-1}\right| W_{n-2} \times V_{n-2}(n \geq 2)$.

Suppose we have constructed all the $h^{\prime}$ s. Then we define

$$
\Phi: \quad W \times V \rightarrow E^{3} \times V
$$

as follows. If $(x, y) \in W \times V$, then for some $n,(x, y) \in W_{n} \times V_{n}$. Let $\Phi(x, y)=h_{n+1}(x, y)$. By (2) we see that $\Phi$ is well-defined, by (1) we see that $\Phi$ is onto. Since $h_{n}$ is a homeomorphism, $\Phi$ is also.

Suppose the following lemma is true. Using the lemma, we will construct the $h_{n}$.

Lemma. - If we are given a homeomorphism $\beta^{\prime}: \rightsquigarrow \times V_{0} \rightarrow R_{0} \times V_{0}$ (into), and if $\beta^{\prime}$ has the form $\lambda^{\prime} \mid \propto \times I$ where $\lambda^{\prime}$ is a homeomorphism in $S$ of $W_{0}$ onto $R_{0}$, then there is a homeomorphic extension $\beta$ of $\beta^{\prime}$,

$$
\beta: \quad W_{1} \times V_{1} \rightarrow R_{1} \times V_{1}, \quad \beta\left(W_{0} \times V_{0}\right)=R_{0} \times V_{0}
$$

and $\beta \mid \operatorname{Bdry}\left(W_{1} \times V_{1}\right)=\lambda \times I$ for $\lambda$ some homeomorphism in $S$ of $W_{1}$ onto $R_{1}$.

Let $\lambda^{\prime}$ be a homeomorphism in $S$ mapping $W_{0}$ onto $R_{0}$. Let $h_{1}=\beta$, the extension of $\beta^{\prime}=\left(\lambda^{\prime} \mid w^{\prime}\right) \times I$ given by the lemma. We suppose inductively that for $n$ a positive integer greater or equal to $2, h_{n-1}$ has been constructed, and $h_{n-1} \mid \operatorname{Bdry}\left(W_{n-1} \times V_{n-1}\right)=\gamma \times I$, for $\gamma$ some homeomorphism in $S$ of $W_{n-1}$ onto $R_{n-1}$. We note that $h_{1}$ has this property. Observe that $\left(\gamma^{-1} \times I\right) h_{n-1}$ is a homeomorphism of $W_{n-1} \times V_{n-1}$ onto itself leaving the boundary pointwise fixed. Let $h$ be the extension of this map to $W_{n} \times V_{n}$ which is the identity on $W_{n} \times V_{n}$-Interior ( $W_{n-1} \times V_{n-1}$ ). Let $r^{\prime}$ be a 3-cell with Interior $R_{n-1} \supset r^{\prime} \supset R_{n-2}$. Let $w^{\prime}=\gamma^{-1}\left(r^{\prime}\right)$. Let $k: W_{n} \rightarrow W_{n}$ be a homeomorphism in $S, k \mid\left(W_{n}\right.$-Interior $\left.W_{n-1}\right)=$ identity, $k\left(w^{\prime}\right) \subset w$. Let $\beta$ be the extension of $\gamma k^{-1} \times I \mid \Phi \times V_{n-1}$ to a homeomorphism of $W_{n} \times V_{n}$ onto $R_{n} \times V_{n}$ as given by the lemma. Let $h_{n}=\beta(k \times I) h$. We check that $h_{n}$ satisfies (1) and (2),

$$
h_{n}\left(W_{n-1} \times V_{n-1}\right)=\beta\left(W_{n-1} \times V_{n-1}\right)=R_{n-1} \times V_{n-1} .
$$

