

SÉMINAIRE DE PROBABILITÉS (STRASBOURG)

ZHONGMIN QIAN

SHENG-WU HE

On the hypercontractivity of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroups with drift

Séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg), tome 29 (1995), p. 202-217

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPS_1995__29__202_0

© Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1995, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives du séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg) (<http://portail.mathdoc.fr/SemProba/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

On the Hypercontractivity of Ornstein–Uhlenbeck Semigroups with Drift*

Zhongmin Qian and Sheng-Wu He

In the framework of white noise analysis we study an Ornstein–Uhlenbeck semigroup with drift, which is a self-adjoint operator. Let $(S) \subset (L^2) \subset (S)^*$ be the Gel'fand's triple over white noise space $(S'(R), \mathcal{B}(S'(R)), \mu)$. Let H be a strictly positive self-adjoint operator in $L^2(R)$. Then

$$P_t^H \varphi(x) = \int_{S'(R)} \varphi(e^{-tH}x + \sqrt{1 - e^{-2tH}}y) \mu(dy), \varphi \in (S), t \geq 0,$$

determines a diffusion semigroup in (L^p) , $p \geq 1$, called the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck semigroup with drift operator H . We shall show that the Bakry–Emery's curvature of $(P_t^H)_{t \geq 0}$ is bounded below by

$$\alpha = \inf_{0 \neq \xi \in S(R)} \frac{(H\xi, H\xi)}{(H\xi, \xi)}.$$

In particular if $\alpha > 0$, then (P_t^H) is hypercontractive : for any $p \geq 1$, $q(t) = 1 + (p - 1)e^{2\alpha t}$ and nonnegative $f \in (L^p)$,

$$\|P_t^H f\|_{q(t)} \leq \|f\|_p.$$

The importance of hypercontractivity for classical Ornstein–Uhlenbeck semigroup in the constructive quantum field theory has already been shown by E. Nelson (cf. [13], [14], [20] and [21]). Since then it became an active research field (cf. [6] and [20]). Moreover, it is clear recently that there are connections between hypercontractivity and spectral theory, and other aspects of operator theory (cf. [2], [6] and [19]). In his famous paper [9], L. Gross established the equivalence between logarithmic Sobolev inequality and hypercontractivity of diffusion semigroups. In recent, D. Bakry and M. Emery ([3]) gave a local criterion (i.e., only involved with the generator of a diffusion semigroup) for hypercontractivity (cf. [2] and references there). Thus one way to establish a hypercontractivity criterion for the semigroup $(P_t^H)_{t \geq 0}$ is to identify the Dirichlet

*The project supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China and in part by a Royal Society Fellowship for Zhongmin Qian.

space associated with the semigroup $(P_t^H)_{t \geq 0}$. In this paper, however, we compute the Bakry-Emery's curvature of the semigroup $(P_t^H)_{t \geq 0}$.

A brief introduction to white noise analysis is given in section 1. More materials on white noise analysis may be obtained from [11] or [22]. Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup with drift is defined in section 2. A detailed discussion on Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup may be found in [10]. A lower bound of the Bakry-Emery's curvature of the semigroup $(P_t^H)_{t \geq 0}$, then a hypercontractivity criterion are established in section 3.

1. White noise space. Let $S(R)$ be the Schwartz space of rapidly decreasing functions on R . Denote by A the self-adjoint extension of the harmonic oscillator operator in $L^2(R)$:

$$Af(u) = -f''(u) + (1 + u^2)f(u), \quad f \in S(R).$$

Put

$$e_n(u) = (-1)^n (\pi^{1/2} 2^n n!)^{-1/2} e^{u^2/2} \frac{d^n}{du^n} e^{-u^2}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Then $e_n \in S(R)$ is the eigenfunction of A , corresponding to eigenvalue $2n + 2$, and $\{e_n, n \geq 0\}$ is an orthogonal normed basis of $L^2(R)$. Define

$$|f|_{2,p}^2 = |A^p f|_2^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2n + 2)^{2p} |\langle f, e_n \rangle|^2, \quad f \in L^2(R),$$

$$S_p(R) = \mathcal{D}(A^p) = \{f \in L^2(R) : |f|_{2,p}^2 < \infty\}, \quad p \geq 0,$$

where $|\cdot|_2$ denotes the norm of $L^2(R)$. With $\{|\cdot|_{2,p}, p \geq 0\}$ $S(R)$ is a nuclear space. Let $S'(R)$ be its dual space. Set

$$S_p(R) = \{f \in S'(R) : |f|_{2,p}^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2n + 2)^{2p} |\langle f, e_n \rangle|^2 < \infty\}, \quad p \in R,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the pairing between $S(R)$ and $S'(R)$. Then

$$S(R) = \bigcap_{p \in R} S_p(R), \quad S'(R) = \bigcup_{p \in R} S_p(R).$$

The famous Minlos theorem states that there exists a unique probability measure μ on $\mathcal{B}(S'(R))$, the σ -field generated by cylinder sets, such that

$$\int_{S'(R)} e^{i\langle x, \xi \rangle} \mu(dx) = \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} |\xi|_2^2\right\}, \quad \xi \in S(R).$$

