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#### Abstract

In a recent paper, Hamidi and Jahangiri [C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 352 (2014) 17-20] introduced and investigated the class of bi-close-to-convex functions, and determined the estimates for the general Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients of the functions therein. This note mainly aims to point out and correct the errors of the main result in the above-mentioned paper.
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## R É S U M É

Hamidi et Jahangiri [C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 352 (2014) 17-20] ont introduit et étudié la classe des fonctions bi-presque convexes. Ils majorent les coefficients de Taylor-MacLaurin de ces fonctions bi-presque convexes. Toutefois, la note citée ci-dessus contient des erreurs, que nous mettons en évidence et corrigeons ici.
© 2017 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of functions $f$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk

[^0]$$
\mathbb{U}=\{z: z \in \mathbb{C} \text { and }|z|<1\} .
$$

We also denote $\mathcal{S}$ by the subclass of $\mathcal{A}$ whose members are univalent in $\mathbb{U}$.
A function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ is said to be starlike of order $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ if it satisfies the inequality

$$
\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)>\alpha \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

we denote this class by $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\alpha)$, and for simplicity, $\mathcal{S}^{*}(0)=: \mathcal{S}^{*}$.
Also, a function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ is said to be close-to-convex of order $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ if there exists a function $g \in \mathcal{S}^{*}$ such that

$$
\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right)>\alpha \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

this class is denoted by $\mathcal{C}(\alpha)$, and for convenience, $\mathcal{C}(0)=: \mathcal{C}$.
We observe that $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{C}(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{S}$ (see [3]). Since univalent functions are one-to-one, they are invertible and the inverse functions need not be defined on the entire unit disk $\mathbb{U}$. Indeed, the Koebe one-quarter theorem ensures that the image of $\mathbb{U}$ under every univalent function $f$ contains a disk with radius $1 / 4$. Thus, every function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ has an inverse $f^{-1}$, which is defined by

$$
f^{-1}(f(z))=z \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

and

$$
f\left(f^{-1}(w)\right)=w \quad\left(|w|<r_{0}(f) ; r_{0}(f) \geq \frac{1}{4}\right)
$$

The inverse function $F=f^{-1}$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
F(w) & =f^{-1}(w) \\
& =w-a_{2} w^{2}+\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right) w^{3}-\left(5 a_{2}^{3}-5 a_{2} a_{3}+a_{4}\right) w^{4}+\cdots  \tag{1.2}\\
& =w+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_{n} w^{n}
\end{align*}
$$

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be bi-univalent in $\mathbb{U}$ if both $f$ and $f^{-1}$ are univalent in $\mathbb{U}$, in the sense that $f^{-1}$ has a univalent analytic continuation to $\mathbb{U}$.

In a recent paper, Hamidi and Jahangiri [4] introduced and investigated the class of bi-close-to-convex functions as follows.

Definition 1. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be bi-close-to-convex of order $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ if both $f$ and its inverse map $F=f^{-1}$ are close-to-convex of order $\alpha$ in $\mathbb{U}$.

They determined the estimates for the general Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients of functions in the class. The main purpose of this note is to point out and correct the errors in the above-mentioned paper.

## 2. Main result

Making use of the Faber polynomial expansion of function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ with the form (1.1), the coefficients of its inverse map $F=f^{-1}$ may be expressed as follows (see [1,2]):

$$
F(w)=f^{-1}(w)=w+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} K_{n-1}^{-n}\left(a_{2}, a_{3}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) w^{n}
$$

In particular, the first three terms of $K_{n-1}^{-n}$ are

$$
K_{1}^{-2}=-2 a_{2}, \quad K_{2}^{-3}=3\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right) \text { and } K_{3}^{-4}=-4\left(5 a_{2}^{3}-5 a_{2} a_{3}+a_{4}\right)
$$

In general, for any $p \in \mathbb{Z}:=\{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots\}$, an expansion of $K_{n-1}^{p}$ is given by (see [1])

