JIM PITMAN

The distribution of local times of a brownian bridge

The distribution of local times of a Brownian bridge

Jim Pitman

1 Introduction

Let $(L_t^x, t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R})$ denote the jointly continuous process of local times of a standard one-dimensional Brownian motion $(B_t, t \geq 0)$ started at $B_0 = 0$, as determined by the occupation density formula [20]

$$\int_0^t f(B_s)\, ds = \int_{-\infty}^\infty f(x) L_t^x\, dx$$

for all non-negative Borel functions f. Borodin [7, p. 6] used the method of Feynman-Kac to obtain the following description of the joint distribution of L_1^x and B_1 for arbitrary fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}$: for $y > 0$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$

$$P(L_1^x \in dy, B_1 \in db) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}(|x| + |b - x| + y)\, e^{-\frac{1}{2}(|x| + |b-x| + y)^2}\, dy\, db. \qquad (1)$$

This formula, and features of the local time process of a Brownian bridge described in the rest of this introduction, are also implicit in Ray's description of the joint law of $(L_T^x, x \in \mathbb{R})$ and B_T for T an exponentially distributed random time independent of the Brownian motion [19, 23, 6]. See [14, 17] for various characterizations of the local time processes of Brownian bridge and Brownian excursion, and further references. These local time processes arise naturally both in combinatorial limit theorems involving the height profiles of trees and forests [1, 17] and in the study of level crossings of empirical processes [21, 4].

Section 2 of this note presents an elementary derivation of formula (1), based on Lévy's identity in distribution [15],[20, Ch. VI, Theorem (2.3)]

$$(L_t^0, |B_t|) \overset{d}{=} (M_t, M_t - B_t) \quad \text{where} \quad M_t := \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} B_s, \qquad (2)$$

and the well known description of this joint law implied by reflection principle [20, Ch. III, Ex. (3.14)], which yields (1) for $x = 0$. Formula (1) determines the one-dimensional distributions of the process of local times at time 1 derived from a Brownian bridge of length 1 from 0 to b, as follows: for $y \geq 0$

$$P(L_1^x > y \mid B_1 = b) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}((|x| + |b-x| + y)^2 - b^2)}. \qquad (3)$$

Section 3 presents a number of identities in distribution as consequences of this formula. If x is between 0 and b then $|x| + |b - x| = |b|$, so the distribution of L_1^x for

the bridge from 0 to b is the same for all x between 0 and b. Furthermore. assuming for simplicity that $b > 0$. the process $(L_1^x, 0 \leq x \leq b \,|\, B_1 = b)$ is both reversible and stationary. Reversibility follows immediately from the fact that if $(B_s^{0 \to b}, 0 \leq s \leq 1)$ denotes the Brownian bridge of length 1 from 0 to b then

$$(b - B_{1-s}^{0 \to b}, 0 \leq s \leq 1) \stackrel{d}{=} (B_s^{0 \to b}, 0 \leq s \leq 1). \tag{4}$$

To spell out the stationarity property, for each $x > 0$ and $\theta > 0$ with $0 < x + \theta \leq b$, there is the invariance in distribution

$$(L_1^{x+a}, 0 \leq a \leq \theta \,|\, B_1 = b) \stackrel{d}{=} (L_1^a, 0 \leq a \leq \theta \,|\, B_1 = b). \tag{5}$$

Equivalently, for all such x and θ and every non-negative measurable function f which vanishes off the interval $[0, \theta]$

$$\int_0^1 f(B_s^{0 \to b} - x)ds \stackrel{d}{=} \int_0^1 f(B_s^{0 \to b})ds. \tag{6}$$

Howard and Zumbrun [11] proved (6) for f the indicator of a Borel set, and (6) for general f can be established by their method. Alternatively, (6) can be deduced from the following invariance in distribution on the path space $C[0, 1]$: for each $0 \leq x \leq b$

$$({}^x B_s^{0 \to b}, 0 \leq s \leq 1) \stackrel{d}{=} (B_s^{0 \to b}, 0 \leq s \leq 1) \tag{7}$$

where $({}^x B_s^{0 \to b}, 0 \leq s \leq 1)$ is derived from the bridge $(B_s^{0 \to b}, 0 \leq s \leq 1)$ by the following path transformation:

$$
{}^x B_s^{0 \to b} := \begin{cases} B_{\sigma_x + s}^{0 \to b} - x & \text{if } 0 \leq s \leq 1 - \sigma_x \\[2mm] b - B_{1-s}^{0 \to b} & \text{if } 1 - \sigma_x < s \leq 1 \end{cases}
$$

with σ_x the first hitting time of x by $(B_s^{0 \to b}, 0 \leq s \leq 1)$. The invariance (7) can be checked by a standard technique [5, 21]: the corresponding transformation on lattice paths is a bijection, which gives simple random walk analogs of (7), (6) and (5); the results for the Brownian bridge then follow by weak convergence. Formula (7) implies also that the process $(\sigma_x, 0 \leq x \leq b)$ derived from $(B_s^{0 \to b}, 0 \leq s \leq 1)$ has stationary increments. It is easily shown by similar arguments that the increments of this process are in fact exchangeable. According to the above discussion, for each $b > 0$ the process of bridge occupation times

$$\left(\int_0^1 1(0 \leq B_s^{0 \to b} \leq x)\,ds, 0 \leq x \leq b \right)$$

has increments which are stationary and reversible, but not exchangeable (due to continuity of the local time process).

