Partial Differential Equations/Calculus of Variations

# Automatic convexity of rank-1 convex functions ${ }^{\star}$ 

# Convexité automatique de fonctions convexes de rang 1 顷 

Bernd Kirchheim, Jan Kristensen

Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford, 24-29 St. Giles', Oxford OX1 3LB, UK

## A R T I C L E IN F O

## Article history:

Received 3 December 2010
Accepted 14 March 2011
Available online 29 March 2011
Presented by Philippe G. Ciarlet


#### Abstract

We announce new structural properties of 1-homogeneous rank-1 convex integrands, and discuss some of their consequences. © 2011 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved. R É S U M É Nous présentons de nouvelles propriétés structurelles de fonctions convexes de rang 1 et 1-homogènes, ainsi que certaines conséquences.
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Questions about sharp integral estimates for derivatives of mappings can often be recast as questions about certain semiconvexity properties of associated integrands (we refer the reader to [7] for a survey of the relevant convexity notions and their roles in the calculus of variations). Particularly fascinating examples of the utility of this viewpoint are presented in [9], where the fact that rank-1 convexity is a manageable and necessary condition for quasiconvexity leads to a long list of tempting conjectures, all of which - if proven - would have significant impact on the foundations of Geometric Function Theory in higher dimensions. The obstacle to success is that rank-1 convexity in general does not imply quasiconvexity. This negative result, known as Morrey's conjecture [15], was established in [18]. It does, however, not exclude the possibility that some of these semiconvexity notions agree within more restricted classes of integrands having natural homogeneity properties. A very interesting case being the positively 1 -homogeneous integrands. Their semiconvexity properties correspond to $L^{1}$-estimates, and are therefore difficult to establish using interpolation or other harmonic analysis tools.

The purpose of this Note is to announce the results of [11] about new structural properties of such integrands. In particular it is shown (Theorem 1) that a positively 1 -homogeneous and rank- 1 convex integrand must be convex at 0 and at all rank-1 matrices. This class of integrands has been investigated several times previously, see e.g. [8] or the older work [16], where it was shown they are not necessarily convex at rank-2 matrices (and hence our result is sharp). The surprising automatically improved convexity at all matrices of rank at most one remained, however, unnoticed.

The result can be viewed as a generalization of Ornstein's $L^{1}$-non-inequality (see Theorem 2), and in particular the approach allows also a streamlined and very elementary proof of the original Ornstein's result. The link between an Ornstein type result, concerning the failure of the $\mathrm{L}^{1}$-version of Korn's inequality, and semiconvexity properties of the associated integrand - though expressed in a dual formulation - was observed already in [5]. There it was utilized in an ad-hoc construction which required a very sophisticated refinement in [6], where it was transferred from an essentially twodimensional situation into three dimensions. Our arguments handle these situations with ease, see Theorem 3 below.

[^0]Due to concentration effects on rank-1 matrices, see [1], our result seems tailored to simplify, and, in fact, was motivated by the characterization of BV gradient Young measures given in [12] (see [11] for more details).

The key result is best stated in abstract terms, and we pause to introduce the requisite terminology. Let V be a finitedimensional real vector space and $\mathcal{D}$ a balanced cone that spans V (so $t x \in \mathcal{D}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{D}, t \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\mathcal{D}$ contains a basis for V ). A real-valued function $F: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $\mathcal{D}$-convex [13] provided its restrictions to lines in directions of $\mathcal{D}$ are convex: the functions $\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto F(x+t y)$ are convex for all $x \in \mathrm{~V}$ and all $y \in \mathcal{D}$. The function $F$ is positively 1-homogeneous provided $F(t x)=t F(x)$ for all $t>0$ and all $x \in \mathrm{~V}$. Finally we say that $F$ has linear growth at infinity if there exist a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on V and a constant $c>0$ such that $|F(x)| \leqslant c(\|x\|+1)$ holds for all $x \in \mathrm{~V}$.

Theorem 1. Let V be a finite-dimensional real vector space and let $\mathcal{D}$ be a balanced cone that spans V . If $F: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $\mathcal{D}$-convex, of linear growth at infinity, and positively 1-homogeneous, then $F$ is convex at each point of $\mathcal{D}$ (so by 1-homogeneity, for each $x_{0} \in \mathcal{D}$ there exists a linear function $\ell: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\ell\left(x_{0}\right)=F\left(x_{0}\right)$ and $\left.F \geqslant \ell\right)$.

