

Probability theory

## Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I





# Restrictions of Brownian motion

# Restrictions du mouvement brownien

# Richárd Balka<sup>a,b</sup>, Yuval Peres<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematics, University of Washington, Box 354350, Seattle, WA 98195-4350, USA

<sup>b</sup> Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, PO Box 127, 1364 Budapest, Hungary

<sup>c</sup> Microsoft Research, 1 Microsoft Way, Redmond, WA 98052, USA

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 26 June 2014 Accepted after revision 26 September 2014 Available online 16 October 2014

Presented by Jean-François Le Gall

#### ABSTRACT

Let  $\{B(t): 0 \le t \le 1\}$  be a linear Brownian motion and let dim denote the Hausdorff dimension. Let  $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$  and  $1 \le \beta \le 2$ . We prove that, almost surely, there exists no set  $A \subset [0, 1]$  such that dim  $A > \frac{1}{2}$  and  $B: A \to \mathbb{R}$  is  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuous. The proof is an application of Kaufman's dimension doubling theorem. As a corollary of the above theorem, we show that, almost surely, there exists no set  $A \subset [0, 1]$  such that dim  $A > \frac{\beta}{2}$  and  $B: A \to \mathbb{R}$  has finite  $\beta$ -variation. The zero set of *B* and a deterministic construction witness that the above theorems give the optimal dimensions.

© 2014 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

# RÉSUMÉ

On note {*B*(*t*):  $0 \le t \le 1$ } un mouvement brownien linéaire et dim la dimension de Hausdorff. Pour  $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$  et  $1 \le \beta \le 2$ , nous montrons que, presque sûrement, il n'existe pas d'ensemble  $A \subset [0, 1]$  tel que dim  $A > \frac{1}{2}$  et  $B: A \to \mathbb{R}$  soit  $\alpha$ -Hölder continue. La preuve est une application du théorème de Kaufman sur le doublement de dimension. Comme corollaire du théorème ci-dessus, nous montrons que, presque sûrement, il n'existe pas d'ensemble  $A \subset [0, 1]$  tel que dim  $A > \frac{\beta}{2}$  et  $B: A \to \mathbb{R}$  ait une  $\beta$ -variation finie. L'ensemble des zéros de *B* et une construction déterministe montrent que les théorèmes ci-dessus donnent les dimensions optimales.

© 2014 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

# 1. Introduction

We examine how large a set can be, on which linear Brownian motion is  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuous for some  $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$  or has finite  $\beta$ -variation for some  $1 \le \beta \le 2$ . The main goal of the paper is to prove the following two theorems.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $\{B(t): 0 \le t \le 1\}$  be a linear Brownian motion and let  $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$ . Then, almost surely, there exists no set  $A \subset [0, 1]$  with dim  $A > \frac{1}{2}$  such that  $B: A \to \mathbb{R}$  is  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuous.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.crma.2014.09.023



E-mail addresses: balka@math.washington.edu (R. Balka), peres@microsoft.com (Y. Peres).

<sup>1631-073</sup>X/© 2014 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

Recall that for  $A \subset [0, 1]$  the  $\beta$ -variation of a function  $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$  is defined as

$$\operatorname{Var}^{\beta}(f) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1}) \right|^{\beta} : x_0 < \dots < x_n, \ x_i \in A, \ n \in \mathbb{N}^+ \right\}.$$

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $\{B(t): 0 \le t \le 1\}$  be a linear Brownian motion and assume that  $1 \le \beta \le 2$ . Then, almost surely, there exists no set  $A \subset [0, 1]$  with dim  $A > \frac{\beta}{2}$  such that  $B: A \to \mathbb{R}$  has finite  $\beta$ -variation. In particular,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\exists A: \dim A > \frac{1}{2} \text{ and } B|_A \text{ is increasing}\right) = 0.$$

Clearly, the above theorems hold simultaneously for a countable dense set of parameters  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , thus simultaneously for all  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ . Let  $\mathcal{Z}$  be the zero set of a linear Brownian motion B. Then, almost surely, dim  $\mathcal{Z} = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $B|_{\mathcal{Z}}$  is  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuous for all  $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$ , so Theorem 1.1 gives the optimal dimension. We prove also that Theorem 1.2 is best possible, see Theorem 4.3.

