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#### Abstract

This Note presents an improved method for proving Fuss' relations for bicentric $n$-gons where $n \geqslant 3$ is an odd integer. Several yet unknown Fuss type relations are established. The Note can be considered as a complement to one of our earlier articles on the same subject. © 2010 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved. R É S U M É Ce travail présente une méthode améliorée pour démontrer les relations de Fuss pour des polygones bicentriques à $n$ côtés, ou $n \geqslant 3$ est un nombre entier impair. Nous établissons des relations analogues à celles de Fuss, qui ne semblaient pas connues à ce jour. La note est un complément à un de nos articles antérieurs sur le même sujet.
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## 1. Introduction

Although Poncelet's celebrated closure theorem [4] dates from the nineteenth century, many mathematicians have worked on a number of problems related to this inspiring result, which can be stated as follows. Let $C$ and $D$ be two nested conics such that there is an $n$-sided polygon inscribed in $D$ and circumscribed around $C$. Then, for every point $x$ on $D$ there is an $n$-sided polygon inscribed in $D$ and circumscribed around $C$ such that the point $x$ is one of its vertices. Hence, for every starting point $x$ there is a polygon with the same $n$-periodicity.

In this article we restrict ourselves to the case when the conics are circles. The pair of conics $C$ and $D$ can be taken to be a pair of circles by a projective transformation. Let us denote by $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ the resulting circles, and let $R, r$ and $d$ be, respectively, the radius of $C_{2}$, the radius of $C_{1}$, and the distance between the centers of $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$. The $n$-periodicity of Poncelet's configuration then implies algebraic relations on $R, r$ and $d$. For $n \leqslant 8$, these relations were found by N . Fuss $[2,3]$ and they are referred to as Fuss' relations for all values of $n$. A general condition on $n$-periodicity in terms of given conics is the content of the important Cayley's theorem [1] (which implies Fuss' relations; however the deduction of the later from the former may be a non-trivial task).

The present article primarily deals with one way of establishing Fuss' relations corresponding to the same value of $n$ but different rotation numbers of Poncelet's $n$-gons. A key role is played in our argument by a certain partition of the rotation numbers for $n$, which allows one to relatively easily deduce Fuss' relations.
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## 2. One way of establishing Fuss' relations

The following notation will be used. We shall denote by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n}^{(k)}(R, r, d)=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fuss' relation for bicentric $n$-gons where the rotation number for $n$ is $k$. Let ( $R_{k}, r_{k}, d_{k}$ ) be a solution of the above relation. We then denote by $\hat{R}_{k}, \hat{r}_{k}, \hat{d}_{k}$ the lengths (which are, in fact, positive numbers) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\hat{R}_{k}, \hat{r}_{k}, \hat{d}_{k}\right)=\left(\frac{R_{k}^{2}-d_{k}^{2}}{2 r_{k}}, \sqrt{-\left(R_{k}^{2}+d_{k}^{2}-r_{k}^{2}\right)+\left(\frac{R_{k}^{2}-d_{k}^{2}}{2 r_{k}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{2 R_{k} r_{k} d_{k}}{R_{k}^{2}-d_{k}^{2}}\right)^{2}}, \frac{2 R_{k} r_{k} d_{k}}{R_{k}^{2}-d_{k}^{2}}\right) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $n \geqslant 3$ be an odd integer and let us denote by $\mathbb{S}$ the set given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{S}=\left\{x: x \in\left\{1,2, \ldots, \frac{n-1}{2}\right\} \text { and } G C D(x, n)=1\right\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 2.1. Let $f: \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$ be the function defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=2 x \quad \text { if } 2 x \in \mathbb{S}, \quad \text { and } \quad f(x)=n-2 x \quad \text { if } 2 x \notin \mathbb{S} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.2. The function $f$ is a one-to-one mapping from $\mathbb{S}$ to $\mathbb{S}$.
Proof. It is easy to see that $x_{1} \neq x_{2} \Rightarrow f\left(x_{1}\right) \neq f\left(x_{2}\right)$. If $k \in \mathbb{S}$ is even, then the equation $2 x=k$ has a solution in $\mathbb{S}$, whereas if $k$ is odd, then the equation $k=n-2 x$ has a solution in $\mathbb{S}$.

Thus the function $f$ induces a partition of the set $\mathbb{S}$.
For example, if $n=17$, then the partition of the set $\mathbb{S}=\{1, \ldots, 8\}$ has two cosets: $C_{1}=\{1,2,4,8\}$ and $C_{2}=\{3,5,6,7\}$, since in this case

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
f(1)=2, & f(2)=4, & f(4)=8, & f(8)=1, \\
f(3)=6, & f(6)=5, & f(5)=7, & f(7)=3 . \tag{6}
\end{array}
$$

Of course, the function $f$ determines one (cyclic) ordering of the elements in each coset. For the sake of brevity, we shall write $x \rightarrow y$ instead of $f(x)=y$. Thus, if $n=17$, then instead of (5) and (6) we write the orderings $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 8 \rightarrow 1$ and $3 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 7 \rightarrow 3$.