We remark that the conclusion remains unchanged if the function is only defined on an open convex cone in V . The prototypical examples to have in mind for $\mathcal{D}$ are the rank- 1 cone when $\mathrm{V}=\mathbb{R}^{N \times n}$, the space of first derivatives or, see below, when V is the space of $k$ th order derivatives of maps from $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ to $\mathbb{R}^{N}$.

The full proof is presented in [11]. However, if we additionally assume that $F$ is differentiable at $x_{0} \in \mathcal{D} \backslash\{0\}$, then the proof is very easy:

Proof of Theorem 1 under additional differentiability assumption. Assume that $F$ is differentiable at $x_{0} \in \mathcal{D} \backslash\{0\}$. Fix a finitely supported probability measure $\mu$ on V with center of mass at $x_{0}$. We must show $I:=\int_{\mathrm{V}}\left(F-F\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} \mu \geqslant 0$. Let $A: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a linear function with $F\left(x_{0}\right)=A\left(x_{0}\right)$, so that by homogeneity also $F=A$ on the half-line $\left\{t x_{0}: t>0\right\}$. Clearly, $I$ is unchanged if we replace $F$ by $F-A$, hence we may assume that $F=0$ on the half-line $\left\{t x_{0}: t>0\right\}$. Now the key is to observe that $F(x) \geqslant F\left(x+x_{0}\right)$ for all $x$. Indeed, this is seen to be a consequence of $\mathcal{D}$-convexity and linear growth as follows. First, linear growth and the fact that $\mathcal{D}$ spans V gives Lipschitz continuity in a standard way (see, e.g. [2] and [11] for details): for a constant $L$ and a norm $\|\cdot\|,|F(x)-F(y)| \leqslant L\|x-y\|$ for all $x, y \in \mathrm{~V}$. Next, for $x \in \mathrm{~V}, \lambda \in(0,1)$, using convexity in the $x_{0}$-direction, and then Lipschitz continuity yield

$$
F\left(x+x_{0}\right) \leqslant(1-\lambda) F(x)+\lambda F\left(x+\frac{1}{\lambda} x_{0}\right) \leqslant(1-\lambda) F(x)+\lambda\left(F\left(\frac{1}{\lambda} x_{0}\right)+L\|x\|\right)=(1-\lambda) F(x)+\lambda L\|x\|,
$$

and the observation follows upon letting $\lambda \searrow 0$. To conclude the proof rewrite $I=\int_{\mathrm{V}} F(t x) / t \mathrm{~d} \mu$ for $t>0$, and hence, by the above observation and since $F\left(x_{0}\right)=0$,

$$
I \geqslant \int_{\mathrm{V}} \frac{F\left(t x+x_{0}\right)-F\left(x_{0}\right)}{t} \mathrm{~d} \mu(x) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathrm{V}} F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)[x] \mathrm{d} \mu(x)=F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\left[x_{0}\right]=F\left(x_{0}\right)=0 .
$$

Finally, by homogeneity it follows that $F$ is convex at all points of the half-line $\left\{t x_{0}: t \geqslant 0\right\}$.

A remarkable result of Ornstein [17] states that given a set of linearly independent linear homogeneous constantcoefficient differential operators in $n$ variables of order $k$, say $B, Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{m}$, and any number $K>0$, there is a $C^{\infty}$ smooth function $f$ vanishing outside the unit cube such that $\int|B f|>K$ and $\int\left|Q_{j} f\right|<1$ for all $1 \leqslant j \leqslant m$.

This result convincingly manifests the fact that estimates for differential operators, usually based on Fourier multipliers and Calderon-Zygmund operators, can be obtained for all $\mathrm{L}^{p}, p \in(1, \infty)$ by interpolation and (more directly) even for the weak-L ${ }^{1}$ spaces but fail to extend to the limit case $p=1$. Ornstein used his result to answer a question by L. Schwarz by constructing a distribution in the plane that was not a measure but whose first order partial derivatives were distributions of order one. He then gave a very technical and rather concise proof of his statement for general dimensions $n$ and degree $k$. Whereas the more transparent first part of his paper finally received the recognition it deserved (e.g. for proving nonsolvability of $\operatorname{div} \Phi=f \in \mathrm{~L}^{\infty}$ with $\Phi \in \mathrm{W}^{1, \infty}$ see the nice duality argument in [14] and [3]), its higher order version was by the same authors not used in a very similar situation ([4]).

