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## A B S T R A C T

This note gives an example of closed smooth manifolds $M$ and $N$ for which the rank of $M \times N$ is strictly greater than $\operatorname{rank} M+\operatorname{rank} N$.
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## R É S U M É

Cette note donne un exemple de deux variétés compactes $M$ et $N$ pour lesquelles le rang de $M \times N$ est strictement plus grand que rang $M+\operatorname{rang} N$.
© 2016 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Milnor defined the rank of a smooth manifold $M$ as the maximal number of commuting vector fields on $M$ that are linearly independent at each point.

One of the questions raised by Milnor at the Seattle Topology Conference of 1963, and echoed by Novikov [2], was

$$
\text { is } \operatorname{rank}(M \times N)=\operatorname{rank}(M)+\operatorname{rank}(N)
$$

whenever $M$ and $N$ are smooth closed manifolds?
In this note we give a negative answer to this question.

## 2. The main result

We need a simple result about mapping tori.
Let $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a diffeomorphism of a manifold $X$ and let

[^0]$$
M(f)=\frac{I \times X}{(0, x)^{\sim}(1, f(x))}
$$
be the mapping torus of f where $I=[0,1]$.
Equivalently, $M(f)=\frac{\mathbb{R} \times X}{\mathbb{Z}}$ where the action of $\mathbb{Z}$ on $\mathbb{R} \times X$ is given by $\alpha(k)(t, x)=\left(t+k, f^{k}(x)\right) . M(f)$ is a fiber bundle over $S^{1}$ with fiber $X$. We note that $\pi_{1}(M(f))=\pi_{1}(X) *_{f} \mathbb{Z}$ where $*$ denotes the semi-direct product and $f_{*}: \pi_{1}(X) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(X)$.

Proposition 2.1. Consider two periodic diffeomorphisms $f: X \rightarrow X$ and $g: Y \rightarrow Y$ with periods $m$ and $n$ respectively. Assume $m$ and $n$ are relatively prime, i.e., there are integers $c, d$ such that $m c+n d=1$.

Then $M(f) \times M(g)$ is diffeomorphic to $M(h)$ where $h: S^{1} \times X \times Y \rightarrow S^{1} \times X \times Y$ is defined by $h(\theta, x, y)=\left(\theta, f^{-d}(x), g^{c}(y)\right)$. Moreover $h^{m-n}=(i d, f, g)$.

Proof. $M(f) \times M(g)$ can be identified with the quotient of $\mathbb{R}^{2} \times X \times Y$ under the action of $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ given by $\beta(z)(u, x, y)=$ $\left(u+z, f^{z_{1}}(x), g^{z_{2}}(y)\right)$, where $z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}, u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $(x, y) \in X \times Y$.

Set $\lambda=(m, n)$ and $\mu=(-d, c)$. Since $m c+n d=1, \mathcal{B}=\{\lambda, \mu\}$ is at the same time a basis of $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module and a basis of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ as a vector space. On the other hand

$$
\beta(\lambda)(u, x, y)=(u+\lambda, x, y) \quad \text { and } \quad \beta(\mu)(u, x, y)=\left(u+\mu, f^{-d}(x), g^{c}(y)\right) .
$$

Therefore the action $\beta$ referred to the new basis $\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ is written now:

$$
\beta(k, r)(a, b, x, y)=\left(a+k, b+r, \varphi^{r}(x), \gamma^{r}(y)\right)
$$

where $\varphi=f^{-d}$ and $\gamma=g^{c}$.
As the action of the first factor of $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ on $X \times Y$ is trivial, identifying $S^{1}$ with $\frac{\mathbb{R}}{\mathbb{Z}}$ shows that $M(f) \times M(g)$ is diffeomorphic to $M(h)$.

Finally from $(-n)(-d)=1-c m$ and $c m=1-d n$ follows that $h^{m-n}=(i d, f, g)$.
On the other hand:
Lemma 2.1. Let $f: N \rightarrow N$ be a diffeomorphism and let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}$ be a family of commuting vector fields on $N$ that are linearly independent everywhere. Assume $f_{*} X_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{i j} X_{j}, i=1, \ldots, k$, where the matrix $\left(a_{i j}\right) \in G L(k, \mathbb{R})$. Then $\operatorname{rank}(M(f)) \geq k$.

Proof. It suffices to construct $k$ commuting vector fields $\widetilde{X}_{1}, \ldots, \widetilde{X}_{k}$ on $I \times N$ that are linearly independent at each point and such that every $\widetilde{X}_{i}(t, x)$ equals $X_{i}(x)$ if $t$ is close to zero and $f_{*} X_{i}(x)$ when $t$ is close to $1\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}\right.$ are considered vector fields on $I \times N$ in the obvious way).

