Séminaire Paul Krée

Jorge Mujica

The Oka-Weil theorem in locally convex spaces with the approximation property

Séminaire Paul Krée, tome 4 (1977-1978), exp. n° 3, p. 1-7

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPK_1977-1978__4__A4_0>

© Séminaire Paul Krée
(Secrétariat mathématique, Paris), 1977-1978, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la collection « Séminaire Paul Krée » implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

Numdam
Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
http://www.numdam.org/

Séminaire Paul KRÉE
(Equations aux dérivées partielles
en dimension infinie)
4e année, 1977/78, n° 3, 7 p.

THE OKA-WEIL THEOREM IN LOCALLY CONVEX SPACES WITH THE APPROXIMATION PROPERTY

by Jorge MUJICA (*)

1. Introduction.

The classical Oka-Weil theorem asserts that every function which is holomorphic on a neighbourhood of a polynomially convex compact set K in $\mathbb{C}^n$ can be approximated uniformly on K by polynomials. In this paper, we show that the Oka-Weil theorem is still true in every quasi-complete locally convex space with the approximation property, thus improving earlier results of NOVERRAZ [5], LIGOCKA [1] and SCHOTTENLOHER [7]. The proof of the theorem is very simple, being no more than a straightforward consequence of a result of LIGOCKA [1]. As an application of the Oka-Weil theorem, we characterize the spectra of certain topological algebras of holomorphic functions.

2. Elementary properties of polynomially convex sets.

Throughout this paper, the letter E denotes a locally convex space, which is always assumed to be complex and Hausdorff. We let $\mathcal{P}(E)$ denote the space of all continuous polynomials on E, and we let $\mathcal{H}(U)$ denote the space of all holomorphic functions on an open subset U of E. We refer to NACHBIN [4] or NOVERRAZ [6] for the basic properties of polynomials and holomorphic functions on infinite dimensional spaces.

2.1 Definition. - Given a compact set $K \subset E$, we define its polynomially convex hull $\hat{K}_E$ by

$$\hat{K}_E = \{x \in E ; \ |P(x)| \leq \sup_K |P| \text{ , for all } P \in \mathcal{P}(E)\} .$$

We will often write $\hat{K}$ instead of $\hat{K}_E$ when the space E is tacitly understood. The compact set K is said to be polynomially convex if $\hat{K} = K$.

The following easily proved remark is often useful.

2.2 Remark. - Let M be a vector subspace of E, with the induced topology. Then $\hat{K}_M \subset \hat{K}_E \cap M$, for any compact set $K \subset M$, and equality holds, if M is a complemented subspace of E, i. e. if there exists a continuous projection of E onto M.

2.3 PROPOSITION ([6], lemma 2.1.2). - For each compact subset K of E, the polynomially convex hull $\hat{K}$ of K is contained in the closed, convex hull of K.

(*) Texte reçu en Janvier 1979.

Jorge MUJICA, Instituto de Matematico, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Caixa Postal 1170, 13100 CAMPINAS, S. P. (Brésil).

Proof. - Let L denote the closed, convex hull of K, and let $a \notin L$. By the Hahn-Banach theorem, there exists a continuous linear form φ on E and a real number θ such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \varphi(x) < \theta < \operatorname{Re} \varphi(a) \text{ , for all } x \in L .$$

Since the set $\varphi(L)$ is bounded, we can find a closed disc $D(\zeta ; R)$ containing $\varphi(L)$ and not containing $\varphi(a)$. Then, $P(x) = \varphi(x) - \zeta$ defines a continuous polynomial on E and

$$\sup_K |P| \leqslant \sup_L |P| \leqslant R < |P(a)| .$$

Hence $a \notin \hat{K}$, and therefore $\hat{K} \subset L$.

2.4 COROLLARY. - For each compact set $K \subset E$, the set $\hat{K}$ is always precompact, and is compact when E is quasi-complete.

2.5 Definition. - Let U be an open set in E.

(a) We say that U is polynomially convex if, for each compact set $K \subset U$, the set $\hat{K} \cap U$ is bounded away from ∂U, i. e. there exists a 0-neighbourhood V such that $\hat{K} \cap U + V \subset U$ (in view of corollary 2.4, when E is quasi-complete, this is equivalent to saying that $\hat{K} \cap U$ is compact).

(b) We say that U is strongly polynomially convex if, for each compact set $K \subset U$, the set $\hat{K}$ is contained in U.

2.6 Remark. - If E is quasicomplete, then clearly every strongly polynomially convex open set in E is polynomially convex. It is not known whether the converse holds in general. See corollary 3.3 below for a partial converse.

