

Probability Theory

# On the Borel–Cantelli lemma and its generalization

Chunrong Feng <sup>a,b</sup>, Liangpan Li <sup>a,c</sup>, Jian Shen <sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, 200240, China

<sup>b</sup> Department of Mathematical Sciences, Loughborough University, LE11 3TU, UK

<sup>c</sup> Department of Mathematics, Texas State University, San Marcos, TX 78666, USA

Received 20 June 2009; accepted after revision 3 September 2009

Available online 3 October 2009

Presented by Marc Yor

## Abstract

Let  $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of events on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ . We show that if  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^m w_n \mathbf{P}(A_n) = \infty$  where each  $w_n \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$\mathbf{P}(\limsup A_n) \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\sum_{k=1}^n w_k \mathbf{P}(A_k))^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j \mathbf{P}(A_i \cap A_j)}.$$

*To cite this article:* C. Feng et al., C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 347 (2009).

© 2009 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## Résumé

**Sur le lemme de Borel–Cantelli et sa généralisation.** Soit  $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  une séquence d'événements dans un espace de probabilité  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ . On montre que, si  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^m w_n \mathbf{P}(A_n) = \infty$  où chaque  $w_n \in \mathbb{R}$ , alors

$$\mathbf{P}(\limsup A_n) \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\sum_{k=1}^n w_k \mathbf{P}(A_k))^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j \mathbf{P}(A_i \cap A_j)}.$$

*Pour citer cet article :* C. Feng et al., C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 347 (2009).

© 2009 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of events on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ . The classical Borel–Cantelli lemma states that: (a) if  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \mathbf{P}(A_n) < \infty$ , then  $\mathbf{P}(\limsup A_n) = 0$ ; (b) if  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \mathbf{P}(A_n) = \infty$  and  $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  are mutually independent, then  $\mathbf{P}(\limsup A_n) = 1$ . Here  $\limsup A_n = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \bigcup_{k=n}^\infty A_k$ . The Borel–Cantelli lemma played an exceptionally important role in probability theory. Many investigations were devoted to the second part of the Borel–Cantelli lemma in the attempt to weaken the independence condition on  $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ .

E-mail addresses: fcr@sjtu.edu.cn (C. Feng), liliangpan@yahoo.com.cn (L. Li), js48@txstate.edu (J. Shen).

Erdős and Rényi [4] proved that the mutual independence condition on  $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  can be replaced by the weaker condition of pairwise independence. Indeed they [8] (see also [3,5,9]) proved a more general theorem: if  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \mathbf{P}(A_n) = \infty$ , then

$$\mathbf{P}(\limsup A_n) \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{P}(A_k))^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{P}(A_i \cap A_j)}.$$

There are many discussions and generalizations towards the Borel–Cantelli lemma, for example see [1,6,7,10]. The main purpose of this paper is to present a weighted version of the Erdős–Rényi theorem:

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^m w_n \mathbf{P}(A_n) = \infty$ , where each  $w_n$  is a real weight (which could be negative). Then*

$$\mathbf{P}(\limsup A_n) \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\sum_{k=1}^n w_k \mathbf{P}(A_k))^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j \mathbf{P}(A_i \cap A_j)}.$$

The proof of Theorem 1 is relatively easy if we further assume all terms of the weight sequence to be nonnegative. By choosing each  $w_n = 1/\mathbf{P}(A_n)$  in Theorem 1, we obtain the following corollary:

**Corollary 2.** *Suppose  $\mathbf{P}(A_n) > 0$  holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then*

$$\mathbf{P}(\limsup A_n) \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\mathbf{P}(A_i \cap A_j)}{\mathbf{P}(A_i)\mathbf{P}(A_j)}}.$$

## 2. Proof of the main result

For any matrix  $E = (z_{ij})_{m \times n}$ , denote by  $\Gamma(E)$  the sum of all its entries, that is,  $\Gamma(E) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n z_{ij}$ .

