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#### Abstract

We compute the homogenized-concentrated limit for a pair of non-linearly coupled diffusion equations in a perforated cylindric domain with coaxial cylindric holes periodically distributed along its axis. This problem arises from visual transduction. To cite this article: D. Andreucci et al., C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 335 (2002) 329-332. © 2002 Académie des sciences/Éditions scientifiques et médicales Elsevier SAS


## Homogénéisation et capacité concentrée dans les structures réticulaires presque déconnectées


#### Abstract

Résumé On calcule la limite homogénéisée-concentrée pour deux équations de diffusion couplées de façon non linéaire dans un domaine cylindrique avec une distribution périodique de cavités cylindriques coaxiales le long de son axe. Ce problème émane de la transduction visuelle. Pour citer cet article : D. Andreucci et al., C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 335 (2002) 329332. © 2002 Académie des sciences/Éditions scientifiques et médicales Elsevier SAS


## 1. Introduction

A rod outer segment is a light-capturing device in vertebrates. It consists of a cylinder of height $H$ and cross section a circle $D_{R+\sigma \varepsilon_{o}}$ containing a layered distribution of $n_{o}$ equal, coaxial thin cylinders, $C_{i}$ of thickness $\varepsilon_{o}$ and radius $R$ called discs [8]. In what follows, $\varepsilon$ will denote a parameter ranging over $\left(0, \varepsilon_{o}\right]$. For $\varepsilon=\varepsilon_{o}$ Fig. 1 corresponds to the physical configuration of the rod outer segment.

Notation: $D_{R}$ circle of radius $R ; \Omega_{\varepsilon}=D_{R+\sigma \varepsilon} \times(0, H) ; \Omega_{o}=D_{R} \times(0, H) ; S_{\varepsilon}=\left\{D_{R+\sigma \varepsilon}-D_{R}\right\} \times$ $(0, H)$ outer shell; $C^{o}=D_{R} \times(0, \varepsilon) ; I^{o}=D_{R} \times(0, \nu \varepsilon) ; C_{i}$ equispaced, equiaxial cylinders congruent to $C^{o}$, called discs in phototransduction; $I_{i}$ equispaced, equiaxial cylinders congruent to $I^{o}$ interdiscal spaces between $C_{i}$ and $C_{i+1} ; L_{i}$ lateral boundary of the discs $C_{i} ; \Lambda_{i}$ lateral boundary of the interdiscal spaces $I_{i}$; $F_{i}^{ \pm}$upper and lower faces of the discs $C_{i} ; \partial^{ \pm} I_{i}$ upper and lower faces of interdiscal spaces $I_{i} ; \partial^{+} I_{i}=$ $F_{i+1}^{-}, \partial^{-} I_{i}=F_{i}^{+}, i=1,2, \ldots,(n-1) ; \theta_{o}=\left(\right.$ volume of the union of $\left.C_{i}\right) /\left(\right.$ volume of $\left.\Omega_{o}\right)=1 /(1+v)$; $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}=\Omega_{\varepsilon}-\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \bar{C}_{i}$ space available for diffusion.

The space variable is denoted by $x=(\bar{x}, z)$, where $\bar{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ and $z$ is the vertical coordinate. Moreover, $\sigma$ and $\nu$ denote fixed positive numbers.
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Figure 1. - The rod outer segment.
As photons hit the rod, they are captured by the discs and trigger a biochemical cascade whose net effect is the depletion of cyclic Guanilate Monophosphate (cGMP). Depletion of cGMP causes the closing of ionic channels located at the lateral boundary of the rod, thereby generating a variation of ionic current. Such variations are at the basis of the mechanism of vision. A precise description of the phototransduction cascade is in [1,8]. cGMP is depleted through a coupled diffusion process of cGMP and Calcium $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ within $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon_{o}}$. Denoting by $u_{\varepsilon_{o}}$ and $v_{\varepsilon_{o}}$ the volumic, dimensionless concentrations of cGMP and $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\varepsilon_{o}, t}-k_{u} \Delta u_{\varepsilon_{o}}=0, \quad v_{\varepsilon_{o}, t}-k_{v} \Delta v_{\varepsilon_{o}}=0 \quad \text { in } \widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon_{o}, T}=\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon_{o}} \times(0, T] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{u}, k_{v}$ are given positive constants. Their non-linear coupling occurs through their fluxes on the faces on the discs $C_{i}$, i.e., ${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.k_{u} u_{\varepsilon_{o}, z}\right|_{F_{i}^{ \pm}}=\frac{1}{2} \nu \varepsilon_{o}\left\{ \pm \gamma_{o} u_{\varepsilon_{o}} \mp f\left(u_{\varepsilon_{o}}, v_{\varepsilon_{o}} x, t\right)\right\}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n_{o} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma_{o}$ is a given positive constant and $f$ is a given, positive, bounded, smooth function of its arguments. Also cGMP does not penetrate the discs $C_{i}$ through their lateral boundaries, nor it can exit the boundary of the rod. Calcium $v_{\varepsilon_{o}}$ does not penetrate the discs $C_{i}$ at all, nor outflows the rod through its bottom $x=0$ or top $z=H$. However it can flow through the lateral boundary of the rod,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\text { influx of } v_{\varepsilon_{o}} \text { through }|\bar{x}|=R+\sigma \varepsilon_{o}\right\}=-g_{1}\left(v_{\varepsilon_{o}}\right)+g_{2}\left(u_{\varepsilon_{o}}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for given, positive, bounded, smooth functions $g_{1}(\cdot)$ and $g_{2}(\cdot)$. The derivation of the model (1)-(3) is in [1], where the various terms are discussed and justified.

