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#### Abstract

Let $\pi$ be a cuspidal representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$ with non-vanishing cohomology and denote by $L(\pi, s)$ its $L$-function. Under a certain local non-vanishing assumption, we prove the rationality of the values of $L(\pi \otimes \chi, 0)$ for characters $\chi$, which are critical for $\pi$. Note that conjecturally any motivic $L$-function should coincide with an automorphic $L$-function on $\mathrm{GL}_{n}$; hence, our result corresponds to a conjecture of Deligne for motivic L-functions. To cite this article: J. Mahnkopf, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 338 (2004). © 2004 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier SAS. All rights reserved.


## Résumé

Sur les valeurs spéciales des fonctions $L$ automorphes. Soit $\pi$ une représentation cuspidale de $\operatorname{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$ dont la cohomologie d'algèbre de Lie relative ne s'annule pas et soit $L(\pi, s)$ sa fonction $L$ automorphe. Sous l'hypothèse qu'une certaine intégrale locale ne s'annule pas nous démontrons la rationalité des valeurs $L(\pi \otimes \chi, 0)$ pour les charactères $\chi$, qui sont critiques pour $\pi$. Notons que conjecturalement chaque fonction $L$ motivique est égale a une fonction $L$ automorphe attachée à $\mathrm{GL}_{n}$, donc, notre résultat correspond à une conjecture de Deligne concernant les fonctions $L$ motiviques. Pour citer cet article : J. Mahnkopf, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 338 (2004).
© 2004 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier SAS. All rights reserved.

## 1. Statement of results

We shall use the following notations. $\mathbb{A}=\prod_{v} \mathbb{Q}_{v}$ is the ring of adeles of $\mathbb{Q}$. $B_{n}$ resp. $T_{n}$ resp. $Z_{n}$ denotes the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}$ consisting of upper triangular matrices resp. diagonal matrices resp. the center of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}$. Throughout we assume $n \geqslant 3$. We write $X^{+}\left(T_{n}\right)$ to denote the set of dominant (with respect to $B_{n}$ ) algebraic characters of $T_{n}$ and $\left(\rho_{\mu}, M_{\mu}\right)$ is the irreducible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}$ of highest weight $\mu \in X^{+}\left(T_{n}\right)$. We set $\mu^{\vee}=-w_{n} \mu$, where $w_{n}$ is the longest element in the Weyl group $W_{\mathrm{GL}_{n}}$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{n} ; \mu^{\vee}$ then is the highest weight of the contragredient representation ( $\rho^{\vee}, M_{\mu}^{\vee}$ ). For any field $F$ containing $\mathbb{Q}$ we set $M_{\mu, F}=M_{\mu} \otimes F$. Moreover we denote by $\operatorname{Coh}\left(\mathrm{GL}_{n}, \mu\right)$ the set of all cuspidal automorphic representations $\pi=\otimes_{v} \pi_{v}$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{A})$ such that

$$
H^{\bullet}\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{n}, \mathrm{SO}_{n}(\mathbb{R}) Z_{n}(\mathbb{R})^{0}, \pi_{\infty} \otimes M_{\mu}\right) \neq 0
$$

[^0]Since cuspidal representations are quasi unitary, $\operatorname{Coh}\left(\mathrm{GL}_{n}, \mu\right)$ is non-empty only if the weight $\mu=\left(\mu_{i}\right)$ satisfies the following purity condition: there is an integer $\mathrm{wt}(\mu) \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$
\mu_{i}+\mu_{n+1-i}=\operatorname{wt}(\mu), \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n
$$

In case $n$ odd this implies $\mathrm{wt}(\mu) \in 2 \mathbb{Z}$. We note that a cuspidal representation $\pi$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{A})$ with non-vanishing relative Lie-Algebra cohomology is defined over a finite extension $E_{\pi} / \mathbb{Q}$ (cf. [2], Théorème 3.13). Furthermore, these representations are algebraic in the sense of [2], Définition 1.8.

