

RENDICONTI *del* SEMINARIO MATEMATICO *della* UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

ALAIN M. ROBERT

The Gross-Koblitz formula revisited

Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova,
tome 105 (2001), p. 157-170

[<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_2001__105__157_0>](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_2001__105__157_0)

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 2001, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (<http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

The Gross-Koblitz Formula Revisited.

ALAIN M. ROBERT (*)

The formula in question gives an explicit value of Gauss sums using the p -adic gamma function of Morita. We give here an elementary proof of this formula (valid for all primes). Let me thank L. van Hamme who stimulated me to find such a proof, and A. Junod who helped me to understand [2], which has been my starting point.

1. Preliminary comments on numeration.

Let $q = p^f$ ($f \geq 1$) be a power of a prime p . Each affine map

$$x \mapsto a + qx : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p \quad (a \in \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

has a unique fixed point

$$a_* = \frac{a}{1-q} = a + aq + aq^2 + \dots = a + q \underbrace{(a + aq + aq^2 + \dots)}_{a_*}.$$

When a is an integer in the interval $0 \leq a < q$, say with p -adic expansion

$$a = a_0 + a_1 p + \dots + a_{f-1} p^{f-1} \quad (0 \leq a_j < p),$$

the fixed point of the corresponding affine transformation has a periodic p -adic expansion given by $a + aq + aq^2 + \dots$ (period of length f). Let us write

$$a_* = a_0 + p(a_1 + a_2 p + \dots + a_{f-1} p^{f-2} + a_0 p^{f-1} + \dots) = a_0 + p a'_*.$$

We recognize in a'_* the fixed point of the affine map corresponding to

$$a' = a_1 + a_2 p + \dots + a_{f-1} p^{f-2} + a_0 p^{f-1},$$

(*) Indirizzo dell'A.: Institut de Mathematiques, Rue Emile Argand 11, Case Postale 2, CH-2007 Neuchâtel, Svizzera.

and we observe that a' is obtained from a by a cyclic permutation of its digits. Iterating the procedure, we can write

$$a'_* = a_1 + pa''_*, \quad a''_* = \frac{a''}{1-q}, \dots$$

In this way, we obtain a cycle of integers in the interval $\{0, \dots, q-1\}$

$$a', a'', \dots, a^{(f-1)}, a^{(f)} = a$$

having p -adic expansions obtained by cyclic permutations from that of a .

2. p -adic extensions of quotients of factorials.

For any prime p and $0 \leq a < p$, the relation

$$(*) \quad \frac{(a+pn)!}{p^n n!} = \frac{(a+pn)!}{(p1)(p2) \dots (pn)} = (-1)^{a+pn+1} \Gamma_p(a+pn+1)$$

shows that

$$n \mapsto (-1)^{pn} \frac{(a+pn)!}{p^n n!}$$

has a continuous extension $\mathbf{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p^\times \subset \mathbf{Q}_p$ given by

$$x \mapsto (-1)^{a+1} \Gamma_p(a+px+1).$$

This simply follows from the definition of the Γ_p -function by Morita.

Let us generalize this observation to the case of quotients $m \mapsto (a+qm)!/m!$ when $0 \leq a < q = p^f$ ($f \geq 1$).

We can introduce the p -adic expansion of a , say

$$a = a_0 + a_1 p + \dots + a_{f-1} p^{f-1},$$

and write

$$a + qm = a_0 + p \underbrace{(a_1 + \dots + a_{f-1} p^{f-2} + p^{f-1} m)}_{n_1}.$$

Put $n_0 = a + qm = a_0 + pn_1$ and successively

$$n_0 = a_0 + a_1 p + p^2 n_2, \quad n_1 = a_1 + pn_2, \quad \text{etc.}$$

hence with

$$\begin{aligned} n_1 &= a_1 + \dots + a_{f-1} p^{f-2} + p^{f-1} m \\ &= a_1 + p \underbrace{(a_2 + \dots + a_{f-1} p^{f-3} + p^{f-2} m)}_{n_2}, \quad \text{etc.} \end{aligned}$$

