

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com





C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 338 (2004) 55-58

Algebraic Geometry

# Chow groups of surfaces with $h^{2,0} \leq 1$

## Catriona Maclean

Institut de mathématiques de Jussieu, Université Paris 6, 175, rue de Chevaleret, 75013 Paris, France

Received 19 August 2003; accepted after revision 18 November 2003

Presented by Jean-Pierre Demailly

### Abstract

We will investigate the geometry of rational equivalence classes of points on a surface *S*. We will show that if *S* is a general projective K3 surface then these equivalence classes are dense in the complex topology. We will also show that if *S* has the property that these equivalence classes are Zariski dense, then  $h^{2,0}(S) \leq 1$ . *To cite this article: C. Maclean, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 338 (2004).* 

© 2003 Published by Elsevier SAS on behalf of Académie des sciences.

### Résumé

Les groupes de Chow des surfaces telles que  $h^{2,0} \leq 1$ . Nous considérons la géométrie des classes d'équivalence rationelle des points d'une surface *S*. Nous montrons que si *S* est une surface K3 générale, ces classes d'équivalence sont denses pour la topologie complexe. Nous montrons également que si *S* a la propriété que ces classes d'équivalence sont Zariski dense, alors  $h^{2,0}(S) \leq 1$ . *Pour citer cet article : C. Maclean, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 338 (2004).* © 2003 Published by Elsevier SAS on behalf of Académie des sciences.

## 1. Introduction and statement of results

The connection between the Chow group  $CH_0(S)$  of 0-cycles on a surface S and  $h^{2,0}(S)$  has been an object of interest since Mumford's 1968 paper [6], in which he proved the following result.

**Theorem 1.1** (Mumford). If  $CH_0(S)$  is representable, then  $h^{2,0}(S) = 0$ .

Bloch [1] conjectured that the converse is also true.

**Conjecture 1** (Bloch). If S is a smooth projective surface and  $h^{2,0}(S) = 0$  then CH<sub>0</sub>(S) is representable.

Bloch, Kas and Liebermann proved the Bloch conjecture for surfaces not of general type in [2]. This conjecture has also been shown to hold for various surfaces of general type such that  $h^{2,0}(S) = 0$  – see, for example, [9].

E-mail address: catriona@math.jussieu.fr (C. Maclean).

<sup>1631-073</sup>X/\$ – see front matter © 2003 Published by Elsevier SAS on behalf of Académie des sciences. doi:10.1016/j.crma.2003.10.039

Our aim is to show there is also a close connection between the condition  $h^{2,0}(S) = 1$  and the geometry of 0-cycles on S. In particular, we will show the following result.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let S be a general smooth projective K3 surface. Then for general  $x \in S$ , the set

 $\{y \in S \mid y \equiv x\}$ 

is dense in S (for the complex topology).

Here  $\equiv$  denotes rational equivalence between points. We will also prove a partial converse to this result.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let S be a smooth complex surface, such that for a generic point x of S the set

 $\{y \in S \mid y \equiv x\}$ 

is Zariski dense in S. Then  $h^{2,0}(S) \leq 1$ .

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.2

The proof of this theorem relies on three fundamental facts:

- 1. If *E* is an elliptic curve and  $x \in E$ , then the set  $\{y \in E \mid ny \equiv nx \text{ for some integer } n\}$  is dense in *E*.
- 2. There are many families of elliptic curves on a K3 surface.
- 3. By a theorem of Roitman's, [7], the Chow group of a K3 surface is torsion-free.

What we actually need to prove the theorem is two one-dimensional families of elliptic curves which intersect transversally. A sketch proof the existence of singular rational curves and families of singular elliptic curves can be found in the appendix to [5], attributed to Mumford and Bogolomov independently. Chen proved in [3] the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1** (Chen). For any integers  $n \ge 3$  and d > 0, the linear system  $|\mathfrak{O}_S(d)|$  on a general K3 surface S in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  contains an irreducible nodal rational curve.

The following proposition is an easy corollary of this (see the appendix to [5] or [4] (p. 70)).

**Proposition 2.2.** The linear system  $|O_S(d)|$  on a general K3 surface S in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  contains a 1-dimensional family of curves of geometric genus  $\leq 1$  whose general element is irreducible and nodal.