Let $\pi \in \operatorname{Coh}\left(\mathrm{GL}_{n}, \mu\right)$ and let $L(\pi, s)=\prod_{v} L\left(\pi_{v}, s\right)$ be the automorphic $L$-function attached to it. Any complex character $\chi_{\infty}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{*}$ is of the form $\chi_{\infty}=\varepsilon_{\infty}|\cdot|_{\infty}^{k}$, where $\varepsilon_{\infty}$ is of order $\leqslant 2$ and $k \in \mathbb{C}$. We say that $\chi_{\infty}$ is critical for $\pi_{\infty}$ if $\bullet k \in 1 / 2+\mathbb{Z}$ if $n$ is even and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ if $n$ is odd $\bullet L\left(\pi_{\infty} \otimes \chi_{\infty}, 0\right)$ and $L\left(\pi_{\infty}^{\vee} \otimes \chi_{\infty}^{-1}, 1\right)$ are regular values (note that under the conjectural correspondence between motives $M$ and algebraic automorphic representations $\pi$ on $\mathrm{GL}_{n}$ we have $L(M, s)=L(\pi, s+(n-1) / 2)$; cf. [2], 4.5, 4.16). We say that $\chi: \mathbb{Q}^{*} \backslash \mathbb{A}^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}$ is critical for $\pi$ if $\chi_{\infty}$ is critical for $\pi_{\infty}$. We denote the set of critical characters $\chi_{\infty}$ resp. $\chi$ by $\operatorname{Crit}\left(\pi_{\infty}\right)$ resp. $\operatorname{Crit}(\pi)$. Using the classification of (generic) unitary $\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{n}, \mathrm{SO}_{n}\right)$-modules with non-vanishing cohomology we find:

Proposition 1.1. Let $\mu=\left(\mu_{i}\right) \in X^{+}\left(T_{n}\right)$ and let $\pi \in \operatorname{Coh}\left(\mathrm{GL}_{n}, \mu^{\vee}\right)$. Then, $\chi_{\infty}=\varepsilon_{\infty}|\cdot|_{\infty}^{k}$ is criticalfor $\pi$ precisely if

- $-\mu_{n / 2}+1 / 2 \leqslant k \leqslant \mu_{n / 2}+1 / 2-\mathrm{wt}(\mu)$ if $n$ is even;
- $-\mu_{(n-1) / 2} \leqslant k \leqslant \mu_{(n-1) / 2}+1-\mathrm{wt}(\mu)$ if $n$ is odd.

In case that $n$ is odd $\chi$ in addition has to satisfy a parity condition: denote by $\omega_{\pi}$ the central character of $\pi$ and by $\left.\omega_{\pi}\right|_{\pi_{0}}$ its restriction to $\{ \pm \mathbf{1}\} \subset Z_{n}(\mathbb{R})$. Put $l=(\omega t(\mu)-n+1) / 2+k$; then $\chi_{\infty}$ has to satisfy $\varepsilon_{\infty}=\left.\omega_{\pi}\right|_{\pi_{0}} \operatorname{sgn} l$ if $k>(1+\mathrm{wt}(\mu)) / 2$ and $\varepsilon_{\infty}=\left.\omega_{\pi}\right|_{\pi_{0}} \mathrm{sgn}^{l+1}$ if $k \leqslant(1+\mathrm{wt}(\mu)) / 2$.

Obviously, $\chi$ is critical for $\pi$ precisely if $\chi^{-1}|\cdot|$ is critical for the contragredient representation $\pi^{\vee}$. In view of the functional equation relating $L(\pi \otimes \chi, 0)$ to $L\left(\pi^{\vee} \otimes \chi^{-1}|\cdot|, 0\right)$ it is therefore sufficient to consider points $\chi \in \operatorname{Crit}(\pi)$ with component at infinity $\chi_{\infty}=\varepsilon_{\infty}|\cdot|_{\infty}^{k}$ satisfying $k \leqslant(1-\operatorname{wt}(\mu)) / 2$. We denote the set of critical characters satisfying this condition by $\operatorname{Crit}\left(\pi_{\infty}\right) \leqslant$ and $\operatorname{Crit}(\pi) \leqslant$.

We define a collection of complex numbers $\Omega\left(\pi, \chi_{\infty}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{*} / \widehat{E}_{\pi}^{*}$, where $\pi \in \operatorname{Coh}\left(\mathrm{GL}_{n}, \mu\right)$ and $\chi_{\infty} \in \operatorname{Crit}\left(\pi_{\infty}\right) \leqslant$ such that for any finite extension $E / \widehat{E}_{\pi}$ the tuple

$$
\left\{\Omega\left(\pi^{\sigma}, \chi_{\infty}\right)\right\}_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Hom}(E, \mathbb{C})} \in(E \otimes \mathbb{C})^{*} / \widehat{E}_{\pi}^{*}
$$

is well defined. Here, $\widehat{E}_{\pi} / E_{\pi}$ is a certain finite extension and $\widehat{E}_{\pi}$ is embedded as $\alpha \mapsto\{\sigma(\alpha)\}_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Hom}(E, \mathbb{C})}$. We set $\chi^{1}=\chi|\cdot|^{-k}$, where $\chi_{\infty}=\varepsilon_{\infty}|\cdot|_{\infty}^{k}$ and we define $\chi^{\sigma}=\sigma\left(\chi|\cdot|^{-k}\right)|\cdot|^{k}, \sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q})$.