Let us write a telescopic product ($n_0 = a + qm$, $n_f = m$)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{(a + qm)!}{m!} &= \frac{n_0!}{n_1!} \frac{n_1!}{n_2!} \dots \frac{n_{f-1}!}{n_f!} \\
 &= \frac{(a_0 + pn_1)!}{n_1!} \frac{(a_1 + pn_2)!}{n_2!} \dots \frac{(a_{f-1} + pm)!}{m!} \\
 &= \pm p^{n_1} \Gamma_p(a_0 + pn_1 + 1) \cdot p^{n_2} \Gamma_p(n_1 + 1) \dots p^m \Gamma_p(n_{f-1} + 1), \\
 \frac{(a + qm)!}{p^{n_1 + \dots + n_f} m!} &= \pm \Gamma_p(\underbrace{a + qm}_{a_0 + pn_1 = n_0} + 1) \Gamma_p(\underbrace{a_1 + pn_2}_{n_1} + 1) \dots \Gamma_p(\underbrace{a_{f-1} + \overbrace{pm}^{pn_f}}_{n_{f-1}} + 1) \\
 &= \pm \prod_{0 \leq i < f} \Gamma_p(\underbrace{a_i + pn_{i+1}}_{n_i} + 1) = \pm \prod_{0 \leq i < f} \Gamma_p(n_i + 1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Recalling (*) in the form

$$\frac{(a + pn)!}{n!} = (-1)^{a + pn + 1} p^n \Gamma_p(a + pn + 1)$$

we see that the precise sign is $(-1)^{(n_0 + 1) + (n_1 + 1) + \dots + (n_{f-1} + 1)}$. Moreover, the sum $\sigma = n_1 + \dots + n_f$ may be computed as follows

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
 n_1 &= \left\lfloor \frac{a}{p} \right\rfloor &+ p^{f-1}m \\
 n_2 &= \left\lfloor \frac{a}{p^2} \right\rfloor &+ p^{f-2}m \\
 \dots &= \dots & \\
 n_{f-1} &= \left\lfloor \frac{a}{p^{f-1}} \right\rfloor &+ pm \\
 n_f &= &m \\
 \hline
 \sigma &= \text{ord}_p a! &+ \frac{q-1}{p-1}m
 \end{array}$$

so that $n_0 + \dots + n_{f-1} = n_0 + \sigma - n_f = a + (q-1)m + \sigma$. Hence

$$\frac{(a+qm)!}{p^\sigma m!} = (-1)^{f+(q-1)m+a+\sigma} \prod_{0 \leq i < f} \Gamma_p(n_i+1),$$

$$\frac{(a+qm)!}{(-p)^\sigma m!} = (-1)^{f+(q-1)m+a} \prod_{0 \leq i < f} \dot{\Gamma}_p(n_i+1).$$

THEOREM 1. *For a fixed power $q=p^f$ ($f \geq 1$) of p , the functions*

$$m \mapsto \frac{(a+qm)!}{(-p)^{\frac{q-1}{p-1}m} m!} \quad (0 \leq a < q)$$

admit continuous extensions $\mathbf{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_p$ given by

$$x \mapsto (-1)^{(q-1)m+f+a} (-p)^{\text{ord}_p a!} \prod_{0 \leq i < f} \Gamma_p \left(\underbrace{\left\lfloor \frac{a}{p^i} \right\rfloor}_{n_i(x)} + p^{f-i} x + 1 \right) \quad \blacksquare$$

When the prime p is odd, $q-1$ is even and $(-1)^{(q-1)m} = +1$. Hence this sign is relevant only if $p=2$ in which case it is $\varepsilon(m) = (-1)^m$: let ε denote the character sign having kernel $2\mathbf{Z}_2$

$$\varepsilon(x) = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } x \in 2\mathbf{Z}_2 \\ -1 & \text{if } x \in 1 + 2\mathbf{Z}_2. \end{cases}$$

