Partial Differential Equations

A two level domain decomposition preconditioner based on local Dirichlet-to-Neumann maps

Une méthode de décomposition de domaine à deux niveaux basée sur l'opérateur de Steklov–Poincaré

Frédéric Nataf\textsuperscript{a}, Hua Xiang\textsuperscript{b}, Victorita Dolean\textsuperscript{c}

\textsuperscript{a} UPMC Université Paris-06, UMR 7598, Laboratoire J.L. Lions, 75005 Paris, France
\textsuperscript{b} School of Mathematics and Statistics, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, P.R. China
\textsuperscript{c} Laboratoire J.-A. Dieudonné, UMR 6621, Université de Nice-Sophia Antipolis, 06108 Nice cedex 02, France

**Abstract**

Coarse grid correction is a key ingredient in order to have scalable domain decomposition methods. In this Note we construct the coarse grid space using the low frequency modes of the subdomain DtN (Dirichlet–Neumann) maps, and apply the obtained two-level preconditioner to the linear system arising from an overlapping domain decomposition. Our method is suitable for the parallel implementation and its efficiency is demonstrated by numerical examples on problems with high heterogeneities.

**Résumé**

Les grilles grossières sont un ingrédient important pour obtenir des méthodes de décomposition de domaine qui passent à l’échelle. Dans ce travail on propose la construction d’un espace grossier en utilisant les modes basses fréquence des opérateurs DtN (Dirichlet–Neumann) et on applique le préconditionneur à deux niveaux ainsi obtenu au système linéaire issu d’une décomposition de domaine avec recouvrement. Notre méthode est adaptée à une implémentation parallèle et son efficacité est montrée à l’aide des exemples numériques sur des problèmes avec des grandes hétérogénéités.

**Version française abrégée**

On s’intéresse à la résolution d’un système linéaire issu de la discrétisation d’un problème aux limites elliptique (1) où \( \kappa \) est la diffusion qui peut être discontinue. Afin d’obtenir des méthodes de décomposition de domaine qui passent à l’échelle (robustes vis à vis du nombre des sous-domaines), on a besoin des méthodes à deux niveaux, voir [10] et les références correspondantes. Ces méthodes sont étroitement liées aux méthodes multigrille et correction par déflation, voir [9]. Elles sont définies par deux ingrédients : une matrice de rang maximal \( Z \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times m} \) avec \( m \ll p \) et une formulation algébrique de la correction et elles impliquent la résolution d’un problème de taille réduite \( m \times m \) appelé problème grossier.

Le préconditionneur à deux niveaux Balancing Neumann–Neumann (BNN), a été initialement introduit dans [6] et peut s’écrire sous une forme algébrique (selon [4]) comme dans (2). Ici \( M^{-1} \) est le préconditionneur grille fine de type Schwarz,
\[ E = Z^T AZ, \quad S = ZE^{-1}Y^T \] is the matrix of correction grille grossière and \( P_D = I - A S = I - AZ(Y^T AZ)^{-1}Y^T, \) \( Q_D = I - S A = I - Z(Y^T AZ)^{-1}Y^T A \) are the operators of projection.

Our method is based on the low-frequency modes associated with the Dirichlet-to-Neumann (DtN) operator. When the discontinuities are along the interfaces between the subdomains, the results are not so good. Our method is very efficient for the parallel implementation.

1. Introduction

We consider the solution of the linear system \( Ax = b \in \mathbb{R}^p \) arising from the discretization of an elliptic boundary value problem of the type

\[ \eta u - \text{div}(\kappa \nabla u) = f, \]  

where \( \kappa \) is the diffusion tensor which can be discontinuous. In order to obtain a scalable domain decomposition algorithm (weakly dependent on the number of subdomains), one usually needs a two-level method, see [10] and references therein. These methods are closely related to multigrid and deflation corrections (see [9] and references therein). They are defined by two ingredients: a full rank matrix \( Z \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \) with \( m \ll p \) and an algebraic formulation of the correction and they imply solving a reduced size problem of order \( m \times m \) called a coarse grid problem.

As a two-level preconditioner we mention the balancing Neumann–Neumann which was proposed by Mandel [6], whose algebraic form for non-symmetric systems can be found in [4]

\[ P_{BNN} = Q_D M^{-1} P_D + ZE^{-1}Y^T. \]  

Here \( M^{-1} \) designs the additive Schwarz preconditioner, \( E = Y^T AZ, \) \( S = ZE^{-1}Y^T \) is coarse-grid correction matrix and \( P_D = I - A S = I - AZ(Y^T AZ)^{-1}Y^T \) and \( Q_D = I - S A = I - Z(Y^T AZ)^{-1}Y^T A \) are the projection operators.