The measure μ is called the white noise measure, and the probability space $(S'(R), \mathcal{B}(S'(R)), \mu)$ is called the white noise space. Set

$$X_\xi(x) = \langle x, \xi \rangle, \quad x \in S'(R), \xi \in S(R).$$

$\{X_\xi, \xi \in S(R)\}$ is called the canonical process on the white noise space. Under μ the canonical process is a Gaussian process with zero mean and covariance $C(\xi, \eta) = \langle \xi, \eta \rangle$, $\xi, \eta \in S(R)$. On white noise space one can define a Brownian motion $B = \{B_t, -\infty < t < \infty\}$ such that $X_\xi = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \xi(t) dB_t$ and $\mathcal{B}(S'(R)) = \sigma\{B_t, -\infty < t < \infty\}$. Each $\varphi \in (L^2) = L^2(S'(R), \mathcal{B}(S'(R)), \mu)$ has chaotic representation:

$$\varphi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int \cdots \int \varphi^{(n)}(t_1, \dots, t_n) dB_{t_1} \cdots dB_{t_n}, \quad (1.1)$$

$$\|\varphi\|_2^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! |\varphi^{(n)}|_2^2,$$

where $\varphi^{(n)} \in \widehat{L}^2(R^n)$ (the symmetric subspace of $L^2(R^n)$), $\|\cdot\|_2$ denotes the norm of (L^2) . We denote (1.1) also by $\varphi \sim (\varphi^{(n)})$ simply. If for all n , $\varphi^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}(A^{\otimes n})$, and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! |A^{\otimes n} \varphi^{(n)}|_2^2 < \infty$, define

$$\Gamma(A)\varphi \in (L^2), \quad \Gamma(A)\varphi \sim (A^{\otimes n} \varphi^{(n)}). \quad (1.2)$$

$\Gamma(A)$ is a self-adjoint linear operator in (L^2) , and is called the second quantization of A . For $p \geq 0$, set

$$(S)_p = \mathcal{D}(\Gamma(A)^p),$$

$$\|\varphi\|_{2,p}^2 = \|\Gamma(A)^p \varphi\|_2^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! |\varphi^{(n)}|_{2,p}^2, \quad \varphi \sim (\varphi^{(n)}) \in (S)_p.$$

$$(S) = \bigcap_{p \geq 0} (S)_p.$$

With $\{\|\cdot\|_{2,p}, p \geq 0\}$ (S) is also a nuclear space, each element of (S) is called a test functional. Denote by $(S)_{-p}$ the dual of $(S)_p, p \geq 0$, by $(S)^*$ the dual of (S) , then

$$(S)^* = \bigcup_{p \geq 0} (S)_{-p}.$$

Each element of $(S)^*$ is called a generalized Wiener functional or Hida distribution. (S) is an algebra, and each $\varphi \in (S)$ has a continuous version (in the strong topology of $S'(R)$), thus each member of (S) is assumed continuous in the sequel (cf. [23]).

For $\xi \in L^2(R)$, exponential functional $\mathcal{E}(\xi)$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{E}(\xi) = \exp\left\{\langle \cdot, \xi \rangle - \frac{1}{2} |\xi|_2^2\right\} \sim \left(\frac{1}{n!} \xi^{\otimes n}\right).$$

If $\xi \in S(R)$, then $\mathcal{E}(\xi)$ is a test functional. Let $F \in (S)^*$. The S -transform of F is defined as

$$(SF)(\xi) = \langle\langle F, \mathcal{E}(\xi) \rangle\rangle, \quad \xi \in S(R),$$

where $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle$ denotes the pairing between $(S)^*$ and (S) .

A functional U on $S(R)$ is called a U -functional, if

- 1) for each $\xi \in S(R)$ the mapping $\lambda \rightarrow U(\lambda\xi)$ has analytic continuation, denoted by $u(z, \xi)$, on the whole plane;
- 2) for each $n \geq 1$

$$U_n(\xi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_n) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \sum_{l_1 < \cdots < l_k} \frac{d^n}{dz^n} u(0, \xi_{l_1} + \cdots + \xi_{l_k})$$

is multilinear in $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in (S)^n$;

- 3) there exist constants $C_1 > 0$, $C_2 > 0$, $p \in R$ such that for all z and ξ

$$|u(z, \xi)| \leq C_1 \exp\{C_2 |z|^2 |\xi|_{2, -p}^2\}.$$

Potthoff and Streit (cf. [15]) have proved that a functional on $S(R)$ is the S -transform of a Hida distribution if and only if it is a U -functional. Each Hida distribution is uniquely determined by its S -transform. For any $F, G \in (S)^*$ there exists a unique Hida distribution, denoted by $F : G$ and called the Wick product of F and G , such that $S(F : G) = S(F)S(G)$.

Let ν be a probability measure on $(S'(R), \mathcal{B}(S'(R)))$. If under ν the canonical process $X = \{X_\xi, \xi \in S(R)\}$ is a Gaussian process, we call ν a Gaussian measure (cf. [10]). In this case, the mean functional

$$\langle m_\nu, \xi \rangle = \int X_\xi d\nu, \quad \xi \in S(R),$$

is a generalized function, i.e., $m_\nu \in S'(R)$, and the covariance functional

$$C_\nu(\xi, \eta) = \int X_\xi X_\eta d\nu - \langle m_\nu, \xi \rangle \langle m_\nu, \eta \rangle, \quad \xi, \eta \in S(R),$$

is a nonnegative-definite continuous bilinear functional on $S(R) \times S(R)$. The characteristic functional of Gaussian measure ν is

$$\int e^{i\langle x, \xi \rangle} \nu(dx) = \exp\left\{i\langle m_\nu, \xi \rangle - \frac{1}{2}C_\nu(\xi, \xi)\right\}, \quad \xi \in S(R),$$

and it is not difficult to see

$$\int \mathcal{E}(\xi) d\nu = \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}|\xi|_2^2 + \langle m_\nu, \xi \rangle + \frac{1}{2}C_\nu(\xi, \xi)\right\} \quad (1.3)$$

is a U -functional. For any affine transform T on $S'(R)$, νT^{-1} remains a Gaussian measure (see Theorem 2 in [10]).