2.7 PROPOSITION.

(a) Every convex open set is strongly polynomially convex.

(b) Every open set of the form $U = \{x \in E ; |P(x)| < 1\}$, where $P \in \mathcal{P}(E)$, is strongly polynomially convex.

(c) The intersection of two (strongly) polynomially convex open sets is a (strongly) polynomially convex open set.

Proof. - (b) and (c) are trivial. In view of proposition 2.3, to show (a) it suffices to show that the closed convex hull of each compact set $K \subset U$ is also contained in U. Let V be a convex 0-neighbourhood such that $K + V \subset U$. If L denotes the convex hull of K, then clearly $L + V \subset U$ too. Hence $\bar{L} \subset L + V \subset U$.

3. The Oka-Weil theorem.

The key of the proof of the Oka-Weil theorem is the following result of LIGOCKA ([1], proposition 2.1).

3.1 THEOREM. - Let E be a quasi-complete locally convex space, and let K be a polynomially convex compact subset of E. Then, every open neighbourhood of K contains another open neighbourhood of K which is strongly polynomially convex.

Proof. - Let us write, for each $P \in \mathcal{P}(E)$,

$$A_P = \{x \in E ; \ |P(x)| < 1\} , \quad B_P = \{x \in E ; \ |P(x)| \leq 1\} .$$

Let U be an open neighbourhood of K and let L denote the closed convex hull of K. Then, for each $x \in L \setminus U$, there exists $P \in \mathcal{P}(E)$ such that

$$\sup_K |P| < 1 < |P(x)| .$$

Hence by compactness of $L \setminus U$, we can find $P_1 , \dots , P_n \in \mathcal{P}(E)$ such that

$$K \subset A_{P_1} \cap \dots \cap A_{P_n} \tag{1}$$

and

$$L \setminus U \subset \complement B_{P_1} \cup \dots \cup \complement B_{P_n}$$

and the last written inclusion implies

$$L \cap B_{P_1} \cap \dots \cap B_{P_n} \subset U . \tag{2}$$

We claim that

$$(L + W) \cap B_{P_1} \cap \dots \cap B_{P_n} \subset U , \tag{3}$$

for some convex open 0-neighbourhood W. Let (W_α) be a base of convex open 0-neighbourhoods and let us assume that, for each α, there exists a point

$$x_\alpha \in (L + W_\alpha) \cap B_{P_1} \cap \dots \cap B_{P_n} \cap \complement U .$$

For each α, we choose $y_\alpha \in L$ such that $x_\alpha - y_\alpha \in W_\alpha$. Since L is compact, the net (y_α) has a subnet (y_β) which converges to a point $y \in L$. But, then the corresponding subnet (x_β) of (x_α) also converges to y, and then, by (2),

$$y \in L \cap B_{P_1} \cap \dots \cap B_{P_n} \subset U .$$

But, this is impossible, for $x_\beta \longrightarrow y$, and $x_\beta \notin U$, for every β. Thus (3) is proved. We then set

$$V = (L + W) \cap A_{P_1} \cap \dots \cap A_{P_n} .$$

It follows from (1) and (3) that $K \subset V \subset U$, and in view of proposition 2.7, V is strongly polynomially convex.

Now the proof of the Oka-Weil theorem is straightforward.

3.2 Oka-Weil THEOREM. - Let E be a quasi-complete locally convex space with the approximation property, and let K be a polynomially convex compact subset of E. Then, every function, which is holomorphic on an open neighbourhood of K, can be approximated uniformly on K by continuous polynomials on E.

Proof. - Let $f \in \mathcal{H}(U)$, where U is an open neighbourhood of K. By theorem 3.1, we may assume that U is strongly polynomially convex. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Then, one can easily find an open 0-neighbourhood V such that $K + V \subset U$ and

$$|f(y) - f(x)| < \epsilon \text{ , for } x \in K \text{ , } y \in x + V \text{ .}$$

Since E has the approximation property, there exists a continuous linear operator $T : E \longrightarrow E$, of finite rank, and such that

$$T(x) - x \in V \text{ , for every } x \in K \text{ .}$$

Then, it follows that

$$|f \circ T(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon \text{ , for every } x \in K \text{ ,}$$

and also that $T(K) \subset K + V \subset U$. Since U is strongly polynomially convex, we get that $\widehat{T(K)}_E \subset U$ and hence

$$\widehat{T(K)}_{T(E)} = \widehat{T(K)}_E \cap T(E) \subset U \cap T(E) \text{ .}$$

