



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I

www.sciencedirect.com



Ordinary differential equations

Sharp uniqueness conditions for one-dimensional, autonomous ordinary differential equations

Conditions fines d'unicité pour les équations différentielles ordinaires autonomes en dimension 1

Ulrik Skre Fjordholm

Department of Mathematics, University of Oslo, Postboks 1053 Blindern, 0316 Oslo, Norway

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 18 May 2018

Accepted 18 July 2018

Available online 31 July 2018

Presented by the Editorial Board

ABSTRACT

We give two conditions that are necessary and sufficient for the uniqueness of Filippov solutions to scalar, autonomous ordinary differential equations with discontinuous velocity fields. When only one of the two conditions is satisfied, we give a natural selection criterion that guarantees uniqueness of the solution.

© 2018 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

R É S U M É

Nous donnons deux conditions nécessaires et suffisantes pour l'unicité des solutions de Filippov des équations différentielles ordinaires autonomes scalaires, avec champs de vitesse discontinus. Lorsqu'une seule de ces deux conditions est satisfaite, nous donnons un critère naturel sélectionnant une unique solution.

© 2018 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction and statement of the theorem

The purpose of this paper is to derive necessary and sufficient conditions for the uniqueness of Filippov solutions to the scalar, autonomous ordinary differential equation (ODE)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dX}{dt}(t) &= b(X(t)) \quad \text{for } t > 0 \\ X(0) &= x_0 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $b : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Borel measurable and locally bounded, and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. If b is continuous then the sense in which (1) holds is classical: $X : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is absolutely continuous and $\frac{d}{dt}X(t) = b(X(t))$ holds for almost every $t > 0$. It was shown

E-mail address: ulriksf@math.uio.no.

by Binding [3] that the solution is unique *if and only if* b satisfies the so-called Osgood condition at all zeroes of b (see below). For instance, any Lipschitz continuous b satisfies Osgood's condition. For a general reference on the uniqueness and non-uniqueness of ODEs, see [1].

If b is merely measurable, say, $b \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, then the interpretation of (1) is more subtle, and choosing a different representative in the equivalence class of b can lead to very different solutions. For instance, redefining the constant velocity field $b(x) \equiv 1$ at a single point, $b(x_0) = 0$, yields both the solutions $X(t) \equiv x_0$ and $X(t) = x_0 + t$. Several authors have analyzed possible modifications of b on negligible sets in order to ensure the existence of a classical solution, see, e.g., [7,4] and the references therein. The concept of *Filippov flows* or *Filippov solutions* to (1) provides an alternative solution to this issue by choosing a canonical representation of the velocity field. More precisely, the differential equation (1) is replaced by a differential *inclusion* where the right-hand side contains information on the behavior of b in an infinitesimal neighborhood of $X(t)$. Filippov [6] showed that there exists a Filippov solution to (1) under very mild conditions on b , for instance if $b \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ or, for local existence, $b \in L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$.

In Section 1.1, we provide the definition of Filippov solutions and, in Section 1.2, we describe the essential Osgood criterion. The main theorem of this paper, stated in Section 1.3, gives necessary and sufficient conditions for the uniqueness of Filippov solutions to (1). As a corollary, we define a class of functions $\tilde{b} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, for which the corresponding ODE all have the same unique, *classical* solution. Section 2 contains the proof of the Theorem and its Corollary, while Section 3 lists some examples.

1.1. Set-valued functions and Filippov solutions

We say that an absolutely continuous function $X : [0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a *Filippov solution* to (1) if $X(0) = x_0$ and

$$\frac{dX}{dt}(t) \in K[b](X(t)) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in (0, T)$$

(see [6]). Here, the set-valued function $K[b]$ is defined as

$$K[b](x) := \bigcap_{\delta > 0} \bigcap_{\substack{N \subset \mathbb{R} \\ |N|=0}} \overline{\text{conv}}(b(B_\delta(x) \setminus N))$$

where $B_\delta(x)$ is the open ball around x with radius δ , and $\overline{\text{conv}}(A)$ is the smallest closed, convex set containing A . The intersection is taken over all Lebesgue measurable sets $N \subset \mathbb{R}$ with one-dimensional Lebesgue measure $|N| = 0$. In a similar vein we define the essential upper and lower bounds of b at x as

$$\begin{aligned} m[b](x) &:= \min(K[b](x)) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \text{ess inf}_{x' \in B_\delta(x)} b(x'), \\ M[b](x) &:= \max(K[b](x)) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \text{ess sup}_{x' \in B_\delta(x)} b(x'). \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

We will say that b is continuous at a point x if the set $K[b](x)$ contains a single point, otherwise we say that b is discontinuous at x . It is evident that this coincides with the usual definition of continuity at a point, possibly after redefining b on a negligible set.

