SÉMINAIRE DE PROBABILITÉS (STRASBOURG)

TOSHIO YAMADA

On the uniqueness of solutions of stochastic differential equations with reflecting barrier conditions

Séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg), tome 10 (1976), p. 240-244
<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPS_1976__10__240_0>

© Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1976, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives du séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg) (http://portail.mathdoc.fr/SemProba/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM
Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
http://www.numdam.org/

On the uniqueness of solutions of stochastic differential equations with reflecting barrier conditions.

By Toshio Yamada.

Let $\sigma(t,x)$ and $b(t.x)$ be defined on $[0,\infty]\times R^1$, bounded continuous in (t,x).

We consider the following stochastic differential equation with reflecting barrier condition. (Skorohod equation) .

$$(1)\qquad \begin{cases} dx_t = \sigma(t,x_t)\, dB_t + b(t,x_t)dt + d\varphi_t \\ x_t \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

A precise formulation is as follows; by a probability space $(\Omega,\mathfrak{F},P)$ with an increasing family $\{\mathfrak{F}_t\}_{t\in[0,\infty)}$ which is denoted as $(\Omega,\mathfrak{F},P:\mathfrak{F}_t)$ we mean a probability space $(\Omega,\mathfrak{F},P)$ with a system $\{\mathfrak{F}_t\}_{t\in[0,\infty)}$ of sub-Borel fields of $\mathfrak{F}$ such that $\mathfrak{F}_t\subset\mathfrak{F}_s$ if $t<S$.

DEFINITION 1. - By a solution of the equation (1) , we mean a probability space with an increasing family of Borel fields $(\Omega,\mathfrak{F},P:\mathfrak{F}_t)$ and a family of stochastic processes $X=\{x_t, B_t, \varphi_t\}$ defined on it such that

(i) with probability one, x_t, B_t and φ_t are continuous in t ,

(ii) they are adapted to $\mathfrak{F}_t$ i.e. ; for each t , x_t, B_t and φ_t are $\mathfrak{F}_t$- measurable,

(iii) B_t is a continuous $\mathfrak{F}_t$- martingale such that $E((B_t-B_s)^2/\mathfrak{F}_s) = t-s$, $t\geq s\geq 0$. $B_0=0$.

(iv) with probability one, φ_t is non-decreasing function and does not increase at any t where $x_t>0$.

(v) $x=\{x_t, B_t, \varphi_t\}$ satisfies

$$x_t = x_o + \int_o^t \sigma(s.x_s)\, dB_s + \int_o^t b(s.x_s)ds + \varphi_t : \; x_t \geq 0 \; .$$

where the integral by dB_s is understood in the sense of stochastic integral.

DEFINITION 2. - (pathwise uniqueness)

We shall say that pathwise uniqueness holds for (1) if, for any two solutions $x = (x_t, B_t, \varphi_t)$ and $\tilde{x} = (\tilde{x}_t, \tilde{B}_t, \tilde{\varphi}_t)$ defined on a same space $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P : \mathfrak{F}_t)$ $x_o = \tilde{x}_o$ and $B_t \equiv \tilde{B}_t$ implt $x_t = \tilde{x}_t$ and $\varphi_t = \tilde{\varphi}_t$.

When σ and b are Lipschitz continuous, then, an is well known, by Skorohod theory [1] the pathwise uniqueness holds.

This can be strengthened and the uniqueness holds in certain non-Lipschitzian case.

In fact, we can prove the following. (cf. S. Nakao [2] , S. Manabe - T. Shiga [3]).

THEOREM. -

$$\text{Let (1)} \quad \begin{aligned} dx_t &= \sigma(t,x_t)\, dB_t + b(t,x_t)dt + d\varphi_t \\ x_t &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

<u>be the Skorohod equation such that</u>

(i) <u>there exists a positive increasing function</u> $\rho\,(u)$, $u \in [0,\infty)$ <u>such that</u>

$$|\sigma(t,x) - \sigma(t,y)| \leq \rho(|x-y|) \quad \forall x,y \in R^1$$

<u>and</u>

$$(2) \qquad \int_{0+} \rho^{-2}(u)\, du = +\infty$$

(ii) <u>there exists a positive increasing concave function</u> $K(u)$, $u \in [0,\infty)$ <u>such that</u>

$$|b(t,x) - b(t,y)| \leq K(|x-y|) \quad \forall x,y \in R^1$$

and

$$\int_{0+} K^{-1}(u)du = +\infty$$

<u>Then, the pathwise uniqueness holds for</u> (1)

(<u>Proof</u>.)

Let $1 = a_0 > a_1 > \dots > a_m > \dots \downarrow 0$ be defined by

$$\int_{a_1}^{a_0} \rho^{-2}(u)du = 1 , \dots \int_{a_m}^{a_{m-1}} \rho^{-2}(u)du = m, \dots$$

Then, thre exists a twice continuonsly differentiable function $\varphi_m(u)$ on $[0,\infty)$ such that $\varphi_m(0) = 0$

$$\varphi_m'(u) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \le u \le a_m \\ \text{between 0 and 1} & a_m < u < a_{m-1} \\ 1 & u \ge a_{m-1} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\varphi_m''(u) = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 \le u \le a_m \\ \text{between 0 and } \frac{2}{m}\rho^{-2}(u) & a_m < u < a_{m-1} \\ 0 & u \ge a_{m-1} \end{cases}$$

