



Partial Differential Equations

Global existence and boundedness of classical solutions
for a chemotaxis model with logistic source

Existence globale et bornes des solutions classiques d'un modèle chimiotaxique avec une source logistique

Khadijeh Baghaei ^a, Mahmoud Hesaaraki ^b

^a Department of Mathematics, Iran University of Science and Technology, Tehran, Iran

^b Department of Mathematics, Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article history:

Received 12 March 2013

Accepted after revision 31 July 2013

Available online 20 August 2013

Presented by the Editorial Board

We consider the chemotaxis system:

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u - \nabla \cdot (u\chi(v)\nabla v) + f(u), & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ v_t = \Delta v - v + ug(u), & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \end{cases}$$

under homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions in a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 1$, with smooth boundary and function f is assumed to generalize the logistic source:

$$f(u) = au - bu^2, \quad u \geq 0, \text{ with } a > 0, b > 0.$$

Moreover, $\chi(s)$ and $g(s)$ are nonnegative smooth functions and satisfy:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(s) &\leq \frac{\varrho}{(1 + \vartheta s)^k}, \quad s \geq 0, \text{ with some } \varrho > 0, \vartheta > 0 \text{ and } k > 1, \\ g(s) &\leq \frac{h_0}{(1 + hs)^\delta}, \quad s \geq 0, \text{ with } h_0 > 0, h \geq 0, \delta \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

We prove that for all positive values of ϱ , a and b , classical solutions to the above system are uniformly-in-time bounded. This result extends a recent result by C. Mu, L. Wang, P. Zheng and Q. Zhang (2013) [13], which asserts the global existence and boundedness of classical solutions on condition that $0 \leq a < 2b$ and ϱ be sufficiently small.

© 2013 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

RÉSUMÉ

On considère le système de la chimiotaxie :

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u - \nabla \cdot (u\chi(v)\nabla v) + f(u), & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ v_t = \Delta v - v + ug(u), & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \end{cases}$$

avec conditions de Neumann homogènes dans un domaine borné $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 1$, de frontière régulière ; on suppose que f est une généralisation d'une source logistique :

$$f(u) = au - bu^2, \quad u \geq 0, \text{ avec } a > 0, b > 0.$$

E-mail addresses: khbaghaei@iust.ac.ir (K. Baghaei), hesaraki@sharif.edu (M. Hesaaraki).

De plus, $\chi(s)$ et $g(s)$ sont des fonctions positives ou nulles régulières vérifiant :

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(s) &\leq \frac{\varrho}{(1+\vartheta s)^k}, \quad s \geq 0, \text{ avec } \varrho > 0, \vartheta > 0, k > 1, \\ g(s) &\leq \frac{h_0}{(1+hs)^\delta}, \quad s \geq 0, \text{ avec } h_0 > 0, h \geq 0, \delta \geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

On démontre que, pour toute valeur positive de ϱ , a et b , les solutions classiques du système ci-dessus sont uniformément bornées en temps. Ce résultat étend un résultat récent de C. Mu, L. Wang, P. Zheng et Q. Zhang (2013) [13], qui établit l'existence globale et des bornes des solutions classiques sous les conditions $0 \leq a < 2b$ et ϱ assez petit.

© 2013 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we investigate the global existence and boundedness of solutions to the parabolic system:

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u - \nabla \cdot (u\chi(v)\nabla v) + f(u), & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ v_t = \Delta v - v + ug(u), & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0, \quad v(x, 0) = v_0, & x \in \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

in a smooth bounded domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 1$, where v denotes the unit outward normal vector to $\partial\Omega$ and u_0 and v_0 are given nonnegative functions. Moreover, the functions $\chi(s)$, $f(s)$ and $g(s)$ are smooth and $\chi(s)$ and $g(s)$ are nonnegative for $s \geq 0$.

In mathematical biology, systems like (1.1) are used to describe the movement of cells towards higher concentration of a chemical signal substance produced by the cells themselves. Such a movement is called chemotaxis. Here, $u = u(x, t)$ denotes the cell density and $v = v(x, t)$ is the concentration of the chemical substance. While the function $\chi(v)$ is the chemotactic sensitivity function, $f(u)$ is the growth of u and $ug(u)$ is the production of v [5,11,12].

