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#### Abstract

In this Note we consider stochastic processes defined on a compact metric space ( $T, d$ ), with bounded increments in the sense that $\mathbf{E} \varphi\left(\frac{\left|X_{s}-X_{t}\right|}{d(s, t)}\right) \leqslant 1$ for all $s, t \in T$, where $\varphi$ is an Orlicz function, i.e. is convex, increasing, with $\varphi(0)=0$. We show that whenever $d^{p}$ is still a metric on $T$ for some $p>1$, then the sample boundedness of all processes with bounded increments can be understood in terms of the existence of a majorizing measure. © 2009 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved. R É S U M É Nous considérons des processus stochastiques définis sur un espace métrique compact $(T, d)$, dont les accroissements sont bornés au sens suivant. On suppose que $\mathbf{E} \varphi\left(\frac{\left|X_{s}-X_{t}\right|}{d(s, t)}\right) \leqslant$ 1 pour tous $s, t \in T$, où $\varphi$ une fonction d'Orlicz, c'est-à-dire convexe, croissante, telle que $\varphi(0)=0$. On suppose que $\mathbf{E} \varphi\left(\frac{\left|X_{s}-X_{t}\right|}{d(s, t)}\right) \leqslant 1$ pour tous $s, t \in T$. Nous montrons que si $d^{p}$ est encore une distance pour un $p>1$, tous ces processus sont bornés si et seulement s'il existe une certaine mesure majorante sur $T$.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $(T, d)$ be a compact metric space. We denote the diameter of $T$ by $D(T)$ and an open ball with a center at $t \in T$ and radius $r$ by $B(t, r)$. Let $\varphi: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$be an Orlicz function, i.e. $\varphi$ is convex, increasing, $\varphi(0)=0$. We say that the process $X_{t}$, $t \in T$, is of bounded increments if for all $s, t \in T$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E} \varphi\left(\frac{|X(s)-X(t)|}{\mathrm{d}(s, t)}\right) \leqslant 1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that whenever (1) holds $\left(X_{t}\right)_{t \in T}$ has a separable modification, which we always use when considering the supremum of such a process. The problem, going back to Kolmogorov, is to characterize in terms of geometry of ( $T, d$ ) whether or not all processes with bounded increments on the space are sample bounded. Such a property (see Talagrand [8]) is equivalent to the following condition:

$$
\mathcal{S}(T, d, \varphi):=\sup _{X} \mathbf{E} \sup _{s, t \in T}|X(s)-X(t)|<\infty,
$$

[^0]where the supremum is taken over all processes that satisfy (1). We recall (see Fernique [3]) that a Borel probability measure $m$ on ( $T, d$ ) is majorizing if
$$
\mathcal{M}(m, \varphi)=\sup _{t \in T} \int_{0}^{D(t)} \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{m(B(t, r))}\right) \mathrm{d} r<\infty
$$

We also say that $m$ is weakly majorizing if

$$
\overline{\mathcal{M}}(m, \varphi)=\int_{T} \int_{0}^{D(T)} \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{m(B(t, r))}\right) \mathrm{d} r<\infty
$$

In [1] (see also [8, Theorem 4.6]) it was proved that the existence of majorizing measure is always sufficient for $S(T, d, \varphi)$ to be finite, namely we have $S(T, d, \varphi) \leqslant 32 \mathcal{M}(m, \varphi)$. However it is a non-trivial question to fully characterize Kolmogorov's property. The majorizing measure condition is not necessary, e.g. for the natural distance on subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ (see [2,8]). On the other hand the condition is valid in many cases (see [8]). In this paper we follow Fernique's method [4] by which he proved that the majorizing measure condition is necessary for ultrametric spaces. The key tool is the following result:

Theorem 1 (Fernique). If $\sup _{m} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(m, \varphi)<\infty$, then there exists a majorizing measure on $(T, d)$. In other words if all measures are weakly majorizing with a uniform constant, then there exists a majorizing measure on $(T, d)$.