#### 1.1. Motivation and related results

Let C[0, 1] denote the set of continuous functions  $f:[0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$  endowed with the maximum norm. Elekes proved the following restriction theorem.

**Theorem 1.3.** (See Elekes [3].) Let  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . For the generic continuous function  $f \in C[0, 1]$  (in the sense of Baire category)

(1) for all  $A \subset [0, 1]$ , if  $f|_A$  is  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuous, then dim  $A \leq 1 - \alpha$ ; (2) for all  $A \subset [0, 1]$ , if  $f|_A$  is of bounded variation, then dim  $A \leq \frac{1}{2}$ .

The above theorem is sharp, the following result was proved by Kahane and Katznelson, and Máthé independently, by different methods.

**Theorem 1.4.** (See Kahane and Katznelson [6], Máthé [10].) Let  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . For any  $f \in C[0, 1]$  there are compact sets  $A, D \subset [0, 1]$  such that

- (1) dim  $A = 1 \alpha$  and  $f|_A$  is  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuous;
- (2) dim  $D = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $f|_D$  is of bounded variation.

Kahane and Katznelson also considered Hölder continuous functions.

**Definition 1.5.** For  $A \subset [0, 1]$  let  $C^{\alpha}(A)$  and BV(A) denote the set of functions  $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$  that are  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuous and of bounded variation, respectively. For all  $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$ , define

$$H(\alpha, \beta) = \sup \{ \gamma : \forall f \in C^{\alpha}[0, 1] \exists A \subset [0, 1] \text{ s.t. } \dim A = \gamma \text{ and } f|_{A} \in C^{\beta}(A) \},$$

 $V(\alpha) = \sup\{\gamma : \forall f \in C^{\alpha}[0, 1] \exists A \subset [0, 1] \text{ s.t. } \dim A = \gamma \text{ and } f|_A \in BV(A)\}.$ 

**Theorem 1.6.** (See Kahane and Katznelson [6].) For all  $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$ , we have:

$$H(\alpha, \beta) \le \frac{1-\beta}{1-\alpha}$$
 and  $V(\alpha) \le \frac{1}{2-\alpha}$ 

Question 1.7. (See Kahane and Katznelson [6].) Is the above result the best possible?

As the linear Brownian motion *B* is  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuous for all  $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ , our results and Theorem 1.4 imply the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.8.** For all  $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2} < \beta < 1$  we have:

$$H(\alpha, \beta) \leq \frac{1}{2}$$
 and  $V(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2}$ .

Related results in the discrete setting can be found in [1].

**Definition 1.9.** Let  $d \ge 2$  and  $f: [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}^d$ . We say that f is *increasing* on a set  $A \subset [0, 1]$  if all the coordinate functions of  $f|_A$  are non-decreasing.

**Question 1.10.** Let  $d \ge 2$  and let  $\{B(t): 0 \le t \le 1\}$  be a standard *d*-dimensional Brownian motion. What is the maximal number  $\gamma$  such that, almost surely, *B* is increasing on some set of Hausdorff dimension  $\gamma$ ?

## 2. Preliminaries

The diameter of a metric space X is denoted by diam X. For all  $s \ge 0$ , the *s*-dimensional Hausdorff measure of X is defined as:

$$\mathcal{H}^{s}(X) = \lim_{\delta \to 0+} \mathcal{H}^{s}_{\delta}(X), \quad \text{where}$$
$$\mathcal{H}^{s}_{\delta}(X) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\operatorname{diam} X_{i})^{s} : X \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_{i}, \ \forall i \ \operatorname{diam} X_{i} \leq \delta \right\}$$

The Hausdorff dimension of X is defined as:

 $\dim X = \inf \{ s \ge 0 : \mathcal{H}^s(X) < \infty \}.$ 

Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $\alpha > 0$ . A function  $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$  is called  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuous if there exists a constant  $c \in (0, \infty)$  such that  $|f(x) - f(y)| \le c|x - y|^{\alpha}$  for all  $x, y \in A$ .