We shall be interested in the *inverse* of the preceding functions. Thus we define continuous functions $G_a: \mathbf{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_p$ ($0 \leq a < q$) with

$$G_a(x) = \varepsilon(x) (-1)^{f+a} \left| (-p)^{\text{ord}_p a!} \prod_{0 \leq i < f} \Gamma_p(n_i(x)+1) \right|,$$

$$G_a(m) = (-p)^{\frac{q-1}{p-1}m} \frac{m!}{(a+qm)!} \quad (m \geq 0)$$

($\varepsilon = 1$ if $p \neq 2$). Let us use the Legendre formula to simplify the preceding expressions. When $p \geq 3$ is odd, $\varepsilon = 1$ and

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma_p(n_i(x)+1)} = (-1)^{1+a_i} \Gamma_p(-n_i(x)).$$

Moreover $\sum a_i \equiv \sum a_i p^i = a \pmod{2}$, so that

$$G_a(x) = \frac{1}{(-p)^{\text{ord}_p a!}} \prod_{0 \leq i < f} \Gamma_p(-n_i(x)).$$

This formula is also true when $p = 2$, because the Legendre formula is now

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma_2(n_i(x) + 1)} = (-1)^{1 + a_i + a_{i+1}} \Gamma_2(-n_i(x)),$$

and the product leads to an exponent of -1 equal to

$$f + (a_0 + a_1) + (a_1 + a_2) + \dots + (a_{f-1} + x_0) \equiv f + a_0 + x_0 \pmod{2}.$$

Since $\varepsilon(x) = (-1)^{x_0}$ we have $\varepsilon(x)(-1)^{f+a}(-1)^{f+a_0+x_0} = 1$ and there only remains

$$G_a(x) = \frac{1}{(-2)^{\text{ord}_2 a!}} \prod_{0 \leq i < f} \Gamma_2(-n_i(x)).$$

3. Mahler coefficients of the functions G_a .

Let us choose a nonzero root $\pi \in \mathbf{C}_p$ of $X + \frac{1}{p}X^p = 0$. We have

$$\pi^{p-1} = -p \quad \text{and} \quad (-p)^{\text{ord}_p a!} = \pi^{a - S_p(a)},$$

so that

$$\pi^a G_a(x) = \pi^{S_p(a)} \prod_{0 \leq i < f} \Gamma_p(-n_i(x))$$

for all primes p . This expression is especially simple at the fixed point $x = a_*$ of the map $x \mapsto a + qx$, since in this case

$$n_i(x) = n_i(a_*) = a_i + a_{i+1}p + \dots = \frac{a^{(i)}}{1-q}$$

are obtained by a cyclic permutation from a_*

$$\pi^a G_a(a_*) = \pi^{S_p(a)} \prod_{0 \leq i < f} \Gamma_p\left(\frac{a^{(i)}}{q-1}\right).$$

It turns out that the Mahler coefficients of the functions G_a are linked to

the coefficients of the Dwork exponential

$$\Theta_q(T) = e^{\pi(T-T^q)} = \sum_{n \geq 0} A_n T^n = 1 + \pi T + T^2(\dots).$$

THEOREM 2. *For $0 \leq a < q$, the Mahler expansion of $G_a: N \rightarrow \mathbf{Q} \subset \mathbf{Q}_p$ is*

$$G_a(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{A_{a+kq}}{\pi^{a+k}} k! \binom{x}{k},$$

$$\tilde{G}_a(x) = \pi^a G_a(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{A_{a+kq}}{\pi^k} (x)_k = A_a + \frac{A_{a+q}}{\pi} x + \dots$$

The proof of this result obviously involves some formal manipulations of power series. These are made easier if we use the *Atkin operators* (*).