**Lemma 3.** *Given a partition of an  $(m + n) \times (m + n)$  symmetric matrix  $E$ :*

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} A_{m \times m} & C_{m \times n} \\ C_{m \times n}^T & B_{n \times n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

*If  $E$  is positive semi-definite, then  $\Gamma(C)^2 \leq \Gamma(A)\Gamma(B)$ .*

**Proof.** This lemma follows from the following inequality:  $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$(x, \dots, x, y, \dots, y)E(x, \dots, x, y, \dots, y)^T = \Gamma(A)x^2 + 2\Gamma(C)xy + \Gamma(B)y^2 \geq 0. \quad \square$$

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be finitely many events on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ . Then the matrix  $(\mathbf{P}(A_i \cap A_j))_{n \times n}$  is positive semi-definite.*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathbb{E}(\cdot)$  be the expectation function and  $\chi_{A_i}$  be the indicator function of the event  $A_i$ . Then  $\mathbf{P}(A_i) = \mathbb{E}(\chi_{A_i})$  and  $\mathbf{P}(A_i \cap A_j) = \mathbb{E}(\chi_{A_i} \chi_{A_j})$ . For each  $(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)(\mathbf{P}(A_i \cap A_j))(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)^T &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n s_i s_j \mathbf{P}(A_i \cap A_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n s_i s_j \mathbb{E}(\chi_{A_i} \chi_{A_j}) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n s_i s_j \chi_{A_i} \chi_{A_j} \right) = \mathbb{E} \left( \left( \sum_{i=1}^n s_i \chi_{A_i} \right)^2 \right) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.** *Suppose  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^m w_n \mathbf{P}(A_n) = \infty$ , where each  $w_n \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j \mathbf{P}(A_i \cap A_j)}{\sum_{i=2}^n \sum_{j=2}^n w_i w_j \mathbf{P}(A_i \cap A_j)} = 1.$$

**Proof.** By Lemma 4,  $E_n \doteq (w_i w_j \mathbf{P}(A_i \cap A_j))_{n \times n}$  is positive semi-definite. Define

$$A = (w_1 w_1 \mathbf{P}(A_1 \cap A_1)), \quad B_n = (w_i w_j \mathbf{P}(A_i \cap A_j))_{2 \leq i, j \leq n}, \quad \text{and} \quad C_n = (w_1 w_j \mathbf{P}(A_1 \cap A_j))_{2 \leq j \leq n}.$$

By Lemma 3,  $\Gamma(C_n)^2 \leq \Gamma(A)\Gamma(B_n)$  ( $\forall n \geq 2$ ). By the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{i=2}^n w_i \mathbf{P}(A_i) \right)^2 &= \left( \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{i=2}^n w_i \chi_{A_i} \right) \right)^2 \leq \mathbf{P} \left( \bigcup_{i=2}^n A_i \right) \cdot \mathbb{E} \left( \left( \sum_{i=2}^n w_i \chi_{A_i} \right)^2 \right) \\ &= \mathbf{P} \left( \bigcup_{i=2}^n A_i \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{i=2}^n \sum_{j=2}^n w_i w_j \mathbf{P}(A_i \cap A_j) \right) \leq \Gamma(B_n). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=2}^n w_i \mathbf{P}(A_i) = \infty$ , we have  $\Gamma(B_n) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n$  approaches to infinity. Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Gamma(A) + \Gamma(B_n) + 2\Gamma(C_n)}{\Gamma(B_n)} = 1 + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2\Gamma(C_n)}{\Gamma(B_n)} = 1.$$

This proves the lemma.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** We obtained the following by-product from the proof of the above lemma:

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \mathbf{P}(A_i) \right)^2 \leq \mathbf{P} \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j \mathbf{P}(A_i \cap A_j) \right). \tag{1}$$

This formula can be viewed as a weighted version of the Chung–Erdős inequality [2].