Let $y \in S'(R)$ and $\varphi \in (S)$. The derivative $D_y\varphi$ of φ in direction y is defined by

$$D_y\varphi = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(\cdot + ty) - \varphi}{t},$$

where the limit is taken in (S) . For any $F \in (S)^*$

$$\langle\langle F, D_y\varphi \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle F: I_1(y), \varphi \rangle\rangle, \tag{1.4}$$

where $I_1(y) \sim (0, y, 0, \dots) \in (S)^*$. For any $\varphi, \psi \in (S)$

$$D_y(\varphi\psi) = (D_y\varphi)\psi + \varphi(D_y\psi), \quad D_y(\varphi: \psi) = (D_y\varphi): \psi + \varphi:(D_y\psi). \tag{1.5}$$

2. Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup. Let H be a strictly positive self-adjoint operator in $L^2(R)$. Set

$$M_t = e^{-tH}, \quad T_t = \sqrt{1 - e^{-2tH}} = \sqrt{1 - M_{2t}}, \quad t \geq 0. \tag{2.1}$$

We make the following assumptions:

(H₁) $S(R) \subset \mathcal{D}(H)$ and H is a continuous mapping from $S(R)$ into itself.

(H₂) $\forall t > 0$ M_t and T_t are continuous operators from $S(R)$ into itself.

Then M_t and T_t , $t > 0$, can be extended onto $S'(R) : \forall x \in S'(R), \xi \in S(R)$,

$$\langle M_t x, \xi \rangle = \langle x, M_t \xi \rangle, \quad \langle T_t x, \xi \rangle = \langle x, T_t \xi \rangle. \tag{2.2}$$

Now for all $t \geq 0, x \in S'(R)$ and $\varphi \in (S)$ define

$$P_t^H \varphi(x) = \int \varphi(M_t x + T_t y) \mu(dy) = \int \varphi(y) \mu_{x,t}^H(dy), \tag{2.3}$$

where $\mu_{x,t}^H$ is a Gaussian measure with mean functional $\langle M_t x, \xi \rangle$ and covariance functional $\langle (1 - e^{-2tH})\xi, \eta \rangle$. Hence the definition (2.3) makes sense.

Let $\Gamma(e^{-tH}) = \Gamma(M_t)$ be the second quantization of M_t . Then we have

$$P_t^H = \Gamma(e^{-tH}) = e^{-t d\Gamma(H)}, \quad t \geq 0, \tag{2.4}$$

where $d\Gamma(H)$ is a self-adjoint operator in (L^2) :

$$\begin{aligned} d\Gamma(H) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus \{ & \underbrace{H \otimes I \otimes \dots \otimes I}_{n \text{ factors}} + \underbrace{I \otimes H \otimes I \otimes \dots \otimes I}_{n \text{ factors}} + \\ & \dots + \underbrace{I \otimes \dots \otimes H}_{n \text{ factors}} \} \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $\{P_t^H, t \geq 0\}$ is a Markov semigroup with infinitesimal generator $L_H = -d\Gamma(H)$. $\{P_t^H, t > 0\}$ is called the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup with drift operator H . When $H = I$, the identity operator, it reduces to ordinary infinite dimensional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup (Refer to Theorem 8 in [10]). To help the understanding the definition of semigroup $(P_t^H)_{t \geq 0}$, the reader may think of its finite dimensional analogue. In this case, the Hilbert space $L^2(R)$ is replaced by R^n , μ is the standard normal measure on R^n and H is a positive symmetric matrix, e.g., $Hx = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \langle x, e_i \rangle e_i$, where (e_i) is the standard base of R^n , so that

$$P_t^H f(x) = \int_{R^n} f\left(e^{-Ht}x + \sqrt{1 - e^{-2Ht}}y\right) \mu(dy)$$

and

$$L_H = \frac{1}{2}\Delta - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}.$$

The following properties of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup are immediate.

Proposition 2.1. *For any $\varphi, \psi \in (S)$ and $t \geq 0$*

- 1) $\|P_t^H \varphi\|_2 \leq \|\varphi\|_2$,
- 2) $\int \varphi(P_t^H \psi) d\mu = \int (P_t^H \varphi) \psi d\mu$,
- 3) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|P_t^H \varphi - \varphi\|_2 = 0$,
- 4) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|P_t^H \varphi - \int \varphi d\mu\|_2 = 0$.

In particular, for any $p \geq 1$, $(P_t^H)_{t \geq 0}$ can be uniquely extended to be a μ -symmetric, contractive, strongly continuous semigroup on (L^p) , and the above properties remain true.

We need also the properties of operator $d\Gamma(H)$. For any $n \geq 1$, let $H^{(n)}$ be the self-adjoint operator in $\widehat{L}^2(R^n)$ such that

$$H^{(n)} \xi^{\otimes n} = \underbrace{H \xi \widehat{\otimes} \xi \cdots \widehat{\otimes} \xi}_{n \text{ factors}}, \quad (2.5)$$

and $H^{(0)} = I$. Then for any $\varphi \sim (\varphi^{(n)}) \in \mathcal{D}(d\Gamma(H))$ by definition we have

$$d\Gamma(H)\varphi \sim (nH^{(n)}\varphi^{(n)}). \quad (2.6)$$

In particular, for any $\xi \in S(R)$

$$d\Gamma(H)\mathcal{E}(\xi) \sim \left(\frac{1}{(n-1)!} (H\xi) \widehat{\otimes} \xi^{\otimes n-1}\right) = I_1(H\xi): \mathcal{E}(\xi). \quad (2.7)$$

Proposition 2.2 $(S) \subset \mathcal{D}(d\Gamma(H))$.