If $z \in W_{n-2} \times V_{n-2}$, then $(k \times I) h(z) \in \mathscr{\infty} \times V_{n-1}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{n}(z) & =\beta(k \times I) h(z) \\
& =\left(\gamma k^{-1} \times I\right)(k \times I)\left(\gamma^{-1} \times I\right) h_{n-1}(z)=h_{n-1}(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

as asserted. Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{n} \mid \operatorname{Bdry}\left(W_{n} \times V_{n}\right) & =\beta(k \times I) h \mid \operatorname{Bdry}\left(W_{n} \times V_{n}\right) \\
& =\lambda k \times I \mid \operatorname{Bdry}\left(W_{n} \times V_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equality arises from the form of $\beta$ on $\operatorname{Bdry}\left(W_{n} \times V_{n}\right)$ and the fact that $(k \times I)\left(\operatorname{Bdry}\left(W_{n} \times V_{n}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Bdry}\left(W_{n} \times V_{n}\right)$. Thus $h_{n}$ satisfies the induction hypothesis and all the $h_{n}$ can be defined, if we prove the lemma.

Proof of lemma. - Given $\beta^{\prime}=\lambda^{\prime} \mid \propto \times I: \propto \times V_{0} \rightarrow R_{0} \times V_{0}$, we can extend $\lambda^{\prime} \mid \omega$ to a homeomorphism in $S \lambda$ of $W_{1}$ onto $R_{1}$. In fact let $j$ be a homeomorphism in $S$ of $R_{1}$ onto itself which maps $R_{0}$ onto $R_{0}$ and $\lambda^{\prime}(w)$ into $r$. Let

$$
\lambda=j^{-1} f j \lambda^{\prime} e^{-1}
$$

Then $\lambda$ is a homeomorphism in $S$ of $W_{1}$ onto $R_{1}$ aud $\lambda\left|\mathscr{\omega}=j^{-1} f j \lambda^{\prime}\right| \mathscr{\omega}=\lambda^{\prime} \mid \omega$ so $\lambda$ is the desired extension of $\lambda^{\prime} \mid \mathscr{W}$. It is now sufficient to construct a homeomorphism $h$ of $W_{1} \times V_{1}$ onto itself which leaves $w \times V_{0}$ pointwise fixed with $h \mid \operatorname{Bdry}\left(W_{1} \times V_{1}\right)=\mu \times I$ for some $\mu$ in $S$ which maps $W_{1}$ onto $W_{1}$, and with $h\left(W_{0} \times V_{0}\right)=\lambda^{-1}\left(R_{0}\right) \times V_{0}$. In fact $(\lambda \times I) h=\beta$ is a homeomorphism of $W_{1} \times V_{1}$ onto $R_{1} \times V_{1}, \beta$ extends $\beta^{\prime}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta\left(W_{0} \times V_{0}\right) & =\lambda \lambda^{-1}\left(R_{0}\right) \times V_{0}=R_{0} \times V_{0}, \\
\beta \mid \operatorname{Bdry}\left(W_{1} \times V_{1}\right) & =\lambda \mu \times I \mid \operatorname{Bdry}\left(W_{1} \times V_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The homeomorphism $h$ will be given as the product of four homeomorphism $\Lambda, \Sigma, \Delta$ and $P$ of $W_{1} \times V_{1}$ onto itself. $\Lambda, \Sigma$ and $\Delta$ will each leave Bdry ( $\left.W_{1} \times V_{1}\right) \cup\left(\omega \times V_{0}\right)$ pointwise fixed. $\Lambda$ will lift the dark portion of $W_{0}, \mathbf{\Sigma}$ will slide this lifted part away from the link, and $\Delta$ will drop the image under $\mathbf{\Sigma} \Lambda$ of the dark part of $W_{0}$ back into its original plane. We suppose $W_{1}$ is $D \times C$ where $D$ is the square $\{(u, v): 0 \leq u, v \leq 20\}$ and $C$ is the circle $\{\theta: 0 \leq \theta<2 \pi\}$. We suppose that

$$
W_{0} \subset\{(u, v): 9 \leq u, v \leq 10\} \times C, \quad w \subset D \times\{\theta: 6 \leq \theta<2 \pi\}
$$

