

# SÉMINAIRE ÉQUATIONS AUX DÉRIVÉES PARTIELLES – ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE

S. KWAPIEN

**Enflo's example of a Banach space without the approximation property**

*Séminaire Équations aux dérivées partielles (Polytechnique) (1972-1973), exp. n° 8, p. 1-9*

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SEDP\\_1972-1973\\_\\_\\_A9\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SEDP_1972-1973___A9_0)

© Séminaire Équations aux dérivées partielles (Polytechnique)  
(École Polytechnique), 1972-1973, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives du séminaire Équations aux dérivées partielles (<http://sedp.cedram.org>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques  
<http://www.numdam.org/>

ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE

CENTRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES

17, RUE DESCARTES - 75230 PARIS CEDEX 05

Téléphone : 633-25-79

S E M I N A I R E   G O U L A O U I C - S C H W A R T Z   1 9 7 2 - 1 9 7 3

ON ENFLO'S EXAMPLE OF A BANACH SPACE  
=====

WITHOUT THE APPROXIMATION PROPERTY  
=====

par S. KWAPIEN

Exposé N° VIII

22 Novembre 1972



In this note we give an example of a Banach space without the approximation property. This answers negatively a problem posed by S. Banach near forty years ago. The first such example is due to Enflo [1]. Here it is presented with significant modifications which make the construction much more simpler, although "the main idea" is exactly the same as in the original work of Enflo. The modifications are due mainly to Figiel, but also to Pelczynski and to the author.

Let  $E, F$  be Banach spaces. By  $L(E, F)$  we shall denote the class of all continuous linear operators from  $E$  into  $F$ , by  $L(E)$  the class  $L(E, E)$  and by  $L_0(E)$  those operators from  $L(E)$  which are finite dimensional.

We say that Banach space  $E$  has the approximation property if

$$\forall C \subset E \quad \exists u \in L_0(E) \quad \forall x \in C \quad \|u(x) - x\| \leq 1$$

C-compact

and we say that  $E$  has the bounded approximation property if

$$\exists M \quad \forall C \subset E \quad \exists u \in L_0(E) \quad \forall x \in C \quad \|u(x) - x\| \leq 1$$

C-compact  $\|u\| \leq M$

It was proved by A. Grothendieck [2] that if the Banach space  $E$  is reflexive then the approximation property and the bounded approximation property are equivalent.

Let  $E$  be a Banach space and  $E'$  its dual space. A family  $\{e_n, e'_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is called  $K$ -biorthogonal system if  $e_n \in E, e'_n \in E'$   
 $\|e_n\|, \|e'_n\| \leq K$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\langle e_n, e'_m \rangle = 0$  if  $n \neq m$  and  $\langle e_n, e'_n \rangle = 1$ .  
 For any  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  let  $E^A = \overline{\text{span} \{e_n \mid n \in A\}}$ . For any  $u \in L(E^A, E)$  let us define

$$\text{tr}_A u = \frac{1}{|A|} \sum_{n \in A} \langle u(e_n), e'_n \rangle \quad \text{where } |A| = \text{card}(A).$$

The following properties are an easy consequence of the definition :

- 1°)  $\text{tr}_A u$  is a linear functional on  $L(E)$
- 2°)  $|\text{tr}_A u| \leq K \sup_{n \in A} \|u(e_n)\| \leq K^2 \|u\|$
- 3°)  $\text{tr}_A I_d = 1$  where  $I_d$  is the identity operator in  $E$ .

Moreover there holds :

4°) if  $\{(e_n), (e'_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is complete in  $E$ , e.g.  $\overline{\text{span}\{e_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}} = E$  and  $N_i \subset N$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$  is a sequence of subsets of  $N$  such that  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} |N_i| = +\infty$  then for each  $u \in L_0(E)$ ,  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{tr}_{N_i} u = 0$ .

Proof : if  $u = e_m \otimes x'$   $m \in N$ ,  $x' \in E'$  then

$$\text{tr}_{N_i} u = \frac{1}{|N_i|} \sum_{n \in N_i} \langle e_m, e'_n \rangle \langle e_n, x' \rangle = \frac{1}{|N_i|} \sum_{n \in N_i} \delta_m^n \langle e_n, x' \rangle$$

and thus  $|\text{tr}_{N_i} u| \leq K \frac{\|x'\|}{|N_i|}$  and hence  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{tr}_{N_i} u = 0$ .