We say that $d$ is regular if there exists a function $\zeta:[0, D(T)] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$such that $\zeta(d)$ is still a metric on $T$, where $\zeta$ is convex, $\zeta(0)=0$ and satisfies the $\Delta_{2}$-condition, i.e. there exists $C>1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 C \zeta(x) \leqslant \zeta(C x), \quad \text { for all } 0 \leqslant x \leqslant D(T) / C \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular (2) is satisfied for $\zeta(x)=x^{1 / p}, p>1$. Our main result is the following:

Theorem 2. Whenever $d$ is regular and all processes with bounded increments are sample bounded then each Borel $m$ on $(T, d)$ is weakly majorizing and $\sup _{m} \mathcal{M}(m, \varphi) \leqslant 16 C \mathcal{S}(T, d, \varphi)$.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 2

For a given $m$ we construct $\left(X_{t}\right), t \in T$, with bounded increments that certifies $m$ is weakly majorizing. Note that whenever $\omega \in T$ there exists a point $s \in T$ such that $\mathrm{d}(\omega, s) \geqslant D(T) / 2$. We define random variables $X_{t}$ on the probability space $((T, d), m)$ by

$$
X_{t}(\omega)=c \int_{\mathrm{d}(t, \omega)}^{D(T) / 2} \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{m(B(\omega, 2 C r))}\right) \mathrm{d} r, \quad \omega \in T
$$

where we specify $0<c \leqslant 1$ later. Suppose we have proved that $\left(X_{t}\right)$, $t \in T$, verifies (1), then since we have assumed that all processes with bounded increments are sample bounded we learn that

$$
\mathbf{E} \sup _{s, t \in T}|X(t)-X(s)|=c \int_{T} \int_{0}^{D(T) / 2} \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{m(B(\omega, 2 C r))}\right) \mathrm{d} r m(\mathrm{~d} \omega) \leqslant \mathcal{S}(T, d, \varphi) .
$$

Changing variables $r=\varepsilon /(2 C)$ we obtain

$$
\int_{T} \int_{0}^{C D(T)} \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{m(B(\omega, r))}\right) \mathrm{d} r m(\mathrm{~d} \omega) \leqslant 2 c^{-1} C \mathcal{S}(T, d, \varphi)
$$

and therefore $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\mu, \varphi) \leqslant 2 c^{-1} C \mathcal{S}(T, d, \varphi)$. Thus we only need to verify that (1) holds. Since $B(t, C r) \subset B(\omega, 2 C r)$ for $\mathrm{d}(t, \omega) \leqslant r$ and $B(s, C r) \subset B(\omega, 2 C r)$ for $\mathrm{d}(s, \omega) \leqslant r$ we obtain by Jensen's inequality that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{E} \varphi\left(\frac{|X(s)-X(t)|}{\mathrm{d}(s, t)}\right) \leqslant & \int_{T} \varphi\left(\left.\int_{\mathrm{d}(t, \omega)}^{\mathrm{d}(s, \omega)} \frac{c}{\mathrm{~d}(s, t)} \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{m(B(\omega, 2 C r))}\right) \mathrm{d} r \right\rvert\,\right) m(\mathrm{~d} \omega) \\
\leqslant & \int_{T} 1_{\{\mathrm{d}(t, \omega) \leqslant \mathrm{d}(s, \omega)\}} \frac{c}{\mathrm{~d}(s, t)} \int_{\mathrm{d}(t, \omega)}^{\mathrm{d}(s, \omega)} \frac{1}{m(B(t, C r))} \mathrm{d} r m(\mathrm{~d} \omega) \\
& +\int_{T} 1_{\{\mathrm{d}(s, \omega) \leqslant \mathrm{d}(t, \omega)\}} \frac{c}{\mathrm{~d}(s, t)} \int_{\mathrm{d}(t, \omega)}^{\mathrm{d}(s, \omega)} \frac{1}{m(B(s, C r))} \mathrm{d} r m(\mathrm{~d} \omega) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Then by Fubini's theorem $\int_{T} 1_{\{\mathrm{d}(t, \omega) \leqslant r \leqslant \mathrm{~d}(s, \omega)\}} m(\mathrm{~d} \omega)=m(B(t, r) \backslash B(s, r))$ and thus