### 1.1. Homogenization and concentrated capacity

Diffusion of cGMP and $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ occurs in two thin compartments; the interdiscal spaces $I_{i}$ and the outer shell $S_{\varepsilon_{o}}$. Since their thickness is of the order of $\varepsilon_{o} \ll R$ we regard $\varepsilon_{o}$ as a parameter to be let go to zero. The process is carried so that as $\varepsilon_{o} \rightarrow 0$ the number of discs increases but the ratio $\theta_{o}$ between the volume occupied by the discs and the volume of the rod remains constant. As $\varepsilon_{o} \rightarrow 0$ the outer shell tends to a cylindrical surface $S$. Information on diffusion in thin domains is preserved by concentrating the capacities. Essentially the coefficients in the diffusion equation are scaled to compensate for the shrinking of the outer shell, so that the total mass remains stable in the limit. The rod outer segment tends to the cylinder $\Omega_{o}$ with no discs within it. The homogenized-concentrated limit problem is in Section 3.

### 1.2. Novelty and significance

Limits of concentrated-capacity or homogenized limits are extensively treated in the literature in separate settings [3-5,7]. A novelty of this investigation is their simultaneous occurrence. However the main
mathematical significance is in the technical computation of the homogenized limit. In most of the homogenization literature the 'holes' to be removed are 'ball-like' and their shrinking to points does not disconnect ther ancestor domain. The cylinders $C_{i}$ tend to disconnect the rod outer segment. The difficulty is overcome by establishing that the approximating solutions $\left\{u_{\varepsilon}\right\}$ and $\left\{v_{\varepsilon}\right\}$ satisfying $\varepsilon$-versions of (1)-(3) are equi-Hölder continuous away from the outer shell. Whence such a compactness has been established, the actual computation of the homogenized limit requires that the approximating solutions be extended in some fashion with regular functions defined in the whole $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Such an extension is realized by the KirzbraunPucci theorem valid for functions with concave modulus of continuity ([6], p. 197). This is also a novel approach to homogenization.

## 2. Pointwise formulation of the $\varepsilon$-problem

The functions in play are $u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}$ representing dimensionless approximations of cGMP and $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ and defined in $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}$. It is convenient to distinguish them as $x$ ranges over the interdiscal spaces or over the outer shell by denoting by $u_{\varepsilon}^{\text {int }}, v_{\varepsilon}^{\text {int }}$ respectively the restrictions of $u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}$ to $\bigcup_{i=0}^{n} I_{i}$ and by $u_{\varepsilon}^{\text {ext }}, v_{\varepsilon}^{\text {ext }}$ their restrictions to $S_{\varepsilon}$. For a domain $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ we set $A_{T}=A \times(0, T]$ for some given $T>0$.