Let us recall their definition and formal properties. The operator U_q is defined on formal Laurent series by

$$f = \sum a_n T^n \mapsto U_q(f) = \sum a_{qn} T^n.$$

Obviously

$$T^j U_q(f) = U_q(T^{qj} f), \quad g(T) U_q(f) = U_q(g(T^q) f).$$

For example, replacing f by $e^{\pi T} f$ and letting $g = e^{-\pi T}$, we find

$$e^{-\pi T} U_q(e^{\pi T} f) = U_q(e^{-\pi T^q} e^{\pi T} f) = U_q(\Theta_q(T) f).$$

This is the reason for the appearance of the Dwork exponential in this context. Observe that the action of the Atkin operator forgets all coefficients a_i having an index i not multiple of q e.g. $U_q\left(\sum_{n \geq -q} a_n T^n\right) = U_q\left(\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n T^n\right)$, and also

$$U_q\left(\sum_{n \geq -a} a_n T^n\right) = U_q\left(\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n T^n\right) \quad (0 \leq a < q).$$

We shall use twice this observation in the next computation (and indicate it by a «!» on the concerned equality).

(*) Also called «Dwork ψ -operators» or «Hecke» operators.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Let us recall the *Boole relation* linking the values of a function f to its Mahler coefficients $c_k(f)$

$$e^{-T} \sum_{m \geq 0} f(m) \frac{T^m}{m!} = \sum_{k \geq 0} c_k(f) \frac{T^k}{k!}.$$

Take $f = G_a$ and replace the indeterminate T by πT

$$e^{-\pi T} \sum_{m \geq 0} G_a(m) \frac{\pi^m T^m}{m!} = \sum_{k \geq 0} c_k(G_a) \frac{(\pi T)^k}{k!}.$$

Let us now compute the left-hand side, recalling that $(-p)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} = \pi$

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-\pi T} \sum_{m \geq 0} G_a(m) \frac{\pi^m T^m}{m!} \\ &= e^{-\pi T} \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{\pi^{(q-1)m} m!}{(a+qm)!} \frac{\pi^m T^m}{m!} = e^{-\pi T} \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{\pi^{qm} \eta!}{(a+qm)!} \frac{T^m}{\eta!} \\ &= e^{-\pi T} U_q \left(\sum_{m \geq 0 \text{ or } -a} \frac{\pi^{a+m}}{(a+m)!} \frac{T^m}{\pi^a} \right) \stackrel{!}{=} e^{-\pi T} U_q \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\pi^n}{n!} \frac{T^{n-a}}{\pi^a} \right) \\ &= e^{-\pi T} U_q \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\pi^n T^n}{n!} \frac{T^{-a}}{\pi^a} \right) = e^{-\pi T} U_q \left(e^{\pi T} \frac{T^{-a}}{\pi^a} \right) \\ &= U_q \left(\Theta_q(T) \frac{T^{-a}}{\pi^a} \right) = U_q \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{A_n}{\pi^a} T^{n-a} \right) \\ &= U_q \left(\sum_{n \geq -a \text{ or } 0} \frac{A_{n+a}}{\pi^a} T^n \right) \stackrel{!}{=} U_q \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{A_{a+n}}{\pi^a} T^n \right) \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{A_{a+kq}}{\pi^a} T^k = \sum_{k \geq 0} \underbrace{\frac{A_{a+kq}}{\pi^{a+k}}}_{c_k(G_a)} \frac{(\pi T)^k}{k!}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the announced formula. ■

COMMENT. Note that the coefficients A_n of the expansion of the Dwork exponential Θ_q depend on the power $q = p^f$ and the choice of root π such that $\pi^{p-1} = -p$. If we replace π by another choice $\zeta\pi$ where $\zeta^{p-1} = 1$, the coefficient A_n is replaced by $\zeta^n A_n$. Since $\zeta = \zeta^p = \dots = \zeta^q$

implies $\zeta^k = \zeta^{q^k}$, we see that the coefficients $\frac{A_{a+kq}}{\pi^{a+k}} k!$ are unchanged. On the other hand, these coefficients belong to $\mathbf{Q}_p$ simply since they are Mahler coefficients of a $\mathbf{Q}_p$ -valued continuous function.

