

DEJEAN'S CONJECTURE HOLDS FOR $N \geq 27^{*, **}$

JAMES CURRIE¹ AND NARAD RAMPERSAD¹

Abstract. We show that Dejean's conjecture holds for $n \geq 27$. This brings the final resolution of the conjecture by the approach of Moulin Ollagnier within range of the computationally feasible.

Mathematics Subject Classification. 68R15.

Repetitions in words have been studied since the beginning of the previous century [15,16]. Recently, there has been much interest in repetitions with fractional exponent [1,3,6–8,10]. For rational r with $1 < r \leq 2$, a **fractional r -power** is a non-empty word $w = xx'$ such that x' is the prefix of x of length $(r - 1)|x|$. For example, 010 is a $3/2$ -power. A basic problem is that of identifying the repetitive threshold for each alphabet size $n > 1$:

What is the infimum of r such that an infinite sequence on n letters exists, not containing any factor of exponent greater than r ?

The infimum is called the **repetitive threshold** of an n -letter alphabet, denoted by $RT(n)$. Dejean's conjecture [6] is that

$$RT(n) = \begin{cases} 7/4, & n = 3 \\ 7/5, & n = 4 \\ n/(n-1) & n \neq 3, 4. \end{cases}$$

Thue[16], Dejean [6] and Pansiot[13], respectively established the values $RT(2)$, $RT(3)$, $RT(4)$. Moulin Ollagnier [12] verified Dejean's conjecture for $5 \leq n \leq 11$, and Mohammad-Noori and Currie [11] proved the conjecture for $12 \leq n \leq 14$.

Recently, Carpi [3] showed that Dejean's conjecture holds for $n \geq 33$. Carpi's result is computation-free, and resolving Dejean's conjecture is thus reduced to filling a finite gap. Conceptually, one would hope that the gap could now be filled

Keywords and phrases. Dejean's conjecture, repetitions in words, fractional exponent.

* *The first author is supported by an NSERC Discovery Grant.*

** *The second author is supported by an NSERC Postdoctoral Fellowship.*

¹ Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Winnipeg, 515 Portage Avenue, Winnipeg, Manitoba R3B 2E9, Canada; j.currie@uwinnipeg.ca

from below, using the methods of [11,12]. Since these approaches are computationally intensive, optimizing Carpi's result is important. The present authors improved part of Carpi's constructions to show that Dejean's conjecture holds for $n \geq 30$ (see [4]). In the present note we show that in fact Dejean's conjecture holds for $n \geq 27$.

Remark 1. Some months after the first draft of this paper, its goal has been vindicated: the final resolution of the conjecture via methods of Moulin Ollagnier becomes computationally feasible; in a recent paper the present authors proved Dejean's conjecture by resolving computationally the cases $n \leq 26$. Dejean's conjecture is correct! (See [5] and also [14] for another independent proof.)

The following definitions are from [3]: for any non-negative integer r let $A_r = \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$. Fix $n \geq 27$. Let $m = \lfloor (n-3)/6 \rfloor$. If a is a letter, then let $|v|_a$ denote the number of occurrences of a in the word v . Let $\ker \psi = \{v \in A_m^* \mid \forall a \in A_m, 4 \text{ divides } |v|_a\}$. (We use this as a definition; it is in fact the assertion of Carpi's Lem. 9.1.) A word $v \in A_m^+$ is a **ψ -kernel repetition** if it has period q and a prefix v' of length q such that $v' \in \ker \psi$ and $(n-1)(|v|+1) \geq nq-3$. In [4] we introduced the following definition: if v has period q and its prefix v' of length q is in $\ker \psi$, we say that q is a **kernel period** of v .

Let $B = \{0, 1\}$ and let S_n be the permutation group on n elements. Consider the morphism $\phi : B^* \rightarrow S_n$ generated by

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(0) &= (1 \ 2 \ 3 \ \dots \ (n-1)) \\ \phi(1) &= (1 \ 2 \ 3 \ \dots \ (n-1) \ n).\end{aligned}$$

This map is due to Pansiot [13]. A word $u \in B^*$ is a **k -stabilizing word** if $\phi(u)$ fixes $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, k\}$. The set of k -stabilizing words (for fixed n) is denoted by **Stab $_n(k)$** . Note that if $i < j$ then $\text{Stab}_n(j) \subseteq \text{Stab}_n(i)$.