Since  $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is linearly dense in  $E$ , the operators  $e_m \otimes x'$ ,  $m \in N$ ,  $x' \in E'$  are linearly dense in the operator-norm topology in  $L_0(E)$ .

Therefore by 1°) and 2°) we obtain that  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{tr}_{N_i} u = 0$  for each  $u \in L_0(E)$ .

Proposition 1 : Let  $\{e_n, e'_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a  $K$ -biorthogonal, complete system in  $E$ . Let us assume that there exists a sequence of positive numbers  $(\alpha_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i < \infty$  and a sequence  $(N_i)$  of finite subsets of  $N$  such that  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} |N_i| = +\infty$  and for each  $u \in L(E)$  there holds

$$|\text{tr}_{N_{i+1}} u - \text{tr}_{N_i} u| \leq \alpha_i \|u\| \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots$$

then  $E$  has not the bounded approximation property.

Proof : On the contrary let us assume that  $E$  has the bounded approximation property and that  $M$  is a constant as in the definition. Let

$i_0$  be such that  $\sum_{i \geq i_0} \alpha_i \leq \frac{1}{4M}$  and let  $u \in L_0(E)$  be such that

$\|u(2K e_n) - 2K e_n\| \leq 1$  for each  $n \in N_{i_0}$  and such that  $\|u\| \leq M$ .

Then  $\|(u - I_d)(e_n)\| \leq \frac{1}{2K}$  for  $n \in N_{i_0}$  and by 2°) we get  $|\text{tr}_{N_{i_0}} u - \text{tr}_{N_{i_0}} I_d| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ ,

hence by 3°)  $|\text{tr}_{N_{i_0}} u| \geq \frac{1}{2}$ .

For each  $i > i_0$  there holds

$$\begin{aligned}
|\operatorname{tr}_{N_i} u| &= |\operatorname{tr}_{N_{i_0}} u + \sum_{K=i_0}^{i-1} (\operatorname{tr}_{N_{K+1}} u - \operatorname{tr}_{N_K} u)| \geq \\
|\operatorname{tr}_{N_{i_0}} u| - \sum_{K=i_0}^{i-1} |\operatorname{tr}_{N_{K+1}} u - \operatorname{tr}_{N_K} u| &\geq \frac{1}{2} - \sum_{K=i_0}^{i-1} \alpha_K^M \geq \frac{1}{4}
\end{aligned}$$

and thus  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{tr}_{N_i} u \neq 0$  and this is a contradiction with 4°.

Let  $T$  denote the unite circle  $\{z \mid |z|=1\}$  in  $\mathbb{C}^1$  and  $d\theta$  the Haar measure on  $T$ .

By  $L_p$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , we shall mean the Banach space of all measurable mappings  $f: T \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^1$  such that

$$\|f\|_p = \left( \int_{\Gamma} |f(\theta)|^p d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty$$

The dual space  $L_p$  is isometric with  $L_q$ , where  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$  and the duality is given by

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{\Gamma} f \bar{g} d\theta \quad \text{for } f \in L_p, g \in L_q.$$

5°) The sequence  $\{z^n, z^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is an 1-biorthogonal complet system in  $L_p$ .

In the sequel  $C_p^1, C_{p,\lambda}^2, C_p^3, \dots$  will denote universal constant depending only on  $p$  and  $\lambda$ .

We shall need the following two properties of this system

6°)  $\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n z^i \right\|_p \leq C_p^1 n^{1-\frac{1}{p}}$  for each natural number  $n$

7°) If  $I = \{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k\}$  and for some  $\lambda > 1$   $\frac{n_{i+1}}{n_i} \geq \lambda$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k-1$  then

$$\left\| \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i z^i \right\|_p \leq C_{p,\lambda}^2 \left( \sum_{i \in I} |\alpha_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

(6°) is obtained by easy computations and 7°) is the property of the "lacunary series" and it may be found in [3]).