Theorem 1.1. Assume that $\mu \in X^{+}\left(T_{n}\right)$ is regular and let $\pi \in \operatorname{Coh}\left(\mathrm{GL}_{n}, \mu^{\vee}\right)$.
(1) For all but finitely many $\chi \in \operatorname{Crit}(\pi) \leqslant$ we have

$$
L(\pi \otimes \chi, 0)=\Omega\left(\pi, \chi_{\infty}\right) \quad \bmod \widehat{E}_{\pi}\left(\chi^{1}\right)
$$

Moreover, denote by $G(\chi)$ the Gauss sum attached to $\chi$. For all $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / \mathbb{Q})$ we have

$$
\left(G(\chi)^{[n / 2]} \frac{L(\pi \otimes \chi, 0)}{\Omega\left(\pi, \chi_{\infty}\right)}\right)^{\sigma}=G\left(\chi^{\sigma}\right)^{[n / 2]} \frac{L\left(\pi^{\sigma} \otimes \chi^{\sigma}, 0\right)}{\Omega\left(\pi^{\sigma}, \chi_{\infty}\right)}
$$

(2) Let $\chi_{\infty}^{\prime}=\varepsilon_{\infty}^{\prime}|\cdot|{ }_{\infty}^{k^{\prime}} \in \operatorname{Crit}(\pi) \leqslant$. Then, the ratio $\Omega\left(\pi, \chi_{\infty}\right) / \Omega\left(\pi, \chi_{\infty}^{\prime}\right)$ only depends on $\chi_{\infty}, \chi_{\infty}^{\prime}$ and $\mu$; in particular, it does not depend on $\pi$ (obviously, in case $n$ odd it is also independent of $\varepsilon_{\infty}$ and $\varepsilon_{\infty}^{\prime}$ ).

Remark 1. (a) The theorem is valid only under a certain non-vanishing assumption (cf. below). This assumption is known to hold in case $n=3$ and is analogous to the assumption made in [1], p. 28.
(b) In cases $n=1,2$ (which we have excluded) Theorem 1.1 has previously been known to hold (cf. [4]).

The proof of Theorem 1.1 uses an induction over the rank of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}$. To be more precise, let $\mu \in X^{+}\left(T_{n}\right)$. We select a weight $\lambda \in X^{+}\left(T_{n-1}\right)$ such that $\bullet \lambda \leq \mu$, i.e., $\mu_{1} \geqslant \lambda_{1} \geqslant \mu_{2} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant \lambda_{n-1} \geqslant \mu_{n} \bullet \lambda_{n / 2}=-k+1 / 2$ if $n$ is even and $\lambda_{(n+1) / 2}=-k$ if $n$ is odd. Proposition 1.1 implies that such a choice of $\lambda$ is possible precisely if $k$ is a critical point for $\pi$ (i.e., $\varepsilon_{\infty}|\cdot|_{\infty}^{k} \in \operatorname{Crit}(\pi)$ for some $\varepsilon_{\infty}$ ). We denote by $P \leqslant \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}$ the parabolic subgroup of type ( $n-2,1$ ) containing $B_{n-1}$ and by $W^{P} \subset W_{\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}}$ the relative Weyl group, i.e., $W^{P}$ is a system of representatives for $W_{M_{P}} \backslash W_{\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}}$. We set

$$
\widehat{w}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 2 & \cdots & {\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]-1} & {\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]} \\
1 & 2 & \cdots & {\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]-1} & n-1 & {\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]+1} \\
{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]} & \cdots & n-1 \\
\cdots & n-2
\end{array}\right) \in W^{P} .
$$

We define the weight $\mu^{\prime}=\widehat{w}\left(\lambda+\rho_{n-1}\right)-\rho_{n-1} \mid T_{n-2} \in X^{+}\left(T_{n-2}\right)$, where $\rho_{n-1}$ is half the sum of the positive roots of $\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}$ determined by $B_{n-1}$ and we embed $T_{n-2} \hookrightarrow T_{n-1}$ via $t \mapsto \operatorname{diag}(t, 1)$. Using the $\mathbb{Q}$-structure on the cohomology of locally symetric spaces we define a collection of complex numbers $\Omega\left(\pi, \pi^{\prime}, \varepsilon_{\infty}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{*} /\left(E_{\pi} E_{\pi^{\prime}}\right)^{*}$, where $\pi \in \operatorname{Coh}\left(\mathrm{GL}_{n}, \mu\right), \pi^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Coh}\left(\mathrm{GL}_{n-2}, \mu^{\prime}\right)$ and $\varepsilon_{\infty}$ is a character of order $\leqslant 2$ such that for any finite extension $E / E_{\pi} E_{\pi}^{\prime}$ the tuple $\left\{\Omega\left(\pi^{\sigma}, \pi^{\prime \sigma}, \varepsilon_{\infty}\right)\right\}_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Hom}(E, \mathbb{C})} \in(E \otimes \mathbb{C})^{*} /\left(E_{\pi} E_{\pi^{\prime}}\right)^{*}$ is well defined.

