



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I

www.sciencedirect.com



Algebraic Geometry

# Unramified cohomology, $\mathbb{A}^1$ -connectedness, and the Chevalley–Warning problem in Grothendieck ring $\star$

*Cohomologie non ramifiée,  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -connexité et le problème de Chevalley–Warning dans l’anneau de Grothendieck*

Le Dang Thi Nguyen

Mathematik, Universität Duisburg–Essen, Universitätstr., 45117 Essen, Germany

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 29 February 2012

Accepted after revision 21 May 2012

Available online 8 June 2012

Presented by Claire Voisin

## ABSTRACT

We study the Chevalley–Warning problem in the Grothendieck ring  $K_0(\text{Var}/k)$ . We show that the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy theory yields well-defined invariants on  $K_0(\text{Var}/k)/\mathbb{L}$ , in particular the Brauer group is such an invariant. We use this to give a concrete counter-example to the Chevalley–Warning conjecture over a  $C_1$ -field (Brown and Schnetz, 2011 [6]). This also gives a negative answer to the question in Bilgin (2011) [5, Ques. 3.8].

© 2012 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## R É S U M É

Nous étudions le problème de Chevalley–Warning dans l’anneau de Grothendieck  $K_0(\text{Var}/k)$ . Nous montrons que la théorie  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopie fournit des invariants sur  $K_0(\text{Var}/k)/\mathbb{L}$ . En particulier le groupe de Brauer est un tel invariant. Nous utilisons cela pour donner un contre-exemple concret à la conjecture de Chevalley–Warning sur un corps  $C_1$  (Brown et Schnetz, 2011 [6]). Cela donne aussi une réponse négative à la question dans Bilgin (2011) [5, Ques. 3.8].

© 2012 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $k$  be a field and  $\text{Var}/k$  be the category of varieties over  $k$ . We denote by  $K_0(\text{Var}/k)$  the Grothendieck ring of varieties over  $k$ . Over a finite field  $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ , the Chevalley–Warning theorem (cf. [3]) states that a projective hypersurface  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  of degree  $d \leq n$  satisfies the congruence formula

$$|X(\mathbb{F}_q)| \equiv 1 \pmod{q}. \quad (1)$$

The counting point  $X \mapsto |X(\mathbb{F}_q)|$  gives rise to a ring homomorphism

$$| - | : K_0(\text{Var}/\mathbb{F}_q) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z},$$

$\star$  This work has been supported by SFB/TR45 “Periods, moduli spaces and arithmetic of algebraic varieties”.

E-mail address: le.nguyen@uni-due.de.

from which one may reformulate the congruence formula (1) as  $[X] \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathbf{L}}$ , where we denote by  $\mathbf{L}$  the class of the affine line  $[\mathbb{A}^1]$  in  $K_0(\text{Var}/\mathbb{F}_q)$ . The geometric Chevalley–Warning problem for smooth projective hypersurfaces concerns with the following question:

**Question 1.1.** Let  $k$  be a field and  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be a smooth hypersurface of degree  $\leq n$  such that  $X(k) \neq \emptyset$ . Whether is it true that  $[X] \equiv \mathbf{1} \pmod{\mathbf{L}}$  in  $K_0(\text{Var}/k)$ , where  $\mathbf{1} = [\text{Spec}k]$ ?

In [6, 3.3], F. Brown and O. Schnetz conjectured that Question 1.1 is always true for  $C_1$ -fields. Question 1.1 over an arbitrary field  $k$  is due to H. Esnault in general for the relationship between rational points and the Grothendieck ring  $K_0(\text{Var}/k)$  (cf. [5, Ques. 3.7]). In [15] Question 1.1 is formulated over algebraically closed fields of characteristic 0 under the name geometric Chevalley–Warning conjecture. Some cases, where Question 1.1 has an affirmative answer for singular hypersurfaces, were worked out in [5] and [15]. Using Brauer group, which yields a well-defined invariant on  $K_0(\text{Var}/k)/\mathbf{L}$ , we give a counter-example to the conjecture of Brown and Schnetz over non-algebraically closed  $C_1$ -fields.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $X$  be a smooth projective geometrically integral variety over a field  $k$  of characteristic 0. If  $[X] \equiv \mathbf{1} \pmod{\mathbf{L}}$ , then  $Br(X) \cong Br(k)$ .