Proof. Let $p \geq 0$. Since H is a continuous mapping from $S(R)$ into itself, there are $q \geq p$ and $C_p > 0$ such that for all $\xi \in S(R)$

$$|H\xi|_{2,p} \leq C_p |\xi|_{2,q}.$$

Let $\varphi \sim (\varphi^{(n)}) \in (S)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |H^{(n)}\varphi^{(n)}|_{2,p} &\leq \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n} |(\varphi^{(n)}, e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_n})| |H^{(n)}e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_n}|_{2,p} \\ &\leq \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n} |(\varphi^{(n)}, e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_n})| n C_p \prod_{k=0}^n (2i_k + 2)^q \\ &\leq n C_p \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2k + 2)^{-2} \right]^{n/2} |\varphi^{(n)}|_{2,q+1}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\|d\Gamma(H)\varphi\|_{2,p}^2 \leq C_p^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! n^2 \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2k + 2)^{-2} \right]^n |\varphi^{(n)}|_{2,q+1}^2 \leq \|\varphi\|_{2,q+1+\alpha}^2,$$

where $\alpha > 0$ is taken such that for all n

$$n C_p 2^{-n\alpha} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2k + 2)^{-2} \right]^n < 1.$$

Thus $d\Gamma(H)\varphi \in (S)$.

From the definition of $d\Gamma(H)$ it is easy to verify directly the following

Proposition 2.3. *For any $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}(d\Gamma(H))$, we have $\varphi: \psi \in \mathcal{D}(d\Gamma(H))$ and*

$$d\Gamma(H)(\varphi: \psi) = (d\Gamma(H)\varphi): \psi + \varphi: (d\Gamma(H)\psi).$$

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\varphi \in (S)$. Then*

$$[S(d\Gamma(H)\varphi)](\xi) = \langle\langle D_{H\xi}(\varphi), \mathcal{E}(\xi) \rangle\rangle. \quad (2.8)$$

Proof. By the symmetry of $d\Gamma(H)$, (2.7) and (1.4)

$$\begin{aligned} [S(d\Gamma(H)\varphi)](\xi) &= \langle\langle d\Gamma(H)\varphi, \mathcal{E}(\xi) \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle \varphi, d\Gamma(H)\mathcal{E}(\xi) \rangle\rangle \\ &= \langle\langle \varphi, I_1(H\xi): \mathcal{E}(\xi) \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle D_{H\xi}(\varphi), \mathcal{E}(\xi) \rangle\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2.5. *For any $\xi, \eta, \zeta \in S(R)$*

$$[S(\mathcal{E}(\eta)d\Gamma(H)\mathcal{E}(\zeta))](\xi) = (H\zeta, \eta + \xi)e^{(\xi, \eta) + (\eta, \zeta) + (\zeta, \xi)}, \quad (2.9)$$

that is

$$\mathcal{E}(\eta)d\Gamma(H)\mathcal{E}(\zeta) = \{(H\zeta, \eta) + I_1(H\zeta)\} : \{\mathcal{E}(\eta)\mathcal{E}(\zeta)\}. \quad (2.10)$$

Proof. Note that for any $\xi, \eta \in S(R)$

$$\mathcal{E}(\xi)\mathcal{E}(\eta) = \mathcal{E}(\xi + \eta)e^{(\xi, \eta)}, \quad (2.11)$$

and by (2.7)

$$[S(d\Gamma(H)\mathcal{E}(\eta))](\xi) = (H\eta, \xi)e^{(\xi, \eta)}. \quad (2.12)$$

Now from (2.11) and (2.12) we have

$$\begin{aligned} [S(\mathcal{E}(\eta)d\Gamma(H)\mathcal{E}(\zeta))](\xi) &= \langle\langle \mathcal{E}(\eta)d\Gamma(H)\mathcal{E}(\zeta), \mathcal{E}(\xi) \rangle\rangle \\ &= \langle\langle d\Gamma(H)\mathcal{E}(\zeta), \mathcal{E}(\xi + \eta) \rangle\rangle e^{(\xi, \eta)} \\ &= (H\zeta, \eta + \xi)e^{(\xi, \eta) + (\eta, \zeta) + (\zeta, \xi)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then (2.10) follows from (2.9) and (2.11).

Denote $\mathcal{A} = \text{sp}\{\mathcal{E}(\xi), \xi \in S(R)\}$.

Lemma 2.6. *For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$ we have*

$$d\Gamma(H)\varphi^3 = 3\varphi d\Gamma(H)\varphi^2 - 3\varphi^2 d\Gamma(H)\varphi. \quad (2.13)$$

Proof. At first, note that for any positive integer k and $\xi \in S(R)$

$$\mathcal{E}(\xi)^k = \mathcal{E}(k\xi)e^{\frac{1}{2}(k^2 - k)|\xi|_2^2}. \quad (2.14)$$

It can be shown by induction and (2.11). By means of (2.9) and (2.14) it is easy to calculate

$$[S(d\Gamma(H)\mathcal{E}(\eta)^3)](\xi) = 3(H\eta, \xi) \exp\{3|\eta|_2^2 + 3(\eta, \xi)\} \quad (2.15)$$

$$[S(\mathcal{E}(\eta)d\Gamma(H)\mathcal{E}(\eta)^2)](\xi) = 2(H\eta, \eta + \xi) \exp\{3|\eta|_2^2 + 3(\eta, \xi)\} \quad (2.16)$$

$$[S(\mathcal{E}(\eta)^2 d\Gamma(H)\mathcal{E}(\eta))](\xi) = (H\eta, 2\eta + \xi) \exp\{3|\eta|_2^2 + 3(\eta, \xi)\} \quad (2.17)$$

Let $\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \mathcal{E}(\eta_i)$, $\eta_i \in S(R)$, $c_i \in R$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then (2.13) follows from (2.15)–(2.17) by straightforward computation.