We extend $\varphi_m(u)$ on $(-\infty,\infty)$ symmetrically, i.e.; $\varphi_m(u) = \varphi_m(|u|)$. Clearly $\varphi_m(u)$ is a twice continously differentiable function on $(-\infty,\infty)$ such that $\varphi_m(u)\uparrow|u|$, $m\to\infty$. Now, let $X^{(1)} = (x_t^{(1)}, B_t^{(1)}, \varphi_t^{(1)})$ and $X^{(2)} = (x_t^{(2)}, B_t^{(2)}, \varphi_t^{(2)})$ be two solutions on the same probability space with an incrasing family of Borel fields, such that $x_0^{(1)} = x_0^{(2)}$, $B_t^{(1)} = B_t^{(2)} = B_t$

Then
$$x_t^{(1)} - x_t^{(2)} = \int_0^t \{\sigma(s,x_s^{(1)}) - \sigma(s,x_s^{(2)})\}\, dB_s + \int_0^t \{b(s,x_s^{(1)}) - b(s,x_s^{(2)})\}ds + \varphi_t^{(1)} - \varphi_t^{(2)}$$

and by Ito's formula

$$\varphi_m(x_t^{(1)}-x_t^{(2)})=\int_0^t\varphi_m'(x_s^{(1)}-x_s^{(2)})\{\sigma(s,x_s^{(1)})-\sigma(s,x_s^{(2)})\}dB_s$$

$$+\int_0^t\varphi_m'(x_s^{(1)}-x_s^{(2)})\{b(s,x_s^{(1)})-b(s,x_s^{(2)})\}ds$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\varphi_m''(x_s^{(1)}-x_s^{(1)})\{\sigma(s,x_s^{(1)})-\sigma(s,x_s^{(2)})\}ds$$

$$+\int_0^t\varphi_m'(x_s^{(1)}-x_s^{(2)})d\varphi_s^{(1)}-\int_0^t\varphi_m'(x_s^{(1)}-x_s^{(2)})\,d\varphi_s^{(2)}=I_1+I_2+I_3+I_4-I_5\text{: say}$$

Then, $E[I_1]=0$

and since φ_m' is uniformly bounded, $(|\varphi_m'(u)|\le 1)$ we get ,

$$|E[I_2]|\le\int_0^t E[K(|x_s^{(1)}-x_s^{(2)}|]ds\le\int_0^t K(E|x_s^{(1)}-x_s^{(2)}|)ds$$

by Jensen's inequality .

We have for I_3

$$|I_3|\le\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\varphi_m''(x_s^{(1}-x_s^{(2)})\ \rho^2(|x_s^{(1)}-x_s^{(2)}|)ds$$

$$\le\frac{1}{2}\ t.\ \sup_{a_m\le|u|\le a_{m-1}}(\varphi_m''(u).\rho^2(u))\le\frac{1}{2}.t.\frac{2}{m}\to 0\ \text{ as } m\to\infty .$$

For I_4 since $x_s^{(1)}$ and $x_s^{(2)}$ are non-negative functions and since $\varphi_m'(0)$ and $\varphi_m'(u)\le 0$ $(u\le 0)$ we can see the follings,

(i) when it occurs $x_s^{(1)}>x_s^{(2)}>0$ then it follows $x_s^{(1)}>0$ and $d\varphi_s^{(1)}=0$

(ii) when it occurs $x_s^{(1)}=x_s^{(2)}$ then it follows $\varphi_m'(x_s^{(1)}-x_s^{(2)})=0$

(iii) when it occurs $x_s^{(1)}-x_s^{(2)}<0$ then it follows $\varphi_m'(x_s^{(1)}-x_s^{(2)})<0$

Then we get $E[I_4]\le 0$

By the similar treatment we have $E[I_5]\ge 0$.

Also, $\varphi_m(x_t^{(1)}-x_t^{(2)})\uparrow|x_t^{(1)}-x_t^{(2)}|$ as $m\to\infty$.

Then we have

$$E|x_t^{(1)}-x_t^{(2)}|\le\int_0^t K(E|x_s^{(1)}-x_s^{(2)}|)ds$$

As is well known, by the condition (ii) $\int_{0+} \frac{du}{K(u)} = +\infty$, this implies $E|x_t^{(1)} - x_t^{(2)}| = 0$ and therefore $x_t^{(1)} = x_t^{(2)}$, and hence we have $\varphi_t^{(1)} = \varphi_t^{(2)}$.

C.Q.F.D.

<u>Remark</u>. - For example, $\rho(u) = u^{\alpha} : \alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$ satisfies the condition (i) .

REFERENCES

[1] Skorohod, A.V. Stochastic equations for diffusion processes in a bounded region. Theory of Prob. and its Applications. Vol. 6 , 1961 .

[2] Nakao, S. Comparison theorems for solutions of one-dimensional stochastic differential equations. Proceedings of the second Japan-USSR symposium on Probability thory. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 330, Springer, 1973.

[3] Manabe, S. Shiga T. On one-dimensional stochastic differential equations with non-sticky boundary conditions. J. Math. Kyoto Univ. vol. 13 N° 3 1973.

[4] Yamada, T. Watanabe, S. On the uniqueness of solutions of stochastic differential equations. J. Math. Kyoto Univ. Vol. 11, n° 1, 1971 .