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## Abstract

In this Note, we give a simple elementary proof to Wiener's lemma for infinite matrices with polynomial off-diagonal decay. To cite this article: Q. Sun, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 340 (2005).
© 2005 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier SAS. All rights reserved.

## Résumé

Le lemme de Wiener pour matrices infinies a decroissance polynomiale des termes non-diagonaux. Dans cette Note, nous donnons une preuve elementaire du lemme de Wiener pour les matrices infinies a decroissance polynomiale des termes non-digonaux. Pour citer cet article: Q. Sun, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 340 (2005).
© 2005 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier SAS. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

The classical Wiener's lemma states that if a periodic function $f$ has an absolutely convergent Fourier series and never vanishes, then $1 / f$ has an absolutely convergent Fourier series.

Let $\ell^{p}, 1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$, be the space of all $p$-summable sequences on $\mathbf{Z}^{d}$ equipped with usual norm $\|\cdot\|_{\ell^{p}}$, denote by $\mathcal{B}^{2}$ the space of all bounded operators on $\ell^{2}$ equipped with usual operator norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}^{2}}$, and define $\mathcal{W}:=\{(a(i-$ $\left.j))_{i, j \in \mathbf{Z}^{d}}: \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}^{d}}|a(j)|<\infty\right\}$ with a norm $\|A\| \mathcal{W}:=\sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}^{d}}|a(j)|$ for every matrix $A=(a(i-j))_{i, j \in \mathbf{Z}^{d}} \in \mathcal{W}$. An equivalent formulation of the classical Wiener's lemma involving matrix algebra can be stated as follows: $A \in \mathcal{W}$ and $A^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}^{2}$ imply $A^{-1} \in \mathcal{W}$.

The classical Wiener's lemma and its various generalizations (see, for instance, [3,8,9,12-14]) are important and have numerous applications, for instance, in numerical analysis [4,17,18], wavelets and affine frames [5,14], time-

[^0]frequency analysis [2,10-13,19], shift-invariant spaces and polynomial spline spaces [1,8,15,19], and non-uniform sampling [6,19]. Unlike the matrix algebra $\mathcal{W}$ associated with the classical Wiener's lemma, which is commutative, the matrix algebras in the study of spline approximation and projection [7,8], affine and Gabor frame [2,5,12,13], and non-uniform sampling $[6,19]$ are extremely non-commutative. But for various purposes, we still expect that those matrix algebras have the above property that the matrix algebra $\mathcal{W}$ has.

For $p \in[1, \infty]$ and $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{p, \alpha}:=\left\{A:=(A(i, j))_{i, j \in \mathbf{Z}^{d}}:\|A\|_{p, \alpha}<\infty\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A\|_{p, \alpha}:=\sup _{i \in \mathbf{Z}^{d}}\left\|\left(A(i, j)(1+|i-j|)^{\alpha}\right)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}^{d}}\right\|_{\ell p}+\sup _{j \in \mathbf{Z}^{d}}\left\|\left(A(i, j)(1+|i-j|)^{\alpha}\right)_{i \in \mathbf{Z}^{d}}\right\|_{\ell p} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $p=\infty$, we see that $A=(A(i, j))_{i, j \in \mathbf{Z}^{d}} \in Q_{\infty, \alpha}$ if and only if $|A(i, j)| \leqslant\|A\|_{\infty, \alpha}(1+|i-j|)^{-\alpha}$ for all $i, j \in \mathbf{Z}^{d}$. Because of the above interpretation of matrices in $Q_{p, \alpha}$ for $p=\infty$, we call matrices in $Q_{p, \alpha}$ to have polynomial off-diagonal decay.

For the matrix algebra $Q_{p, \alpha}$ with $p=\infty$ and $\alpha>d$, Jaffard use a rather delicate bootstrap argument to prove that $A \in Q_{\infty, \alpha}$ and $A^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}^{2}$ imply $A^{-1} \in Q_{\infty, \alpha}[14]$. For the matrix algebra $Q_{p, \alpha}$ with $p=1$ and $\alpha>0$, Barnes use the Banach algebra technique to show that $A \in Q_{1, \alpha}$ and $A^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}^{2}$ imply $A^{-1} \in Q_{1, \alpha}$ (see [3] for $\alpha \in(0,1]$ and [13] for any $\alpha>0$ ). In this Note, we study the matrix algebra $Q_{p, \alpha}$ with $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$ and $\alpha>d(1-1 / p)$ and give a simple elementary proof to the following Wiener's lemma.