**Lemma 1** : Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and let  $I$  be a finite subset of  $Z$  such that  $|I|$  is an even number. Then there exists  $A \subset I$  such that  $|A| = \frac{|I|}{2}$  and

$$\left\| \sum_{i \in A} z^i - \sum_{i \in I \setminus A} z^i \right\|_p \leq C_p^3 |I|^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

**Proof** : Let  $(\epsilon_i)_{i \in I}$  be a sequence of independent random variables such that  $\epsilon_i$  is distributed by the rule

$$P(\epsilon_i = 1) = P(\epsilon_i = -1) = \frac{1}{2}$$

Then by the Kintchine inequality :

$$E \left\| \sum_{i \in I} \epsilon_i z^i \right\|_p^p = E \int_T \left| \sum_{i \in I} \epsilon_i z^i \right|^p d\theta \leq C_p^4 |I|^{\frac{p}{2}}$$

Moreover  $E \left| \sum_{i \in I} \epsilon_i \right|^2 = |I|$ . Thus by the Chebyschev inequality we get

$$P \left( \left| \sum_{i \in I} \epsilon_i \right| \leq \sqrt{2} |I|^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

This implies that there exists a sequence of signs  $(\epsilon_i^0)_{i \in I}$  such that  $\left\| \sum_{i \in I} \epsilon_i^0 z^i \right\|_p \leq (2C_p^4)^{\frac{1}{p}} |I|^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $\left| \sum_{i \in I} \epsilon_i^0 \right| \leq \sqrt{2} |I|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

Let  $A'$  be the set of those  $i \in I$  for which  $\epsilon_i^0 = +1$  then

$$\left\| \sum_{i \in A'} z^i - \sum_{i \in I \setminus A'} z^i \right\|_p \leq (2C_p^4)^{\frac{1}{p}} |I|^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ and}$$

$$\left| |A'| - |I \setminus A'| \right| \leq \sqrt{2} |I|^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Therefore we can transfere from  $A'$  to its complement in  $I$ , or conversly, not more then  $|I|^{\frac{1}{2}}$  arbitrary elements in such way that we obtain a set  $A$  such that  $|A| = \frac{|I|}{2}$  and

$$\left\| \sum_{i \in A} z^i - \sum_{i \in I \setminus A} z^i \right\|_p \leq \left( (2C_p^4)^{\frac{1}{p}} + 2 \right) |I|^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

This completes the proof.

Lemma 2 : Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , and let  $I = [1, 2^n]$   $n \geq 2$ . Then there exist  $A, B \subset I$  such that

$$|A| = \frac{|I|}{2}, \quad |B| = \frac{|I|}{4}, \quad A \cap B = \emptyset$$

and for each  $u \in L(L_p^{A \cup B}, L_p)$  there holds

$$|\operatorname{tr}_A u - \operatorname{tr}_B u| \leq C_p^5 2^{n(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})} \|u\|$$

Proof : Let  $A$  be such as in lemma 1, e.g.  $|A| = \frac{|I|}{2}$  and

$$\left\| \sum_{i \in A} z^i - \sum_{i \in I \setminus A} z^i \right\|_p \leq C_p^3 (2^n)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \text{ Let us apply once again}$$

lemma 1 to  $I \setminus A$  and let  $B$  be such that  $B \subset I \setminus A$   $|B| = \frac{|I|}{4}$  and such that

$$\left\| \sum_{i \in B} z^i - \sum_{i \in (I \setminus A) \setminus B} z^i \right\|_p \leq C_p^3 (2^n)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \text{ Then}$$

$$\begin{aligned} * \left\| \sum_{i \in A} z^i - 2 \sum_{i \in B} z^i \right\|_p &\leq \left\| \sum_{i \in A} z^i - \sum_{i \in I \setminus A} z^i \right\|_p + \left\| \sum_{i \in (I \setminus A) \setminus B} z^i - \sum_{i \in B} z^i \right\|_p \leq \\ &2C_p^4 (2^n)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