A map $\gamma_n : B^* \rightarrow A_n^*$ is defined by

$$\gamma_n(b_1 b_2 \dots b_\ell) = a_1 a_2 \dots a_\ell$$

where $a_i \phi(b_1 b_2 \dots b_\ell) = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$.

Carpi introduces a morphism $f : A_m^* \rightarrow B^*$ generated by

$$\begin{aligned}f(1) &= y^p x (101)^{2m} \\ f(a) &= y^p x (101)^{2m-2a} 010 (101)^{2a-1}\end{aligned}$$

where $2 \leq a \leq m$, $p = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$, y is the suffix of $(01)^n$ of length $n-1$ and x is the suffix of y of length $|y| - 6m$.

The concepts of so-called **short repetitions** and **kernel repetitions** were introduced by Moulin Ollagnier [12]. His work is complicated by the fact that his short repetitions are words over A_n , while his kernel repetitions are words over B (although they code words over A_n via Pansiot's map). Without going into the details, we recall that he reduced the construction of an infinite word over n letters

attaining threshold $n/(n - 1)$ to avoiding both short repetitions and kernel repetitions. Moulin Ollagnier's binary words were fixed points of morphisms. In [11], a technique was introduced for dealing separately with short repetitions and kernel repetitions; the binary words given there can be viewed as being produced by HD0L's: they have the form $g(h^\omega(0))$ where all words coded by $g(B^*)$ avoid short repetitions, and each h is chosen to eliminate kernel repetitions.

Carpi's work follows essentially this strategy. The lemmas of his paper show that $f(B^*)$ avoids short repetitions if $n \geq 30$. For $m = 5$ (corresponding to $n \geq 33$) he produces an infinite word w_5 over A_m such that $f(w_5)$ avoids kernel repetitions. The exact statement of this division of work into short vs. kernel repetitions is the following:

Proposition 2 ([3], Prop. 3.2). *Let $v \in B^*$. If a factor of $\gamma_n(v)$ has exponent larger than $n/(n - 1)$, then v has a factor u satisfying one of the following conditions:*

- (i) $u \in \text{Stab}_n(k)$ and $0 < |u| < k(n - 1)$ for some $k \leq n - 1$;
- (ii) u is a kernel repetition of order n .

In our previous note, we improved only the second part of Carpi's construction; he had shown that for $n \geq 30$, no factor u of $f(A_m^*)$ satisfied condition (i) above. As Carpi therefore states at the beginning of Section 9 of [3]:

By the results of the previous sections, at least in the case $n \geq 30$, in order to construct an infinite word on n letters avoiding factors of any exponent larger than $n/(n - 1)$, it is sufficient to find an infinite word w on the alphabet A_m avoiding ψ -kernel repetitions.

For $m = 5$, Carpi was able to produce such an infinite word, based on a paperfolding construction. He thus established Dejean's conjecture for $n \geq 33$. The present authors refined this by constructing an infinite word w_4 on the alphabet A_4 avoiding ψ -kernel repetitions. This established Dejean's conjecture for $n \geq 30$. We remark that for $30 \leq n \leq 32$ the word on A_n verifying Dejean's conjecture for n is $\gamma_n(v)$, where $v = f(w_4)$.

In the present note, we improve on the first aspect of Carpi's attack, by showing that for $27 \leq n \leq 29$, no factor u of $v = f(w_4)$ satisfies (i) above. This implies that Dejean's conjecture holds for $n \geq 27$. Since f is r -uniform where $r = (p + 1)(n - 1)$, to show that (i) holds for v it suffices to check that no factor $u \in f(B^3)$ satisfies (i). In principle, this involves considering all factors of $f(B^3)$ of length less than $(n - 1)^2$. However, we shorten this computation considerably by combining several of Carpi's lemmas.