Theorem 1.1. Let $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$ and $\alpha>d(1-1 / p)$. Then $A \in Q_{p, \alpha}$ and $A^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}^{2}$ imply $A^{-1} \in Q_{p, \alpha}$.
More general formulation of the above Wiener's lemma and its applications to frames and sampling will be discussed in the subsequent paper [19].

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

To prove Theorem 1.1, we need the following lemma.
Lemma 2.1. Let $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$ and $\alpha>d(1-1 / p)$. Then there exist positive constants $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A^{n}\right\|_{p, \alpha} \leqslant C_{1}\left(C_{2} \frac{\|A\|_{p, \alpha}}{\|A\|_{\mathcal{B}^{2}}}\right)^{\frac{2-\theta}{1-\theta} n^{\log _{2}(2-\theta)}}\left(\|A\|_{\mathcal{B}^{2}}\right)^{n} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $A \in Q_{p, \alpha}$ and $n \geqslant 1$, where $\theta=1-\frac{d}{2 \alpha-2 d(1 / 2-1 / p)}$.
Proof. By Hölder inequality,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A\|_{1,0} \leqslant C\|A\|_{p, \alpha} \quad \text { for all } A \in Q_{p, \alpha} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here and hereafter, the letter $C$ denotes an absolute constant which could be different at different occurrence.
By the definition of the operator norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}^{2}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A\|_{2,0} \leqslant\|A\|_{\mathcal{B}^{2}} \leqslant\|A\|_{1,0} \quad \text { for all } A \in Q_{1,0} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $A=(A(i, j))_{i, j \in \mathbf{Z}^{d}}$ and $B=(B(i, j))_{i, j \in \mathbf{Z}^{d}}$ in $Q_{p, \alpha}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A B\|_{p, \alpha} \leqslant 2^{\alpha}\|A\|_{p, \alpha}\|B\|_{1,0}+2^{\alpha}\|A\|_{1,0}\|B\|_{p, \alpha}, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

by Hölder inequality and the following estimate:

$$
\begin{aligned}
|(A B)(i, j)|(1+|i-j|)^{\alpha} \leqslant & 2^{\alpha} \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}^{d}}|A(i, k)|(1+|i-k|)^{\alpha}|B(k, j)| \\
& +2^{\alpha} \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}^{d}}|A(i, k)||B(k, j)|(1+|k-j|)^{\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\theta_{1}=(\alpha-d(1 / 2-1 / p))^{-1}$ and $\tau=\left(\|A\|_{p, \alpha}\right)^{\theta_{1}}\left(\|A\|_{\mathcal{B}^{2}}\right)^{-\theta_{1}}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}^{d}}|A(i, k)| & \leqslant \sum_{|i-k| \leqslant \tau}|A(i, k)|+\sum_{|i-k| \geqslant \tau}|A(i, k)| \leqslant C \tau^{d / 2}\|A\|_{2,0}+C \tau^{-\alpha+d(1-1 / p)}\|A\|_{p, \alpha} \\
& \leqslant C \tau^{d / 2}\|A\|_{\mathcal{B}^{2}}+C \tau^{-\alpha+d(1-1 / p)}\|A\|_{p, \alpha}=2 C\left(\|A\|_{\mathcal{B}^{2}}\right)^{1-d \theta_{1} / 2}\left(\|A\|_{p, \alpha}\right)^{d \theta_{1} / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

by (4) and (5), which yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A\|_{1,0} \leqslant C\left(\|A\|_{\mathcal{B}^{2}}\right)^{1-d \theta_{1} / 2}\left(\|A\|_{p, \alpha}\right)^{d \theta_{1} / 2} \quad \text { for all } A \in Q_{p, \alpha} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (6) and (7) leads to the following compensated compactness estimate:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A^{2}\right\|_{p, \alpha} \leqslant C\|A\|_{p, \alpha}^{2-\theta}\|A\|_{\mathcal{B}^{2}}^{\theta} \quad \text { for all } A \in Q_{p, \alpha} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying (4), (6), and (8), and using $\left\|A^{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}^{2}} \leqslant\|A\|_{\mathcal{B}^{2}}^{n}$ for $n \geqslant 1$, we obtain the following for any $n \geqslant 1$ :

