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#### Abstract

We answer a problem posed recently by Knuth: an $n$-dimensional box, with edges lying on the positive coordinate axes and generic edge lengths $W_{1}<W_{2}<\cdots<W_{n}$, is dissected into $n$ ! pieces along the planes $x_{i}=x_{j}$. We describe which pieces have the same volume, and show that there are $C_{n}$ distinct volumes, where $C_{n}$ denotes the $n$th Catalan number.
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## R É S U M É

Nous répondons à un problème posé récemment par Knuth dans le contexte suivant : une boîte de dimension $n$, dont les arêtes s'alignent en partant de l'origine sur les axes de coordonnées positives et sont de longueur générique $W_{1}<W_{2}<\cdots<W_{n}$, est découpée en $n!$ morceaux par les hyperplans $x_{i}=x_{j}$. Nous décrivons alors les morceaux qui ont même volume et nous montrons qu'il y a $C_{n}$ volumes distincts où $C_{n}$ désigne le $n$-ième nombre de Catalan.
© 2010 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

In a recent talk [4], D. Knuth posed the following problem. Consider the $n$-dimensional box $B=\left[0, W_{1}\right] \times \cdots \times\left[0, W_{n}\right]$, where $W_{1}<W_{2}<\cdots<W_{n}$. If $\pi$ is a permutation in $S_{n}$, the symmetric group on $n$ letters, define the region

$$
C_{\pi}=\left\{x \in B \mid x_{\pi(1)} \geqslant x_{\pi(2)} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant x_{\pi(n)}\right\} .
$$

In other words, we dissect $B$ by cutting it along the planes $x_{i}=x_{j}$, for $1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n$. Each $C_{\pi}$ is a piece of this dissection. Let us view the volume of $C_{\pi}$ as a polynomial in the $W_{i}$. How many distinct volumes are there amongst the $C_{\pi}$, and which $C_{\pi}$ have the same volume?

See Fig. 1 for the case $n=3$, in which $C_{132}$ and $C_{123}$ have the same volume and all others have distinct volumes. The left-hand image shows the original problem; the other two images show $B$ being dissected further along the planes $x_{i}=W_{j}$, so that the volumes may be more easily computed.

Definition 1.1. Let $\mathcal{P}$ denote the set of all partitions. Let $\pi$ be a permutation with matrix $\left[a_{i j}\right]$ acting on the right. Define $\psi: S_{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ to be the map which sends $\pi$ to the partition whose Young diagram is

$$
\left\{\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right): a_{i j}=0 \text { for all } i \leqslant i^{\prime} \text { and } j \leqslant j^{\prime}\right\} .
$$
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Fig. 1. Knuth's problem in dimension 3.


Fig. 2. Three of the constructions described in this article, applied to the permutation $\pi=42531$. From left to right: $\lambda^{\max }(\pi)=(4,2,2,2,1), \psi(\pi)=$ (3, 1, 1, 1), and the diagram of $\pi$.

In other words, we cross out all matrix entries which lie weakly below and/or to the right of every one in the permutation matrix for $\pi$ (see Fig. 2, center image). The entries which are not crossed out form the Young diagram of $\psi(\pi)$. Note that our permutation matrices always act on the right.

Theorem 1.2. If $\pi$ and $\sigma$ are permutations, then $\operatorname{Vol}\left(C_{\pi}\right)=\operatorname{Vol}\left(C_{\sigma}\right)$ if and only if $\psi(\pi)=\psi(\sigma)$.
We defer the proof of this theorem to the end of the paper. However, there is an immediate corollary, if we appeal to a few results in the literature:

Corollary 1.3. The number of distinct elements of the set $\left\{\operatorname{Vol}\left(C_{\pi}\right): \pi \in S_{n}\right\}$ is $C_{n}=\frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2 n}{n}$, the $n$th Catalan number.
Proof. Observe that $\psi(\pi)$ is closely related to a well-known construction, namely that of the diagram of the permutation $\pi$. To construct the diagram of $\pi$, one crosses out all entries directly below and directly to the right of each of the ones in the matrix for $\pi$. The result need not be a Young diagram (see Fig. 2, right image). As observed by Reifegerste [5], this procedure yields a Young diagram (and hence coincides with our $\psi(\pi)$ ) precisely when $\pi$ is 132 -avoiding. In other words, our $\psi$ map yields precisely the rank-zero piece of Fulton's essential set [3,1]; the entire essential set has rank zero precisely when $\pi$ is 132 -avoiding. Alternatively, one can see directly that boundary of the Young diagram for $\psi(\pi)$ is always a Dyck path [2]. Both 132-avoiding permutations and Dyck paths are enumerated by the Catalan numbers.