Theorem 1.2. Assume that $\mu \in X^{+}\left(T_{n}\right)$ is regular and let $\pi \in \operatorname{Coh}\left(\mathrm{GL}_{n}, \mu^{\vee}\right)$ and $\pi^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Coh}\left(\mathrm{GL}_{n-2}, \mu^{\prime}\right)$ (if $n$ is odd $\pi^{\prime}$ in addition has to satisfy a parity condition).
(1) For all $\chi \in \operatorname{Crit}(\pi) \leqslant$ with $\chi_{\infty}=\varepsilon_{\infty}|\cdot|_{\infty}^{k}$ and all $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q})$ we have

$$
\left(\frac{G(\chi) P_{\mu}(k)}{\Omega\left(\pi, \pi^{\prime}, \varepsilon\left(\chi_{\infty}\right)\right)} \frac{L(\pi \otimes \chi, 0)}{L\left(\pi^{\prime \nu} \otimes \chi, 0\right)}\right)^{\sigma}=\frac{G\left(\chi^{\sigma}\right) P_{\mu}(k)}{\Omega\left(\pi^{\sigma}, \pi^{\prime \sigma}, \varepsilon\left(\chi_{\infty}\right)\right)} \frac{L\left(\pi^{\sigma} \otimes \chi^{\sigma}, 0\right)}{L\left(\left(\pi^{\prime V}\right)^{\sigma} \otimes \chi^{\sigma}, 0\right)} .
$$

Here, $P_{\mu}(k) \in \mathbb{C}$ only depends on $k$ and $\mu$ (i.e., it is independent of $\pi, \pi^{\prime}$ ) and $\varepsilon\left(\chi_{\infty}\right)=\varepsilon_{\infty} \operatorname{sgn}^{k}$.
(2) $\operatorname{Crit}(\pi) \subset \operatorname{Crit}\left(\pi^{\prime \nu}\right)$.

Obviously, Theorem 1.1 follows from Theorem 1.2 using induction over $n$ with the previously known cases $n=1,2$ of Theorem 1.1 serving as starting point for the induction.

Remark 2. Theorem 1.2 (and, hence, Theorem 1.1) is valid only under a certain non-vanishing assumption (cf. below).

## 2. Relation to Cohomology of locally symetric spaces

We set $S_{n}(K)=\mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{A}) / K \mathrm{SO}_{n}(\mathbb{R}) Z_{n}(\mathbb{R})^{0}$ and $F_{n}(K)=\mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{A}) / K \mathrm{SO}_{n}(\mathbb{R})$, whence, a natural map $p: F_{n}(K) \rightarrow S_{n}(K)$. We denote by $\bar{S}_{n}(K)$ the Borel-Serre compactification of $S_{n}(K) . \bar{S}_{n}(K)=$
 $\mathrm{GL}_{n} . \mathcal{M}_{\mu}^{\vee}$ denotes the locally constant sheaf on $S_{n}(K)$ and on $\bar{S}_{n}(K)$ attached to the finite dimensional representation $M_{\mu}^{\vee}$. We set $H^{\bullet}\left(S_{n}, \mathcal{M}_{\mu}^{\vee}\right)=\lim _{K} H^{\bullet}\left(S_{n}(K), \mathcal{M}_{\mu}^{\vee}\right)$. By assumption, the representation $\pi_{f}$ embeds into cohomology

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{f} \hookrightarrow H^{b_{n}}\left(S_{n}, \mathcal{M}_{\mu}^{\vee}\right) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $b_{n}$ is the lowest degree, in which cuspidal cohomology occurs and $\pi_{f}$ occurs with multiplicity 1 .
On the other hand, the cohomology of the face $\partial_{P} S_{n-1}(K)$ attached to the parabolic subgroup $P \leqslant \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}$ of type ( $n-2,1$ ) with coefficients in $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$ decomposes

$$
H^{i}\left(\partial_{P} S_{n-1}, \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}\right)=\bigoplus_{w \in W^{P}} \operatorname{Ind}_{P\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right)}^{\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right)}\left(H^{i-\ell(w)}\left(S_{n-2}, \mathcal{M}_{w \cdot \lambda \mid T_{n-2}}\right) \otimes H^{0}\left(S_{1}, \mathcal{M}_{\left.w \cdot \lambda\right|_{G_{m}}}\right)\right)
$$