Several types of functions have been proposed for $\chi(v)$, $f(u)$ and $g(u)$ (see [5,17,18,25]). For $f = 0$ and χ and g constants: it is known that when $n = 1$, the blow-up never can occur [19]. For $n = 2$, it has been shown in [16] that if $\|u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)} < \frac{4\pi}{\chi g}$, then all solutions of (1.1) are global and bounded. The same result holds for radial solutions when $\|u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)} < \frac{8\pi}{\chi g}$ [16]. While for $\|u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)} > \frac{8\pi}{\chi g}$, solutions blow up either in finite or infinite time [8], moreover, the authors in [3] constructed radial solutions to (1.1) which blow up in finite time provided that $\|u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)} > \frac{8\pi}{\chi g}$. For $n \geq 3$, Winkler [27] proved that, for each $q > \frac{n}{2}$ and $p > n$, there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that, if $\|u_0\|_{L^q(\Omega)} < \epsilon$ and $\|\nabla v_0\|_{L^p(\Omega)} < \epsilon$ for $\epsilon < \epsilon_0$, then solutions of (1.1) are global and bounded. Also, when Ω is a ball in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 3$, the same author in [29] showed that, under some conditions on initial data and provided that $\|u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)} > 0$, there exist radial solutions that blow up in finite time.

In [18], the authors proved the global existence of solutions of (1.1) for $n = 2$, $g = \text{constant}$, χ a smooth bounded function and:

$$f(u) = au - bu^2, \quad u \geq 0, \text{ with } -\infty < a < \infty, b > 0 \text{ and } f(0) = 0.$$

The authors in [17] proved the global existence of solutions of (1.1) in the two-dimensional case under the assumptions that χ is a positive constant and the functions $f(u)$ and $g(u)$ are smooth, and:

$$\begin{aligned}f(u) &\leq 1 - bu^\alpha, \quad u \geq 0, b > 0, \alpha \geq 1, \\ 0 \leq h(u) &\leq (u+1)^\beta, \quad 0 \leq h'(u) \leq \beta(u+1)^{\beta-1}, \quad u \geq 0, \beta > 0,\end{aligned}$$

where $h(u) = ug(u)$. These results depend on the values of α and β . For boundedness of solutions, they showed that:

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|v(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq \psi(\|u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|v_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}), \quad t \geq 0,$$

with some increasing function ψ .

For $n \geq 3$, Winkler in [25] proved that if $\chi \in \mathbb{R}$ constant, $g = 1$, Ω a bounded convex domain of \mathbb{R}^n with smooth boundary and:

$$f(u) \leq a - bu^2, \quad u \geq 0, \text{ with } a > 0, b > 0 \text{ and } f(0) \geq 0,$$

then problem (1.1) admits a unique global classical solution, which is bounded in $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$ provided that b is sufficiently large.

There are much more works related to chemotaxis system in the literature; we refer the interested readers to [1,2,4,6,7, 9,10,14,15,20–24,28,30] and the references therein.

Throughout this paper we assume that $\chi(v)$, $f(u)$ and $g(u)$ satisfy the following conditions:

$$\chi(v) \leq \frac{\varrho}{(1 + \vartheta v)^k}, \quad v \geq 0, \text{ and some } \varrho > 0, \vartheta > 0 \text{ and } k > 1, \quad (1.2)$$

$$f(u) \leq au - bu^2, \quad u \geq 0, \text{ with } a > 0, b > 0 \text{ and } f(0) = 0, \quad (1.3)$$

$$g(u) \leq \frac{h_0}{(1 + hu)^\delta}, \quad u \geq 0, \text{ with } h_0 > 0, h \geq 0, \delta \geq 0. \quad (1.4)$$

Winkler in [26] proved that if $f = 0$, $g = 1$ and χ satisfies the condition (1.2), then problem (1.1) has a unique global classical solution, which is uniformly bounded.