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{T} 1_{\{\mathrm{d}(t, \omega) \leqslant \mathrm{d}(s, \omega)\}} \frac{c}{\mathrm{~d}(s, t)} \int_{\mathrm{d}(t, \omega)}^{\mathrm{d}(s, \omega)} \frac{1}{m(B(t, C r))} \mathrm{d} r m(\mathrm{~d} \omega) \\
& \quad \leqslant \int_{0}^{D(T)} \frac{c}{\mathrm{~d}(s, t)} \frac{m(B(t, r) \backslash B(s, r))}{m(B(t, C r))} \mathrm{d} r . \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we use two different approaches to bound the right-hand side in (4). Clearly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{T} \frac{c}{\mathrm{~d}(s, t)} \frac{m(B(t, r) \backslash B(s, r))}{B(t, C r)} \mathrm{d} r \leqslant c \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand the change of variables $r=\zeta^{-1}(\varepsilon)$ implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathrm{d}(s, t)}^{D(T)} \frac{c}{\mathrm{~d}(s, t)} \int_{\mathrm{d}(t \omega)}^{\mathrm{d}(s, \omega)} \frac{1}{m(B(t, C r))} \mathrm{d} r m(\mathrm{~d} \omega)=\int_{\zeta(\mathrm{d}(s, t))}^{\zeta(D(T))} \frac{c m\left(B\left(t, \zeta^{-1}(\varepsilon)\right) \backslash B\left(s, \zeta^{-1}(\varepsilon)\right)\right)}{\mathrm{d}(s, t) \zeta^{\prime}\left(\zeta^{-1}(\varepsilon)\right) m\left(B\left(t, C \zeta^{-1}(\varepsilon)\right)\right)} \mathrm{d} \varepsilon \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using that $\zeta(d)$ is a metric on $T$ we deduce that

$$
B\left(t, \zeta^{-1}(\varepsilon)\right) \backslash B\left(s, \zeta^{-1}(\varepsilon)\right) \subset B\left(t, \zeta^{-1}(\varepsilon)\right) \backslash B\left(t, \zeta^{-1}(\varepsilon-\zeta(\mathrm{d}(s, t)))\right)
$$

therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\zeta(\mathrm{d}(s, t))}^{\zeta(D(T))} \frac{c m\left(B\left(t, \zeta^{-1}(\varepsilon)\right) \backslash B\left(s, \zeta^{-1}(\varepsilon)\right)\right)}{\mathrm{d}(s, t) \zeta^{\prime}\left(\zeta^{-1}(\varepsilon)\right) m\left(B\left(t, C \zeta^{-1}(\varepsilon)\right)\right)} \mathrm{d} \varepsilon \\
& \quad \leqslant \int_{\zeta(\mathrm{d}(s, t))}^{\zeta(D(T))} \frac{m\left(B\left(t, \zeta^{-1}(\varepsilon)\right)\right)-m\left(B\left(t, \zeta^{-1}(\varepsilon-\zeta(\mathrm{d}(s, t)))\right)\right)}{\mathrm{d}(s, t) \zeta^{\prime}\left(\zeta^{-1}(\varepsilon)\right) m\left(B\left(t, C \zeta^{-1}(\varepsilon)\right)\right)} \mathrm{d} \varepsilon \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $k_{0}$ be such that $C^{-k_{0}-1} D(T) \leqslant \mathrm{d}(s, t) \leqslant C^{-k_{0}} D(T)$. Note that for all $C^{-k-1} D(T)<\zeta^{-1}(\varepsilon) \leqslant C^{-k} D(T), 0 \leqslant k<k_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta^{\prime}\left(\zeta^{-1}\left(C^{-k-1} D(T)\right)\right) m\left(B\left(t, C^{-k} D(T)\right)\right) \leqslant \zeta^{\prime}\left(\zeta^{-1}(\varepsilon)\right) m\left(B\left(t, C \zeta^{-1}(\varepsilon)\right)\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\zeta\left(C^{-k-1} D(T)\right)}^{\zeta\left(C^{-k} D(T)\right)}\left(m\left(B\left(t, \zeta^{-1}(\varepsilon)\right)\right)-m\left(B\left(t, \zeta^{-1}(\varepsilon-\zeta(\mathrm{d}(s, t)))\right)\right)\right) \mathrm{d} \varepsilon \leqslant \zeta(\mathrm{~d}(s, t)) m\left(B\left(t, C^{-k} D(T)\right)\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (8) and (9) we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\zeta\left(C^{-k-1} D(T)\right)}^{\zeta\left(C^{-k} D(T)\right)} \frac{m\left(B\left(t, \zeta^{-1}(\varepsilon)\right)\right)-m\left(B\left(t, \zeta^{-1}(\varepsilon-\zeta(\mathrm{d}(s, t)))\right)\right)}{\mathrm{d}(s, t) \zeta^{\prime}\left(\zeta^{-1}(\varepsilon)\right) m\left(B\left(t, C \zeta^{-1}(\varepsilon)\right)\right)} \mathrm{d} \varepsilon \leqslant \frac{c \zeta(\mathrm{~d}(s, t))}{\mathrm{d}(s, t) \zeta^{\prime}\left(C^{-k-1} D(T)\right)} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $0 \leqslant k<k_{0}$. Similarly we show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\zeta(\mathrm{d}(s, t))}^{\zeta\left(C^{-k_{0}} D(T)\right)} \frac{m\left(B\left(t, \zeta^{-1}(\varepsilon)\right)\right)-m\left(B\left(t, \zeta^{-1}(\varepsilon-\zeta(\mathrm{d}(s, t)))\right)\right)}{\mathrm{d}(s, t) \zeta^{\prime}\left(\zeta^{-1}(\varepsilon)\right) m\left(B\left(t, C \zeta^{-1}(\varepsilon)\right)\right)} \mathrm{d} \varepsilon \leqslant \frac{c \zeta(\mathrm{~d}(s, t))}{\mathrm{d}(s, t) \zeta^{\prime}(\mathrm{d}(s, t))} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using that $C$ is the constant in (2) we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{c \zeta(\mathrm{~d}(s, t))}{\mathrm{d}(s, t) \zeta^{\prime}\left(C^{-k-1} D(T)\right)} \leqslant \frac{c \zeta(\mathrm{~d}(s, t)) C^{-k-1} D(T)}{\mathrm{d}(s, t) \zeta\left(C^{k-1} D(T)\right)} \leqslant \frac{c}{2^{k_{0}-1-k}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $0 \leqslant k<k_{0}$. Inequalities (6), (7), (10), (11) and (12) lead to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathrm{d}(s, t)}^{D(T)} \frac{c}{\mathrm{~d}(s, t)} \frac{m(B(t, r) \backslash B(s, r))}{m(B(t, C r))} \mathrm{d} r \leqslant c+\sum_{k=0}^{k_{0}-1} \frac{c}{2^{k_{0}-1-k}} \leqslant 3 c . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Plugging (5) and (13) into (4) and using (3) we obtain that