$$
\left\|A^{2 n}\right\|_{p, \alpha} \leqslant D\left(\left\|A^{n}\right\|_{p, \alpha}\right)^{2-\theta}\left(\|A\|_{\mathcal{B}^{2}}\right)^{n \theta}
$$

and

$$
\left\|A^{2 n+1}\right\|_{p, \alpha} \leqslant D\|A\|_{p, \alpha}\left(\left\|A^{n}\right\|_{p, \alpha}\right)^{2-\theta}\left(\|A\|_{\mathcal{B}^{2}}\right)^{n \theta}
$$

where $D \geqslant 1$ is a positive constant independent of $A \in Q_{p, \alpha}$ and $n \geqslant 1$. Thus the sequence $\left\{b_{n}\right\}$, to be defined by $b_{n}=D^{1 /(1-\theta)}\left\|A^{n}\right\|_{p, \alpha}\left(\|A\|_{\mathcal{B}^{2}}\right)^{-n}, n \geqslant 1$, satisfies

$$
b_{2 n} \leqslant b_{n}^{2-\theta} \quad \text { and } \quad b_{2 n+1} \leqslant b_{1} b_{n}^{2-\theta} \quad \text { for all } n \geqslant 1
$$

By induction, we have the following upper bound estimate to the sequence $\left\{b_{n}\right\}$ :

$$
b_{n} \leqslant b_{1}^{\sum_{i=0}^{l} \epsilon_{i}(2-\theta)^{i}} \leqslant b_{1}^{\frac{2-\theta}{1-\theta} n^{\log _{2}(2-\theta)}}
$$

for $n=\sum_{i=0}^{l} \epsilon_{i} 2^{i}$, where $\epsilon_{i} \in\{0,1\}, 0 \leqslant i \leqslant l$. Therefore (3) follows.
Remark 1. For the special case that $p=1, \alpha=0$, and $A=\left(q\left(j-j^{\prime}\right)\right)_{j, j^{\prime} \in \mathbf{Z}}$ with $\sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} q(j) \mathrm{e}^{-i j \xi}$ being reciprocal of a trigonometric polynomial $Q$, Newman proved the following better estimate than the one in (3) for the $Q_{1,0}$ norm of $A^{n}:\left\|A^{n}\right\|_{1,0} \leqslant C n^{2}\|A\|_{\mathcal{B}^{2}}^{n}$ for all $n \geqslant 1$, where $C$ is a positive constant depending on the degree of the polynomial $Q$. That estimate is crucial for Newman's elementary proof of the classical Wiener's lemma [16].

Now we start to prove Theorem 1.1.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. For any $A=(A(i, j))_{i, j \in \mathbf{Z}^{d}} \in Q_{p, \alpha}$, we define its transpose $A^{*}$ by $A^{*}:=(\overline{A(j, i)})_{i, j \in \mathbf{Z}^{d}}$. Then $A^{*} A \in Q_{p, \alpha}$ by (4), (6), and the fact that $\left\|A^{*}\right\|_{p, \alpha}=\|A\|_{p, \alpha}$. This, together with the fact that $A^{*} A$ is a positive operator on $\ell^{2}$ by the assumption on the matrix $A$, implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{*} A=\left\|A^{*} A\right\|_{\mathcal{B}^{2}}(I-B) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $B \in \mathcal{B}^{2}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|B\|_{\mathcal{B}^{2}}<1 \quad \text { and } \quad\|B\|_{p, \alpha}<\infty \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I$ is the identity operator on $\ell^{2}$. By (10) and Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|(I-B)^{-1}\right\|_{p, \alpha} \leqslant \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\|B^{n}\right\|_{p, \alpha} \leqslant \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{1}\left(C_{2} \frac{\|B\|_{p, \alpha}}{\|B\|_{\mathcal{B}^{2}}}\right)^{\frac{2-\theta}{1-\theta} \log _{2}(2-\theta)}\left(\|B\|_{\mathcal{B}^{2}}\right)^{n}<\infty \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conclusion $A^{-1} \in Q_{p, \alpha}$ then follows from (4), (6), (9), (11), and the fact that $A^{-1}=\left(A^{*} A\right)^{-1} A^{*}$.
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