the link in $W_{0} \subset D \times\{\theta: .5 \leq \theta \leq 1\}$. Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ be functions on $C$, let $a, b, c$ be functions on $[0,20]$, defined as follows. Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha([0,2])=1, \quad \alpha([4,2 \pi])=0, \quad \beta(0)=0, \\
\beta([.5,4])=1, \quad \beta([6,2 \pi])=0, \\
\gamma([0,1])=0, \quad \gamma([2,2 \pi])=1, \quad \delta([0,1])=0, \\
\delta([1.5,3])=1, \quad \delta([5,2 \pi])=0,
\end{gathered}
$$

and let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ be linear on intervals for which they are not defined above. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha(0)=\mathrm{o}, & a([9,10])=\mathbf{1}, & a(20)=0, \\
b([0, \mathrm{o}])=\mathrm{o}, & b([\mathbf{1 1}, \mathbf{1 2}])=\mathbf{1}, & b(20)=0, \\
c(\mathrm{o})=\mathbf{o}, & c([9,12])=\mathbf{1}, & c(20)=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

and let $a, b, c$ be linear on intervals for which they are not defined above. Let $\varepsilon$ be a continuous map of $W_{1}$ into $[0, I]$ such that $\varepsilon(u, v, \theta)=\alpha(\theta)$ for ( $u, \rho, \theta$ ) in the dark part of $W_{0}, \varepsilon=0$ on the rest of $W_{0}$ and on Bdry $W_{1}$. If $(u, v),(x, y) \in D, \theta, \psi \in C$, let
$\Lambda(u, v, \theta, x, y, \psi)=(u, v, \theta, x, y+2 \varepsilon(u, v, \theta) a(x) a(y), \psi)$,
$\mathbf{\Sigma}(u, v, \theta, x, y, \psi)=(u, v, \theta+\beta(\theta) a(x)$
$\times[(\mathrm{I}-\gamma(\theta)) b(y)+\gamma(\theta) c(y)] a(u) a(v), x, y)$,
$\Delta(u, v, \theta, x, y, \psi)=(u, v, \theta, x, y-2 \delta(\theta) c(y) a(x) a(u) a(v), \underset{\psi}{\psi})$.
If $V_{i}=I_{i}$, we identify $I_{0}$ with $\{$ го $\} \times[9$, ıо $] \times\{0\} \subset W_{1}$ and $I_{1}$ with $\{10\} \times[0,20] \times\{0\} \subset W_{1}$. Then $\Lambda, \mathbf{\Sigma}$, and $\Delta$ map $W_{1} \times I_{1}$ onto itself and $h^{\prime}=\Delta \Sigma \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \mid W_{1} \times I_{1}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.h^{\prime}=\Delta \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{\Lambda}\right)$ is a homeomorphism of $W_{1} \times V_{1}$ onto itself which leaves $\left(\operatorname{Bdry}\left(W_{1} \times V_{1}\right)\right) \cup\left(\omega \times V_{0}\right)$ pointwise fixed. For $(x, y, \psi) \in V_{0}, \Delta \mathbf{\Sigma} \Lambda\left(W_{0} \times(x, y, \psi)\right.$ is trivially imbedded in $W_{1} \times(x, y, \psi)$ and the projection $W_{0}^{\prime}$ on $W_{1}$ of $\Delta \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}\left(W_{0} \times(x, y, \psi)\right)$ is independent of $x, y, \psi$ in $\boldsymbol{V}_{0}$. To see this it is sufficient to compute $\Delta \mathbf{\Sigma} \Lambda(u, v, \theta, x, y, \psi)$ for $(u, v, \theta)$ in $W_{0}, x, y$ in $[9$, ro $]$ and $\theta$ a point of non-linearity of $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ or $\delta$. Suppose we have a homeomorphism $\rho^{\prime}$ of $W_{1}$ onto $W_{1}$ which leaves Bdry $W_{1} \cup \mathscr{w}$ pointwise fixed, and with $\rho^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{W}_{0}^{\prime}\right)=\lambda^{-1}\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{0}\right)$. Define $P=\rho^{\prime} \times I$ : $W_{1} \times V_{1} \rightarrow W_{1} \times V_{1}$, define $h=P h^{\prime}$. Then $h$ has the necessary properties.

