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Sharp large deviations under Bernstein’s condition
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Abstract

We improve Bernstein’s inequality for sums of non-bounded random variables. In particular, we establish a sharp large deviation expansion similar to that of Cramér and Bahadur–Rao.

Résumé

Nous améliorons l’inégalité de Bernstein pour les sommes de variables aléatoires non bornées. En particulier, nous établissons un développement de grandes déviations précises de type Cramér et Bahadur–Rao.

Théorème 0.1. Sous la condition de Bernstein, pour tout \(0 \leq x < \frac{1}{12} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{\pi}}\),

\[
P(S_n > x\sigma) = \inf_{\lambda \geq 0} E e^{\lambda(S_n - x\sigma)} \left( M(x) + 28 \theta R(4x\varepsilon/\sigma) \frac{\varepsilon}{\sigma} \right),
\]

où \(\sqrt{2\pi} M(x)\) est le ratio de Mills,
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\[
R(t) = \frac{(1 - t + 6t^2)^3}{(1 - 3t)^{3/2}(1 - t)^2}, \quad 0 \leq t < \frac{1}{3},
\]
et $|\theta| \leq 1$. En particulier, dans le cas i.i.d., pour tout $0 \leq x = o(\sqrt{n})$, $n \to \infty$,
\[
\left| \mathbb{P}(S_n > x\sigma) - M(x) \inf_{\lambda \geq 0} \mathbb{E}e^{\lambda(S_n - x\sigma)} \right| = O \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \inf_{\lambda \geq 0} \mathbb{E}e^{\lambda(S_n - x\sigma)} \right)
\]
donc
\[
\frac{\mathbb{P}(S_n > x\sigma)}{M(x) \inf_{\lambda \geq 0} \mathbb{E}e^{\lambda(S_n - x\sigma)}} = 1 + o(1).
\]

1. Introduction

Let $(\xi_i)_{i=1, \ldots, n}$ be a sequence of independent and centered random variables satisfying Bernstein’s condition, for a constant $\varepsilon > 0$,
\[
\left| \mathbb{E}\xi_i^k \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} k! \varepsilon^{k-2} \mathbb{E}\xi_i^2, \quad \text{for all } k \geq 2 \text{ and all } i = 1, \ldots, n.
\]
Denote by:
\[
S_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\xi_i^2.
\]
The well-known Bernstein inequality [4] states that, for all $x > 0$,
\[
\mathbb{P}(S_n > x\sigma) \leq \inf_{\lambda \geq 0} \mathbb{E}e^{\lambda(S_n - x\sigma)}.
\]
When $(\xi_i)_{i=1, \ldots, n}$ are normal random variables, we find that Bernstein’s bound $\inf_{\lambda \geq 0} \mathbb{E}e^{\lambda(S_n - x\sigma)} = \exp(-x^2/2)$. This suggests that Bernstein’s inequality (9) can be substantially refined by adding a missing factor $M(x)$, where $\sqrt{2\pi}M(x)$ is Mills’ ratio, to be precise,
\[
M(x) = (1 - \Phi(x)) \exp \left[ \frac{x^2}{2} \right] = O \left( \frac{1}{x} \right), \quad x \to \infty,
\]
with
\[
\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} dt.
\]
In the case where the summands $\xi_i$ are assumed to be bounded, result of such a type has been obtained by Talagrand (cf. (1.6) of [11]). Talagrand showed that if the random variables $\xi_i$ satisfy $-b \leq \xi_i \leq 1$ for a constant $b \geq 1$, then there exists a universal constant $K$ such that, for all $0 \leq x \leq \frac{b}{K}$,
\[
\mathbb{P}(S_n > x\sigma) \leq \inf_{\lambda \geq 0} \mathbb{E}e^{\lambda(S_n - x\sigma)} \left( M(x) + K \frac{b}{\sigma} \right).
\]
Since $M(x) = O(x^{-1})$, $x \to \infty$, the inequality (11) improves on Bernstein’s bound $\inf_{\lambda \geq 0} \mathbb{E}e^{\lambda(S_n - x\sigma)}$ by adding a factor of order $x^{-1}$ for all $0 < x \leq \frac{b}{K}$.

The scope of this paper is to present an improvement of Bernstein’s bound (9) under Bernstein’s condition (7), in particular, by adding a missing factor in the spirit of Talagrand’s inequalities (11) for non-bounded random variables. Our result is similar to the following sharp large deviation results of Cramér and Bahadur–Rao.