We do not know of a good reason why this problem, or our solution, should have anything to do with combinatorial representation theory; the map $\psi$ as defined above arises naturally in our solution.

We note that Knuth's original setting of the problem [4] is slightly different. Namely, fix weights $W_{1}<\cdots<W_{n}$, and let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be uniform random variables on [0,1]. We rank the quantities $x_{i}=W_{i} X_{i}$ from smallest to largest. If $\pi$ is a permutation on $n$ letters, define the event $E_{\pi}: x_{\pi(1)} \geqslant x_{\pi(2)} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant x_{\pi(n)}$. Knuth observed that when $n \geqslant 3$, certain of these events $E_{\pi}$ occur with the same probability regardless of the choice of $W_{i}$. Theorem 1.2 now classifies the events $E(\pi)$ which occur with the same probability.

We would like to thank D. Knuth for helpful correspondence.

## 2. A refinement of the dissection

We will proceed in the manner suggested in Fig. 1: we subdivide the box $B$ further, along the hyperplanes $x_{i}=W_{j}$. Once this is done, all pieces have very simple shapes, and are easily understood.

Definition 2.1. Let $W_{0}=0$, and define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{i}=W_{i}-W_{i-1}>0 \text { for } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n, \\
& \mathcal{B}=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}^{n}, \\
& I=\{1\} \times\{1,2\} \times\{1,2,3\} \times \cdots \times\{1,2,3, \ldots, n\} \subseteq \mathcal{B}
\end{aligned}
$$

and for $\rho=\left(\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{B}$, we define the open box

$$
B_{\rho}=\left(W_{\rho_{1}-1}, W_{\rho_{1}}\right) \times\left(W_{\rho_{2}-1}, W_{\rho_{2}}\right) \times \cdots \times\left(W_{\rho_{n}-1}, W_{\rho_{n}}\right)
$$

Note that the dimensions of $B_{\rho}$ are $a_{\rho_{1}} \times a_{\rho_{2}} \times \cdots \times a_{\rho_{n}}$. Observe that those boxes $B_{\rho}$ for which $\rho \in I$ lie within $B$, and indeed partition $B$ up to a set of volume zero (namely, the boundaries of the boxes). Also, note that if $\rho \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\rho_{i}=\rho_{j}$ for some $i \neq j$, then $B_{\rho}$ is symmetric about the hyperplane $\left\{x_{i}=x_{j}\right\}$, whereas if $\rho_{i}<\rho_{j}$, then the hyperplane $\left\{x_{i}=x_{j}\right\}$ does not intersect $B_{\rho}$ at all.

The motivation for all of these definitions is to simplify the computation of the volumes of the $C_{\pi}$. We begin with the following immediate observation:

Lemma 2.2. For any $\rho \in \mathcal{B}$ and any $\pi \in S_{n}, \rho_{\pi(1)} \geqslant \rho_{\pi(2)} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant \rho_{\pi(n)}$ if and only if all points $x \in B_{\rho}$ satisfy $x_{\pi(1)} \geqslant x_{\pi(2)} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant$ $\chi_{\pi(n)}$.

The symmetric group $S_{n}$ acts on $\mathcal{B}$ by permuting coordinates. Each box $B_{\rho}$ has a stabilizer $G_{\rho} \leqslant S_{n}$ under this action. In fact, $G_{\rho}$ is isomorphic to a product of symmetric groups

$$
G_{\rho} \simeq S_{n_{1}} \times \cdots \times S_{n_{k}}
$$

where $n_{j}$ is the number of occurrences of the number $j$ in $\rho$. Observe that $G_{\rho}$ also acts faithfully on $B_{\rho}$ by permuting coordinates, and so partitions $B_{\rho}$ into $\left|G_{\rho}\right|$ equal-volume fundamental domains. We thus have the following volume computation:

## Lemma 2.3.

$$
\operatorname{Vol}\left(C_{\pi} \cap B_{\rho}\right)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } C_{\pi} \cap B_{\rho}=\emptyset \\ \frac{1}{\left|G_{\rho}\right|} a_{\rho_{1}} a_{\rho_{2}} \cdots a_{\rho_{n}} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

## 3. Proof of the main theorem

For the following lemmata and their proofs, we adopt the following notation: Let $\rho=\left(\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{B}, \pi \in S_{n}$, and let $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{B}$ be such that $\lambda_{i}=\rho_{\pi(i)}$.