$$
\mathbf{E} \varphi\left(\frac{\left|X_{s}-X_{t}\right|}{\mathrm{d}(s, t)}\right) \leqslant 8 c, \quad \text { for all } s, t \in T
$$

Taking $c=1 / 8$ completes the proof.

## 3. Applications

The main application of the result is to the case $T \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with the metric $\mathrm{d}(s, t)=\|s-t\|^{1 / p}$, for $p>1$. Note that Theorem 2 characterizes the sample boundedness of all processes $\left(X_{t}\right)_{t \in T}$ such that $\mathbf{E}\left|X_{s}-X_{t}\right|^{p} \leqslant\|s-t\|$ in terms of the existence of a majorizing measure.

Corollary 1. For $T \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $\mathrm{d}(s, t)=\|s-t\|^{1 / p}, p>1$, all processes that satisfy (1) are sample bounded if and only if there exists a majorizing measure on $(T, d)\left(\right.$ for $\left.\varphi(x) \equiv x^{p}\right)$.

This generalizes previous results in this direction (see [8, Section 5]). A closely related question (see [5]) is the characterization of coefficients $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 1}$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}^{2}=1$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \varphi_{n}$ is a.s. convergent for each orthonormal $\left(\varphi_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 1}$. Defining $T_{a}=\left\{\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} a_{n}^{2}, m \geqslant 1\right\}$, with $\mathrm{d}(s, t)=\sqrt{|s-t|}$, we note that processes $X_{t}=\sum_{n \geqslant m} a_{n} \varphi_{n}$ for $t=t_{m}=\sum_{n \geqslant m} a_{n}^{2}$ satisfy $\mathbf{E}\left|X_{s}-X_{t}\right|^{2}=\mathrm{d}^{2}(s, t)$ for $s, t \in T_{a}$. On the other hand each process such that $\mathbf{E}\left|X_{s}-X_{t}\right|^{2}=\mathrm{d}^{2}(s, t)=|s-t|$ can be represented as $X_{t}=\sum_{n \geqslant m} a_{n} Y_{n}$, where $Y_{m}=\left(X_{t_{m}}-X_{t_{m+1}}\right) / a_{m}$ are clearly orthonormal. Therefore the problem can be reformulated in terms of the sample boundedness of all processes on $T_{a}$ such that $\mathbf{E}\left|X_{s}-X_{t}\right|^{2}=\mathrm{d}^{2}(s, t)=|s-t|$. The question has a long history and partial results were given in [9-12]. A complete solution is obtained by Paszkiewicz in [7] (see also [6]). Our result - Corollary 1 - implies that the majorizing measure condition is necessary for the bigger class of processes to be sample bounded namely for all $\left(X_{t}\right)_{t \in T_{a}}$ such that $\mathbf{E}\left|X_{s}-X_{t}\right|^{2} \leqslant|s-t|$.
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