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is simple. By Kollár–Larsen–Lunts theorem (cf. [13,14]), one has  $[X] \equiv \mathbf{1} \pmod{\mathbf{L}}$  iff  $X$  is stably  $k$ -rational. The fact that the Brauer group  $Br(X)$  is a birational invariant is due to Grothendieck [12, Cor. 7.3, p. 138]. Moreover, one has  $Br(\mathbb{P}^n_X) \cong Br(X)$ , because  $Br(X)$  can be identified with the unramified Brauer group  $Br_{nr}(k(X))$  from the exact sequence (cf. [7, (3.9)])

$$0 \rightarrow Br(X) \rightarrow Br(k(X)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(1)}} H_{\text{ét}}^1(\kappa(x), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$$

and the latter group  $Br_{nr}(k(X))$  gives us a stably birational invariance [8]. So the theorem follows, since  $Br(\mathbb{P}^n_k) \cong Br(k)$ . In fact, Theorem 1.2 is a special case of a more general invariant coming from strictly  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant sheaves (see Theorem 1.4 below). However, it is enough to produce a counter-example to the geometric Chevalley–Warning conjecture over non-algebraically closed  $C_1$ -fields.

**Corollary 1.3.** Let  $k$  be a non-algebraically closed field of  $\text{char}(k) \neq 3$  and assume  $k^\times \setminus (k^\times)^3$  is not empty. Let  $X$  be a smooth cubic surface given by the equation

$$x_0^3 + x_1^3 + x_2^3 + ax_3^3 = 0,$$

where  $a \notin (k^\times)^3$ . Then  $Br(X)/Br(k)$  is non-trivial. In particular, if  $k$  is a non-algebraically closed  $C_1$ -field of characteristic 0 with  $k^\times \setminus (k^\times)^3 \neq \emptyset$ , then  $[X]$  is not  $\equiv \mathbf{1} \pmod{\mathbf{L}}$ .

**Proof.** Obviously  $X(k) \neq \emptyset$ . If  $k$  is a non-algebraically closed field with  $\text{char}(k) \neq 3$  containing a primitive cubic root of unity, then for the smooth cubic surface as above one has  $Br(X)/Br(k) = \mathbb{Z}/3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/3$  (cf. [16, Ex. 45.3] for number fields and [9, 2.5.1] in general). If  $k$  has no primitive cubic roots of unity, the quotient  $Br(X)/Br(k)$  is still non-trivial and it is described in [11, Prop. 2.1]. This gives a negative answer to Question 1.1 as desired.  $\square$

Now let  $k$  be an arbitrary field and let  $\mathbf{Ho}_{\mathbb{A}^1}(k)$  be the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy category constructed in [19]. For a space  $\mathcal{X} \in \Delta^{op} Sh_{Nis}(Sm/k)$  let  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})$  be the sheaf associated to the presheaf

$$U \mapsto [U, \mathcal{X}]_{\mathbb{A}^1} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ho}_{\mathbb{A}^1}(k)}(U, \mathcal{X}),$$

for  $U \in Sm/k$ . We say  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -connected, if the canonical map  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec}k$  induces an isomorphism of sheaves  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\text{Spec}k) = \text{Spec}k$ , [2]. Let  $D_{\mathbb{A}^1}(k)$  denote the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -derived category introduced by F. Morel (see e.g. [18, §5.2]). Let us denote by  $\mathcal{A}b_k^{\mathbb{A}^1}$  the category of strictly  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant sheaves (cf. [18, Def. 7, page 8] or [2, Def. 4.3.1]), it is known that  $D_{\mathbb{A}^1}(k)$  has a homological  $t$ -structure and one can identify  $\mathcal{A}b_k^{\mathbb{A}^1}$  with the heart of this  $t$ -structure [17, Lem. 6.2.11]. Thus  $\mathcal{A}b_k^{\mathbb{A}^1}$  is an abelian category by [4, Thm. 1.3.6]. For a strictly  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant sheaf  $M$  and an irreducible smooth  $k$ -scheme  $X$  we write  $M^{nr}(X)$  for the group of unramified elements [1, Def. 4.1]. Now in the context of  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -derived category one can prove

**Theorem 1.4.** Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic 0. If  $X, Y$  are two irreducible smooth projective  $k$ -varieties, such that  $[X] = [Y]$  in  $K_0(\text{Var}/k)/\mathbf{L}$ , then  $M(X) \cong M(Y)$  for any strictly  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant sheaf  $M \in \mathcal{A}b_k^{\mathbb{A}^1}$ , i.e.  $M$  yields a well-defined invariant on  $K_0(\text{Var}/k)/\mathbf{L}$ . In particular, if  $X$  is an integral smooth projective  $k$ -variety, whose class in  $K_0(\text{Var}/k)$  satisfies  $[X] \equiv \mathbf{1} \pmod{\mathbf{L}}$ , then  $X$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -connected,

hence for any strictly  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant sheaf  $M \in \mathcal{A}b_k^{\mathbb{A}^1}$  the canonical map  $M(k) \rightarrow M^{nr}(X)$  is then a bijection, where  $M^{nr}(X)$  denotes the group of unramified elements.