Since the strong topology of $S'(R)$ is generated by \mathcal{A} , by making use of a Bakry and Emery's result in [3] from Lemma 2.6 we get the following

Theorem 2.7. *The semigroup (P_t^H) is a diffusion semigroup, i.e., for any $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \in \mathcal{D}(L_H)^n$ and $\Phi \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\Phi(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n) \in \mathcal{D}(L_H)$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} L_H \Phi(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_i}(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n) L_H \varphi_i \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n) [L_H(\varphi_i \varphi_j) - \varphi_i(L_H \varphi_j) - (L_H \varphi_i)\varphi_j]. \end{aligned}$$

If we denote by $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ the Dirichlet form associated with the μ -symmetric semigroup (P_t^H) , then $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ is local (cf. [5], [8] and [12]). It is not difficult to show that there is a diffusion process $X = (X_t, P^x)$ with transition semigroup (P_t^H) . Then for any bounded $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(L_H)$

$$M_t^\varphi = \varphi(X_t) - \varphi(X_0) - \int_0^t L_H \varphi(X_s) ds$$

is a P^x -martingale for any x , and $d\langle M^\varphi, M^\varphi \rangle_t \ll dt$ (cf. [8] and [12]). In fact, we have

$$\langle M^\varphi, M^\varphi \rangle_t = \int_0^t [L_H(\varphi^2) - 2\varphi(L_H \varphi)](X_s) ds.$$

3. The hypercontractivity of (P_t^H) . Define

$$\Gamma(\varphi, \psi) = \frac{1}{2} \{L_H(\varphi\psi) - \varphi(L_H \psi) - (L_H \varphi)\psi\}, \quad (\varphi, \psi) \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma),$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}(\Gamma) = \{(\varphi, \psi) : \varphi, \psi, \varphi\psi \in \mathcal{D}(L_H)\},$$

Obviously, by Proposition 2.2 we have $(S) \times (S) \subset \mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$, since (S) is an algebra. Γ is called the square field operator of the semigroup (P_t^H) . Define

$$\Gamma_2(\varphi, \psi) = \frac{1}{2} \{L_H \Gamma(\varphi, \psi) - \Gamma(L_H \varphi, \psi) - \Gamma(\varphi, L_H \psi)\}, \quad \varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_2),$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}(\Gamma_2) = \{\varphi : \varphi, \varphi^2, L_H \varphi, \varphi L_H \varphi, L_H \varphi^2 \in \mathcal{D}(L_H)\}.$$

By the same reason we still have $(S) \subset \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_2)$. Γ_2 is called the iterated square field operator of the semigroup (P_t^H) or the Bakry-Emery's curvature of the diffusion operator L_H , and was introduced by D. Bakry ([1]).

Lemma 3.1. For any $\eta, \zeta \in S(R)$

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{E}(\eta), \mathcal{E}(\zeta)) = (H\eta, \zeta)\mathcal{E}(\eta)\mathcal{E}(\zeta). \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. Let $\xi \in S(R)$. For convenience, denote

$$a(\xi, \eta, \zeta) = e^{(\xi, \eta) + (\eta, \zeta) + (\zeta, \xi)} = [S(\mathcal{E}(\eta)\mathcal{E}(\zeta))](\xi). \quad (3.2)$$

We are to check the S -transforms of the two sides of (3.1) are equal. By (2.12) we have

$$\begin{aligned} [S(L_H(\mathcal{E}(\eta)\mathcal{E}(\zeta)))](\xi) &= [S(L_H\mathcal{E}(\eta + \zeta))](\xi)e^{(\eta, \zeta)} \\ &= -(H(\eta + \zeta), \xi)e^{(\xi, \eta + \zeta)}e^{(\eta, \zeta)} \\ &= \{-(H\eta, \xi) - (H\zeta, \xi)\}a(\xi, \eta, \zeta). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Similarly, by (2.9) we have

$$[S(\mathcal{E}(\eta)L_H\mathcal{E}(\zeta))](\xi) = \{-(H\zeta, \eta) - (H\zeta, \xi)\}a(\xi, \eta, \zeta), \quad (3.4)$$

$$[S(\mathcal{E}(\zeta)L_H\mathcal{E}(\eta))](\xi) = \{-(H\eta, \zeta) - (H\eta, \xi)\}a(\xi, \eta, \zeta). \quad (3.5)$$

Noting that H is symmetric, from (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned} [S\Gamma(\mathcal{E}(\eta), \mathcal{E}(\zeta))](\xi) &= (H\eta, \zeta)a(\xi, \eta, \zeta) \\ &= [S((H\eta, \zeta)\mathcal{E}(\eta)\mathcal{E}(\zeta))](\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Thus (3.1) is verified.

Lemma 3.2. For any $\eta, \zeta \in S(R)$

$$\Gamma_2(\mathcal{E}(\eta), \mathcal{E}(\zeta)) = \{(H\eta, H\zeta) + (H\eta, \zeta)^2\}\mathcal{E}(\eta)\mathcal{E}(\zeta). \quad (3.6)$$

Proof. Samely, we need only to verify for $\xi \in S(R)$

$$[S\Gamma_2(\mathcal{E}(\eta), \mathcal{E}(\zeta))](\xi) = \{(H\eta, H\zeta) + (H\eta, \zeta)^2\}a(\xi, \eta, \zeta). \quad (3.7)$$

At first, by (2.12) and Lemma 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} [SL_H\Gamma(\mathcal{E}(\eta), \mathcal{E}(\zeta))](\xi) &= (\eta, \zeta)e^{(\eta, \zeta)}[SL_H\mathcal{E}(\eta + \zeta)](\xi) \\ &= -(H\eta, \zeta)\{(H\xi, \eta) + (H\xi, \zeta)\}a(\xi, \eta, \zeta). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Secondly, we are to calculate the S -transform of $\Gamma(L_H\mathcal{E}(\eta), \mathcal{E}(\zeta))$. By (2.8) and Proposition 2.3