We focus now on the choice of the coarse space \( Z \) in order to obtain a robust domain decomposition method for problems of type (1) with highly heterogeneous coefficients. When the jumps in the coefficients are inside the subdomains (and not on the interface) or across the interface separating the subregions, the use of a fixed number of vectors per subdomain in \( Z \) gives good results, see [3] or [2]. When the discontinuities are along the interfaces between the subdomains, results are not so good. Our method is based on the low-frequency modes associated with the Dirichlet-to-Neumann (DtN) map on each subdomain. After obtaining the eigenvectors associated with the small eigenvalues of DtN, we use the harmonic extension to the whole subdomain. It is quite efficient even for the problem with large discontinuities in the coefficients. Moreover, it is suitable for the parallel implementation.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the two-level preconditioners using the restricted additive Schwarz (RAS) with the coarse grid correction. In Section 3 numerical tests on the model problem demonstrate the efficiency of our method.

2. Coarse grid correction for algebraic domain decomposition methods

Without loss of generality, we consider here a decomposition of a domain \( \Omega \) into two overlapping subdomains \( \Omega_1 \) and \( \Omega_2 \) and denote by \( A_j \) the local discretization matrices and by \( R_j \) the rectangular restriction matrices. A classical preconditioner to problem \( Au = b \) issued from the discretization of the BVP (1) is the restricted additive Schwarz (RAS) method, see [1].

\[ u^{n+1} = u^n + (\tilde{R}_1^T A_1^{-1} R_1 + \tilde{R}_2^T A_2^{-1} R_2)(f - Au^n), \]  

where \( A_i := R_i A R_i^T, \) \( i = 1, 2 \) and \( \tilde{R}_j \) are obtained by setting some ones in \( R_j \) to zeros, such that the operators \( \tilde{R}_j \) correspond to a non-overlapping decomposition. Note that the RAS preconditioner is non-symmetric. From the iterative scheme (3), we can define the preconditioner

\[ M_{\text{RAS}}^{-1} := \tilde{R}_1^T A_1^{-1} R_1 + \tilde{R}_2^T A_2^{-1} R_2. \]  

Using the \( M_{\text{RAS}} \) preconditioner, we can remove the very large eigenvalues of the coefficient matrix, which correspond to high frequency modes. But the small eigenvalues still exist and hamper the convergence. These small eigenvalues correspond to low frequency modes and represent some global information. We need a suitable coarse grid space to efficiently deal with them. Ideally, we can choose the deflation subspace \( Z \) which consists of the eigenvectors associated with the small eigenvalues. But the lower part of the spectrum of a matrix is costly to obtain. Thus, there is a need to choose a priori the coarse space. For instance in [8], Nicolaides defines the deflation subspace \( Z \) as follows:
This coarse space performs well in the constant coefficient case. But when there are jumps in the coefficients, it cannot prevent stagnation in the convergence. We propose now a construction of the coarse space that will be suitable for parallel implementation and efficient for accelerating the convergence for the problem with highly heterogeneous coefficients and arbitrary domain decompositions. We still choose $Z$ such that it has the form

$$(z_j)_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i \in \Omega_j, \\ 0, & \text{if } i \notin \Omega_j. \end{cases}$$

(4)

The vectors $w_i$ are associated to the eigenvectors corresponding to the smallest eigenvalues of the DtN map in each subdomain $\Omega_j$.

More precisely, let us consider first at the continuous level the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map $\text{DtN}_{\Omega_j}$. Let $u_{\Gamma_j} : \Gamma_j \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, where $\Gamma_j$ is the interface boundary,

$$\text{DtN}_{\Omega_j}(u_{\Gamma_j}) = \left. \frac{\partial v}{\partial n_i} \right|_{\Gamma_j},$$

where $v$ satisfies

$$\begin{align*}
L(v) := (\eta - \text{div}(k\nabla))v &= 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega_j, \\
v &= u_{\Gamma_j}, \quad \text{on } \Gamma_j.
\end{align*}$$

(6)

If the subdomain is not a floating one (i.e. $\partial \Omega_j \cap \partial \Omega \neq \emptyset$), it is necessary to add on this part of the boundary, the boundary condition coming from the original problem. To construct the coarse grid subspace, we use the low frequency modes associated with the DtN operator:

$$\text{DtN}_{\Omega_j}(w_i) = \lambda_i w_i$$

with $\lambda$ small and then we take their harmonic extension to the whole subdomain.

A rationale for this choice is that a fast decay of the error of the RAS method corresponds to large eigenvalues of the DtN map whereas a slow decay corresponds to small eigenvalues of this map. Thus the small eigenvalues of the DtN map are responsible for the slow convergence of the algorithm and it is natural to incorporate them in the coarse grid space.