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{n-1}^{p}=p a_{n}+\frac{p(p-1)}{2} D_{n-1}^{2}+\frac{p!}{(p-3)!3!} D_{n-1}^{3}+\cdots+\frac{p!}{(p-n+1)!(n-1)!} D_{n-1}^{n-1}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{n-1}^{p}=D_{n-1}^{p}\left(a_{2}, a_{3}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$. In view of [7], we see that

$$
D_{n-1}^{m}\left(a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)=\sum \frac{m!}{j_{1}!\ldots j_{n-1}!} a_{2}^{j_{1}} \ldots a_{n}^{j_{n-1}}
$$

and the sum is taken over all non-negative integers $j_{1}, \ldots, j_{n-1}$ satisfying

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
j_{1}+j_{2}+\cdots+j_{n-1}=m \\
j_{1}+2 j_{2}+\cdots+(n-1) j_{n-1}=n-1
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is clear that $D_{n-1}^{n-1}\left(a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)=a_{2}^{n-1}$.
Recently, Hamidi and Jahangiri [4] proved their main result by making use of the assertion: if an analytic function $f$ of the form (1.1) is close-to-convex of order $\alpha$ with $a_{k}=0(2 \leq k \leq n-1)$, then the coefficients $b_{k}=0(2 \leq k \leq n-1)$ for the function

$$
g(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n} \in \mathcal{S}^{*}
$$

where $g$ satisfies the condition

$$
\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right)>\alpha \quad(0 \leq \alpha<1)
$$

But we can provide a simple counterexample to illuminate that the assertion above is wrong. For example, we choose the functions $f$ and $g$ as

$$
f(z)=z \text { and } g(z)=z-\frac{z^{2}}{2}
$$

clearly, we see that $g \in \mathcal{S}^{*}$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}, a_{2}=0$ but $b_{2}=-1 / 2 \neq 0$.
Let us recall the following result involving coefficient estimates of the class $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\alpha)$ due to Robertson [5], which will be used in the proof of our main result.

Lemma 1. If $f \in \mathcal{S}^{*}(\alpha)$, then

$$
\left|a_{n}\right| \leq \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{n-2}[j+2(1-\alpha)]}{(n-1)!} \quad\left(n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}:=\mathbb{N} \backslash\{1\}=\{2,3, \ldots\}\right) .
$$

Now, we will give the following result.
Theorem 1. Let the function $f$ and its inverse $F=f^{-1}$ satisfy the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right)>\alpha \text { and } \mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{w F^{\prime}(w)}{G(w)}\right)>\alpha \quad(z, w \in \mathbb{U} ; 0 \leq \alpha<1), \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ is given by (1.1), $F=f^{-1}$ is defined by (1.2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n} \in \mathcal{S}^{*} \text { and } G(w)=w+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} B_{n} w^{n} \in \mathcal{S}^{*} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, the function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ is bi-close-to-convex of order $\alpha$ in $\mathbb{U}$. If $a_{k}=0(2 \leq k \leq n-1)$, then for $n \geq 3$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|a_{n}\right| \leq \min \left\{1+\frac{2(1-\alpha)+\sum_{l=1}^{n-2}\left|b_{n-l} K_{l}^{-1}\left(b_{2}, \ldots, b_{l+1}\right)\right|}{n},\right. \\
& \left.1+\frac{2(1-\alpha)+\sum_{l=1}^{n-2}\left|B_{n-l} K_{l}^{-1}\left(B_{2}, \ldots, B_{l+1}\right)\right|}{n}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. For $0 \leq \alpha<1$, let the function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ given by (1.1) be bi-close-to-convex of order $\alpha$ in $\mathbb{U}$. By the hypothesis, the functions $f$ and $F=f^{-1}$ given by (1.2) are close-to-convex of order $\alpha$ in $\mathbb{U}$. Therefore, there exist two functions $g$ and $G$ defined by (2.3) satisfying (2.2). The Faber polynomial expansions for $z f^{\prime}(z) / g(z)$ and for $w F^{\prime}(w) / G(w)$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}=1+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left(\left(n a_{n}-b_{n}\right)+\sum_{l=1}^{n-2} K_{l}^{-1}\left(b_{2}, \ldots, b_{l+1}\right)\left[(n-l) a_{n-l}-b_{n-l}\right]\right) z^{n-1} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{w F^{\prime}(w)}{G(w)}=1+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left(\left(n A_{n}-B_{n}\right)+\sum_{l=1}^{n-2} K_{l}^{-1}\left(B_{2}, \ldots, B_{l+1}\right)\left[(n-l) A_{n-l}-B_{n-l}\right]\right) w^{n-1} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. On the other hand, by (2.2), we see that there exist two positive real-part functions

