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**Abstract**

In this Note, we study an optimal control problem on a Riemannian manifold. The control set in our problem is assumed to be a general Polish space, and therefore the classical variation technique fails. We obtain a pointwise second-order optimality condition, for which the curvature tensor of the manifold appears explicitly in the second-order dual equation.
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**Résultat**

Dans cette Note, nous étudions un problème du contrôle optimal sur une variété riemannienne. Dans ce problème, l’ensemble des contrôles est un espace de Polish général; ainsi, la technique de variation classique ne s’applique pas ici. On obtient une condition d’optimalité ponctuelle du second ordre, pour laquelle le tenseur de courbure de la variété apparaît explicitement dans l’équation duale du second ordre.

© 2015 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Let \( M \) be a complete simply connected \( n(\in \mathbb{N}) \) dimensional Riemannian manifold with metric \( g \). Let \( \nabla \) be the Levi-Civita connection on \( M \) related to \( g \), \( \rho(\cdot, \cdot) \) be the distance function on \( M \), \( T_x M \) be the tangent space of the manifold \( M \) at \( x \in M \), \( T^*_x M \) be the cotangent space at \( x \), and \( \exp_x : T_x M \to M \) be the exponential map at \( x \). Denote by \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) and \( | \cdot | \) respectively the inner product and the norm over \( T_x M \) related to \( g \). Also, denote by \( T M, T^* M \) and \( C^\infty(M) \) the tangent bundle, the cotangent bundle and the set of smooth functions on \( M \), respectively. Let \( T > 0 \), \( U \) be a Polish space, \( f : [0, T] \times M \times U \to TM \) and \( f^0 : [0, T] \times M \times U \to \mathbb{R} \) be two given maps. Given \( y_0 \in M \), we consider the following control system

\[
\begin{cases}
  \dot{y}(t) = f(t, y(t), u(t)), & \text{a.e. } t \in [0, T], \\
  y(0) = y_0,
\end{cases}
\]
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where the control \( u(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}_{ad} \equiv \{ u(\cdot) : [0, T] \rightarrow U ; \ u(\cdot) \text{ is measurable} \} \). The cost functional associated with (1) is 
\[
J(u(\cdot)) = \int_0^T f^0(t, y(t), u(t)) \, dt.
\]
Our problem is to find a \( \tilde{u}(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}_{ad} \) such that
\[
J(\tilde{u}(\cdot)) = \min \limits_{u(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}} J(u(\cdot)). \tag{2}
\]
We call such a \( \tilde{u}(\cdot) \) an optimal control, the corresponding solution \( \bar{y}(\cdot) \) to (1) an optimal trajectory, and \( (\bar{y}(\cdot), \tilde{u}(\cdot)) \) an optimal pair.

The above problem can be viewed as an optimal control problem with state constrained on a submanifold of the Euclidean space. One of the central topics in control theory is to establish necessary conditions for optimal controls. As that in calculus, one can derive the first-order necessary condition for optimal controls, as done in the classical monograph \cite{12}, even for some situation of state constrains. Clearly, for some optimal control problems, it may happen that the first-order necessary conditions turn out to be trivial. In this case, the first-order necessary condition cannot provide enough information for the theoretical analysis and numerical computation, and therefore one needs to study the second- (or even higher-) order necessary conditions for optimal controls.

In this note, we aim to give a second-order necessary condition for the optimal control problem (1)–(2). There are many references devoted to the second-order necessary conditions for optimal control problems in Euclidean spaces, such as \cite{4,6–11} and the references therein. For the control system whose state is constrained to a manifold, there are also some literatures \( (e.g., \ 1,3,13 \text{ and the references therein}) \) devoted to the second-order necessary conditions for optimal controls when the control set is an open subset of some manifold \( (\text{hence the classical variation technique can be employed}). \) Nevertheless, to the best of our knowledge, there is no publication addressing the second-order optimality condition for the above control problem with a general control set \( U \) for which the classical variation technique fails.