We list below some properties that are straightforward to check (see also [2,5]):

- (i) $K[b]$ is upper hemicontinuous;
- (ii) if $0 \notin K[b](x)$ for some $x \in \mathbb{R}$ then there is a neighborhood U of x such that $0 \notin K[b](y)$ for every $y \in U$;
- (iii) $m[b]$ and $M[b]$ are lower and upper semi-continuous, respectively;
- (iv) the set of discontinuities of b coincides with the measurable set $\{x : m[b](x) < M[b](x)\}$.

1.2. The Osgood condition

The classical uniqueness result for ODEs requires Lipschitz continuity of the velocity field b . In 1898, Osgood relaxed this condition to mere continuity of b , along with an integrability condition on its reciprocal [8]. We recall the main idea of Osgood's condition here. We will call a function $g : (-\delta_0, \delta_0) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ an *Osgood function* if it is nonnegative, Borel measurable, and satisfies:

$$\int_{-\delta}^0 g(z)^{-1} dz = +\infty, \quad \int_0^\delta g(z)^{-1} dz = +\infty \quad \forall \delta \in (0, \delta_0). \tag{3}$$

Lemma 1 (*Osgood lemma*). *Let $g : (-\delta_0, \delta_0) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be an Osgood function and let $u : [0, T) \rightarrow (-\delta_0, \delta_0)$ be an absolutely continuous function satisfying $u(0) = 0$ and*

$$\frac{d}{dt}|u(t)| \leq g(u(t)) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in (0, T). \quad (4)$$

Then $u \equiv 0$.

Proof. Assume conversely that, say, $u(t_1) > 0$ for some $t_1 > 0$, and let $t_0 \in [0, t_1)$ be such that $u(t_0) = 0$ but $u(t) > 0$ for all $t \in (t_0, t_1]$. From (4), it follows in particular that $\frac{du}{dt}(t) \leq g(u(t)) + \varepsilon$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and a.e. $t \in (t_0, t_1)$. Dividing this inequality by the right-hand side and integrating over $t \in (t_0, t_1)$ yields

$$t_1 - t_0 \geq \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \frac{\frac{du}{dt}(t)}{g(u(t)) + \varepsilon} dt = \int_0^{u(t_1)} \frac{1}{g(z) + \varepsilon} dz.$$

But the right-hand side goes to $+\infty$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, a contradiction. \square

1.3. The main theorem

In Section 2, we prove the following uniqueness result. We recall from [6] that if $b \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, then there exists at least one local-in-time Filippov solution to (1).

Theorem. Assume that $b \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies the following two conditions:

(A) the set

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R} : 0 \notin K[b](x) \text{ and } b \text{ is discontinuous at } x\} \quad (5)$$

has zero Lebesgue measure;

(B) for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$ where $0 \in K[b](x)$, the function

$$g(z) := M[b_x^+](z), \quad \text{where } b_x^+(z) := (b(x+z) \operatorname{sgn}(z))^+ \quad (6)$$

is an Osgood function. (Here, $u^+ = \max(0, u)$.)

Then the Filippov solution to (1) is unique. Conversely, if one of the conditions (A) or (B) does not hold, then there is some $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ for which there are uncountably many Filippov solutions.

If b is continuous then the Theorem reduces to Binding's result [3, Theorem 5.3]. Indeed, if b is continuous, then the set (5) is empty, and condition (B) is equivalent to saying that b_x^+ is an Osgood function.

Condition (A) addresses a deficiency in the concept of Filippov solutions: Roughly speaking, if discontinuities in b are too densely packed, then the set-valued function $K[b]$ is unable to “see” the (almost everywhere defined) function b . An example where (A) is violated is given in Section 3. The following corollary shows that when (A) is violated, the alternative requirement of being a classical solution can act as a selection criterion among the infinitely many Filippov solutions.