    See [9, 16] for other examples of stationary local time processes. In particular, it is known [16, Prop. 2] that if $({}^\delta L_t^x, t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R})$ is the process of local times of a Brownian motion with drift $\delta > 0$, say ${}^\delta B_t := B_t + \delta t, t \geq 0$, then the process $({}^\delta L_\infty^x, x \geq 0)$ is a stationary diffusion, with ${}^\delta L_\infty^x$ exponentially distributed with rate δ. The stationarity of this process is obvious by application of the strong Markov

property of $^\delta B$ at its first hitting time of $x > 0$. It is also known [23, Theorem 4.5] that if T_δ is exponential with rate $\frac{1}{2}\delta^2$, independent of B, then for each $b > 0$

$$(B_t, 0 \le t \le T_\delta \mid B_{T_\delta} = b) \stackrel{d}{=} (^\delta B_t, 0 \le t \le {}^\delta\lambda_b) \tag{8}$$

where $^\delta\lambda_b$ is the time of the last hit of b by $^\delta B$, and hence

$$(L^x_{T_\delta}, 0 \le x \le b \mid B_{T_\delta} = b) \stackrel{d}{=} (^\delta L^x_\infty, 0 \le x \le b). \tag{9}$$

The stationarity of $(^\delta L^x_\infty, x \ge 0)$ then implies stationarity of $(L^x_{T_\delta}, 0 \le x \le b \mid B_{T_\delta} = b)$, which is implicit in Ray's description of this process [19, 23, 6]. This yields another proof of the stationarity of $(L^x_t, 0 \le x \le b \mid B_t = b)$ for arbitrary $t > 0$, by uniqueness of Laplace transforms.

2 Proof of formula (1).

As observed in the introduction, formula (1) for $x = 0$ is equivalent via (2) to Lévy's well known description of the joint distribution of M_1 and $M_1 - B_1$. The case of (1) with $x \ne 0$ will now be deduced from the case with $x = 0$. It clearly suffices to deal with $x > 0$, as will now be supposed. Let $\sigma_x := \inf\{t : B_t = x\}$, and set

$$^x B_t := B_{\sigma_x + t} - x \quad (t \ge 0).$$

According to the strong Markov property of B, the process $^x B := (^x B_t, t \ge 0)$ is a standard Brownian motion independent of σ_x. Let $^x M_t$ and $^x L^0_t$ be the functionals of $^x B$ corresponding to the functionals M_t and L^0_t of B. Then for $y > 0$, $b \in \mathbb{R}$, and $a := |b - x|$, we can compute as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(L^x_1 > y, B_1 \in db)/db &= P(\sigma_x < 1) \tfrac{1}{2} P(^x L^0_{1-\sigma_x} > y, |^x B_{1-\sigma_x}| \in da)/da \\[2mm]
&= P(\sigma_x < 1) \tfrac{1}{2} P(^x M_{1-\sigma_x} > y, {}^x M_{1-\sigma_x} - {}^x B_{1-\sigma_x} \in da)/da \\[2mm]
&= \tfrac{1}{2} P(M_1 > x + y, M_1 - B_1 \in da)/da \\[2mm]
&= P(L^0_1 > x + y, B_1 \in da)/da.
\end{aligned}
$$

The first and third equalities are justified by the strong Markov property, the second appeals to Lévy's identity (2) applied to $^x B$, and the fourth uses (2) applied to B. The formula (1) for $x > 0$ can now be read from (1) with $x = 0$.