Let $\pi^{\prime} \in \mathcal{C o h}\left(\mathrm{GL}_{n-2}\right)$ and $\chi \in \operatorname{Crit}(\pi)$. Our choice of $\lambda$ implies that $\pi_{f}^{\prime} \otimes \chi_{f}$ embeds into the cohomology of $S_{n-2} \times S_{1}$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{M}_{\left.\widehat{w} \cdot \lambda\right|_{T_{n-2}}} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\left.\widehat{w} \cdot \lambda\right|_{\mathbb{G}_{m}}}$. Since $b_{n-1}=b_{n-2}+\ell(\widehat{w})$ we obtain a map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}} \pi_{f}^{\prime} \otimes \chi_{f} \hookrightarrow H^{b_{n-1}}\left(\partial_{P} S_{n-1}, \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}\right) \xrightarrow{\text { Eis }} H^{b_{n-1}}\left(S_{n-1}, \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last arrow is given by Eisenstein summation (cf. [3,6]). Since $\lambda \preceq \mu$ we know that $\left.M_{\lambda} \hookrightarrow M_{\mu}\right|_{\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}}$ and we obtain a diagram

where $\left.\mathcal{M}_{\mu}^{\vee}\right|_{\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}}$ is the sheaf attached to $\left.M_{\mu}^{\vee}\right|_{\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}}$ and $i: F_{n-1}(K) \rightarrow S_{n}(K), g \mapsto \operatorname{diag}(g, 1)$ is the inclusion. Using the description of the cohomology via automorphic forms and combining the method of Zeta-integrals (cf. [5]) with the method of Langlands-Shahidi (cf. [7]) we are able to compute the pairing defined in (3): there are classes $\omega_{\pi} \in H^{b_{n}}\left(S_{n}, \mathcal{M}_{\mu}^{\vee}\right)\left(\pi_{f}\right)$ and $\omega_{\text {Eis } \pi^{\prime} \otimes \chi} \in H_{\mathrm{Eis}}^{b_{n-1}}\left(S_{n-1}, \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{u} \int i^{*} r_{u}^{*} \omega_{\pi} \cup p^{*} \omega_{\mathrm{Eis} \pi^{\prime} \otimes \chi}=P_{\mu}(k) \frac{L(\pi \otimes \chi, 0)}{L\left(\pi^{\prime} \otimes \chi, 0\right)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $u \in N_{P}\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right)$ runs over a finite set of unipotent matrices and $r_{u}$ denotes right translation by $u . P_{\mu}(k)$ is the quotient of the local factor at infinity of $\sum_{u} \int i^{*} r_{u}^{*} \omega_{\pi} \cup p^{*} \omega_{\text {Eis } \pi^{\prime} \otimes \chi}$ by $L\left(\pi_{\infty} \otimes \chi_{\infty}, 0\right) / L\left(\pi_{\infty}^{\prime} \otimes \chi_{\infty}, 0\right)$. The choice of the local components at infinity of $\omega_{\pi}$ and $\omega_{\operatorname{Eis} \pi^{\prime} \otimes \chi}$ is already determined by the coefficient systems $M_{\mu}$ and $M_{\lambda}$ and we de not know whether for these choices $P_{\mu}(k)=1$ or at least $P_{\mu}(k) \neq 0$. Thus, we have to make the

Assumption. $P_{\mu}(k) \neq 0$.
In case $n$ odd we have computed the $\mathrm{SO}_{n}$ resp. $\mathrm{SO}_{n-1}$-types supporting the cohomology classes $\omega_{\pi}$ resp. $\omega_{\operatorname{Eis} \pi^{\prime} \otimes \chi}$ and we verified that they allow for non-vanishing of $P_{\mu}(k)$. Using ideas of [3] we are able to compute the action of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q})$ on the cohomology: we find that after dividing by an appropriate complex number $\Omega\left(\pi, \pi^{\prime}, \varepsilon\left(\chi_{\infty}\right)\right)$ the left-hand side in (4) behaves equivariantly with respect to the action of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Q})$, which finally yieds Theorem 1.2. As a last remark we note that the assumption that $\mu$ be regular perhaps can be circumvented by allowing more general parabolic subgroups $P \leqslant \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}$.
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