$$\begin{aligned}
& [S L_H(\mathcal{E}(\zeta)L_H\mathcal{E}(\eta))](\xi) = \langle\langle D_{-H\xi}[\mathcal{E}(\zeta)L_H\mathcal{E}(\eta)], \mathcal{E}(\xi) \rangle\rangle \\
& = \langle\langle -(H\xi, \zeta)\mathcal{E}(\zeta)L_H\mathcal{E}(\eta), \mathcal{E}(\xi) \rangle\rangle + \langle\langle \mathcal{E}(\zeta)D_{-H\xi}L_H\mathcal{E}(\eta), \mathcal{E}(\xi) \rangle\rangle \\
& = -(H\xi, \zeta)\langle\langle L_H\mathcal{E}(\eta), \mathcal{E}(\xi + \zeta) \rangle\rangle e^{(\xi, \zeta)} + \langle\langle D_{-H\xi}L_H\mathcal{E}(\eta), \mathcal{E}(\xi + \zeta) \rangle\rangle e^{(\xi, \zeta)} \\
& = (H\xi, \zeta)(H\eta, \xi + \zeta)a(\xi, \eta, \zeta) + \langle\langle -I_1(H\xi): \mathcal{E}(\xi + \zeta), L_H\mathcal{E}(\eta) \rangle\rangle e^{(\xi, \zeta)} \\
& = (H\xi, \zeta)(H\eta, \xi + \zeta)a(\xi, \eta, \zeta) - \{ \langle\langle (L_H I_1(H\xi)): \mathcal{E}(\xi + \zeta), \mathcal{E}(\eta) \rangle\rangle \\
& \quad + \langle\langle I_1(H\xi): (L_H\mathcal{E}(\xi + \zeta)), \mathcal{E}(\eta) \rangle\rangle \} e^{(\xi, \zeta)} \\
& = (H\xi, \zeta)(H\eta, \xi + \zeta)a(\xi, \eta, \zeta) + \langle\langle I_1(H^2\xi), \mathcal{E}(\eta) \rangle\rangle a(\xi, \eta, \zeta) \\
& \quad - (H\xi, \eta)\langle\langle L_H\mathcal{E}(\xi + \zeta), \mathcal{E}(\eta) \rangle\rangle e^{(\xi, \zeta)} \\
& = \{ (H\xi, \zeta)(H\eta, \xi + \zeta) + (H\xi, H\eta) + (H\xi, \eta)(H\eta, \xi + \zeta) \} a(\xi, \eta, \zeta) \\
& = \{ (H\xi, H\eta) + (H\xi, \eta + \zeta)(H\eta, \xi + \zeta) \} a(\xi, \eta, \zeta). \tag{3.9}
\end{aligned}$$

Using the symmetry of L_H and $L_H\mathcal{E}(\eta) \in (S)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& [S(L_H\mathcal{E}(\eta)L_H\mathcal{E}(\zeta))](\xi) = \langle\langle L_H\mathcal{E}(\eta)L_H\mathcal{E}(\zeta), \mathcal{E}(\xi) \rangle\rangle \\
& = \langle\langle L_H\mathcal{E}(\zeta), \mathcal{E}(\xi)L_H\mathcal{E}(\eta) \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle L_H(\mathcal{E}(\xi)L_H\mathcal{E}(\eta)), \mathcal{E}(\zeta) \rangle\rangle \\
& = \{ (H\zeta, H\eta) + (H\zeta, \xi + \eta)(H\eta, \xi + \zeta) \} a(\xi, \eta, \zeta), \tag{3.10}
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality comes from (3.9). By using (2.7) repeatedly we have

$$L_H^2\mathcal{E}(\eta) = I_1(H^2\xi): \mathcal{E}(\eta) + I_1(H\eta): I_1(H\eta): \mathcal{E}(\eta).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& [S(\mathcal{E}(\zeta)L_H^2\mathcal{E}(\eta))](\xi) = \langle\langle L_H^2\mathcal{E}(\eta), \mathcal{E}(\xi + \zeta) \rangle\rangle e^{(\xi, \zeta)} \\
& = \{ (H\eta, H(\xi + \zeta)) + (H\eta, \xi + \zeta)^2 \} a(\xi, \eta, \zeta). \tag{3.11}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.9), (3.10) and (3.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& [S\Gamma(L_H\mathcal{E}(\eta), \mathcal{E}(\zeta))](\xi) \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \{ (H\xi, H\eta) + (H\xi, \eta + \zeta)(H\eta, \xi + \zeta) - (H\eta, \zeta) \\
& \quad - (H\zeta, \xi + \eta)(H\eta, \xi + \zeta) - (H\eta, H\xi + H\zeta) - (H\eta, \xi + \zeta)^2 \} a(\xi, \eta, \zeta) \\
& = \{ -(H\eta, H\zeta) - (H\eta, \zeta)(H\eta, \xi) - (H\eta, \zeta)^2 \} a(\xi, \eta, \zeta). \tag{3.12}
\end{aligned}$$

(3.12) may also apply to $[S\Gamma(\mathcal{E}(\eta), L_H\mathcal{E}(\zeta))](\xi)$. Now (3.7) follows from (3.8) and (3.12) :

$$\begin{aligned}
& [S\Gamma_2(\mathcal{E}(\eta), \mathcal{E}(\zeta))](\xi) \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \{ -(H\eta, \zeta)(H\xi, \eta + \zeta) + (H\eta, H\zeta) + (H\eta, \xi)(H\eta, \zeta) + (H\eta, \zeta)^2 \\
& \quad + (H\eta, H\zeta) + (H\zeta, \xi)(H\zeta, \eta) + (H\zeta, \eta)^2 \} a(\xi, \eta, \zeta) \\
& = \{ (H\eta, H\zeta) + (H\eta, \zeta)^2 \} a(\xi, \eta, \zeta).
\end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\alpha = \inf_{0 \neq \xi \in S(R)} \frac{(H\xi, H\xi)}{(H\xi, \xi)}. \quad (3.13)$$

Theorem 3.3. *For all $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$, we have following Bakry-Emery's curvature inequality,*

$$\Gamma_2(\varphi, \varphi) \geq \alpha \Gamma(\varphi, \varphi). \quad (3.14)$$

Proof. Let $\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \mathcal{E}(\eta_i)$, $\eta \in S(R)$, $c_i \in R$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. By Lemma 3.1, 3.2 and the condition (3.13) we know

$$\begin{aligned} & \Gamma_2(\varphi, \varphi) - \alpha \Gamma(\varphi, \varphi) \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n c_i c_j \mathcal{E}(\eta_i) \mathcal{E}(\eta_j) \{ (H\eta_i, H\eta_j) - \alpha (H\eta_i, \eta_j) + (H\eta_i, \eta_j)^2 \} \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

noting that $((H\eta_i, \eta_j))$ and $((H\eta_i, \eta_j)^2)$ are nonnegative-definite.