**Proposition 6.** Suppose  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^m w_n \mathbf{P}(A_n) = \infty$ , where each  $w_n \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then for all  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\sum_{k=1}^n w_k \mathbf{P}(A_k))^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j \mathbf{P}(A_i \cap A_j)} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\sum_{k=s}^n w_k \mathbf{P}(A_k))^2}{\sum_{i=s}^n \sum_{j=s}^n w_i w_j \mathbf{P}(A_i \cap A_j)}.$$

Proposition 6 is an immediate corollary of Lemma 5. With all the above preparation in hand, we are ready to prove Theorem 1.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** By (1) and Proposition 6,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}(\limsup A_n) &= \mathbf{P} \left( \bigcap_{s=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=s}^{\infty} A_k \right) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P} \left( \bigcup_{k=s}^{\infty} A_k \right) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P} \left( \bigcup_{k=s}^n A_k \right) \right) \\ &\geq \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \left( \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\sum_{k=s}^n w_k \mathbf{P}(A_k))^2}{\sum_{i=s}^n \sum_{j=s}^n w_i w_j \mathbf{P}(A_i \cap A_j)} \right) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\sum_{k=1}^n w_k \mathbf{P}(A_k))^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j \mathbf{P}(A_i \cap A_j)}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.  $\square$

**Example 1.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$  be a probability space,  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mathbf{P}(A \cap B) > 0$ . For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $A_{3n-2} = A$ ,  $A_{3n-1} = B$ ,  $A_{3n} = A \cap B$ . By the Erdős–Rényi theorem,

$$\mathbf{P}(\limsup A_n) \geq \frac{(\mathbf{P}(A) + \mathbf{P}(B) + \mathbf{P}(A \cap B))^2}{\mathbf{P}(A) + \mathbf{P}(B) + 7\mathbf{P}(A \cap B)}.$$

Applying Theorem 1 with the weight sequence  $1, 1, -1, 1, 1, -1, 1, 1, -1, \dots$ , we obtain

$$\mathbf{P}(\limsup A_n) \geq \mathbf{P}(A) + \mathbf{P}(B) - \mathbf{P}(A \cap B) = \mathbf{P}(A \cup B).$$

In fact  $\mathbf{P}(\limsup A_n) = \mathbf{P}(A \cup B)$ . So Theorem 1 is best possible for this example.

## Acknowledgements

We thank a referee for many valuable suggestions leading to the clear presentation of the paper. Feng would like to acknowledge the support of National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program No. 2007CB814903), National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 70671069) and the Mathematical Tianyuan Foundation of China (No. 10826090). Li's research was partially supported by the Mathematical Tianyuan Foundation of China (No. 10826088). Shen's research was partially supported by NSF (CNS 0835834) and Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board (ARP 003615-0039-2007).

## References

- [1] S. Amghibech, On the Borel–Cantelli lemma and moments, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.* 47 (2006) 669–679.
- [2] K.L. Chung, P. Erdős, On the application of the Borel–Cantelli lemma, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 72 (1952) 179–186.
- [3] D.A. Dawson, D. Sankoff, An inequality for probabilities, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 18 (1967) 504–507.
- [4] P. Erdős, A. Rényi, On Cantor's series with convergent  $\sum 1/q_n$ , *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eötvös Sect. Math.* 2 (1959) 93–109.
- [5] S. Kochen, C. Stone, A note on the Borel–Cantelli lemma, *Illinois J. Math.* 8 (1964) 248–251.
- [6] T.F. Móri, G.J. Székely, On the Erdős–Rényi generalization of the Borel–Cantelli lemma, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.* 18 (1983) 173–182.
- [7] J. Ortega, M. Wschebor, On the sequence of partial maxima of some random sequence, *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 16 (1983) 85–98.
- [8] A. Rényi, *Probability Theory*, North-Holland Series in Applied Mathematics and Mechanics, vol. 10, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam/London, 1970; German version 1962, French version 1966, new Hungarian edition 1965.
- [9] F. Spitzer, *Principles of Random Walk*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1964.
- [10] J. Yan, A simple proof of two generalized Borel–Cantelli lemmas, In *memoriam Paul-André Meyer: Seminar on Probability Theory XXXIX*, in: *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 1874, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006, pp. 77–79.