Let  $u \in L(L_p^{A \cup B}, L_p)$  then let us put

$$\bar{u} = \int_T \rho_\theta^{-1} u \rho_\theta d\theta \text{ where } \rho_\theta \text{ is an operator given by}$$

$$\rho_\theta(f)(z) = f(\theta z).$$

It is easy to see that  $\bar{u}$  is diagonal e.g.

$$\bar{u} = \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i z^i \otimes z^i \text{ and } \|\bar{u}\| \leq \|u\|$$

Moreover  $\operatorname{tr}_A \bar{u} = \operatorname{tr}_A u$  and  $\operatorname{tr}_B \bar{u} = \operatorname{tr}_B u$ . Let  $g = \sum_{i \in I} z^i$  then

$$\begin{aligned} |\operatorname{tr}_A u - \operatorname{tr}_B u| &= |\operatorname{tr}_A \bar{u} - \operatorname{tr}_B \bar{u}| = \frac{2}{|I|} |\langle u(\sum_{i \in A} z^i - 2 \sum_{i \in B} z^i), g \rangle| \leq \\ \frac{2}{|I|} \|\bar{u}\| \|g\|_q \left\| \sum_{i \in A} z^i - 2 \sum_{i \in B} z^i \right\|_p &\leq \frac{2}{2^n} \|u\| C_q^1 (2^n)^{1-\frac{1}{q}} 2C_p^4 (2^n)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \\ 4C_q^1 C_p^4 (2^n)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}}. &\text{ This completes the proof.} \end{aligned}$$

For  $n \geq 3$  let  $A_n$  and  $B_n$  be subsets of  $[1, 2^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor}]$  as in lemma 2 e.g.

$$A_n \cap B_n = \emptyset, \quad |A_n| = 2^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor - 1}, \quad |B_n| = 2^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor - 2}$$

and such that for each  $u \in L(L_p^{A_n \cup B_n}, L_p)$

$$|\operatorname{tr}_{A_n} u - \operatorname{tr}_{B_n} u| \leq C_p^5 \|u\|_2^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

Let us enumerate the elements of  $A_n$  and  $B_n$  by

$$A_n = \{a_1^n, a_2^n, \dots, a_{2^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor - 1}}^n\}, \quad B_n = \{b_1^n, b_2^n, \dots, b_{2^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor - 2}}^n\}$$

and let us denote  $c_j^n = 2^{2^n + j}$  and

$$N = \{(n, i, j) \mid n, i, j \text{ positive integers, } n \geq 3, 1 \leq i \leq 2^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor - 1}, 1 \leq j \leq 2^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1}\}$$

$$\text{For } (n, i, j) \in N \text{ let } e_{(n, i, j)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (z^{a_i^n + c_j^n} + z^{b_j^{n+1} + c_i^{n+1}})$$

Let  $E_p = \overline{\operatorname{span} \{e_{(n, i, j)} \mid (n, i, j) \in N\}}$  in  $L_p$

8°)  $\{e_{(n, i, j)}, e_{(n, i, j)}\}_{(n, i, j) \in N}$  is a  $\sqrt{2}$ -biorthogonal complete system in  $E_p$ .

$$9^\circ) \text{ for each } x \in E_p \\ \langle x, e_{(n, i, j)} \rangle = \sqrt{2} \langle x, z^{a_i^n + c_j^n} \rangle = \sqrt{2} \langle x, z^{b_j^{n+1} + c_i^{n+1}} \rangle .$$

$$\text{Let } N_m = \{(n, i, j) \mid (n, i, j) \in N, n = m\}$$

Lemma 3 : Let  $2 \leq p < \infty$ . For each  $u \in L(E_p)$

$$|\operatorname{tr}_{N_n} u - \operatorname{tr}_{N_{n-1}} u| \leq C_p^6 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \|u\|$$