### 2.1. Equations in the interdiscal spaces $I_{i}$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
u_{\varepsilon, t}-k_{u} \Delta u_{\varepsilon}=0, \quad v_{\varepsilon, t}-k_{v} \Delta v_{\varepsilon}=0 & \text { on } I_{i, T} \text { for } i=0,1, \ldots, n ; \\
u_{\varepsilon}(\cdot, 0)=u_{o, \varepsilon}, \quad v_{\varepsilon}(\cdot, 0)=v_{o, \varepsilon} & \text { for } t=0 \text { and } x \in \widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon} ; \\
k_{u} u_{\varepsilon, z}=\mp \frac{1}{2} v \varepsilon\left(\gamma_{o} u_{\varepsilon}-f\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}, x, t\right)\right) & \text { on } \partial^{ \pm} I_{i, T} ; \\
u_{\varepsilon, z}=0 & \text { on } \partial^{-} I_{0, T} \text { and } \partial^{+} I_{n, T} ; \\
v_{\varepsilon, z}=0 & \text { on } \partial^{ \pm} I_{i, T} \text { for } i=0,1, \ldots, n ; \\
k_{u} \nabla u_{\varepsilon}^{\text {int }} \cdot \frac{\bar{x}}{R}=\frac{\varepsilon_{o}}{\varepsilon} k_{u} \nabla u_{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{ext}} \cdot \frac{\bar{x}}{R} & \text { on } \Lambda_{i, T} ; \\
k_{v} \nabla v_{\varepsilon}^{\text {int }} \cdot \frac{\bar{x}}{R}=\frac{\varepsilon_{o}}{\varepsilon} k_{v} \nabla v_{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{ext}} \cdot \frac{\bar{x}}{R} & \text { on } \Lambda_{i, T} ; \\
u_{\varepsilon}^{\text {int }}=u_{\varepsilon}^{\text {ext }}, \quad v_{\varepsilon}^{\text {int }}=v_{\varepsilon}^{\text {ext }} & \text { on } \Lambda_{i, T} . \tag{11}
\end{array}
$$

### 2.2. Equations in the outer shell

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
u_{\varepsilon, t}-k_{u} \Delta u_{\varepsilon}=0, \quad v_{\varepsilon, t}-k_{v} \Delta v_{\varepsilon}=0 & \text { on } S_{\varepsilon, T} ; \\
\nabla u_{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\bar{x}}{R}=0, \quad \nabla v_{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\bar{x}}{R}=0 & \text { on } L_{i, T} \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n \\
\nabla u_{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\bar{x}}{R+\sigma \varepsilon}=0 & \text { on }|\bar{x}|=R+\sigma \varepsilon \\
\frac{\varepsilon_{o}}{\varepsilon} k_{v} \nabla v_{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\bar{x}}{R+\sigma \varepsilon}=-g_{1}\left(v_{\varepsilon}\right)+g_{2}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) & \text { on }|\bar{x}|=R+\sigma \varepsilon \\
u_{\varepsilon, z}=0, \quad v_{\varepsilon, z}=0 & \text { for } z=0 \text { and } z=H \tag{16}
\end{array}
$$

The initial conditions are inherited from (5) for the portions $S_{\varepsilon}$ of $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}$. Both $u_{\varepsilon}$ and $v_{\varepsilon}$ are continuous from within each of the interdiscal spaces $I_{i}$ into $S_{\varepsilon}$ through the cylindrical surface $\Lambda_{i}$, i.e., (11) continues to hold. The flux relations (9), (10) are also in force and form part of the boundary conditions to be associated with (12)-(16).

## 3. The homogenized-concentrated limit

As $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ the layered domain $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}$ tends to the cylinder $\Omega_{o}$ and the outer shell $S_{\varepsilon}$ tends to the surface $S=\{|\bar{x}|=R\} \times(0, H)$. The family of solutions to problems (4)-(16) tends to two pairs of functions, i.e., $\{u, v\}$ defined in $\Omega_{o, T}$ called the interior limit and $\{\hat{u}, \hat{v}\}$ defined in $S_{T}$ called the limit on the outer shell.
3.1. The interior limit: $u, v \in C\left(0, T ; \mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\Omega_{o}\right)\right),\left|\nabla_{\bar{x}} u\right|,\left|\nabla_{\bar{x}} v\right| \in \mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\Omega_{o, T}\right)$. -

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{t}-k_{u} \Delta_{\bar{x}} u=\left\{\gamma_{o} u-f(u, v, \bar{x}, t)\right\} \quad \text { in } \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(\Omega_{o, T}\right) .  \tag{17}\\
v_{t}-k_{v} \Delta \bar{x} v=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

These can be regarded as diffusion processes, parametrized with $z \in(0, H)$, taking place on the circle $\{|\bar{x}|<R\}$. The homogenized limit transforms the boundary fluxes in (6) into source terms holding in $\Omega_{o}$.