Set $L = \widehat{T(K)}_{T(E)}$. Then L is a polynomially convex compact subset of $T(E)$ and the restriction of f to $U \cap T(E)$ is holomorphic on a neighbourhood of L in $T(E)$. Then, by the classical Oka-Weil theorem, there exists $P \in \mathcal{P}(T(E))$ such that

$$\sup_L |f - P| \leqslant \epsilon \text{ .}$$

Then $P \circ T \in \mathcal{P}(E)$ and

$$\sup_K |f - P \circ T| \leqslant \sup_K |f - f \circ T| + \sup_K |f \circ T - P \circ T| \leqslant 2\epsilon$$

concluding the proof.

3.3 COROLLARY. - Let E be a quasi-complete locally convex space with the approximation property. Then, every polynomially convex open set in E is strongly polynomially convex.

Proof. - The proof is classical. Let U be a polynomially convex open set in E, and let K be a compact subset of U. Then, the compact set $\hat{K}$ may be written as the union of the disjoint compact sets $\hat{K} \cap U$ and $\hat{K} \setminus U$. We define a function f to be equal to zero on a neighbourhood of $\hat{K} \cap U$ and equal to one on a neighbourhood of $\hat{K} \setminus U$. Then, f is holomorphic on a neighbourhood of $\hat{K}$, and, by theorem 3.2, there exists $P \in \mathcal{P}(E)$ such that

$$\sup_{\hat{K}} |f - P| < \frac{1}{2} \text{ .}$$

Then, for any point $a \in \hat{K} \setminus U$,

$$\sup_K |P| < \frac{1}{2} < |P(a)| \text{ ,}$$

a contradiction, unless $\hat{K} \setminus U$ is empty.

4. Elementary properties of topological algebras.

By an algebra, we always mean a commutative algebra over the complex numbers, and having an identity element. By a complex homomorphism of an algebra A, we mean an algebra homomorphism $T : A \longrightarrow \underset{\sim}{C}$ with $T(1) = 1$.

4.1 Definition. - A topological algebra is an algebra and a topological vector space such that ring multiplication is separately continuous. A locally convex algebra is a topological algebra which is a locally convex space. A locally multiplicatively convex algebra is a topological algebra which has a base of convex and idempotent neighbourhoods of zero (V is idempotent if $V^2 \subset V$). A Q-algebra is a topological algebra where the invertible elements form an open set. The spectrum of a topological algebra A is the set of all continuous complex homomorphisms of A.

4.2 PROPOSITION. - Let (A_α) be a family of subalgebras of an algebra A, directed under inclusion, and satisfying $A = \bigcup A_\alpha$. Let us assume that each A_α is a locally convex algebra and that each inclusion mapping $A_\alpha \hookrightarrow A_\beta$ is continuous. Let A be endowed with the locally convex inductive topology with respect to the inclusion mappings $A_\alpha \hookrightarrow A$. Then :

(a) A is a locally convex algebra.

(b) If each A_α is a Banach algebra and if each inclusion mapping $A_\alpha \hookrightarrow A_\beta$ has norm not greater than one, then A is a Q-algebra.

Proof.

(a) It suffices to show that ring multiplication in A is separately continuous. Given $y \in A$, we choose α_0 such that $y \in A_{\alpha_0}$. Then the mapping

$$x \in A_\alpha \longrightarrow xy \in A_\alpha$$

is continuous, for every $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$, and it follows that the mapping $x \in A \longrightarrow xy \in A$ is also continuous.

(b) For each α, let V_α denote the open unit ball of A_α. Since A_α is a Banach algebra, $1 + h$ is invertible in A_α, for every $h \in V_\alpha$. Let $V = \bigcup V_\alpha$. Then V is a convex 0-neighbourhood in A, and $1 + h$ is invertible in A, for every $h \in V$. Let $x \in A$ be invertible. Choose a 0-neighbourhood U in A such that $x^{-1} h \in V$, for every $h \in U$. Then, $x + h = x(1 + x^{-1} h)$ is invertible in A, for every $h \in U$.

4.3 PROPOSITION. - Let (A_α) be a familly of locally convex algebras and, for each α, let $\pi_\alpha : A \longrightarrow A_\alpha$ be an algebra homomorphism of an algebra A into A_α. If A is endowed with the projective topology with respect to the mappings π_α, then A is also a locally convex algebra.