If $\left|a_{i j}\right|>0$ consider an interval $[a, b] \subset(0,1)$ and a (differentiable) map $\left(\varphi_{i j}\right): I \rightarrow G L(k, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\varphi_{i j}([0, a])=\delta_{i j}$ and $\varphi_{i j}([b, 1])=a_{i j}$, and set $\widetilde{X}_{i}(t, x)=\sum_{j=1}^{k} \varphi_{i j}(t) X_{j}(x)$.

When $\left|a_{i j}\right|<0$ first take an interval $[c, d] \subset(0,1 / 2)$ and a function $\rho:[0,1 / 2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\rho([0, c])=1, \rho([d, 1 / 2])=$ -1 , and on $[0,1 / 2] \times N$ set $\widetilde{X}_{1}(t, x)=\rho(t) X_{1}(x)+\left(1-\rho^{2}(t)\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ and $\widetilde{X}_{i}(t, x)=X_{i}(x), i=2, \ldots, k$.

The matrix of coordinates of $f_{*} X_{1}, \ldots, f_{*} X_{k}$ with respect to the basis $\left\{-X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{k}\right\}$ has positive determinant, so by doing as before we can extend $\widetilde{X}_{1}, \ldots, \widetilde{X}_{k}$ to $[1 / 2,1] \times N$ by means of an interval $[a, b] \subset(1 / 2,1)$ and a suitable map $\left(\varphi_{i j}\right):[1 / 2,1] \rightarrow G L(k, \mathbb{R})$.

Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 2.1 quickly yield a counterexample.
Assume $X$ is a torus $\mathbb{T}^{k}=\frac{\mathbb{R}^{k}}{\mathbb{Z}^{k}}$ and $f$ is the map induced by a nontrivial element of $G L(k, \mathbb{Z})$. Then by the above lemma applied to $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{j}}, j=1, \ldots, k, \operatorname{rank}(M(f)) \geq k$. But $M(f)$ has non-Abelian fundamental group, so it is not a torus and $\operatorname{rank}(M(f))=k$. (If $M$ is a closed connected $n$-manifold of rank $n$, then $M$ is diffeomorphic to the $n$-torus.)

For the same reason, if $Y=\mathbb{T}^{r}$ and $g$ is induced by a nontrivial element of $G L(r, \mathbb{Z})$, then $\operatorname{rank}(M(g))=r$.
If $f$ and $g$ are periodic with relatively prime periods $m$ and $n$, respectively, then by Proposition $2.1, M(f) \times M(g)=$ $M(h)$ where $h: \mathbb{T}^{k+r+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{k+r+1}$ is induced by a nontrivial element of $G L(k+r+1, \mathbb{Z})$. Moreover $\operatorname{rank}(M(h))=k+r+1$. Therefore:

$$
\operatorname{rank}(M(f) \times M(g))>\operatorname{rank}(M(f))+\operatorname{rank}(M(g))
$$

For instance, set $k=r=2$ and consider $f, g$ induced by the elements in $S L(2, \mathbb{Z}) \subset G L(2, \mathbb{Z})$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

respectively, so $M(f)$ and $M(g)$ are orientable. Then the period of $f$ is 2 and that of $g$ equals 3 .

An even simpler but non-orientable counterexample can be constructed as follows. Take $r$ and $g$ as before, $k=1$ and $f$ induced by $(-1)$. Then $M(f)$ is the Klein bottle which has rank 1 and $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{g})$ has rank 2 ; however, $M(f) \times M(g)$ is diffeomorphic to $M(h)$ and hence has rank 4.

Remark 1. The file of a manifold $M$ was defined by Rosenberg [3] to be the largest integer $k$ such that $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ acts locally free on $M$. When $M$ is closed file $(M)$ equals $\operatorname{rank}(M)$ but file $\left(\mathbb{R} \times S^{2}\right)=1$, [3], while $\operatorname{rank}\left(\mathbb{R} \times S^{2}\right)=3$.

The analog of Milnor's question for the file of a product of noncompact manifolds also fails. Indeed, let $\mathbb{R}_{e}^{4}$ be any exotic $\mathbb{R}^{4}$. Then file $\left(\mathbb{R}_{e}^{4}\right) \leq 3$ otherwise $\mathbb{R}_{e}^{4}=\mathbb{R}^{4}$. But $\mathbb{R}_{e}^{4} \times \mathbb{R}=\mathbb{R}^{5}$, because there in no exotic $\mathbb{R}^{5}$, so file $\left(\mathbb{R}_{e}^{4} \times \mathbb{R}\right)=5>$ file $\left(\mathbb{R}_{e}^{4}\right)+\operatorname{file}(\mathbb{R})$.

Orientable closed connected $n$-manifolds of rank $n-1$ are completely described in [4,1,5].
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