Denote coordinates on the limit surface $S$ by $\theta \in(0,2 \pi]$ and $z \in(0, H)$. The level $z$ traces on $S$ a circle $\ell_{z}=\{|\bar{x}|=R\} \times\{z\}$. The boundary limits $\hat{u}, \hat{v}$ are functions of $\theta, z, t$.
3.2. The limit in outer shell: $\hat{u}, \hat{v} \in C(0, T ; S),\left|\left(\hat{u}_{z}, \hat{u}_{\theta}\right)\right|,\left|\left(\hat{v}_{z}, \hat{v}_{\theta}\right)\right| \in \mathrm{L}^{2}\left(S_{T}\right)$. - These boundary limits $\hat{u}, \hat{v}$ are related to the interior limits $u, v$ in two ways. First $u$ and $v$ have traces on $\{|\bar{x}|=R\}$ in $\mathrm{L}^{2}\left(S_{T}\right)$ and,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{u}(\theta, z, t)=\left.u(\bar{x}, z, t)\right|_{|\bar{x}|=R}, \quad \hat{v}(\theta, z, t)=\left.v(\bar{x}, z, t)\right|_{|\bar{x}|=R} \quad \text { in } \mathrm{L}^{2}\left(S_{T}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Second, denoting by $\Delta_{S}$ the Laplace-Beltrami operator on $S$,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\hat{u}_{t}-k_{u} \Delta_{S} \hat{u}=-\left.\frac{\left(1-\theta_{o}\right) k_{u}}{\sigma \varepsilon_{o}} u_{\rho}\right|_{|\bar{x}|=R}  \tag{19}\\
\hat{v}-k_{v} \Delta_{S} \hat{v}=-\left.\frac{\left(1-\theta_{o}\right) k_{v}}{\sigma \varepsilon_{o}} v_{\rho}\right|_{|\bar{x}|=R}+\frac{1}{\sigma \varepsilon_{o}}\left\{g_{1}(\hat{v})-g_{2}(\hat{u})\right\} \quad \text { in } D^{\prime}\left(S_{T}\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

The limit problem (17)-(19) consists of a system of diffusion equations taking place in different domains, which are coupled through the fluxes exchanged at the common boundaries.

The regularity of $u, v$ does not insure that $u_{\rho}, v_{\rho}$ have traces on $S$. In this sense (19) is formal. The limit problem (17)-(19) can be given the following rigorous weak form.

The functions $\{u, v\}$ and $\{\hat{u}, \hat{v}\}$ are in the stated regularity classes and satisfy the integral identities,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1-\theta_{o}\right)\left\{\int_{\Omega_{o, T}}\left\{-u \varphi_{t}+k_{u} \nabla_{\bar{x}} u \cdot \nabla_{\bar{x}} \varphi\right\} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t-\int_{\Omega_{o}} u_{o} \varphi(x, 0) \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\Omega_{o, T}}\left(\gamma_{o} u-f_{1}(v)\right) \varphi \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t\right\} \\
& \quad+\sigma \varepsilon_{o}\left\{\int_{S_{T}}\left\{-\hat{u} \varphi_{t}+k_{u} \nabla_{S} \hat{u} \cdot \nabla_{S} \varphi\right\} \mathrm{d} \eta \mathrm{~d} t-\int_{S} \hat{u}_{o} \varphi(x, 0) \mathrm{d} \eta\right\}=0 \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

for all testing functions $\varphi \in C^{1}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{o, T}\right)$ vanishing for $t=T$;

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1-\theta_{o}\right)\left\{\int_{\Omega_{o, T}}\left\{-v \psi_{t}+k_{v} \nabla_{\bar{x}} v \cdot \nabla_{\bar{x}} \psi\right\} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t-\int_{\Omega_{o}} v_{o} \psi(x, 0) \mathrm{d} x\right\}  \tag{21}\\
& \quad+\sigma \varepsilon_{o}\left\{\int_{S_{T}}\left\{-\hat{v} \psi_{t}+k_{v} \nabla_{S} \hat{v} \cdot \nabla_{S} \psi\right\} \mathrm{d} \eta \mathrm{~d} t-\int_{S} \hat{v}_{o} \psi(x, 0) \mathrm{d} x+\frac{1}{\sigma \varepsilon_{o}} \int_{S_{T}}\left\{g_{1}(\hat{v})-g_{2}(\hat{u})\right\} \psi \mathrm{d} \eta \mathrm{~d} t\right\}=0
\end{align*}
$$

for all testing functions $\psi \in \mathrm{C}^{1}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{o, T}\right)$ vanishing for $t=T$. Proofs are in [2].
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