Lemma 3. *Suppose $n \geq 18$. Suppose that $u \in f(A_m^*) \cap \text{Stab}_n(k)$ and $|u| < k(n - 1)$ for some $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n - 1\}$. Then $|u| = r(n - 1)$ for some r , $p + 1 \leq r < k \leq 16$.*

Proof. Propositions and lemmas referenced in this proof are in [3]. By Proposition 5.1, $k \geq 4$ so that $u \in \text{Stab}_n(4)$. It then follows from Proposition 6.3 that $|u| \geq (p + 1)(n - 1)$. Since $|u| < k(n - 1)$, we deduce that $k > p + 1$. From $n \geq 18$ this means that $k > 10$, so that surely $u \in \text{Stab}_n(7)$. Applying Lemma 7.1, we see

that $|u|$ is divisible by $n - 1$. We may thus write $|u| = r(n - 1)$, $p + 1 \leq r < k$. By the contrapositive of Proposition 7.2, $u \notin \text{Stab}_n(17)$. It follows that $k \leq 16$. \square

We verify that Dejean's conjecture holds for $n = 27, 28, 29$ by exhaustively examining factors u of $f(B^3)$ of length $r(n - 1)$ for $p + 1 \leq r \leq 15$, and verifying that such u are not in $\text{Stab}_n(k)$ for any k , $r < k \leq 16$. For $n = 28, 29$, the check only involves $r = 15$, $k = 16$. For $n = 27$, we also must consider $r = 14$. Code written in SAGE running on a PC performed the necessary verifications in about half an hour. The code is available at www.uwinnipeg.ca/~currie/kstab.sage.

REFERENCES

- [1] F.J. Brandenburg, Uniformly growing k -th powerfree homomorphisms. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **23** (1983) 69–82.
- [2] J. Brinkhuis, Non-repetitive sequences on three symbols. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* **34** (1983) 145–149.
- [3] A. Carpi, On Dejean's conjecture over large alphabets. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **385** (2007) 137–151.
- [4] J.D. Currie and N. Rampersad, Dejean's conjecture holds for $n \geq 30$. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **410** (2009) 2885–2888.
- [5] J.D. Currie, N. Rampersad, A proof of Dejean's conjecture, <http://arxiv.org/pdf/0905.1129v3>.
- [6] F. Dejean, Sur un théorème de Thue. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **13** (1972) 90–99.
- [7] L. Ilie, P. Ochem and J. Shallit, A generalization of repetition threshold. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **345** (2005) 359–369.
- [8] D. Krieger, On critical exponents in fixed points of non-erasing morphisms. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **376** (2007) 70–88.
- [9] M. Lothaire, *Combinatorics on Words*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications 17. Addison-Wesley, Reading (1983).
- [10] F. Mignosi and G. Pirillo, Repetitions in the Fibonacci infinite word. *RAIRO-Theor. Inf. Appl.* **26** (1992) 199–204.
- [11] M. Mohammad-Noori and J.D. Currie, Dejean's conjecture and Sturmian words. *Eur. J. Combin.* **28** (2007) 876–890.
- [12] J. Moulin Ollagnier, Proof of Dejean's conjecture for alphabets with 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11 letters. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **95** (1992) 187–205.
- [13] J.-J. Pansiot, À propos d'une conjecture de F. Dejean sur les répétitions dans les mots. *Discrete Appl. Math.* **7** (1984) 297–311.
- [14] M. Rao, Last cases of Dejean's Conjecture, <http://www.labri.fr/perso/rao/publi/dejean.ps>.
- [15] A. Thue, Über unendliche Zeichenreihen. *Norske Vid. Selsk. Skr. I. Mat. Nat. Kl. Christiana* **7** (1906) 1–22.
- [16] A. Thue, Über die gegenseitige Lage gleicher Teile gewisser Zeichenreihen. *Norske Vid. Selsk. Skr. I. Mat. Nat. Kl. Christiana* **1** (1912) 1–67.

Communicated by J. Berstel.

Received July 9, 2009. Accepted September 2, 2009.