**Remark 1.5.** Theorem 1.4 is just a simple application of [1, Thm. 3.9]. Our example 1.3 shows that this smooth cubic surface is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -disconnected over non-algebraically closed fields, while [2, Cor. 2.4.7] asserts that a smooth proper surface over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -connected if and only if it is rational.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.4

By Kollár–Larsen–Lunts theorem (cf. [13,14]), one has an isomorphism

$$K_0(\text{Var}/k)/\mathbf{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[SB],$$

where the right-hand side denotes the free abelian group generated over the set of stably birational equivalences of smooth projective varieties. So if  $[X] = [Y]$  in  $K_0(\text{Var}/k)/\mathbf{L}$ , then  $X$  is stably  $k$ -birational to  $Y$ . We have then  $\mathbf{H}_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X) \cong \mathbf{H}_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(Y)$  by [1, Thm. 3.9]. By representing theorem [1, Lem. 3.3], which asserts that

$$H_{\text{Nis}}^0(X, M) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}b_k^{\mathbb{A}^1}}(\mathbf{H}_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X), M),$$

one obtains  $M(X) \cong M(Y)$ . Remark that one has  $M(X) = M^{nr}(X)$ , if  $X$  is an irreducible smooth  $k$ -scheme [1, Lem. 4.2]. Now if  $X$  is an integral smooth projective  $k$ -variety with  $[X] \equiv \mathbf{1} \pmod{\mathbf{L}}$  in  $K_0(\text{Var}/k)$ , then  $X$  is stably  $k$ -rational. From [10, Prop. 1.4] one knows that  $X$  is then retract  $k$ -rational in the sense of Saltman. By [2, Thm. 2.3.6]  $X$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -chain connected, hence  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -connected by [17, Lem. 6.1.3]. Thus the theorem is proved and we see also immediately that Theorem 1.2 is a special case of Theorem 1.4 by [2, Prop. 4.3.8].

## Acknowledgements

I wish to thank J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, H. Esnault, M. Levine and O. Wittenberg for a lot of help and support during the writing of this note. Especially my thanks go to my advisor H. Esnault for her patience correcting many errors in this note.

## References

- [1] A. Asok, Birational invariants and  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -connectedness, Preprint, 2011, Crelle's J., <http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/crelle-2012-0034>, in press.
- [2] A. Asok, F. Morel, Smooth varieties up to  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy and algebraic  $h$ -cobordisms, Adv. Math. 227 (5) (2011) 1990–2058.
- [3] J. Ax, Zeros of polynomials over finite fields, Amer. J. Math. 86 (1964) 255–261.
- [4] A.A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein, P. Deligne, Faisceaux pervers, in: Analysis and Topology on Singular Spaces, I, Luminy, 1981, Astérisque 100 (1982) 5–171.
- [5] E. Bilgin, Classes of some hypersurfaces in the Grothendieck ring of varieties, PhD thesis, Universität Duisburg–Essen, 2011.
- [6] F. Brown, O. Schnetz, A  $K3$  in  $\phi^4$ , Preprint, 2011, Duke Math. J., in press.
- [7] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, Birational invariants, purity and the Gersten conjecture, in: W. Jacob, A. Rosenberg (Eds.), K-Theory and Algebraic Geometry: Connections with Quadratic Forms and Division Algebras, AMS Summer Research Institute, Santa Barbara, 1992, in: Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 58, Part I, 1995, pp. 1–64.
- [8] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, M. Ojanguren, Variétés unirationnelles non rationnelles : au-delà de l'exemple d'Artin et Mumford, Invent. Math. 97 (1989) 141–158.
- [9] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, J.-J. Sansuc, La descente sur les variétés rationnelles, Duke Math. J. 54 (2) (1987) 375–492.
- [10] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, J.-J. Sansuc, The rationality problem for fields of invariants under linear algebraic groups (with special regards to the Brauer group), in: Algebraic Groups and Homogeneous Spaces, in: Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Stud. Math., Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Mumbai, 2007, pp. 113–186.
- [11] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, O. Wittenberg, Groupe de Brauer et point entiers de deux familles de surfaces cubiques affines, Preprint, 2011, Amer. J. Math., in press.
- [12] A. Grothendieck, Le groupe de Brauer, I, II, III, in: Dix exposés sur la cohomologie des schémas, in: Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 3, Mason et North-Holland, 1968.
- [13] J. Kollár, Conics in the Grothendieck ring, Adv. Math. 198 (1) (2005) 27–35.
- [14] M. Larsen, V.A. Lunts, Motivic measures and stable birational geometry, Mosc. Math. J. 3 (1) (2003) 85–95.
- [15] X. Liao, Stable birational equivalence and geometric Chevalley–Warning, Preprint, 2011.
- [16] Yu.I. Manin, Cubic Forms – Algebra, Geometry, Arithmetic, second edition, North-Holland, 1986.
- [17] F. Morel, The stable  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -connectivity theorems, K-theory 35 (2005) 1–68.
- [18] F. Morel,  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -Algebraic Topology over a Field, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 2052, Springer-Verlag, 2012.
- [19] F. Morel, V. Voevodsky,  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy theory of schemes, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. 90 (2001) 45–143.