L. Gross ([9]) and D. Bakry - M. Emery ([3]) proved that (P_t^H) is hypercontractive if there is a dense algebra \mathcal{B} such that it is stable under C^∞ -maps, $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_2) \cap \mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$, and (3.14) holds for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}$. Unfortunately, the algebra \mathcal{A} is not stable under C^∞ -maps. However, the following Theorem 3.5 permits us to establish a hypercontractivity criterion for the semigroup (P_t^H) along the lines of L. Gross and D. Bakry - M. Emery.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $(a_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ be a symmetric nonnegative-definite matrix and c_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, be arbitrary reals. Then*

$$\sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n c_i c_j c_k c_l (a_{i,j} a_{k,l} + a_{k,l}^2 - a_{i,j} a_{i,k} - a_{i,j} a_{j,k}) \geq 0.$$

Proof. Let (X_1, \dots, X_n) and (Y_1, \dots, Y_n) be two independent random vectors with the same normal law $N(0, (a_{i,j}))$. Denote $X = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_i$, $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i Y_i$, $Z = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_i Y_i$, $c = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n c_i c_j c_k c_l \{a_{i,j} a_{k,l} + a_{k,l}^2 - a_{i,j} a_{i,k} - a_{i,j} a_{j,k}\} \\
= & \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n c_i c_j c_k c_l \{E(X_i X_j) E(Y_k Y_l) + E(X_k X_l) E(Y_k Y_l) \\
& \quad - E(X_i X_j) E(Y_i Y_k) - E(X_i X_j) E(Y_j Y_k)\} \\
= & \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n c_i c_j c_k c_l E(X_i X_j Y_k Y_l + X_k X_l Y_k Y_l - X_i X_j Y_i Y_k - X_i X_j Y_j Y_k) \\
= & E(X^2 Y^2 + c^2 Z^2 - c Z X Y - c X Z Y) \\
= & E(XY - cZ)^2 \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.5. For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\varphi > 0$ we have

$$\Gamma_2(\ln \varphi, \ln \varphi) \geq \alpha \Gamma(\ln \varphi, \ln \varphi). \quad (3.15)$$

Proof. Since (P_t^H) is a diffusion semigroup, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(\ln \varphi, \ln \varphi) &= \frac{1}{\varphi^2} \Gamma(\varphi, \varphi), \\
\Gamma_2(\ln \varphi, \ln \varphi) &= \frac{1}{\varphi^2} \Gamma_2(\varphi, \varphi) + \frac{1}{\varphi^4} \Gamma(\varphi, \varphi)^2 - \frac{1}{\varphi^3} \Gamma(\varphi, \Gamma(\varphi, \varphi)).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \mathcal{E}(\eta_i)$, $\eta_i \in S(R)$, $c_i \in R$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Denote

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi &= \varphi^4 \Gamma_2(\ln \varphi, \ln \varphi) - \alpha \varphi^4 \Gamma(\ln \varphi, \ln \varphi) \\
&= \varphi^2 \Gamma_2(\varphi, \varphi) + \Gamma(\varphi, \varphi)^2 - \varphi \Gamma(\varphi, \Gamma(\varphi, \varphi)) - \alpha \varphi^2 \Gamma(\varphi, \varphi).
\end{aligned}$$

Observing that

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{E}(\eta_k), \Gamma(\mathcal{E}(\eta_i), \mathcal{E}(\eta_j))) = (H\eta_i, \eta_j)(H\eta_k, \eta_i + \eta_j) \mathcal{E}(\eta_i) \mathcal{E}(\eta_j) \mathcal{E}(\eta_k),$$

by Lemma 3.1 and 3.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi &= \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n c_i c_j c_k c_l \{ \mathcal{E}(\eta_k) \mathcal{E}(\eta_l) \Gamma_2(\mathcal{E}(\eta_i), \mathcal{E}(\eta_j)) \\
& \quad + \Gamma(\mathcal{E}(\eta_i), \mathcal{E}(\eta_j)) \Gamma(\mathcal{E}(\eta_k), \mathcal{E}(\eta_l)) - \mathcal{E}(\eta_l) \Gamma(\mathcal{E}(\eta_k), \Gamma(\mathcal{E}(\eta_i), \mathcal{E}(\eta_j))) \\
& \quad - \alpha \mathcal{E}(\eta_k) \mathcal{E}(\eta_l) \Gamma(\mathcal{E}(\eta_i), \mathcal{E}(\eta_j)) \} \\
= & \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n c_i c_j c_k c_l \mathcal{E}(\eta_i) \mathcal{E}(\eta_j) \mathcal{E}(\eta_k) \mathcal{E}(\eta_l) \{ (H\eta_i, \eta_j)(H\eta_k, \eta_l) \\
& \quad + (H\eta_k, \eta_l)^2 - (H\eta_i, \eta_j)(H\eta_i, \eta_k) - (H\eta_i, \eta_j)(H\eta_j, \eta_k) \\
& \quad + (H\eta_k, H\eta_l) - \alpha(H\eta_k, \eta_l) \}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.4

$$\sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n c_i c_j c_k c_l \mathcal{E}(\eta_i) \mathcal{E}(\eta_j) \mathcal{E}(\eta_k) \mathcal{E}(\eta_l) \{ (H\eta_i, \eta_j)(H\eta_k, \eta_l) + (H\eta_k, \eta_l)^2 - (H\eta_i, \eta_j)(H\eta_i, \eta_k) - (H\eta_i, \eta_j)(H\eta_j, \eta_k) \} \geq 0,$$

and by the condition (3.13)

$$\sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n c_i c_j c_k c_l \mathcal{E}(\eta_i) \mathcal{E}(\eta_j) \mathcal{E}(\eta_k) \mathcal{E}(\eta_l) \{ (H\eta_k, H\eta_l) - \alpha(H\eta_k, \eta_l) \} \geq 0.$$

Hence $\psi \geq 0$. So (3.15) is verified.