If the spectrum of the DtN map has several isolated small eigenvalues we take all of them in the coarse space. We define by $N_{\text{smeg}}$ the number of eigenvectors per subdomain we incorporate in the coarse space. We call this procedure the $Z_{\text{D2N}}$ method. We also use $Z_{\text{D2N}}$ to denote the coarse grid space constructed by this method. Its construction is fully parallel. Similarly we call $Z_{\text{Nico}}$ the method of Nicolaides or the corresponding coarse grid space. Let us remark that when $\eta = 0$ and the subdomain is a floating one, the lowest eigenvalue of the DtN map is zero and the corresponding eigenvector is a constant vector. Thus, if $N_{\text{smeg}} = 1$, $Z_{\text{Nico}}$ and $Z_{\text{D2N}}$ coincide. As we shall see in the next section, when a subdomain has several jumps of the coefficient, taking $Z_{\text{Nico}}$ is not efficient and it is necessary to take $Z_{\text{D2N}}$ with more than one vector per subdomain.

3. Numerical results

We solve now the model problem (1) on the domain $\Omega = [0, 1]^2$ discretized by a $P_1$ finite element method. The diffusion $k$ is a function of $x$ and $y$. The corresponding discretizations and data structures were obtained by using the software FreeFem++ [5] in connection with Metis partitioner [7]. In the following we will compare the RAS preconditioner with and without Nicolaides coarse space to the new preconditioner based on the harmonic extension of the eigenvectors of the local DtN operators. We consider here two situations in the case of highly heterogeneous viscosity, skyscraper and alternating case, defined as follows:

- Skyscraper viscosity: for $x$ and $y$ such that for $[10x] \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ or $[10y] \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $\kappa = 10^3 \cdot ([10y] + 1)$, and $\kappa = 1$ elsewhere.
- Alternating viscosity: for $y$ such that for $[10y] \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $\kappa = 10^3 \cdot ([10y] + 1)$, and $\kappa = 1$ elsewhere.

We first test the methods on overlapping decompositions into rectangular $N \times N$ domains with $N = 2$, 4 and 8 and then on decompositions into irregular domains obtained via Metis. These overlapping decompositions are build by adding layers to non-overlapping ones. The general non-overlapping decompositions can be generated for example, by using the Metis partitioner (see Fig. 1 for such a decomposition into 64 subdomains). The number of discretization points for the uniform decompositions is $14 \times 14$ in every subdomain and an overlap of $\delta = 4$ mesh cells. The number of unknowns increase as $N^2$. We will compare these two level preconditioners on two different configurations of highly heterogeneous viscosity, as defined above and shown in Fig. 2. Here the number of eigenvectors considered is constant by subdomain and equal to...
Fig. 1. Decomposition into 64 subdomains using Metis.

Fig. 2. Heterogeneous viscosity: skyscraper and alternating cases.

Table 1
Iteration counts vs. domain decomposition in the skyscraper case with $N_{nseg} = 4$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$N$</th>
<th>Uniform $N \times N$ decomposition</th>
<th>$N \times N$ decomp. using Metis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RAS $P_{BNN}$: RAS + $Z_{nico}$</td>
<td>$P_{BNN}$: RAS + $Z_{D2N}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>&gt;400</td>
<td>299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>&gt;400</td>
<td>294</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2
Iteration counts vs. domain decomposition in the alternating case with $N_{nseg} = 4$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$N$</th>
<th>Uniform $N \times N$ decomposition</th>
<th>$N \times N$ decomp. using Metis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RAS $P_{BNN}$: RAS + $Z_{nico}$</td>
<td>$P_{BNN}$: RAS + $Z_{D2N}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3
Iteration counts and varying size of the coarse space $N_{\text{sm eig}}$ vs. domain decomposition in the skyscraper case.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$N$</th>
<th>Uniform $N \times N$ decomposition</th>
<th>$N \times N$ decom. using Metis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$P_{\text{BN}}$: RAS + $Z_{\text{Nico}}$</td>
<td>$P_{\text{BN}}$: RAS + $Z_{D2N}$ ($N_{\text{sm eig}}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>12 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>11 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>13 (10)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$N_{\text{sm eig}} = 4$ and it shows a clear advantage of the DtN preconditioner over Nicolaides one: see Table 1 for the skyscraper configuration and Table 2 for the alternating configuration.

A better strategy would consist in adapting $N_{\text{sm eig}}$ in function of the decomposition into subdomains. In Table 3, as the number of subdomains increases we increase $N_{\text{sm eig}}$ (the number between brackets in Table 3). We see that the results are further improved and are very similar to the homogeneous case.

4. Conclusion and perspectives

We have considered the linear system arising from the overlapping domain decomposition method and we applied the two-level preconditioner using the Schwarz algorithm and the coarse grid correction. The coarse grid space is based on the low frequency modes of the local DtN map. Its size can be adapted to the difficulty of the problem. With this coarse space, we can obtain fast convergence for problems with large discontinuities (even along the interface) and arbitrary domain decompositions.
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