Corollary. Assume that $b \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies condition (B). Define

$$\mathcal{L}_b := \left\{ \tilde{b} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : b = \tilde{b} \text{ almost everywhere, } \tilde{b}(x) \in K[b](x) \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \in K[b](x) \Rightarrow \tilde{b}(x) = 0 \right\}.$$

Then, for every $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\tilde{b} \in \mathcal{L}_b$ there is a unique classical solution to the ODE

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dX}{dt}(t) &= \tilde{b}(X(t)) \quad \text{for } t > 0 \\ X(0) &= x_0 \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

and this solution is independent of the choice of $\tilde{b} \in \mathcal{L}_b$.

As mentioned above, a classical solution is an absolutely continuous function $X : [0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying equation (7) for a.e. $t \in (0, T)$. The proof of the Corollary is given in Section 2.

Remark. Let $X_t^{x_0}$ denote the (classical or Filippov) solution to (1). Using the fact that (1) is time-reversible, one can show that if the solution $X_t^{x_0}$ is unique (as in the Theorem and in the Corollary), then the map $x \mapsto X_t^x$ is continuous and surjective for any $t \geq 0$.

2. Proofs

Proof of sufficiency of (A), (B). It is sufficient to show that the solution remains unique up to some time $T > 0$. For any given $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, there are two cases to consider.

Case 1. First, assume that $0 \in K[b](x_0)$ and let $X = X(t)$ be a solution to (1). We wish to show that $X(t) \equiv x_0$, so assume conversely that $X(t) \neq x_0$ for some $t > 0$; by translating in time we may assume that $X(t) > x_0$ for all t in some interval $(0, T)$. (The case $X(t) < x_0$ is completely symmetric.) By definition, the function g in (6) is nonnegative and upper semi-continuous. By the definition of $K[b](x)$, we have, for every $\delta > 0$,

$$\frac{dX}{dt}(t) \leq \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x' \in B_\delta(X(t))} b(x'),$$

and for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a subset of points $x^* \in B_\delta(x(t))$ of positive measure such that

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x' \in B_\delta(X(t))} b(x') \leq b(x^*) + \varepsilon.$$

It follows that for almost every $t \in (0, T)$ and for sufficiently small δ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}|X(t) - x_0| &= \frac{dX}{dt}(t) \leq b(x^*) + \varepsilon \\ &= \operatorname{sgn}(x^* - x_0)b(x^*) + \varepsilon \\ &\leq g(x^* - x_0) + \varepsilon \quad (\text{by (6)}). \end{aligned}$$

Passing $\delta, \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and using the upper semi-continuity of g , we arrive at $\frac{d}{dt}|X(t) - x_0| \leq g(X(t) - x_0)$. Applying Lemma 1 yields $X(t) = x_0$ for every $t \in [0, T)$. Thus, the constant solution is unique.

Case 2. Assume now that $0 \notin K[b](x_0)$; since $K[b](x_0)$ is closed and convex we may assume that, say, $K[b](x_0) \subset (0, \infty)$. Then there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $K[b](x) \subset [c, \infty)$ for some $c > 0$ for every $x \in B_\delta(x_0)$. Write $d = \|b\|_{L^\infty(B_\delta(x_0))}$. Let now X, Y be two solutions to (6), and fix $T > 0$ such that $X(t), Y(t) \in B_\delta(x_0)$ for all $t \in [0, T)$. Let $A_X, A_Y \subset [0, T)$ be the sets of differentiability of X, Y , respectively, both of which have full measure.