Since $\lambda^{-1}\left(R_{0}\right)$ is trivially imbedded in $W_{1}$, it is in a 3 -cell in the interior of $W_{1}$. There is a homeomorphism $g^{\prime}$ of $E^{3}$ onto itself leaving $E^{3}-W_{1}$ pointwise fixed and such that $g^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{W}_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\lambda^{-1}\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{0}\right)$ both lie in a 3-cell $u$ in the interior of $W_{1}$. It is evident that there is a homeomorphism in $S$ mapping $W_{0}$ onto $W_{0}^{\prime}$ and so there is a homeomorphism $g^{\prime \prime}$ in $S$ of $\mathrm{E}^{3}$ onto itself mapping $g^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{W}_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ onto $\lambda^{-1}\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{0}\right)$. We can find a 3 -cell $U$ outside of which $g^{\prime \prime}$ is the identity and a homeomorphism $\varphi$ mapping $U$ onto $u$ which is the identity on $\lambda^{-1}\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{0}\right) \cup g^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{W}_{0}^{\prime}\right)$. Define $g=$ identity outside $u, g=\varphi g^{\prime \prime \prime} \varphi^{-1}$ on $u$. Then $h=g g^{\prime}$ is a homeomorphism leaving boundary $W_{1}$ fixed and mapping $W_{0}^{\prime}$ onto $\lambda^{-1}\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{0}\right)$. Since $\mathscr{C} \subset$ Interior $W_{0}^{\prime}, h(\mathscr{w}) \subset$ Interior $\lambda^{-1}\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{0}\right)$ and since $\omega \subset$ Interior $\lambda^{-1}\left(R_{0}\right)$ there is a homeomorphism $i$ of $E^{3}$ onto itself leaving $E^{3}-\lambda^{-1}\left(R_{0}\right)$ fixed and mapping $h(\mathscr{w})$ into $\Phi$. Let $U_{0}, u_{0}$ be 3-cells, with $U_{0} \supset W_{1}, \lambda^{-1}\left(R_{0}\right) \supset u_{0}$, Interior $u_{0} \supset \mathscr{w}$ and let $\varphi_{0}$ be a homeomorphism of $U_{0}$ onto $u_{0}$ leaving $\omega$ pointwise fixed. Let $j=\varphi_{0}(i h)^{-1} \varphi_{0}^{-1}$ on $u_{0}, j=$ identity on $W_{1}-u_{0}$. Then $\rho^{\prime}=j i h$ is a homeomorphism of $W_{1}$ onto $W_{1}$,

$$
\rho^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{W}_{0}^{\prime}\right)=j i \lambda^{-1}\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{0}\right)=\lambda^{-1}\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{0}\right),
$$

$\rho^{\prime} \mid$ Bdry $W_{1}=$ identity $\quad$ and $\quad \rho^{\prime}\left|\omega=\varphi_{0}(i h)^{-1} \varphi_{0}^{-1} i h\right| \omega=\varphi_{0} \mid \omega=$ identity. This completes the proof.

## BIBLIOGRAPHIE.

[^1](Manuscrit reçu le 30 novembre i959.)

## James Glimm,

Institute for advanced Study, Princeton (États-Unis).


[^0]:    ( ${ }^{1}$ ) Fellow of the National Science Foundation (U. S. A.).

[^1]:    [1] Bing (R. H.). - Necessary and sufficient conditions that a 3-manifold be $S^{3}$, Annals of Math., t. 68, ı958, p. 17-37.
    [2] Neuman (M. H. A.) and Whitehead (J. H. C.). - On the group of a certain linkage, Quart. J. of Math. t. 8, 1937, p. 14-2ェ.
    [3] Whitehead (J. H. C.). - A certain open manifold whose group is unity, Quart. J. of Math., t. 6, ェ935, p. 268-279.