2. The main result

We begin with some notations. Denote by \( i(x) \) the injectivity radius of a point \( x \in M \). For any two points \( x_1, x_2 \in M \) with \( \rho(x_1, x_2) < \min(i(x_1), i(x_2)) \), there exists a unique geodesic starting from \( x_1 \) and ending at \( x_2 \). Denote by \( L_{x_1 x_2} \) the parallel translation along this geodesic. Denote by \( R \) the curvature tensor of the Riemannian manifold \( M \), and write
\[
R(X, Y, Z, W) = \langle R(X, Y)Z, W \rangle, \quad \forall X, Y, Z, W \in T_{x}M.
\]
Denote by \( \mathcal{E} \) the tensor contraction map satisfying
\[
\mathcal{E}(v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3 \otimes v_4) = \begin{cases} v_2(v_3) v_1 \otimes v_4, & \text{if } v_1, v_2, v_4 \in T^*M, v_3 \in TM; \\ v_3(v_2) v_1 \otimes v_4, & \text{if } v_1, v_3, v_4 \in T^*M, v_2 \in TM. \end{cases}
\]
We need the following assumptions:

\begin{enumerate}[\text{(C1)}]
\item The maps \( f (= f(t, x, u)) : [0, T] \times M \times U \rightarrow TM \) and \( f^0 (= f^0(t, x, u)) : [0, T] \times M \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) are measurable in \( t \), continuous in \( (x, u) \), and \( C^1 \) in \( x \). Moreover, there exists a constant \( L > 1 \) such that,
\[
|f^0(s, x_1, u) - f^0(s, x_2, u)| \leq L \rho(x_1, x_2), \quad |L_{x_1 x_2} f(s, x_1, u) - f(s, x_2, u)| \leq L \rho(x_1, x_2),
\]
for all \( s \in [0, T], u \in U, \) and \( x_1, x_2 \in M \) with \( \rho(x_1, x_2) \leq \min(i(x_1), i(x_2)), \) where \( x_0 \in M \) is fixed.
\item The maps \( f \) and \( f^0 \) are \( C^2 \) in their second argument. Furthermore, it holds that
\[
|\nabla_x f(t, x_1, u) - L_{x_1} \nabla_x f(t, x_2, u)| \leq L \rho(x_1, x_2), \quad |\nabla_x f^0(t, x_1, u) - L_{x_1} \nabla_x f^0(t, x_2, u)| \leq L \rho(x_1, x_2),
\]
for all \( x_1, x_2 \in M \) with \( \rho(x_1, x_2) < \min(i(x_1), i(x_2)) \) and \( (t, u) \in [0, T] \times U, \) where \( \nabla_x f(t, \cdot, u) \) and \( \nabla_x f^0(t, \cdot, u) \) respectively the covariant derivatives of \( f(t, \cdot, u) \) and \( f^0(t, \cdot, u) \) w.r.t. the state variable.
\end{enumerate}

In the sequel, we fix an optimal pair \( (\bar{y}(\cdot), \tilde{u}(\cdot)) \) for the optimal control problem (1)–(2). Let \( \psi(t) \in T^*_{\bar{y}(t)}M \) be the solution to the following first-order dual equation:
\[
\begin{cases}
\nabla_{\bar{y}(t)} \psi = -\nabla_x f(t, \bar{y}(t), \tilde{u}(t))(\psi(t), \cdot) + dx f^0(t, \bar{y}(t), \tilde{u}(t)), \quad t \in [0, T), \\
\psi(T) = 0.
\end{cases}
\tag{3}
\]
where \( dx f^0 \) denotes the exterior derivative of \( f^0 \), and \( \nabla_x f(t, \bar{y}(t), \tilde{u}(t))(\psi(t), \cdot) \) is a tensor satisfying
\[
\nabla_x f(t, \bar{y}(t), \tilde{u}(t))(\psi(t), \cdot)(X) = \nabla_x f(t, \bar{y}(t), \tilde{u}(t))(\psi(t), X) = \nabla_{X_{\bar{y}(t)}} f(t, \cdot, \tilde{u}(t))(\psi(t)), \quad \forall X \in TM.
\]
Moreover, let \( w(t) \) be a tensor of order 2 at \( \bar{y}(t) \), and satisfy the following second-order dual equation:
\[
\begin{aligned}
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\nabla_{\tilde{y}(t)} w + \mathcal{E}(\nabla_x f)^T(t, \tilde{y}(t), \ddot{u}(t)) \otimes w(t) + \mathcal{E}(w(t) \otimes \nabla_x f(t, \tilde{y}(t), \ddot{u}(t))) \\
+ \nabla^2_x H(t, \tilde{y}(t), \psi(t), \ddot{u}(t)) - R(\tilde{\psi}(t), \cdot, f(t, \tilde{y}(t), \ddot{u}(t)), \cdot) = 0, \quad t \in [0, T),
\end{array} \right.
\end{aligned}
\]