$$
p(z)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n} z^{n} \text { and } q(w)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_{n} w^{n}
$$

in $\mathbb{U}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}=\alpha+(1-\alpha) p(z)=1+(1-\alpha) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n} z^{n} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{w F^{\prime}(w)}{G(w)}=\alpha+(1-\alpha) q(w)=1+(1-\alpha) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_{n} w^{n} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the Carathéodory lemma (see [3]), we know that $\left|c_{n}\right| \leq 2$ and $\left|d_{n}\right| \leq 2(n \in \mathbb{N})$. Comparing the corresponding coefficients of (2.4) and (2.6), for any $n \geq 2$, yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(n a_{n}-b_{n}\right)+\sum_{l=1}^{n-2} K_{l}^{-1}\left(b_{2}, \ldots, b_{l+1}\right)\left[(n-l) a_{n-l}-b_{n-l}\right]=(1-\alpha) c_{n-1} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, it follows from (2.5) and (2.7) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(n A_{n}-B_{n}\right)+\sum_{l=1}^{n-2} K_{l}^{-1}\left(B_{2}, \ldots, B_{l+1}\right)\left[(n-l) A_{n-l}-B_{n-l}\right]=(1-\alpha) d_{n-1} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the hypothesis $a_{k}=0(2 \leq k \leq n-1)$, we find from (2.8) and (2.9) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(n a_{n}-b_{n}\right)-\sum_{l=1}^{n-2} b_{n-l} K_{l}^{-1}\left(b_{2}, \ldots, b_{l+1}\right)=(1-\alpha) c_{n-1} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(n A_{n}-B_{n}\right)-\sum_{l=1}^{n-2} B_{n-l} K_{l}^{-1}\left(B_{2}, \ldots, B_{l+1}\right)=(1-\alpha) d_{n-1} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $a_{k}=0(2 \leq k \leq n-1)$, we find that $A_{n}=-a_{n}$. It follows from Lemma $1,(2.10)$ and (2.11) that the assertion of Theorem 1 holds.

By setting $b_{k}=B_{k}=0(2 \leq k \leq n-1)$ in Theorem 1 , we easily get the following result. It corrects the errors of [4, Theorem 2.1]. More precisely, Theorem 2.1 in [4] holds only with the additional conditions $b_{k}=B_{k}=0(2 \leq k \leq n-1)$.

Corollary 1. For $0 \leq \alpha<1$, let the function $f$ and its inverse $F=f^{-1}$ satisfy the conditions

$$
\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right)>\alpha \text { and } \mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{w F^{\prime}(w)}{G(w)}\right)>\alpha
$$

where $f$ is given by (1.1), $F=f^{-1}$ is defined by (1.2),

$$
g(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n} \in \mathcal{S}^{*} \text { and } G(w)=w+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} B_{n} w^{n} \in \mathcal{S}^{*}
$$

that is, the function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ is bi-close-to-convex of order $\alpha$ in $\mathbb{U}$. If $a_{k}=b_{k}=B_{k}=0(2 \leq k \leq n-1)$, then

$$
\left|a_{n}\right| \leq 1+\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{n} \quad(n \geq 3)
$$

Remark 1. By the observation of Theorem 1 and Corollary 1, [6, Theorem 2.1] should also be corrected using our method. We here choose to omit the analogous details.
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