

# SÉMINAIRE DE PROBABILITÉS (STRASBOURG)

SHENG-WU HE

## **Some remarks on single jump processes**

*Séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg)*, tome 17 (1983), p. 346-348

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPS\\_1983\\_\\_17\\_\\_346\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPS_1983__17__346_0)

© Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1983, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives du séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg) (<http://portail.mathdoc.fr/SemProba/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

SOME REMARKS ON SINGLE JUMP PROCESSES

by S.W. HE

Let  $(\Omega, \underline{\mathbb{F}}, P)$  be a complete probability space, and  $T$  be a strictly positive random variable. We denote by  $\underline{\mathbb{F}} = (\underline{\mathbb{F}}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  the natural filtration of the single jump process  $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0} = I_{\llbracket T, \infty \llbracket}$ , i.e.

$$\underline{\mathbb{F}}_t = \sigma(X_s, s \leq t)$$

( we make the convention that all sets of measure 0 in  $\underline{\mathbb{F}}$  are implicitly added to all  $\sigma$ -fields ). This filtration has been much studied, starting with Dellacherie [2], and the literature concerning it is extensive. However, we couldn't find in it the following simple remarks ( from the article [4] in Chinese ).

We begin with the following proposition ( which is closely related to Dellacherie-Meyer [3], chapter VII, n<sup>os</sup> 105-106 ).

PROPOSITION 1. Let  $S \in \sigma(T)$  be a non-negative random variable.

a)  $S$  is a stopping time if and only if there exists some constant  $c \leq +\infty$  such that a.s.

$$(1) \quad S \geq T \text{ on } \{T < c\}, \quad S \geq c \text{ on } \{T = c\}, \quad S = c \text{ on } \{T > c\}$$

b)  $S$  is a predictable stopping time if and only if there exists some  $c \leq +\infty$  such that a.s.

$$(2) \quad S > T \text{ on } \{T < c\}, \quad S = c \text{ on } \{T = c\}, \quad S = c \text{ on } \{T > c\}$$

c)  $S$  is totally inaccessible if and only if there exists some set  $A \in \sigma(T)$  such that  $T < \infty$  on  $A$ , the distribution of  $T$  on  $A$  is diffuse, and  $S = T_A$  ( i.e.  $S = T$  on  $A$ ,  $S = +\infty$  on  $A^c$ ,  $P\{A, T = t\} = 0$  for all  $t$  ).

Let us also recall a few facts about the uniqueness of  $c$  : if  $S \geq T$  a.s., we may choose for  $c$  in (1) any constant which a.s. dominates  $T$  ( recall that  $+\infty$  is allowed ). If  $P\{S < T\} > 0$ ,  $S$  is a.s. constant on  $\{S < T\}$  and its a.s. value is the only possible value of  $c$  in (1) and in (2). Similarly, if  $P\{S < T\} = 0$  but  $P\{S = T\} > 0$ , there may be several values of  $c$  satisfying (1), but at most one satisfying (2), namely the a.s. constant value of  $S$  on  $\{S = T\}$ .

Our first remark concerns predictability : the condition  $P\{S = T < \infty\}$  is sufficient for predictability if the distribution of  $T$  has no atom on  $[0, \infty[$ , but not sufficient otherwise — contrary to a statement in [1]. Here is an example. We assume that the distribution of  $T$  is given by  $\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_1 + \frac{1}{2}\mu(dt)$ , where the support of  $\mu$  is the whole of  $\mathbb{R}_+$ .

We take

$$S=2T \text{ on } \{T < 1\}, \quad S=2 \text{ on } \{T=1\}, \quad S=1 \text{ on } \{T > 1\}$$

Since  $P\{S < T\} > 0$ ,  $c=1$  is the only constant satisfying (1), and hence the only possible candidate for (2). Since the middle condition of (2) isn't a.s. satisfied,  $S$  cannot be predictable.

Our second remark is a necessary and sufficient condition for quasi-left-continuity, much easier to check than those given in [6], for example.

PROPOSITION 2. The filtration  $\underline{F}$  is quasi-left-continuous if and only if there exists a constant  $\alpha \leq +\infty$  such that  $P\{T > \alpha\} = 0$ , and the distribution of  $T$  has no atom in  $[0, \alpha[$  (otherwise stated: the law  $\lambda$  of  $T$  has at most one atom, which then is the last point in the support of  $\lambda$ ).

PROOF. Assume the distribution of  $T$  has an atom  $c$  such that  $P\{T > c\} > 0$ . Then the stopping time  $S$  defined by

$$S = +\infty \text{ on } \{T < c\}, \quad S = 2c \text{ on } \{T = c\}, \quad S = c \text{ on } \{T > c\}$$

is accessible and by the same reasoning as above isn't predictable. So  $\underline{F}$  isn't quasi-left-continuous.

Conversely, assume the properties in the statement, and prove that any accessible stopping time (represented by (1)) is predictable. We may assume  $P\{S \leq T\} > 0$ , otherwise the result is trivial. We must only check the first two properties in (2), the third one being obvious.

If  $P\{S < T\} > 0$ , then  $P\{S = c < T\} > 0$  from (1), and therefore  $c < \alpha$ , and  $P\{T = c\} = 0$  (so the middle condition is true). From Proposition 1 c) applied to  $A = \{T \neq \alpha\}$  we get that  $T_A$  is totally inaccessible, so  $P\{S = T_A < \infty\} = 0$ , and the first property in (2) follows from (1).

If  $P\{S < T\} = 0$ , then we must have  $c \geq \alpha$  a.s., and (1) is satisfied with  $c = \alpha$ . Then we have the first property (2) for the same reason as above. On the other hand,  $P\{S \leq T\} > 0$ , hence  $P\{S = T\} > 0$ , which in turn implies  $P\{S = T = \alpha\} > 0$  since  $P\{S = T < \alpha\} = 0$ . Now  $S \in \sigma(T)$ , so  $S$  is a.s. constant on  $\{T = \alpha\}$ , and the middle condition is also satisfied. The proposition is proved.

REMARK. We have proved in [5] that if  $\underline{F}$  is quasi-left-continuous, then  $\underline{F}_S = \underline{F}_{S-}$  for any stopping time  $S$ .

#### REFERENCES.

- [1] BOEL (R.), VARAIYA (P.), WONG (E.). Martingales on jump processes I. SIAM J. Control, 13, 1975, p. 99-1021.
- [2] DELLACHERIE (C.). Un exemple de la théorie générale des processus. Sémin. Prob. IV, Lecture Notes in M. 124, 1970.
- [3] DELLACHERIE (C.) and MEYER (P.A.). Probabilités et potentiels A. Hermann, Paris, 1975.