Since $\frac{dX}{dt}(t), \frac{dY}{dt}(t) \in [c, d]$ we have $X(t), Y(t) \in [x_0 + ct, x_0 + dt]$ for all $t \in [0, T)$ and hence—possibly after decreasing T —there is a map $\tau : [0, T) \rightarrow [0, T)$ such that $\tau(0) = 0$ and $X(\tau(t)) = Y(t)$ for all $t \in [0, T)$. Since X, Y are absolutely continuous, so is τ , and moreover $\tau'(t) \geq \frac{d}{c} > 0$. It follows that the set $A = A_Y \cap \tau^{-1}(A_X) \subset [0, T)$ has full measure. Finally, define

$$E = A \cap \{t \in [0, T) : K[b](Y(t)) \text{ is a singleton}\}.$$

By assumption 1 and the fact that Y is monotone, the set E also has full measure. For every $t \in E$ we have now

$$\frac{dX}{dt}(\tau(t)) = b(X(\tau(t))) = b(Y(t)) = \frac{dY}{dt}(t).$$

But, at the same time, $X(\tau(t)) = Y(t)$, so that $\frac{dX}{dt}(\tau(t))\tau'(t) = \frac{dY}{dt}(t)$ for a.e. t . It follows that $\tau'(t) \equiv 1$, whence $X(t) = Y(t)$ for all t . \square

Next, we claim that condition (A) is necessary. To this end, we need the following elementary result.

Lemma 2. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open set and let $K \subset U$ be a measurable set with $|K| > 0$. Then there exists a point $x_0 \in U$ such that $|[x_0, x_0 + \delta) \cap K| > 0$ for every $\delta > 0$.

Proof. Select an interval $[a, b) \subset U$ such that $|[a, b) \cap K| > 0$. Define

$$x_0 = \sup \{x \in [a, b) : |[a, x) \cap K| = 0\}.$$

Then $a \leq x_0 < b$ so $x_0 \in U$, and $|[x_0, x_0 + \delta) \cap K| > 0$ for every $\delta > 0$. \square

Proof of necessity of (A). Assume that (A) is not satisfied, and define

$$\begin{aligned} D &:= \{x \in \mathbb{R} : b \text{ is discontinuous at } x\}, \\ U^- &:= \{x \in \mathbb{R} : K[b](x) \subset (-\infty, 0)\}, \quad U^+ := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : K[b](x) \subset (0, \infty)\}. \end{aligned}$$

By assumption, at least one of the sets $D^- := U^- \cap D$ and $D^+ := U^+ \cap D$ has positive measure, so we assume that, say, $|D^+| > 0$. Let $x_0 \in U^+$ be a point where $|[x_0, x_0 + \delta) \cap D^+| > 0$ for every $\delta > 0$ (cf. Lemma 2). Since $K[b](x_0) \subset (0, \infty)$ there is a $c > 0$ and a $\delta_0 > 0$ such that $K[b](x) \subset [c, \infty)$ for every $|x - x_0| < \delta_0$. In particular, $c \leq m[b](x) < M[b](x)$ for every $x \in [x_0, x_0 + \delta_0) \cap D^+$. Select measurable functions b_1, b_2 such that $m[b](x) \leq b_1(x) \leq b_2(x) \leq M[b](x)$ and such that $b_1(x) < b_2(x)$ for every $x \in [x_0, x_0 + \delta_0) \cap D^+$. Note that there are uncountably many such pairs of functions. Then the functions X_1, X_2 defined by

$$X_i(t) := G_i^{-1}(t), \quad G_i(x) := \int_{x_0}^x \frac{1}{b_i(y)} dy$$

are distinct Filippov solutions to (1). \square

Proof of necessity of (B). Let g be as in (6). If condition (B) is not satisfied then necessarily either $\int_{-\delta}^0 g(z)^{-1} dz < \infty$ or $\int_0^\delta g(z)^{-1} dz < \infty$ for every $0 < \delta \leq \delta_0$ for a sufficiently small $\delta_0 > 0$. Assume the latter case; the former is completely analogous. Necessarily, $g(\delta) > 0$ for almost every $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$, so in particular $g(z) = M[b_{x_0}^+](z) = M[b](x_0 + z)$. Let

$$G(x) := \int_0^{x-x_0} \frac{1}{g(z)} dz, \quad x \in [x_0, x_0 + \delta_0).$$

Then G is absolutely continuous with $G'(x) = \frac{1}{g(x-x_0)} \geq \frac{1}{\|b\|_{L^\infty}} > 0$, so G is invertible and its inverse $X := G^{-1} : [0, T) \rightarrow [x_0, x_0 + \delta_0)$ (for some $T > 0$) is also absolutely continuous. Differentiating the relation $\int_0^{X(t)-x_0} \frac{1}{g(z)} dz = t$ and reorganizing, we find that

$$\frac{dX}{dt}(t) = g(X(t) - x_0) = M[b](X(t)) \in K[b](X(t)),$$

whence X solves (1). Clearly, the trivial solution $Y(t) \equiv x_0$ is also a solution to (1); hence, any $c > 0$ yields a new solution:

$$Z(t) = \begin{cases} x_0 & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq c \\ X(t - c) & \text{for } c \leq t < c + T. \end{cases}$$

We conclude that there exists a continuum of solutions to (1). \square

We conclude this section with the proof of the Corollary.