In the i.i.d. case, Cramér [5] has established a large deviation expansion under the condition $\mathbb{E}e^{\xi_i} < \infty$. For all $0 \leq x = o(\sqrt{n})$, one has:
\[
\frac{\mathbb{P}(S_n > x\sigma)}{1 - \Phi(x)} = e^{\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}} \left[ 1 + O \left( \frac{1 + x}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right], \quad n \to \infty,
\]
where $\lambda(\cdot) = c_1 + c_2 \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{n}} + \cdots$ is the Cramér series and the values $c_1, c_2, \ldots$ depend on the distribution of $\xi_1$, see also Petrov [10] and Nagaev [9].
Bahadur and Rao [2], see also Bercu and Rouault [3] for the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process and Joutard [7,8] for nonparametric estimation, proved the following sharp large deviations similar to (12). Assume Cramér’s condition. Then, for given \( y > 0 \), there is a constant \( c_y \) depending on the distribution of \( \xi_1 \) and \( y \) such that:

\[
\mathbb{P}\left( \frac{S_n}{n} > y \right) = \inf_{\lambda \geq 0} \mathbb{E} e^{\lambda(S_n-\sigma y)} F\left( x, \frac{e^{-\lambda}}{\sigma} \right),
\]

(14)

where:

\[
F\left( x, \frac{e^{-\lambda}}{\sigma} \right) = M(x) + 28 \theta R(4xe/\sigma) \frac{e^{-\lambda}}{\sigma},
\]

(15)

the function \( R \) is defined by (4) and \(|\theta| \leq 1\). In particular, in the i.i.d. case, for all \( 0 \leq x = o(\sqrt{n}) \), \( n \to \infty \),

\[
\left| \mathbb{P}(S_n > x\sigma) - M(x) \inf_{\lambda \geq 0} \mathbb{E} e^{\lambda(S_n-\sigma x)} \right| = O\left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \inf_{\lambda \geq 0} \mathbb{E} e^{\lambda(S_n-\sigma x)} \right)
\]

(16)

and thus

\[
\frac{\mathbb{P}(S_n > x\sigma)}{M(x) \inf_{\lambda \geq 0} \mathbb{E} e^{\lambda(S_n-\sigma x)}} = 1 + o(1).
\]

(17)

Notice that \( \theta \geq -1 \). Equality (14) completes Talagrand’s upper bound (11) by giving a sharp lower bound. Since the bounded random variables satisfy Bernstein’s condition, Theorem 2.1 generalizes the Talagrand inequality (11).

Some earlier lower bounds on tail probabilities, based on Cramér large deviations, can be found in Arkhangelskii [1] and Nagaev [9]. In particular, Nagaev has established the following lower bound:

\[
\mathbb{P}(S_n > x\sigma) \geq (1 - \Phi(x)) e^{-c_1x^2/\sigma} \left( 1 - c_2(1 + x) \frac{e^{-x}}{\sigma} \right),
\]

(18)

for two numerical values \( c_1, c_2 \) and for all \( 0 \leq x \leq 1/25 \). It is obvious that (14) is a refinement of Nagaev’s bound (18).

Notice that \( \inf_{\lambda \geq 0} \mathbb{E} e^{\lambda(S_n-\sigma x)} \) is sometimes written in the form \( \exp\{-n\Lambda_0'(\sigma x)\} \), where \( \Lambda_0'(x) = \sup_{\lambda \geq 0} (\lambda x - \frac{1}{2} \log \mathbb{E} e^{\lambda S_n}) \) is the Fenchel–Legendre transform of the normalized cumulant generating function of \( S_n \). In the i.i.d. case, we call \( \Lambda_0'(x) = \Lambda_0'(x) \) the good rate function in the LDP theory (see Dembo and Zeitouni [6]).

To show the relation among equality (14) and the results of Cramér [5] and Bahadur and Rao [2], consider the i.i.d. case. Without loss of generality, we take \( \sigma_1 = 1 \). Our Theorem 2.1 shows that, for all \( 0 \leq y = o(1) \),

\[
\mathbb{P}(S_n > x\sigma) = e^{-n\Lambda'(x/\sqrt{n})} M(x) \left[ 1 + O\left( \frac{1 + x}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right], \quad n \to \infty.
\]

(19)

Note that the exponential factors of the equalities (19) and (12) are different. Our Theorem 2.1 also shows that, for all \( 0 \leq y = o(1) \),

\[
\mathbb{P}\left( \frac{S_n}{n} > y \right) = e^{-n\Lambda'(y)} \left[ M(y\sqrt{n}) + O\left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right], \quad n \to \infty,
\]

(20)

and implies that, for given \( 0 < y = o(1) \),

\[
\mathbb{P}\left( \frac{S_n}{n} > y \right) = \frac{e^{-n\Lambda'(y)}}{y^2 \sqrt{2\pi n}} \left[ 1 + o(1) \right], \quad n \to \infty.
\]

(21)

The advantage of the expansion (21) over the Bahadur–Rao expansion (13) is that we replace the complicated factor \( t_y \) and \( \sigma_y \) by the simpler expressions \( y \) and 1.
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