Indeed, the proposition follows from the theorem by a standard dimension count. If *S* is a K3 surface in  $\mathbb{P}^N$  and the general element of  $|\mathcal{O}_S(d)|$  is a smooth curve of genus *g*, then Saint-Donat calculated in [8] (p. 609) that the dimension of  $|\mathcal{O}_S(d)|$  is *g*. However, the codimension of the space of nodal irreducible curves of geometric genus  $\leq 1$  in  $M_g$  is g - 1. The proposition follows.

We now choose two distinct irreducible 1-dimensional families,

 $\pi_1: F_1 \to B_1, \qquad \pi_2: F_2 \to B_2$ 

which are in the linear systems  $|O_S(1)|$  and  $|O_S(2)|$  respectively and whose general elements are integral nodal curves of geometric genus  $\leq 1$ . There are surjective maps  $\phi_i : F_i \to S$ .

We consider those  $x \in S$  such that x is not contained in the image under  $\phi_2$  of any non-integral fibre of  $\pi_2$ . This is the only condition needed to prove the theorem for x.

56

Choose  $y \in F_1$  such that  $\phi_1(y) = x$  and denote  $\pi_1(y)$  by z. Denote the curve  $\pi_1^{-1}(z)$  by D. There is a surjective map from a nodal curve of genus  $\leq 1$  to D,  $r: \overline{D} \to D$ .

Every component of  $\overline{D}$  is of geometric genus  $\leq 1$ . There is a component of  $\overline{D}$  which intersects  $(\phi_1 \circ r)^{-1}(x)$  and whose image under  $\phi_1 \circ r$  is not a single point. Denote the image of this component by *E*. Since *E* has a normalisation of genus  $\leq 1$ , the set

 $\{z \in E \text{ such that } z - x \text{ is torsion in } CH^0(E)\}$ 

is dense in E. By a result of Roitman's, [7], the torsion part of  $CH^0(S)$  is 0. Hence, the set

 $\{z \in E \text{ such that } z \equiv x \text{ in } CH^0(S)\}$ 

is dense in E.

Our strategy is as follows. The curve *E* is transverse to general elements of the family  $F_2$ . Consider the curves in the family  $F_2$  which are elliptic or rational and meet *E* in a point rationally equivalent to *x*. The set of such curves is dense in  $F_2$ . If  $E_2$  is such a curve then the set {points of  $E_2$  rationally equivalent to *x*} is dense in  $E_2$ .

More precisely, consider the variety  $V = \phi_2^{-1}(E)$  which parameterises points of intersection of *E* with a curve in the family  $F_2$ . The projection of *V* onto  $B_2$  is surjective. Let  $S_E$  be the set

 $\{y \in E \text{ such that } y \equiv x \text{ in } CH^0(S)\}.$ 

The set  $S_E$  is dense in E for the complex topology. We denote by T the closure of

 $\{y \in S \text{ such that } y \equiv x \text{ in } CH^0(S)\}.$ 

We define  $\widetilde{B}_2$  to be the open set in  $B_2$  parameterising irreducible members of the family  $F_2$ . Consider

$$Z = \pi_2 \circ \phi_2^{-1}(S_E),$$

the set parameterising curves in the family  $F_2$  which meet E in at least one point of S(E). We denote by  $\widetilde{Z}$  the set  $Z \cap \widetilde{B}_2$ . Once again, if  $z \in \widetilde{Z}$ , then the set

 $\{y \in F_{2,z} \text{ such that } y \equiv x \text{ in } CH^0(S)\}$ 

is dense in  $F_{2,z}$ , the fibre over z in  $F_2$ . Hence, T contains  $\pi_2^{-1}(\widetilde{Z})$ . We now need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.3.** The set Z is dense in  $B_2$ .

**Proof.** There is a component *C* of *V* mapping surjectively to *E*. Since *x* is not contained in any non-integral fibre of  $\pi_2$ , and *E* is not an element of  $|\mathcal{O}_S(2)|$  for degree reasons,  $\pi_2 : C \to B_2$  is surjective. Since *C* is irreducible and  $\phi_2|_C$  is surjective onto  $E \phi_2|_C^{-1}(S(E))$  is dense in *C*. It follows that, since  $\pi_2|_C$  is surjective and continuous, *Z* is dense in  $B_2$ .  $\Box$ 

It immediately follows that T is dense in S. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Now suppose that *S* satisfies the hypothesis that for general  $x \in S$  the set  $\{y \in S \mid x \equiv y \in CH^0(S)\}$  is Zariski dense in *S*. We want to show that  $h^{2,0}(S) \leq 1$ . Mumford proved the following result in [6].