In [13], the authors showed that the solutions of problem (1.1) with (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4) are global and bounded provided that ϱ and a are sufficiently small. They left open the question of whether there exists a blow-up solution to problem (1.1), when the parameter a is sufficiently large. We answer this question and prove that solutions of problem (1.1) are global and bounded for all positive values of ϱ , a and b . This means that the blow-up never can occur for all positive values of ϱ , a and b .

2. Global existence

Let us state the standard well-posedness and classical solvability result.

Lemma 2.1. *Let the nonnegative functions u_0 and v_0 satisfy $u_0 \in C^0(\overline{\Omega})$ and $v_0 \in W^{1,q}(\Omega)$ for some $q > n$. Then problem (1.1) has a unique local-in-time nonnegative classical solution:*

$$\begin{aligned} u &\in C^0(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T_{\max})) \cap C^{2,1}(\overline{\Omega} \times (0, T_{\max})), \\ v &\in C^0(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T_{\max})) \cap C^{2,1}(\overline{\Omega} \times (0, T_{\max})) \cap L_{loc}^\infty([0, T_{\max}); W^{1,q}(\Omega)), \end{aligned}$$

where T_{\max} denotes the maximal existence time. In addition, if $T_{\max} < +\infty$, then:

$$\|u(., t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|v(., t)\|_{W^{1,q}(\Omega)} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } t \nearrow T_{\max}.$$

The proof follows from the general theory of parabolic systems. For details of the proof, we refer the reader to [9,26].

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose that f satisfies (1.3) with some $a, b > 0$. Then we have:*

$$\|u(., t)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq \frac{\max\{|\Omega|, \|u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)}\}}{\min\{1, \frac{b}{a}\}}. \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. We integrate the first equation in (1.1) over Ω and using (1.3) to see that:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} u(x, t) dx = \int_{\Omega} f(u) dx \leq a \int_{\Omega} u dx - b \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx, \quad \text{for } t > 0.$$

From the Hölder inequality, we obtain $(\int_{\Omega} u dx)^2 \leq |\Omega| \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx$, and hence $y(t) = \int_{\Omega} u(x, t) dx$ satisfies:

$$y'(t) \leq ay(t) - b|\Omega|^{-1}y^2(t), \quad \text{for } t > 0.$$

Now, we set $\gamma(t) := y(t)^{-1}$, thus we obtain:

$$\gamma'(t) + a\gamma(t) \geq b|\Omega|^{-1},$$

which yields:

$$\gamma(t) \geq e^{-at} \gamma(0) + \frac{b}{a} |\Omega|^{-1} (1 - e^{-at}).$$

Therefore,

$$y(t) \leq \left(y(0)^{-1} e^{-at} + \frac{b}{a} |\Omega|^{-1} (1 - e^{-at}) \right)^{-1}.$$

This inequality yields:

$$y(t) \leqslant \frac{\max\{|\Omega|, y(0)\}}{\min\{1, \frac{b}{a}\}}.$$

So, the proof is complete. \square

The main step towards the global existence of solutions is to establish a uniform bound of $u(., t)$ in the space $L^{2(n+1)}(\Omega)$. This is accomplished by estimating some associated weighted integral $\int_{\Omega} u^{2(n+1)} \varphi(v) dx$ with a weight function $\varphi(v)$, which is uniformly bounded from above and below by positive constants. This approach was developed by Winkler in [26] for studying the chemotaxis system (1.1) with $f = 0$, $g = 1$ and χ from (1.2).

Lemma 2.3. *There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that:*

$$\|u(., t)\|_{L^{2(n+1)}(\Omega)} \leqslant c, \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T_{\max}). \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. Set $p = 2(n + 1)$, and fix $\kappa > 0$ small such that:

$$\kappa < \min\left\{\frac{p - 1}{8p}, 2k - 2\right\}, \quad (2.3)$$

where $k > 1$ is the constant from (1.2). Then we pick $\eta > 0$ large enough fulfilling:

$$\eta \geqslant \max\left\{\varrho \sqrt{\frac{2p(p - 1)}{\kappa}}, \vartheta\right\}, \quad (2.4)$$

and define:

$$\varphi(s) = e^{(1+\eta s)^{-\kappa}}, \quad \text{for } s \geqslant 0.$$

By differentiation, using (1.1) and integration by parts, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} u^p \varphi(v) dx &= \int_{\Omega} u^{p-1} u_t \varphi(v) dx + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} u^p \varphi'(v) v_t dx \\ &= -(p - 1) \int_{\Omega} u^{p-2} \varphi(v) |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} u^{p-1} \varphi'(v) \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx \\ &\quad + (p - 1) \int_{\Omega} u^{p-1} \varphi(v) \chi(v) \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx + \int_{\Omega} u^p \varphi'(v) \chi(v) |\nabla v|^2 dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} u^{p-1} \varphi(v) f(u) dx - \int_{\Omega} u^{p-1} \varphi'(v) \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} u^p \varphi''(v) |\nabla v|^2 dx - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} u^p v \varphi'(v) dx + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} u^{p+1} \varphi'(v) g(u) dx. \end{aligned}$$

By using (1.3), $\chi(s) \geqslant 0$, $g(s) \geqslant 0$ and $\varphi'(s) \leqslant 0$ for all $s \geqslant 0$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} u^p \varphi(v) dx + (p - 1) \int_{\Omega} u^{p-2} \varphi(v) |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} u^p \varphi''(v) |\nabla v|^2 dx \\ &\leqslant -2 \int_{\Omega} u^{p-1} \varphi'(v) \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx + (p - 1) \int_{\Omega} u^{p-1} \varphi(v) \chi(v) \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} u^p v \varphi'(v) dx + a \int_{\Omega} u^p \varphi(v) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Here, since

$$-s\varphi'(s) = \kappa \eta s (1 + \eta s)^{-\kappa - 1} e^{(1+\eta s)^{-\kappa}} \leqslant \kappa e^{(1+\eta s)^{-\kappa}} = \kappa \varphi(s),$$

for all $s \geqslant 0$, we obtain:

$$-\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} u^p v \varphi'(v) dx \leq \frac{\kappa}{p} \int_{\Omega} u^p \varphi(v) dx. \quad (2.6)$$

We now make use of Young's inequality to the first and second terms on the right-hand side of (2.5) as follows:

$$-2 \int_{\Omega} u^{p-1} \varphi'(v) \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx \leq \frac{p-1}{4} \int_{\Omega} u^{p-2} \varphi(v) |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{4}{(p-1)} \int_{\Omega} u^p \frac{\varphi'^2(v)}{\varphi(v)} |\nabla v|^2 dx, \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & (p-1) \int_{\Omega} u^{p-1} \varphi(v) \chi(v) \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx \\ & \leq \frac{p-1}{4} \int_{\Omega} u^{p-2} \varphi(v) |\nabla u|^2 dx + (p-1) \int_{\Omega} u^p \varphi(v) \chi^2(v) |\nabla v|^2 dx \\ & \leq \frac{p-1}{4} \int_{\Omega} u^{p-2} \varphi(v) |\nabla u|^2 dx + (p-1) \varrho^2 \int_{\Omega} u^p \varphi(v) (1+\vartheta v)^{-2k} |\nabla v|^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

By inserting (2.6)–(2.8) into (2.5), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} u^p \varphi(v) dx + \frac{p-1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{p-2} \varphi(v) |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} u^p \varphi''(v) |\nabla v|^2 dx \\ & \leq \frac{4}{p-1} \int_{\Omega} u^p \frac{\varphi'^2(v)}{\varphi(v)} |\nabla v|^2 dx + (p-1) \varrho^2 \int_{\Omega} u^p \varphi(v) (1+\vartheta v)^{-2k} |\nabla v|^2 dx + \left(\frac{\kappa}{p} + a \right) \int_{\Omega} u^p \varphi(v) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

In the next step, we show that the terms on the right-hand side containing $|\nabla v|^2$ are dominated by $\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} u^p \varphi''(v) |\nabla v|^2 dx$. In order to do this, we compute:

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &:= \frac{4}{p-1} \cdot \frac{\varphi'^2(s)}{\varphi(s)} = \frac{4}{p-1} \cdot \kappa^2 \eta^2 (1+\eta s)^{-2\kappa-2} e^{(1+\eta s)^{-\kappa}}, \\ I_2 &:= \varrho^2 (p-1) (1+\vartheta s)^{-2k} \varphi(s) = \varrho^2 (p-1) (1+\vartheta s)^{-2k} e^{(1+\eta s)^{-\kappa}}, \\ I_3 &:= \frac{1}{p} \varphi''(s) = \frac{1}{p} \eta^2 \kappa (\kappa+1) (1+\eta s)^{-\kappa-2} e^{(1+\eta s)^{-\kappa}} + \frac{1}{p} \kappa^2 \eta^2 (1+\eta s)^{-2\kappa-2} e^{(1+\eta s)^{-\kappa}}, \end{aligned}$$

for $s \geq 0$. Hence,

$$\frac{I_1}{\frac{1}{2} I_3} \leq \frac{\frac{4}{p-1} \kappa^2 \eta^2 (1+\eta s)^{-2\kappa-2} e^{(1+\eta s)^{-\kappa}}}{\frac{1}{2p} \eta^2 \kappa (\kappa+1) (1+\eta s)^{-\kappa-2} e^{(1+\eta s)^{-\kappa}}} = \frac{8p\kappa}{(p-1)(\kappa+1)} (1+\eta s)^{-\kappa} \leq \frac{8p\kappa}{p-1} \leq 1, \quad (2.10)$$

holds for $s \geq 0$ due to (2.3) and the fact that $\kappa > 0$. We also have:

$$\frac{I_2}{\frac{1}{2} I_3} \leq \frac{\varrho^2 (p-1) (1+\vartheta s)^{-2k} e^{(1+\eta s)^{-\kappa}}}{\frac{1}{2p} \eta^2 \kappa (\kappa+1) (1+\eta s)^{-\kappa-2} e^{(1+\eta s)^{-\kappa}}} = \frac{2\varrho^2 p(p-1)}{\eta^2 \kappa (\kappa+1)} (1+\vartheta s)^{-2k} (1+\eta s)^{\kappa+2}.$$

Now, we define:

$$\psi(s) = (1+\vartheta s)^{-2k} (1+\eta s)^{\kappa+2}.$$

Since $\kappa+2 < 2k$ by (2.3) and $\eta > \vartheta$, the function ψ satisfies $\psi'(s) \leq 0$ for all $s > 0$. Thus $\psi(s) \leq \psi(0) = 1$ for all $s \geq 0$. Therefore, in view of (2.4), we obtain:

$$\frac{I_2}{\frac{1}{2} I_3} \leq \frac{2p(p-1)\varrho^2}{\eta^2 \kappa} \leq 1, \quad \text{for } s \geq 0. \quad (2.11)$$

From (2.10) and (2.11), we find that:

$$\frac{1}{p-1} \int_{\Omega} u^p \frac{\varphi'^2(v)}{\varphi(v)} |\nabla v|^2 dx + (p-1) \varrho^2 \int_{\Omega} u^p \varphi(v) (1+\vartheta v)^{-2k} |\nabla v|^2 dx \leq \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} u^p \varphi''(v) |\nabla v|^2 dx.$$

Hence by (2.9), we get:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} u^p \varphi(v) dx \leq \frac{-2(p-1)}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u^{p/2}|^2 \varphi(v) dx + (\kappa + ap) \int_{\Omega} u^p \varphi(v) dx. \quad (2.12)$$

Now, adding $\frac{2(p-1)}{p} \int_{\Omega} u^p \varphi(v) dx$ in both sides of (2.12) gives:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} u^p \varphi(v) dx + \frac{2(p-1)}{p} \int_{\Omega} u^p \varphi(v) dx \\ & \leq \frac{-2(p-1)}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u^{p/2}|^2 \varphi(v) dx + \left(\frac{2(p-1)}{p} + \kappa + ap \right) \int_{\Omega} u^p \varphi(v) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Next, we need the following known Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality (see [9], for instance):

$$\|\omega\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \leq C_{GN} \|\omega\|_{W_2^1(\Omega)}^\theta \|\omega\|_{L^r(\Omega)}^{1-\theta}, \quad (2.14)$$

where

$$r \in (0, q), \quad \theta = \frac{\frac{n}{r} - \frac{n}{q}}{1 - \frac{n}{2} + \frac{n}{r}} \in (0, 1).$$