Our main result not only gives a simple and convincing proof of all these so-called $\mathrm{L}^{1}$-non-inequalities, but also persists if we admit vector-valued maps and certain nonlinear differential expressions. Let us state a special version. We denote by $C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ the space of compactly supported $C^{\infty}$ maps from $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ into $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, whose $k$ th derivatives $D^{k} f(x)$ are for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ in $L_{s}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, the space of symmetric $k$-linear transformations from $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ to $\mathbb{R}^{N}$.

Theorem 2. Let $P: L_{s}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous and 1-homogeneous function (i.e., $P(t \xi)=|t| P(\xi)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $\xi$ ). Then $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} P\left(D^{k} f(x)\right) \mathrm{d} x \geqslant 0$ for all $f \in \mathrm{C}_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, if and only if $P(\xi) \geqslant 0$ for all $\xi \in L_{s}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.

Proof. Only one implication needs comment. By assumption

$$
Q(\xi):=\inf _{\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left((0,1)^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \int_{(0,1)^{n}} P\left(\xi+D^{k} \varphi(x)\right) \mathrm{d} x
$$

equals 0 at $\xi=0$. It is then easily checked that $Q$ is real-valued and 1 -homogeneous. By a standard argument (see [11] for details) $Q$ is $\mathcal{D}$-convex, where the rank-1 cone, $\mathcal{D}:=\left\{b \otimes \bigotimes^{k} a: a \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, b \in \mathbb{R}^{N}\right\}$, is a balanced spanning cone. From Theorem 1 we deduce that $Q$ is convex at 0 , and as $P \geqslant Q$ with $P(0)=Q(0)=0$ also $P$ is convex at 0 . The conclusion, $P \geqslant 0$, now follows from the 1 -homogeneity.

To see that Theorem 2 implies Ornstein's non-inequality, including a natural vector-valued version, note that $B f=$ $\tilde{B}\left(D^{k} f\right), Q_{j} f=\tilde{Q}_{j}\left(D^{k} f\right)$ for all $f \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, where $\tilde{B}, \tilde{Q}_{j}: L_{s}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\ell}$ are linear. Now Ornstein's non-inequality amounts to equivalence of the statements:
(i) There exists a linear $C: \mathbb{R}^{\ell m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\ell}$ such that for all $\xi \in L_{s}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \tilde{B}(\xi)=C\left(\tilde{Q}_{1}(\xi), \ldots, \tilde{Q}_{m}(\xi)\right)$.
(ii) There exists $c>0$ such that for all $f \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right),\|B f\|_{L^{1}} \leqslant c \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\|Q_{j} f\right\|_{L^{1}}$.

We assume that (ii) holds and deduce (i): Define $P(\xi):=c \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|\tilde{Q}_{j}(\xi)\right|-|\tilde{B}(\xi)|$ for $\xi \in L_{s}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, and note that $P$ is a continuous, 1-homogeneous function to which Theorem 2 applies. Accordingly, $P$ is a nonnegative function, and hence the inclusion $\operatorname{ker} \tilde{B} \supset \bigcap \operatorname{ker} \tilde{Q}_{j}$ must hold for the kernels. A standard linear algebra argument allows us to conclude (i).

It is well-known that the distributional Hessian of a real-valued convex function is a (matrix-valued) measure. The natural question arises if this is valid also for the semiconvexity notions important in the vectorial calculus of variations. In [6] a fairly complicated construction was introduced to show that this is not true for rank-1 convex functions defined on symmetric $2 \times 2$ matrices.

Applying the ideas outlined above to the negative of the Euclidean norm on the open cone of strictly rank-1 convex second gradients we show in combination with [10] (see [11]):

Theorem 3. Let $n>1$ be an integer. There exists a rank-1 convex function $F: \mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{sym}}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ whose distributional Hessian $F^{\prime \prime}$ is not a bounded measure in any open nonempty subset $O$ of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{sym}}^{n \times n}$ :

$$
\sup \int_{O} F \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi}{\partial x_{i j} \partial x_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}}=\infty
$$

where the supremum is over all $\Phi \in \mathrm{C}_{c}^{\infty}(0)$ with $\sup |\Phi| \leqslant 1$ and $i, j, i^{\prime}, j^{\prime} \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$.
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