**Fact 2.1.** If  $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$  is  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuous, then dim  $f(A) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \dim A$ .

## 3. Hölder restrictions

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 1.1. First we need some preparation.

**Definition 3.1.** A function  $g:[0,1] \to \mathbb{R}^2$  is called *dimension doubling* if

 $\dim g(A) = 2 \dim A \quad \text{for all } A \subset [0, 1].$ 

Theorem 3.2. (See Kaufman [7], see also [12].) The two-dimensional Brownian motion is almost surely dimension doubling.

The following theorem follows from [5, Lemma 2] together with the fact that the closed range of the stable subordinator with parameter  $\frac{1}{2}$  coincides with the zero set of a linear Brownian motion. For a more direct reference see [8].

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $A \subset [0, 1]$  be a compact set with dim  $A > \frac{1}{2}$  and let  $\mathcal{Z}$  be the zero set of a linear Brownian motion. Then dim $(A \cap \mathcal{Z}) > 0$  with positive probability.

**Lemma 3.4** (*Key Lemma*). Let  $\{W(t): 0 \le t \le 1\}$  be a linear Brownian motion. Assume that  $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$  and  $f: [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function such that (f, W) is almost surely dimension doubling. Then there is no set  $A \subset [0, 1]$  such that dim  $A > \frac{1}{2}$  and f is  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuous on A.

**Proof.** Assume to the contrary that there is a set  $A \subset [0, 1]$  such that dim  $A > \frac{1}{2}$  and f is  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuous on A. As f is still  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuous on the closure of A, we may assume that A itself is closed. Let  $\mathcal{Z}$  be the zero set of W, then Theorem 3.3 implies that dim $(A \cap \mathcal{Z}) > 0$  with positive probability. Then the  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuity of  $f|_A$  and Fact 2.1 imply that, with positive probability,

$$\dim(f, W)(A \cap Z) = \dim(f(A \cap Z) \times \{0\}) = \dim f(A \cap Z)$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \dim(A \cap Z) < 2\dim(A \cap Z),$$

which contradicts the fact that (f, W) is almost surely dimension doubling.  $\Box$ 

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Let  $\{W(t): 0 \le t \le 1\}$  be a linear Brownian motion which is independent of *B*. By Kaufman's dimension doubling theorem (B, W) is dimension doubling with probability one, thus applying Lemma 3.4 for an almost sure path of *B* finishes the proof.  $\Box$ 

### 4. Restrictions of bounded variation

We need the following lemma, which may be obtained by a slight modification of [2, Lemma 4.1]. For the reader's convenience, we outline the proof.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta > 0$ . Assume that  $A \subset [0, 1]$  and the function  $f : A \to \mathbb{R}$  has finite  $\beta$ -variation. Then there are sets  $A_n \subset A$  such that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ 

$$f|_{A_n}$$
 is  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuous and  $\dim\left(A \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) \leq \alpha \beta$ 

**Proof.** For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$  let

 $A_n = \big\{ x \in A : \big| f(x+t) - f(x) \big| \le 2t^\alpha \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, 1/n] \cap (A-x) \big\}.$ 

As *A* is bounded,  $f|_{A_n}$  is  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuous for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . Let

$$D = \left\{ x \in A : \limsup_{t \to 0+} |f(x+t) - f(x)| t^{-\alpha} > 1 \right\}.$$

Clearly  $A \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \subset D$ , so it is enough to prove that dim  $D \le \alpha \beta$ . Let us fix  $\delta > 0$  arbitrarily. Then for all  $x \in D$  there is a  $0 < t_x < \delta$  such that

$$\left|f(x+t_x) - f(x)\right| \ge t_x^{\alpha}.\tag{4.1}$$

Define  $I_x = [x - t_x, x + t_x]$  for all  $x \in D$ . By Besicovitch's covering theorem (see [11, Thm. 2.7]) there is a number  $p \in \mathbb{N}^+$  not depending on  $\delta$  and countable sets  $S_i \subset D$  ( $i \in \{1, ..., p\}$ ) such that