3 Some identities in distribution.

Let R denote a random variable with the Rayleigh distribution

$$P(R > r) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}r^2} \quad (r > 0). \tag{10}$$

According to formula (3),

$$(L^x_1 \mid B_1 = 0) \stackrel{d}{=} (R - 2|x|)^+ \tag{11}$$

where the left side denotes the distribution of L_1^x for a standard Brownian bridge. The corresponding result for the unconditioned Brownian motion, obtained by integrating out b in (1), is

$$L_1^x \overset{d}{=} (|B_1| - |x|)^+. \qquad (12)$$

Lévy gave both these identities for $x = 0$. For the bridge from 0 to $b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x > 0$ the events $(\mathcal{M}_1 > x)$ and $(L_1^x > 0)$ are a.s. identical. So (3) for $y = 0$ reduces to Lévy's result that

$$P(M_1 > x \mid B_1 = b) = e^{-2x(x-b)} \qquad (0 \vee b < x).$$

Let

$$\varphi(z) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}z^2}; \quad \bar{\Phi}(x) := \int_x^\infty \varphi(z) dz = P(B_1 > x).$$

Then the mean occupation density at $x \in \mathbb{R}$ of the Brownian bridge from 0 to $b \in \mathbb{R}$ is

$$E(L_1^x \mid B_1 = b) = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{s(1-s)}} \varphi\left(\frac{x-bs}{\sqrt{s(1-s)}}\right) ds = \frac{\bar{\Phi}(|x| + |b-x|)}{\varphi(b)}. \qquad (13)$$

The first equality is read from the occupation density formula and the fact that $B_s^{0 \to b}$ has normal distribution with mean bs and variance $s(1-s)$. The second equality, which is not obvious directly, is obtained using the first equality by integration of (3). The case $b = 0$ of the second equality is attributed [21, p. 400, Exercise 3] to M. Gutjahr and E. Haeusler. See also [18] for another approach to this identity involving properties of the arc sine distribution. As a consequence of (13), for each $b > 0$ and each Borel subset A of $[0, b]$, the expected time spent in A by the Brownian bridge from 0 to b is

$$E\left(\int_0^1 1(B_s^{0 \to b} \in A) ds \,\middle|\, B_1 = b\right) = |A| \frac{\bar{\Phi}(b)}{\varphi(b)} \qquad (14)$$

where $|A|$ is the Lebesgue measure of A. Take $A = [0, b]$ to recover the standard estimate $\bar{\Phi}(b) < \varphi(b)/b$. For each $b \in \mathbb{R}$, the function of x appearing in (13) is the probability density function of $B_U^{0 \to b}$ for U a uniform$[0, 1]$ variable independent of the bridge. In particular, for $b = 0$, formula (13) yields

$$|B_U^{0 \to 0}| \overset{d}{=} \tfrac{1}{2} UR \qquad (15)$$

where the Rayleigh variable R is independent of U. This and related identities were found in [1], where the reflecting bridge $(|B_s^{0 \to 0}|, 0 \leq s \leq 1)$ was used to describe the asymptotic distribution of a path derived from a random mapping.

Recall that σ_x is the first hitting time of x by the Brownian motion B. Let γ_1^x denote last time B is at x before time 1, with the convention $\gamma_1^x = 0$ if no such time, and set $\delta_1^x = (\gamma_1^x - \sigma_x)^+$. By well known first entrance and last exit decompositions [10], given B_1 and δ_1^x with $\delta_1^x > 0$, the segment of B between times σ_x and γ_1^x is a Brownian bridge of length δ_1^x from x to x. Therefore,

$$(L_1^x \mid B_1 = b) \overset{d}{=} (\sqrt{\delta_1^x} R \mid B_1 = b) \qquad (16)$$

where the Rayleigh variable R is independent of δ_1^x, and R given $\delta_1^x > 0$ may be interpreted as the local time at 0 of the standard bridge derived by Brownian scaling

of the segment of B between times σ_x and γ_1^x. By consideration of moments, formula (16) shows that the law of $(L_1^x \mid B_1 = b)$ displayed in formula (3) determines the law of $(\delta_1^x \mid B_1 = b)$, and vice versa. As indicated by Imhof [12], the distribution of δ_1^x given $B_1 = b$ can be derived by integration from the joint distribution of σ_x and γ_1^x given $B_1 = b$, which is easily written down. By comparison with the formula for the joint density of γ_1^0 and $|B_1|$, due to Chung [8, (2.5)], it turns out that

$$(\delta_1^x \mid B_1 = 0, \delta_1^x > 0) \stackrel{d}{=} (\gamma_1^0 \mid B_1 = 2x) \stackrel{d}{=} \frac{B_1^2}{B_1^2 + 4x^2} \tag{17}$$

where the second equality is obtained from Chung's formula by an elementary change of variable, as in [2, (6)-(8)], where the same family of distributions on $[0, 1]$ appears in another context. Set $a = 2x$ and combine (11), (16) and (17) to deduce the identity

$$\sqrt{\frac{B_1^2}{B_1^2 + a^2}} \, R \stackrel{d}{=} (R - a \mid R > a) \qquad (a \geq 0) \tag{18}$$

where R and B_1 are assumed independent. By consideration of moments, this identity amounts to the equality of two different integral representations for the Hermite function [13, (10.5.2) and Problem 10.8.1].