Proof of the Corollary. From the definition of \mathcal{L}_b , it is clear that any classical solution to (7) is also a Filippov solution to (1). Hence, the fact that b satisfies condition (B) implies that if $0 \in K[b](x_0)$, then any classical solution must satisfy $X(t) \equiv x_0$. If $0 \notin K[b](x_0)$, say, if $K[b](x) \subset [c, \infty)$ for $|x - x_0| < \delta$ for some $c > 0$, then define $X(t) := G^{-1}(t)$, where

$$G(x) := \int_{x_0}^x \frac{1}{b(z)} dz = \int_{x_0}^x \frac{1}{\tilde{b}(z)} dz.$$

Then X satisfies (7) in the classical sense for a.e. t , and is necessarily the only classical solution since any other $Y(t)$ such that $\frac{d}{dt} Y(t) = \tilde{b}(Y(t))$ for a.e. t also satisfies $G(Y(t)) = t$, whence $X = Y$. \square

3. Examples

Example (Velocity fields not satisfying (B)). Counterexamples to uniqueness of (1) when b does not satisfy the Osgood condition are well known, the most popular ones being $b(x) = |x|^\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and the Heaviside function $b(x) = \mathbb{1}_{(0, \infty)}(x)$. Note that, say, $b(x) = 1 + |x|^\alpha$, does satisfy conditions (A) and (B), even though it is not (one-sided) Lipschitz bounded. The function $b(x) = -x \log |x|$ is an example of a non-Lipschitz function that does satisfy condition (B).

Example (An everywhere discontinuous velocity field). Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a Borel set with the following property: for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\delta > 0$, both $|A \cap B_\delta(x)| > 0$ and $|B_\delta(x) \setminus A| > 0$ (see Rudin [9]). Define

$$b(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in A \\ 2 & x \notin A. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that $K[b](x) \equiv [1, 2]$, and hence that b is nowhere continuous. Clearly, $x(t) = x_0 + at$ is a Filippov solution to (1) for any $a \in [1, 2]$. Note that, by the Corollary, there is a unique classical solution for this velocity field.

References

- [1] R.P. Agarwal, V. Lakshmikantham, Uniqueness and Nonuniqueness Criteria for Ordinary Differential Equations, Series in Real Analysis, vol. 6, World Scientific Publishing Co, 1993.
- [2] J.-P. Aubin, H. Frankowska, Set-Valued Analysis, Birkhäuser, Basel, Switzerland, 2009.
- [3] P. Binding, The differential equation $\dot{x} = f \circ x$, J. Differ. Equ. 31 (2) (1979) 183–199.
- [4] J.Á. Cid, R.L. Pouso, Ordinary differential equations and systems with time-dependent discontinuity sets, Proc. R. Soc. Edinb. A 134 (4) (2004) 617–637.
- [5] K. Deimling, Multivalued Differential Equations, De Gruyter Series in Nonlinear Analysis and Applications, vol. 1, Walter de Gruyter, 1992.
- [6] A.F. Filippov, Differential equations with discontinuous right-hand side, Mat. Sb. 5 (1960) 99–127, English transl. in Amer. Math. Transl. 42 (1964) 199–231.
- [7] S. Hu, Differential equations with discontinuous right-hand sides, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 154 (2) (1991) 377–390.
- [8] W.F. Osgood, Beweis der Existenz einer Lösung der Differentialgleichung $\frac{dy}{dx} = f(x, y)$ ohne Hinzunahme der Cauchy–Lipschitz’schen Bedingung, Monatsh. Math. Phys. 9 (1) (1898) 331–345.
- [9] W. Rudin, Well-distributed measurable sets, Amer. Math. Mon. 90 (1) (1983) 41–42.