$$D \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{p} \bigcup_{x \in S_i} I_x \quad \text{and} \quad I_x \cap I_y = \emptyset \quad \text{for all } x, y \in S_i, \ x \neq y.$$

$$(4.2)$$

Applying (4.1) and (4.2) implies that for all  $i \in \{1, ..., p\}$ 

$$\sum_{x \in S_i} |I_x|^{\alpha\beta} = 2^{\alpha\beta} \sum_{x \in S_i} t_x^{\alpha\beta} \le 2^{\alpha\beta} \sum_{x \in S_i} \left| f(x+t_x) - f(x) \right|^{\beta} \le 2^{\alpha\beta} \operatorname{Var}^{\beta}(f).$$
(4.3)

Eqs. (4.2) and (4.3) imply that

$$\mathcal{H}_{\delta}^{\alpha\beta}(D) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{x \in S_{i}} |I_{x}|^{\alpha\beta} \leq p 2^{\alpha\beta} \operatorname{Var}^{\beta}(f).$$

As  $\operatorname{Var}^{\beta}(f) < \infty$  and  $\delta > 0$  was arbitrary, we obtain that  $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha\beta}(D) < \infty$ . Hence dim  $D \le \alpha\beta$ , and the proof is complete.  $\Box$ 

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Assume to the contrary that for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a random set  $A \subset [0, 1]$  such that, with positive probability, dim  $A \ge \beta/2 + 2\varepsilon$  and  $B|_A$  has finite  $\beta$ -variation. Let  $\alpha = 1/2 + \varepsilon/\beta > 1/2$ . Applying Lemma 4.1 we obtain that there are sets  $A_n \subset A$  such that  $B|_{A_n}$  is  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuous for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$  and

$$\dim\left(A\setminus\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}A_n\right)\leq\alpha\beta=\frac{\beta}{2}+\varepsilon.$$
(4.4)

As  $\alpha > 1/2$  and  $B|_{A_n}$  are  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuous, Theorem 1.1 implies that almost surely dim  $A_n \le 1/2$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ , therefore (4.4) and the countable stability of the Hausdorff dimension yield that dim  $A \le \beta/2 + \varepsilon$  almost surely. This is a contradiction, and the proof is complete.  $\Box$ 

Theorems 4.2 and 4.3 (with  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ ) imply that Theorem 1.2 is sharp for all  $\beta$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** (See Lévy's modulus of continuity, [9], see also [12].) For the linear Brownian motion  $\{B(t): 0 \le t \le 1\}$ , almost surely,

$$\limsup_{h \to 0+} \sup_{0 \le t \le 1-h} \frac{|B(t+h) - B(t)|}{\sqrt{2h\log(1/h)}} = 1.$$

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $0 < \alpha \le 1$  and  $0 < \beta \le \frac{1}{\alpha}$  be fixed. Then there is a compact set  $A \subset [0, 1]$  such that dim  $A = \alpha\beta$  and if  $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a function and  $c \in (0, \infty)$  such that for all  $x, y \in [0, 1]$ 

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \le c|x - y|^{\alpha} \log \frac{1}{|x - y|},$$
(4.5)

then  $f|_A$  has finite  $\beta$ -variation.

**Proof.** First we construct *A*. For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  let

$$\nu_n = 2^{-n/(\alpha\beta)} (n+1)^{-(\beta+2)/\beta}$$
.

We define intervals  $I_{i_1...i_n} \subset [0, 1]$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\{i_1, ..., i_n\} \in \{0, 1\}^n$  by induction. We use the convention  $\{0, 1\}^0 = \{\emptyset\}$ . Let  $I_{\emptyset} = [0, 1]$ , and if the interval  $I_{i_1...i_n} = [u, v]$  is already defined then let

$$I_{i_1...i_n0} = [u, u + \gamma_{n+1}]$$
 and  $I_{i_1...i_n1} = [v - \gamma_{n+1}, v]$ .