If ε is independent of B and exponentially distributed with rate 1, a variation of (16) gives

$$L_{2\varepsilon}^0 \stackrel{d}{=} \sqrt{\gamma_{2\varepsilon}^0} \, R \tag{19}$$

where $\gamma_{2\varepsilon}^0$ and R are independent, hence

$$\varepsilon \stackrel{d}{=} |B_1| \, R \tag{20}$$

where B_1 and R are independent. By consideration of moments, this classical identity is equivalent to the duplication formula for the gamma function [22]. Another Brownian representation of (20) is

$$|B_{2\varepsilon}^0| \stackrel{d}{=} \sqrt{2\varepsilon - \gamma_{2\varepsilon}^0} \, M_1 \tag{21}$$

where M_1 is the final value of a standard Brownian meander. Compare with [20, Ch. XII, Ex's (3.8) and (3.9)], and [5]. See also [3, p. 681] for an appearance of (20) in the study of random trees.

Acknowledgment

References

[1] D. Aldous and J. Pitman. Brownian bridge asymptotics for random mappings. *Random Structures and Algorithms*, 5:487–512, 1994.

[2] D.J. Aldous and J. Pitman. The standard additive coalescent. Technical Report 489, Dept. Statistics, U.C. Berkeley, 1997. To appear in *Ann. Probab.*. Available via http://www.stat.berkeley.edu/users/pitman.

[3] D.J. Aldous and J. Pitman. Tree-valued Markov chains derived from Galton-Watson processes. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré*, 34:637–686, 1998.

[4] R. F. Bass and D. Khoshnevisan. Laws of the iterated logarithm for local times of the empirical process. *Ann. Probab.*, 23:388 – 399, 1995.

[5] J. Bertoin and J. Pitman. Path transformations connecting Brownian bridge, excursion and meander. *Bull. Sci. Math. (2)*, 118:147–166, 1994.

[6] Ph. Biane and M. Yor. Sur la loi des temps locaux Browniens pris en un temps exponentiel. In *Séminaire de Probabilités XXII*, pages 454–466. Springer, 1988. Lecture Notes in Math. 1321.

[7] A. N. Borodin. Brownian local time. *Russian Math. Surveys*, 44:2:1–51, 1989.

[8] K. L. Chung. Excursions in Brownian motion. *Arkiv fur Matematik*, 14:155–177, 1976.

[9] S.N. Evans and J. Pitman. Stopped Markov chains with stationary occupation times. *Probab. Th. Rel. Fields*, 109:425–433, 1997.

[10] P. Fitzsimmons, J. Pitman, and M. Yor. Markovian bridges: construction, Palm interpretation, and splicing. In E. Çinlar, K.L. Chung, and M.J. Sharpe, editors, *Seminar on Stochastic Processes, 1992*, pages 101–134. Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.

[11] P. Howard and K. Zumbrun. Invariance of the occupation time of the Brownian bridge process. Preprint, Indiana Univerity. Available via http://www.math.indiana.edu/home/zumbrun, 1998.

[12] J. P. Imhof. On Brownian bridge and excursion. *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.*, 20:1–10, 1985.

[13] N. N. Lebedev. *Special Functions and their Applications*. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1965.

[14] C. Leuridan. Le théorème de Ray-Knight à temps fixe. In J. Azéma, M. Émery, M. Ledoux, and M. Yor, editors, *Séminaire de Probabilités XXXII*, pages 376–406. Springer, 1998. Lecture Notes in Math. 1686.

[15] P. Lévy. Sur certains processus stochastiques homogènes. *Compositio Math.*, 7:283–339, 1939.

[16] J. Pitman. Cyclically stationary Brownian local time processes. *Probab. Th. Rel. Fields*, 106:299–329, 1996.

[17] J. Pitman. The SDE solved by local times of a Brownian excursion or bridge derived from the height profile of a random tree or forest. Technical Report 503, Dept. Statistics, U.C. Berkeley, 1997. To appear in *Ann. Prob.*. Available via http://www.stat.berkeley.edu/users/pitman.

[18] J. Pitman and M. Yor. Some properties of the arc sine law related to its invariance under a family of rational maps. In preparation, 1998.

[19] D. B. Ray. Sojourn times of a diffusion process. *Ill. J. Math.*, 7:615–630, 1963.

[20] D. Revuz and M. Yor. *Continuous martingales and Brownian motion.* Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1994. 2nd edition.

[21] G. R. Shorack and J. A. Wellner. *Empirical processes with applications to statistics.* John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1986.

[22] S. S. Wilks. Certain generalizations in the analysis of variance. *Biometrika*, 24:471–494, 1932.

[23] D. Williams. Path decomposition and continuity of local time for one dimensional diffusions I. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 28:738–768, 1974.