Proof : For any  $u \in L(E_p)$  it is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}_{N_n} u - \text{tr}_{N_{n-1}} u &= \frac{1}{2^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor - 1}} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1}} \sum_{i=1}^{2^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor - 1}} \sum_{j=1}^{2^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1}} \langle u(e_{n,i,j}), e_{n,i,j} \rangle - \\ &- \frac{1}{2^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1}} \frac{1}{2^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor - 1}} \sum_{i=1}^{2^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1}} \sum_{j=1}^{2^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor - 1}} \langle u(e_{n-1,i,j}), e_{n-1,i,j} \rangle = \\ &\frac{1}{2^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1}} \sum_{j=1}^{2^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1}} \left( \frac{1}{2^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor - 1}} \sum_{i=1}^{2^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor - 1}} \langle u(e_{n,i,j}), e_{n,i,j} \rangle - \frac{1}{2^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor - 1}} \sum_{i=1}^{2^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor - 1}} \right. \\ &\left. \langle u(e_{n-1,j,i}), e_{n-1,j,i} \rangle \right) \end{aligned}$$

Let us fix  $j$  and let  $s \in L(L_p^{A \cup B_n}, E_p)$  be given by

$$s(z^{\overset{n}{a}_i}) = e_{n,i,j} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor - 1}$$

$$s(z^{\overset{n}{b}_i}) = e_{n-1,j,i} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor - 1}$$

and let  $r \in L(E_p, L_p)$  be given by  $r(f)(z) = z^{-c_j} f(z)$ .

Then by 9°) :

$$\left( \frac{1}{2^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor - 1}} \sum_{i=1}^{2^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor - 1}} \langle u(e_{n,i,j}), e_{n,i,j} \rangle - \frac{1}{2^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor - 1}} \sum_{i=1}^{2^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor - 1}} \right.$$

$$\left. \langle u(e_{n-1,j,i}), e_{n-1,j,i} \rangle \right| = \sqrt{2} |\text{tr}_{A_n} rus - \text{tr}_{B_n} rus| \leq C_p^5 2^{\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})} \|rus\| \leq$$

$C_p^5 2^{\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})} \|r\| \|u\| \|s\|$ . But  $\|r\| = 1$ . Let us compute the norm of  $s$  :

Let  $x = \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor - 2} \mu_i z^{a_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor - 2} \lambda_i z^{b_i}$ . Then :

$$\begin{aligned} \|s(x)\|_p &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor - 1} \mu_i e_{n,i,j} + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor - 2} \lambda_i e_{n-1,j,i} \right\|_p = \\ &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor - 1} \mu_i (z^{a_i + c_j} + z^{b_j^{n+1} + c_i^{n+1}}) + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor - 2} \lambda_i (z^{a_j^{n-1} + c_i^{n-1}} + z^{b_i^{n+1} + c_j^n}) \right\|_p \leq \\ &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor - 1} \mu_i z^{a_i + c_j} + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor - 2} \lambda_i z^{b_i + c_j} \right\|_p + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor - 1} \mu_i z^{b_j^{n+1} + c_i^{n+1}} \right\|_p + \\ &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor - 2} \lambda_i z^{a_j^{n-1} + c_i^{n-1}} \right\|_p = \|x\|_p + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor - 1} \mu_i z^{c_i^{n+1}} \right\|_p + \\ &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor - 2} \lambda_i z^{c_i^{n-1}} \right\|_p \leq \|x\|_p + c_{p,2}^2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor - 1} |\mu_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + c_{p,2}^2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor - 2} |\lambda_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

(because  $(c_i^{n+1})$  and  $(c_i^{n-1})$  are lacunary with  $\lambda = 2$ )

$\leq (1 + 2c_{p,2}^2) \|x\|_p$  because

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor - 1} |\mu_i|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor - 2} |\lambda_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|x\|_2 \leq \|x\|_p.$$

Thus  $\|s\| \leq (1 + 2c_{p,2}^2)$  and hence

$|\text{tr}_{N_n} r u s - \text{tr}_{N_{n-1}} r u s| \leq (1 + 2c_{p,2}^2) C_p^5 2^{\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})}$  and this proves lemma 3.

Since  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})} < \infty$  for  $2 < p < \infty$  we get

Corollary : The Banach space  $E_p$  for  $2 < p < \infty$  has not the approximation property.

---

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Enflo : A counterexample to the approximation problem, to appear in Acta Math.
  - [2] A. Grothendieck : Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (1956).
  - [3] A. Zygmund : Trigonometrical series.
-