Let

$$A = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{(i_1,\ldots,i_n)\in\{0,1\}^n} I_{i_1\ldots i_n}.$$

Assume that  $f:[0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$  is a function satisfying (4.5). Now we prove that  $\operatorname{Var}^{\beta}(f|_{A}) < \infty$ . As diam  $I_{i_{1}...i_{n}} = \gamma_{n}$ , the definition of  $\gamma_{n}$  and (4.5) imply that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $(i_{1},...,i_{n}) \in \{0,1\}^{n}$  we have

$$\left(\operatorname{diam} f(I_{i_1\dots i_n})\right)^{\beta} \le \left(c\gamma_n^{\alpha}\log\gamma_n^{-1}\right)^{\beta} \le c_{\alpha,\beta}2^{-n}(n+1)^{-2},\tag{4.6}$$

where  $c_{\alpha,\beta} \in (0,\infty)$  is a constant depending on  $\alpha, \beta$  and c only. For all  $x, y \in A$  let n(x, y) be the maximal number n such that  $x, y \in I_{i_1...i_n}$  for some  $(i_1, ..., i_n) \in \{0, 1\}^n$ . If  $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^k$  is a monotone sequence in A and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then the number of  $i \in \{1, ..., k\}$  such that  $n(x_{i-1}, x_i) = n$  is at most  $2^n$ . Therefore (4.6) implies that

$$\operatorname{Var}^{\beta}(f|_{A}) \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{n} (c_{\alpha,\beta} 2^{-n} (n+1)^{-2}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{\alpha,\beta} n^{-2} < \infty.$$

Finally, we prove that dim  $A = \alpha\beta$ . The upper bound dim  $A \le \alpha\beta$  is obvious, thus we show only the lower bound. In the construction of A each (n - 1)st-level interval  $I_{i_1...i_{n-1}}$  contains  $m_n = 2$  *n*th-level intervals  $I_{i_1...i_{n-1}i}$ , which are separated by gaps of  $\varepsilon_n = \gamma_{n-1} - 2\gamma_n$ . The definition of  $\gamma_n$  yields that  $0 < \varepsilon_{n+1} < \varepsilon_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$  and  $\varepsilon_n = 2^{-n/(\alpha\beta)+o(n)}$ . Applying [4, Example 4.6] we obtain that:

$$\dim A \geq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log(m_1 \cdots m_{n-1})}{-\log(m_n \varepsilon_n)} = \alpha \beta,$$

and the proof is complete.  $\Box$ 

#### Acknowledgements

The first author was supported by the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund grant no. 104178. We thank Russell Lyons and Nicolas Curien for help with the abstract.

#### References

- [1] O. Angel, R. Balka, Y. Peres, Increasing subsequences of random walks, preprint, arXiv:1407.2860.
- [2] T. Antunović, K. Burdzy, Y. Peres, J. Ruscher, Isolated zeros for Brownian motion with variable drift, Electron. J. Probab. 16 (65) (2011) 1793–1814.
- [3] M. Elekes, Hausdorff measures of different dimensions are isomorphic under the Continuum Hypothesis, Real Anal. Exch. 30 (2) (2004) 605–616.
- [4] K. Falconer, Fractal Geometry: Mathematical Foundations and Applications, second edition, John Wiley & Sons, 2003.
- [5] J. Hawkes, On the Hausdorff dimension of the intersection of the range of a stable process with a Borel set, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeit 19 (1971) 90-102.
- [6] J.-P. Kahane, Y. Katznelson, Restrictions of continuous functions, Isr. J. Math. 174 (2009) 269–284.
- [7] R. Kaufman, Une propriété métrique du mouvement brownien, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 268 (1969) 727-728.
- [8] R. Kaufman, Measures of Hausdorff-type, and Brownian motion, Mathematika 19 (1972) 115–119.
- [9] P. Lévy, Théorie de l'addition des variables aléatoires, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1937.
- [10] A. Máthé, Measurable functions are of bounded variation on a set of Hausdorff dimension  $\frac{1}{2}$ , Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 45 (2013) 580–594.
- [11] P. Mattila, Geometry of Sets and Measures in Euclidean Spaces, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 44, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1995.
- [12] P. Mörters, Y. Peres, Brownian Motion, with an appendix by Oded Schramm and Wendelin Werner, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2010.