Lemma 3.1. $C_{\pi}$ meets $B_{\rho}$ if and only if $\lambda$ is a partition and $\lambda(i) \geqslant \pi(i)$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, $C_{\pi}$ meets $B_{\rho}$ if and only if $\rho \in I$ and $\rho_{\pi(1)} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant \rho_{\pi(n)}$. Now, $\rho \in I \Leftrightarrow \rho_{i} \leqslant i \Leftrightarrow \lambda_{i} \leqslant \pi(i)$; similarly, $\rho_{\pi(1)} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant \rho_{\pi(n)}$ is equivalent to $\lambda_{1} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant \lambda_{n}$.

Recall that the set of integer partitions forms a distributive lattice, Young's lattice, under the partial order of inclusion of Young diagrams. See, for example, [6, Section 7.2] for an introduction to Young's lattice.

Definition 3.2. Let $\lambda^{\max }(\pi)=\bigcup\left\{\mu \in \mathcal{P}: \mu\right.$ is a partition with $n$ parts and $\left.\mu_{i} \leqslant \pi(i)\right\}$, where $\bigcup$ denotes union of Young diagrams (the least upper bound in Young's lattice).

Lemma 3.3. $C_{\pi}$ meets $B_{\rho}$ if and only if $\lambda$ is a partition and $\lambda \subseteq \lambda^{\max }$ as Young diagrams.
Proof. It is easy to check that if $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are partitions which meet the condition of Lemma 3.1, then so is $\lambda \cup \mu$ (their union as Young diagrams). Moreover, if $\nu \subseteq \lambda$, then $v$ meets the conditions of Lemma 3.1. As such, the condition of Lemma 3.1 is equivalent to $\lambda \subseteq \lambda^{\text {max }}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. If $\lambda$ is a partition, write $\rho(\lambda)=\left(\lambda_{\pi^{-1}(1)}, \ldots, \lambda_{\pi^{-1}(n)}\right)$. Taking $\rho=\rho(\lambda)$ and applying Lemmas 2.3 and 3.3, we see that

$$
\operatorname{Vol}\left(C_{\pi}\right)=\sum_{\lambda \subseteq \lambda^{\max (\pi)}} \frac{1}{\left|G_{\rho(\lambda)}\right|} \prod_{i} a_{\lambda_{i}}=\sum_{\lambda \subseteq \lambda^{\max }(\pi)} \frac{1}{\left|G_{\lambda}\right|} \prod_{i} a_{\lambda_{i}}
$$

The latter equality holds because $G_{\rho}$ is isomorphic to $G_{\sigma \cdot \rho}$ for any permutation $\sigma \in S_{n}$. As such, $\operatorname{Vol}\left(C_{\pi}\right)=\operatorname{Vol}\left(C_{\pi^{\prime}}\right)$ if and only if $\lambda^{\max }(\pi)=\lambda^{\max }\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)$.

Next, we need a concrete description of $\lambda_{\max }(\pi)$. Let $\lambda$ be a partition such that $\lambda_{i} \leqslant \pi(i)$. In particular,

```
\(\lambda_{1} \leqslant \pi(1)\),
\(\lambda_{2} \leqslant \min \left\{\lambda_{1}, \pi(2)\right\} \leqslant \min \{\pi(1), \pi(2)\}\),
\(\vdots\)
\(\lambda_{n} \leqslant \min \left\{\lambda_{n-1}, \pi(n)\right\} \leqslant \min \{\pi(1), \ldots, \pi(n)\}\).
```

Now, $\lambda$ is maximal in Young's lattice if we replace all of the above inequalities with equalities. Therefore, $\lambda_{i}^{\max }=$ $\min \{\pi(1), \ldots, \pi(i)\}$.

Recalling Definition 1.1, we now compare $\lambda^{\max }(\pi)$ to $\psi(\pi)$. Observe that the permutation matrix for $\pi$ has ones in positions ( $i$, $\pi(i)$ ) and zeros elsewhere, so the $i$ th part of $\psi(\pi)$ is $\min \{\pi(1), \pi(2), \ldots, \pi(i)\}-1$. In other words, one obtains $\psi(\pi)$ by deleting the first column of the Young diagram of $\lambda^{\max }$; this column is necessarily of height $n$, so one can also reconstruct $\lambda^{\max }(\pi)$ given $\psi(\pi)$ (see Fig. 2, left and center images). We conclude that if $\pi, \pi^{\prime}$ are permutations in $S_{n}$, then

$$
\operatorname{Vol}\left(C_{\pi}\right)=\operatorname{Vol}\left(C_{\pi^{\prime}}\right) \Leftrightarrow \lambda^{\max }(\pi)=\lambda^{\max }\left(\pi^{\prime}\right) \Leftrightarrow \psi(\pi)=\psi\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)
$$
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