where \( \nabla_x f^T(t, \tilde{y}(t), \ddot{u}(t)) \) is the transpose of \( \nabla_x f(t, \tilde{y}(t), \ddot{u}(t)) \), given by

\[
\nabla_x f^T(t, \tilde{y}(t), \ddot{u}(t))(X, \eta) \equiv \nabla_x f(t, \tilde{y}(t), \ddot{u}(t))(\eta, X), \quad \forall \eta \in T^* M, X \in TM;
\]

the Hamiltonian function \( H : [0, T] \times T^* M \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is defined by

\[
H(t, x, p, u) \equiv p(f(t, x, u)) - f^0(t, x, u), \quad \forall (t, x, p, u) \in [0, T] \times T^* M \times U;
\]

\( \tilde{\psi}(t) \) is the dual vector of \( \psi(t) \), given by

\[
\langle \tilde{\psi}(t), v \rangle = \psi(t)(v), \quad \forall v \in T_{\tilde{y}(t)} M;
\]

and \( \nabla^2_x H(t, \tilde{y}(t), \psi(t), \ddot{u}(t)) \) and \( R(\tilde{\psi}(t), \cdot, f(t, \tilde{y}(t), \ddot{u}(t)), \cdot) \) are tensors given respectively by

\[
\nabla^2_x H(t, \tilde{y}(t), \psi(t), \ddot{u}(t))(X, Y) \equiv \nabla^2_x f(t, \tilde{y}(t), \ddot{u}(t))(\psi(t), X, Y) - \nabla^2_x f^0(t, \tilde{y}(t), \ddot{u}(t))(X, Y)
\]

and

\[
R(\tilde{\psi}(t), \cdot, f(t, \tilde{y}(t), \ddot{u}(t)), \cdot)(X, Y) \equiv R(\tilde{\psi}(t), X, f(t, \tilde{y}(t), \ddot{u}(t)), Y), \quad \forall X, Y \in TM.
\]

Put

\[
\bar{U}(t) \equiv \{ u \in U : H(t, \tilde{y}(t), \psi(t), \ddot{u}(t)) = H(t, \tilde{y}(t), \psi(t), u) \}.
\]

We have the following second-order necessary condition for the optimal pair \((\tilde{y}(\cdot), \tilde{u}(\cdot))\):

**Theorem 2.1.** Assume that (C1) and (C2) hold. Then, for a.e. \( t \in [0, T] \) and any \( v \in \bar{U}(t) \),

\[
\frac{1}{2} \left( w(t) + w^T(t) \right) \left( f(t, \tilde{y}(t), \ddot{u}(t)) - f(t, \tilde{y}(t), v) \right) \left( f(t, \tilde{y}(t), \ddot{u}(t)) - f(t, \tilde{y}(t), v) \right)
\]

\[
+ \left( \nabla_x H(t, \tilde{y}(t), \psi(t), \ddot{u}(t)) - \nabla_x H(t, \tilde{y}(t), \psi(t), v) \right) \left( f(t, \tilde{y}(t), \ddot{u}(t)) - f(t, \tilde{y}(t), v) \right) \leq 0,
\]

where the 2-form \( w^T(t) \) is the transpose of \( w(t) \), given by \( w^T(t)(X, Y) \equiv w(t)(Y, X) \) for all \( X, Y \in TM \); and \( \nabla_x H(t, \tilde{y}(t), \psi(t), u) \) with \( (t, u) \in [0, T] \times U \) is a tensor satisfying

\[
\nabla_x H(t, \tilde{y}(t), \psi(t), u)(X) \equiv \nabla_x f(t, \tilde{y}(t), u)(\psi(t), X) - \langle \nabla_x f^0(t, \tilde{y}(t), u), \psi(t) \rangle, \quad \forall X \in TM.
\]

We refer to [5] for a detailed proof of Theorem 2.1 and other results in this context.

Our Theorem 2.1 is different from [1, Theorem 20.6, p. 300 and Proposition 20.11, p. 310] in two aspects. First, the control set in the present work is a Polish space (recall that all nonempty closed sets and open sets in \( \mathbb{R}^m (m \in \mathbb{N}) \) are Polish spaces), while the control set in [1] is an open subset of a manifold. Second, our second-order necessary condition depends on the curvature tensor (see equation [4]), which does not appear explicitly in [1]. Also, it should be mentioned that, compared to the corresponding result in the Euclidean space [11], the presence of the curvature tensor in the second-order necessary condition shows the very difference between a curved space and the flat space from the viewpoint of optimal control.
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