We set $\alpha_0 = (\frac{2(p-1)}{p} + \kappa + ap)$ and applying the interpolation inequality (2.14) with $\omega = u^{p/2}$, $q = 2$, $r = \frac{2}{p}$ and $\theta = n(p-1)/(2-n+np)$, also using Young's inequality and (2.1), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_0 \int_{\Omega} u^p \varphi(v) dx & \leq \alpha_0 e \int_{\Omega} u^p dx = \alpha_0 e \|u^{p/2}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \alpha_0 e C_{GN}^2 \|u^{p/2}\|_{W_2^1(\Omega)}^{2\theta} \|u^{p/2}\|_{L^{2/p}(\Omega)}^{2(1-\theta)} \\ & \leq \frac{p-1}{p} \|u^{p/2}\|_{W_2^1(\Omega)}^2 + c_1 \|u^{p/2}\|_{L^{2/p}(\Omega)}^2 = \frac{p-1}{p} \|u^{p/2}\|_{W_2^1(\Omega)}^2 + c_1 \|u\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^p \leq \frac{p-1}{p} \|u^{p/2}\|_{W_2^1(\Omega)}^2 + c_2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

where $c_1 = (\frac{\theta p}{p-1})^{\frac{\theta}{1-\theta}} (\alpha_0 e C_{GN}^2)^{\frac{1}{1-\theta}} (1-\theta)$ and $c_2 = (\max\{|\Omega|, \|u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)}\} (\min\{1, \frac{b}{a}\})^{-1})^p c_1$. By inserting the last inequality in (2.13) and noting that $\varphi(s) \geq 1$ for all $s \geq 0$, we get:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} u^p \varphi(v) dx + \frac{p-1}{p} \int_{\Omega} u^p \varphi(v) dx \leq c_2.$$

Finally Gronwall's inequality yields:

$$\int_{\Omega} u^p \varphi(v) dx \leq c,$$

where c is some positive constant. This inequality along with $\varphi(s) \geq 1$ for all $s \geq 0$, yields (2.2). \square

Lemma 2.4. *There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that the solution (u, v) of problem (1.1) satisfies:*

$$\|u(., t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|v(., t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq c, \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T_{\max}). \quad (2.16)$$

This lemma is shown in [13, Lemma 3.2].

Theorem 2.5. *The solution of (u, v) of the system (1.1) is global and bounded.*

Proof. First of all from the estimate (3.28) in [13], we have:

$$\|v(., t)\|_{W^{1,q}(\Omega)} \leq c(\tau), \quad \text{for all } t \in (\tau, T_{\max}), \quad (2.17)$$

where $q > n$ and $\tau \in (0, \min\{1, T_{\max}\})$.

By considering the extensibility criterion provided by Lemma 2.1, the proof is a consequence of Lemma 2.4 and estimate (2.17). \square