Proof. - It suffices to show that ring multiplication in A is separately continuous. If $y \in A$, then, for each α, the mapping $\pi_\alpha(x) \in A_\alpha \longrightarrow \pi_\alpha(x)\, \pi_\alpha(y) \in A_\alpha$

is continuous, and it follows that the mapping $x \in E \longrightarrow xy \in A$ is also continuous.

5. Topological algebras of holomorphic functions.

For any open set $V \subset E$, we let $\mathcal{H}^\infty(V)$ denote the Banach space of all bounded holomorphic functions on V with the norm of the supremum. For any compact set $K \subset E$, we define $\mathcal{H}(K)$, the space of all holomorphic germs on K, as the locally convex inductive limit of the Banach spaces $\mathcal{H}^\infty(V)$, where V varies among the open neighbourhoods of K. Then, from proposition 4.2, we get at once the following proposition.

5.1 PROPOSITION. - $\mathcal{H}(K)$ is always a locally convex algebra and a Q-algebra.

Let U be any open set in E. A semi-norm p on $\mathcal{H}(U)$ is ported by a compact set $K \subset U$ if, for each open set V, with $K \subset V \subset U$, there exists a positive constant C such that $p(f) \leqslant C \sup_K |f|$, for every $f \in \mathcal{H}(U)$. The topology τ_ω, introduced by NACHBIN [4], is the locally convex topology on $\mathcal{H}(U)$ generated by all semi-norms which are ported by compact sets.

5.2 THEOREM. - $(\mathcal{H}(U), \tau_\omega)$ is always a locally convex algebra.

Proof. - [2] (lemma 5.3) tells us that τ_ω is the projective topology with respect a certain family of algebra homomorphisms $\pi_K : \mathcal{H}(U) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^K(U)$, where each $\mathcal{H}^K(U)$ is an inductive limit of normed algebras. The conclusion then follows from propositions 4.2 and 4.3.

5.3 Remark. - If E is metrizable, then both $\mathcal{H}(K)$ and $(\mathcal{H}(U), \tau_\omega)$ are locally multiplicatively convex algebras : see [2], theorems 7.1 and 7.2. We do not know whether this remains true for non-metrizable E.

In [3], we characterized the spectra of $\mathcal{H}(K)$ and $(\mathcal{H}(U), \tau_\omega)$ in the case where K and U are polynomially convex and E is a Fréchet space with the approximation property. To obtain those results, we used a version of the Oka-Weil theorem in Fréchet spaces with the approximation property due to SCHOTTENLOHER [7]. If one uses theorem 3.2 instead, then the same proof works in every quasi-complete locally convex space with the approximation property. Thus, we get the following theorem.

5.4 THEOREM. - Let E be a quasi-complete locally convex space with the approximation property, and let K be a polynomially convex compact subset of E. Then, for each complex homomorphism T of $\mathcal{H}(K)$, there exists a unique point $a \in K$ such that $T(f) = f(a)$, for every $f \in \mathcal{H}(K)$.

5.5 THEOREM. - Let E be a quasi-complete locally convex space with the approximation property, and let U be a polynomially convex open subset of E. Then, for each continuous complex homomorphism T of $(\mathcal{H}(U), \tau_\omega)$, there exists a unique point $a \in U$ such that $T(f) = f(a)$, for every $f \in \mathcal{H}(U)$.

REFERENCES

[1] LIGOCKA (E.). - A local factorization of analytic functions and its applications, Studia math., t. 47, 1973, p. 239-252.

[2] MUJICA (J.). - Spaces of germs of holomorphic functions, Advances in Math. (to appear).

[3] MUJICA (J.). - Ideals of holomorphic functions on Fréchet spaces, "Advances in holomorphy". - Amsterdam, North-Holland publishing Company (Notas de Matematica) (to appear).

[4] NACHBIN (L.). - Topology on spaces of holomorphic mappings. - New York, Springer-Verlag, 1969 (Ergebnisse der Mathematik, 47).

[5] NOVERRAZ (P.). - Sur la pseudo-convexité et la convexité polynomiale en dimension infinie, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble, t. 23, 1973, p. 113-134.

[6] NOVERRAZ (P.). - Pseudo-convexité, convexité polynomiale et domaines d'holomorphie en dimension infinie. - Amsterdam, North-Holland publishing Company ; New York, American Elsevier publishing Company, 1973 (North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 3 ; Notas de Matematica, 48).

[7] SCHOTTENLOHER (M.). - Polynomial approximation on compact sets, "Infinite dimensional holomorphy and applications [Sao Paulo, 1975]", p. 379-391. - Amsterdam, North-Holland publishing Company, 1977 (North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 12 ; Notas de Matematica, 54).