Now starting from Theorem 3.5 and by making use of the similar arguments in D. Bakry and M. Emery ([4]), we may get the following results consecutively. We omit the details of the proofs.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$, $\varphi > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$. Then for any $t \geq 0$*

$$P_t^H(\varphi \ln \varphi) - (P_t^H \varphi) \ln(P_t^H \varphi) \leq \frac{1}{2\alpha} (1 - e^{-2\alpha t}) P_t^H \left(\frac{1}{\varphi} \Gamma(\varphi, \varphi) \right). \quad (3.16)$$

Proposition 3.7. *If $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\alpha > 0$, then*

$$\int \varphi^2 \ln \varphi^2 d\mu - \left(\int \varphi^2 d\mu \right) \ln \left(\int \varphi^2 d\mu \right) \leq \frac{2}{\alpha} \int \Gamma(\varphi, \varphi) d\mu. \quad (3.17)$$

Theorem 3.8. *Assume*

$$\alpha = \inf_{0 \neq \xi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R})} \frac{(H\xi, H\xi)}{(H\xi, \xi)} > 0.$$

Then for any $p \geq 1$, $q(t) = 1 + (p-1)e^{2\alpha t}$, $t \geq 0$ and $f \in (L^p)$ with $f \geq 0$ we have

$$\|P_t^H f\|_{q(t)} \leq \|f\|_p. \quad (3.18)$$

This hypercontractivity criterion for (P_t^H) is our main result. The equivalence of (3.17) and (3.18) was established by L. Gross ([9]).

Acknowledgement. The first draft of this paper is completed by Zhongmin Qian when he was at Mathematics Institute, University of Warwick. He is grateful to Professor K. D. Elworthy for helpful comments and encouragement.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bakry, D., Etude probabiliste des transformees de Riesz et de l'espace H^1 sur les spheres, Sem. Prob. XVIII, Lecture Notes in Math. **1059**, 197-218, Springer, 1984.
- [2] Bakry, D., L'hypercontractivite et son utilisation en theorie des semi-groupes, Preprint, 1993.
- [3] Bakry, D. and Emery, M., Diffusions hypercontractives, Sem. Prob. XIX, Lecture Notes in Math. **1123**, 177-206, Springer, 1985.
- [4] Bakry, D. and Emery, M., Propaganda for Γ_2 , in *From Local Times to Global Geometry, Control and Physics*, 39-46, K. D. Elworthy (ed.), Longman Sci. Tech., 1986.
- [5] Bouleau, N. and Hirsh, F., *Dirichlet Forms and Analysis on Wiener Space*, Walter de Gruyter, 1991.
- [6] Davis, E. B., *Heat Kernels and Spectral Theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1989.
- [7] Dellacherie, C. and Meyer, P. A., *Probabilites et Potentiel IV*, Hermann, 1991.
- [8] Fukushima, M., *Dirichlet Forms and Markov Processes*, North Holland, 1980.
- [9] Gross, L., Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities, Amer. J. Math. **97** (1975), 1061-1083.
- [10] He, S.W. and Wang, J.G., Gaussian measures on white noise space, Preprint, 1993.
- [11] Hida, T., Kuo, H. H., Potthoff, J. and Streit, L., *White Noise - An Infinite Dimensional Calculus*, Kluwer Academic Publ., 1993.
- [12] Ma, Z. and Röckner, M., *An Introduction to Non-symmetric Dirichlet Forms*, Springer, 1992.
- [13] Nelson, E., A quadratic interaction in two dimension, In *Mathematical Theory of Elementary Particles*, R. Goodman and I. Segal (eds.), M.I.T. Press, 1966.
- [14] Nelson, E., The free Markov field, J. Funct. Anal. **12**(1973), 211-227.
- [15] Potthoff, J. and Streit, L., A characterization on Hida distribution, J. Funct. Anal. **101**(1991), 212-229.
- [16] Potthoff, J. and Yan, J. A., Some results about test and generalized functionals of white noise, In *Proc. Singapore Prob. Conf.*, L.H.Y. Chen et al. (eds.), Walter de Gruyter, 1992.
- [17] Qian, Z. M., On the Martin boundary of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator on the white noise space, Preprint, 1993.
- [18] Röckner, M., On the parabolic Martin boundary of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator on Wiener space, Ann. Prob. (1992), 1063-1085.
- [19] Rothaus, O., Analytic inequalities, isoperimetric inequalities and logarithmic Sobolev inequalities, J. Funct. Anal. **64**(1985), 296-313.
- [20] Reed, M. and Simon, B., *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics*, Academic Press, 1985.

- [21] Simon, B., *The $P(\varphi)_2$ Euclidean (Quantum) Field Theory*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1974.
- [22] Yan J. A., Some recent developments in white noise analysis. In *Probability and Statistics*, A. Badrikian et al. (eds.), World Scientific, 1993.
- [23] Yokoi, Y., Positive generalized functionals, *Hiroshima Math. J.* **20**(1990), 137-157.

*Zhongmin Qian,
Institute of Applied Mathematics,
Shanghai Institute of Railway Technology,
Shanghai 200333, China.*

*Sheng-Wu He,
Department of Mathematical Statistics,
East China Normal University,
Shanghai 200062, China.*