References

- [1] T. Cieślak, C. Stinner, Finite-time blowup and global-in-time unbounded solutions to a parabolic–parabolic quasilinear Keller–Segel system in higher dimensions, *J. Differ. Equ.* 252 (2012) 5832–5851.
- [2] M.A. Herrero, J.J.L. Velázquez, Chemotactic collapse for the Keller–Segel model, *J. Math. Biol.* 35 (1996) 177–194.
- [3] M.A. Herrero, J.J.L. Velázquez, A blow-up mechanism for a chemotaxis model, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa, Cl. Sci. IV* 24 (1997) 633–683.
- [4] T. Hillen, K.J. Painter, Global existence for a parabolic chemotaxis model with prevention of overcrowding, *Acta Appl. Math.* 26 (2001) 280–301.
- [5] T. Hillen, K.J. Painter, A user's guide to PDE models for chemotaxis, *J. Math. Biol.* 58 (2009) 183–217.
- [6] D. Horstmann, From 1970 until present: the Keller–Segel model in chemotaxis and its consequences, I, *Jahresber. Dtsch. Math.-Ver.* 105 (2003) 103–165.
- [7] D. Horstmann, From 1970 until present: the Keller–Segel model in chemotaxis and its consequences, II, *Jahresber. Dtsch. Math.-Ver.* 106 (2004) 51–69.
- [8] D. Horstmann, G. Wang, Blow-up in a chemotaxis model without symmetry assumptions, *Eur. J. Appl. Math.* 12 (2001) 159–177.
- [9] D. Horstmann, M. Winkler, Boundedness vs. blow-up in a chemotaxis system, *J. Differ. Equ.* 215 (2005) 52–107.
- [10] W. Jäger, S. Luckhaus, On explosions of solutions to a system of partial differential equations modelling chemotaxis, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 329 (1992) 819–824.
- [11] E.F. Keller, L.A. Segel, Initiation of slime mold aggregation viewed as an instability, *J. Theor. Biol.* 26 (1970) 399–415.
- [12] M. Mimura, T. Tsujikawa, Aggregating pattern dynamics in a chemotaxis model including growth, *Physica, A* 230 (1996) 499–543.
- [13] C. Mu, L. Wang, P. Zheng, Q. Zhang, Global existence and boundedness of classical solutions to a parabolic–parabolic chemotaxis system, *Nonlinear Anal., Real World Appl.* 14 (2013) 1634–1642.
- [14] T. Nagai, Blow-up of radially symmetric solutions to a chemotaxis system, *Adv. Math. Sci. Appl.* 5 (1995) 581–601.
- [15] T. Nagai, Blow-up of nonradial solutions to parabolic–elliptic systems modelling chemotaxis in two-dimensional domains, *J. Inequal. Appl.* 6 (2001) 37–55.
- [16] T. Nagai, T. Senba, K. Yoshida, Application of the Trudinger–Moser inequality to a parabolic system of chemotaxis, *Funkc. Ekvacioj* 40 (1997) 411–433.
- [17] E. Nakaguchi, K. Osaki, Global existence of solutions to a parabolic–parabolic system for chemotaxis with weak degradation, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA* 74 (2011) 286–297.
- [18] K. Osaki, T. Tsujikawa, A. Yagi, M. Mimura, Exponential attractor for a chemotaxis–growth system of equations, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA* 51 (2002) 119–144.
- [19] K. Osaki, A. Yagi, Finite dimensional attractors for one-dimensional Keller–Segel equations, *Funkc. Ekvacioj* 44 (2001) 441–469.
- [20] T. Senba, T. Suzuki, Parabolic system of chemotaxis: Blow-up in a finite and the infinite time, *Methods Appl. Anal.* 8 (2001) 349–367.
- [21] Y. Tao, Boundedness in a chemotaxis model with oxygen consumption by bacteria, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 381 (2011) 521–529.
- [22] Y. Tao, M. Winkler, Boundedness in a quasilinear parabolic–parabolic Keller–Segel system with subcritical sensitivity, *J. Differ. Equ.* 252 (2012) 692–715.
- [23] Y. Tao, M. Winkler, Eventual smoothness and stabilization of large-data solutions in a three-dimensional chemotaxis system with consumption of chemoattractant, *J. Differ. Equ.* 252 (2012) 2520–2543.
- [24] J.I. Tello, M. Winkler, A chemotaxis system with logistic source, *Commun. Partial Differ. Equ.* 32 (2007) 849–877.
- [25] M. Winkler, Boundedness in the higher-dimensional parabolic–parabolic chemotaxis system with logistic source, *Commun. Partial Differ. Equ.* 35 (2010) 1516–1537.
- [26] M. Winkler, Absence of collapse in a parabolic chemotaxis system with signal-dependent sensitivity, *Math. Nachr.* 283 (2010) 1664–1673.
- [27] M. Winkler, Aggregation vs. global diffusive behavior in the higher-dimensional Keller–Segel model, *J. Differ. Equ.* 248 (2010) 2889–2905.
- [28] M. Winkler, Does a ‘volume-filling effect’ always prevent chemotactic collapse? *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* 33 (2010) 12–24.
- [29] M. Winkler, Finite-time blow-up in the higher-dimensional parabolic–parabolic Keller–Segel system, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (2013), in press. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.matpur.2013.01.020>.
- [30] A. Yagi, Norm behavior of solutions to a parabolic system of chemotaxis, *Math. Jpn.* 45 (1997) 241–265.