**Theorem 3.1** (Mumford). There exists a countable union of maps of reduced algebraic schemes  $\phi_i : W_i \to S \times S$  such that the following hold.

(1)  $x \equiv y$  if and only if there exists *i* such that  $(x, y) \in \phi_i(W_i)$ .

(2) Let  $pr^1$  and  $pr^2$  be the two projections from  $S \times S$  onto S. Consider the maps

 $\pi_i^1 \text{ and } \pi_i^2 : W_i \to S$ given by  $\pi_i^j = pr^j \circ \phi_i$ . We then have for any 2-form on S,  $\omega$ ,  $\pi_i^{1*}(\omega) = \pi_i^{2*}(\omega)$ .

We may restrict ourselves to the case where the images of all the maps  $\phi_i$  are of dimension  $\leq 2$ , since Mumford proved in [6] that

**Proposition 3.2** (Mumford). If there is an *i* such that the image of  $\phi_i$  is of dimension  $\ge 3$  then  $h^{2,0}(S) = 0$ .

We now choose *y* such that

- (1)  $y \notin \pi_i^j(W_i)$  for any *i* such that dim $(\text{Im}\phi_i) \leq 1$ .
- (2) There do not exist x, i, j such that  $(x, y) \in \text{Im}(\phi_i)$  and  $\pi_i^j$  is not submersive at any point of  $\phi_i^{-1}(x, y)$ .

(3) The set  $\{x \in S \mid y \equiv x\}$  is Zariski dense in *S*.

Since the varieties described in (1) and (2) are of dimension  $\leq 1$  and, by assumption, (3) holds for general y, there exists such a y. The theorem follows from the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.3.** There is no non-zero 2-form  $\omega$  on S vanishing at y.

**Proof.** Let  $\omega$  be such a 2-form, and consider  $x \in S$  such that  $y \equiv x$ . By the assumptions on y it follows that  $\omega$  vanishes at x. Indeed, there is some  $W_i$  such that  $(x, y) \in \phi_i(W_i)$ . By assumption (2), there exists  $p \in W_i$  such that  $\phi_i(p) = (x, y)$  and  $\pi_i^1$ ,  $\pi_i^2$  are both submersive at p. We know that  $\pi_i^{2*}(\omega)(p) = 0$  since  $\omega(y) = 0$ . It follows that  $\pi_i^1 * (\omega)(p) = 0$ . But by assumptions (1) and (2), this implies that  $\omega(x) = 0$ . Therefore, since the set of such points is Zariski dense,  $\omega$  is identically 0.  $\Box$ 

It follows immediately that  $h^{2,0}(S) \leq 1$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

## Acknowledgements

I would like to express my gratitude to my thesis supervisor, Claire Voisin, for proposing this problem and for all her help.

## References

- [1] S. Bloch, K<sub>2</sub> of Artinian Q-algebras, with application to algebraic cycles, Comm. Algebra 3 (1975) 405-428.
- [2] S. Bloch, A. Kas, D. Lieberman, Zero cycles on surfaces with  $p_g = 0$ , Compositio Math. 33 (2) (1976) 135–145.
- [3] X. Chen, Rational curves on K3 surfaces, J. Algebraic Geometry 8 (2) (1999) 245-278.
- [4] P. Griffiths, M. Green, Two applications of algebraic geometry to entire holomorphic mappings, in: The Chern Symposium 1979 (Proc. Internat. Sympos., Berkeley, CA, 1979), Springer, New York, 1980, pp. 41–74.
- [5] S. Mori, S. Mukai, The uniruledness of the moduli space of curves of genus 11, in: Algebraic Geometry (Tokyo/Kyoto, 1982), in: Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1016, Springer, Berlin, 1983, pp. 334–353.
- [6] D. Mumford, Rational equivalence of 0-cycles on surfaces, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 9 (1968) 195-204.
- [7] A. Roitman, The torsion of the group of 0-cycles modulo rational equivalence, Ann. of Math. (2) 111 (3) (1980) 553-569.
- [8] B. Saint-Donat, Projective models of K3 surfaces, Amer. J. Math. 96 (1974) 602-639.
- [9] C. Voisin, Sur les zéro-cycles de certaines hypersurfaces munies d'un automorphisme, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. 19 (4) (1992) 473–492.

58