Also, for brevity, we shall often write $\hat{x}$ instead of $f(x)$.
As will be seen, the ordering determined by the function $f$ has very interesting and important properties concerning bicentric polygons. Namely, the following conjecture is strongly suggested:

Conjecture 2.3. Let $R_{k}, r_{k}, d_{k}$ and $\hat{R}_{k}, \hat{r}_{k}, \hat{d}_{k}$ be such that (2) holds. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\hat{R}_{k}, \hat{r}_{k}, \hat{d}_{k}\right)=\left(R_{\hat{k}}, r_{\hat{k}}, d_{\hat{k}}\right), \quad \text { that is, } \frac{R_{k}^{2}-d_{k}^{2}}{2 r_{k}}=R_{f(k)}, \text { and so on. } \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [5, Theorems $1,3,4$ ] we have proved this conjecture for $n=3,5,7,9$. So for $n=5$, since $\hat{1}=2$ and $\hat{2}=1$, we have the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\hat{R}_{1}, \hat{r}_{1}, \hat{d}_{1}\right)=\left(R_{2}, r_{2}, d_{2}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\hat{R}_{2}, \hat{r}_{2}, \hat{d}_{2}\right)=\left(R_{1}, r_{1}, d_{1}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have also proved that

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{1}\left(R_{1}-r_{1}+\sqrt{\left(R_{1}-r_{1}\right)^{2}-d_{1}^{2}}\right)=R_{2}^{2}  \tag{9}\\
& R_{2}\left(R_{2}+r_{2}+\sqrt{\left(R_{2}+r_{2}\right)^{2}-d_{2}^{2}}\right)=R_{1}^{2} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Generally, for each odd $n \geqslant 3$ for which Conjecture 2.3 is true, there are analogous relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{1}\left(R_{1}-r_{1}+\sqrt{\left(R_{1}-r_{1}\right)^{2}-d_{1}^{2}}\right)=R_{\frac{n-1}{2}}^{2}  \tag{11}\\
& R_{2}\left(R_{2}+r_{2}+\sqrt{\left(R_{2}+r_{2}\right)^{2}-d_{2}^{2}}\right)=R_{1}^{2}, \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

whose proof proceeds in the same way as that for $n=5,7,9$. Thus we have proved the following theorem:

Theorem 2.4. Conjecture 2.3 is true for odd $n=3,5,7,9,11,13,15,17$.
(For odd $n>17$ a powerful computer would be needed to ascertain the validity of Conjecture 2.3.)
Now we shall show how, using relation (11), one can establish Fuss' relation for bicentric $n$-gons whose rotation numbers for $n$ are odd integers from the set $\mathbb{S}$. Let this relation be denoted by $F_{n}^{\langle 1\rangle}(R, r, d)=0$.

Without loss of generality we can take $n=17$ since essentially the same argument applies in all of the other cases. First we shall use the coset $C_{1}=\{1,2,4,8\}$, where $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 8 \rightarrow 1$. In this case the right-hand side of (11) is $R_{8}^{2}$, and thanks to (2) and (7) it can be expressed by $R_{1}, r_{1}, d_{1}$ using the following three substitutions:

$$
\left(R_{8}, r_{8}, d_{8}\right) \leftarrow\left(R_{4}, r_{4}, d_{4}\right) \leftarrow\left(R_{2}, r_{2}, d_{2}\right) \leftarrow\left(R_{1}, r_{1}, d_{1}\right)
$$

where the arrow $\leftarrow$ is read: can be expressed by.
It is clear from the ordering $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 8 \rightarrow 1$ that $\left(R_{1}, r_{1}, d_{1}\right)$ can be any solution of Fuss' relation $F_{17}^{(1)}(R, r, d)=0$. Hence the relation thus obtained from (11), taking $n=17$, is Fuss' relation $F_{17}^{(1)}(R, r, d)=0$, except that we wrote $R, r, d$ instead of $R_{1}, r_{1}, d_{1}$.

Now we use the coset $C_{2}=\{3,5,6,7\}$, where $3 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 7 \rightarrow 3$. Since in this case $7 \rightarrow 3$, we have the following relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{3}\left(R_{3}-r_{3}+\sqrt{\left(R_{3}-r_{3}\right)^{2}-d_{3}^{2}}\right)=R_{7}^{2} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The term $R_{7}^{2}$ can be expressed by $R_{3}, r_{3}, d_{3}$ using the following three substitutions:

$$
\left(R_{7}, r_{7}, d_{7}\right) \leftarrow\left(R_{5}, r_{5}, d_{5}\right) \leftarrow\left(R_{6}, r_{6}, d_{6}\right) \leftarrow\left(R_{3}, r_{3}, d_{3}\right)
$$

It is clear from the ordering $3 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 7 \rightarrow 3$ that $\left(R_{3}, r_{3}, d_{3}\right)$ can be any solution of Fuss' relation $F_{17}^{(3)}(R, r, d)=0$. Hence the relation thus obtained from (13) is Fuss' relation $F_{17}^{(3)}(R, r, d)=0$, except that we wrote $R, r, d$ instead of $R_{3}, r_{3}, d_{3}$. In other words, Fuss' relation obtained for $8 \rightarrow 1$ is the same as Fuss' relation obtained for $7 \rightarrow 3$. In the same way, it can be seen that this also holds for $6 \rightarrow 5$ and $5 \rightarrow 7$. Hence the expression (relation) thus obtained is Fuss' relation for each of the rotation numbers $1,3,5,7$ for $n=17$. In the same way, it can also be seen that it analogously holds for rotation numbers $2,4,6,8$ for $n=17$. So, the relation (11) for $n=17$ can be called a generator for Fuss' relation for bicentric 17-gons with odd rotation numbers for $n=17$. Also, the relation (12) for $n=17$ can be called the generator for Fuss' relation for bicentric 17 -gons with even rotation numbers for $n=17$.

We remark that in all examples considered we have found that the following holds. If $m$ and $n$ are odd integers such that each coset obtained for $m$ has the same number of elements as each coset obtained for $n$, then we obtain an expression that is Fuss' relation for both $m$ and $n$. So, for example, this is valid for $m=7$ and $n=9$, and for $m=15$ and $n=17$. Thus, relations (11) and (12) can be generators for Fuss' relations for bicentric $n$-gons with different odd $n$. (It seems that there are many other interesting properties, but one would require a powerful computer to investigate these.)
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