4. Gauss sums.

The Gross-Koblitz formula concerns the Gauss sums

$$-\sum_{\varepsilon^q = \varepsilon \neq 0} \varepsilon^{-a} \Theta_q(\varepsilon) = -\sum_{\varepsilon^{q-1}=1} \varepsilon^{-a} \sum_{n \geq 0} A_n \varepsilon^n = -\sum_{n \geq 0} A_n \sum_{\varepsilon^{q-1}=1} \varepsilon^{n-a}$$

(the sign « $-$ » is chosen in order to give it the value $+1$ when $a = 0$). The sum on roots of unity is $q-1$ if $n-a$ is a multiple of $q' = q-1$ and is 0 otherwise. If $a = q-1 = q'$, we have to take into account the value $k = -1$. Let us assume that $0 \leq a < q'$, so that only the values $k \geq 0$ occur

$$-\sum_{\varepsilon^q = \varepsilon \neq 0} \varepsilon^{-a} \Theta_q(\varepsilon) = (1-q) \sum_{k \geq 0} A_{a+kq'}.$$

The above Mahler series involve the coefficients of the Dwork exponential having indices in arithmetic progressions of ratio q , whereas we are looking for a summation formula for these coefficients with indices in an arithmetic progression of ratio $q' = q-1$. Here is a link between the two.

LEMMA. We have $nA_n = \pi A_{n-1}$ ($1 \leq n < q$), $nA_n = \pi(A_{n-1} - qA_{n-q})$ ($n \geq q$).

PROOF. We differentiate the defining identity

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} A_n T^n &= \Theta_q(T) = e^{\pi(T - T^q)} \\ \sum_{n \geq 0} nA_n T^{n-1} &= \Theta_q(T)' = e^{\pi(T - T^q)} (\pi - q\pi T^{q-1}) \\ \sum_{n \geq 0 \text{ or } 1} nA_n T^{n-1} &= \Theta_q(T)(\pi - q\pi T^{q-1}) = \sum_{n \geq 0} A_n T^n (\pi - q\pi T^{q-1}). \end{aligned}$$

The identification of the coefficients of T^{n-1} leads to the result. ■

Let us define functions $\tilde{G}_\alpha$ for all integers $\alpha \geq 0$ by

$$\tilde{G}_\alpha(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{A_{\alpha+kq}}{\pi^k} (x)_k = A_\alpha + \frac{A_{\alpha+q}}{\pi} x + \frac{A_{\alpha+2q}}{\pi^2} x(x-1) + \dots$$

This definition extends the preceding one (given only for $\alpha = a < q$), but let us emphasize that when $\alpha \geq q$, these functions are not simply given by products of Γ_p as in the previous case.

THEOREM 3. *For $\alpha \geq 0$, $\alpha_* = \frac{\alpha}{1-q}$, and $q' = q-1$ we have*

$$(1-q) \sum_{0 \leq k < N} A_{\alpha+kq'} = \tilde{G}_\alpha(\alpha_*) - \tilde{G}_{\alpha+Nq'}(\alpha_* - N) \quad (N \geq 1).$$

PROOF. The crucial case is $N=1$:

$$\tilde{G}_\alpha(\alpha_*) - \tilde{G}_{\alpha+q'}(\alpha_* - 1) = (1-q) A_\alpha.$$

To compute $\tilde{G}_\alpha(x) - \tilde{G}_{\alpha+q'}(x-1)$, we first transform its second term

$$\tilde{G}_{\alpha+q'}(x-1) = \sum_{k \geq 0} A_{\alpha+q'+kq} \frac{(x-1)_k}{\pi^k}.$$

Since $\alpha + q' + kq = \alpha + (k+1)q - 1 = n-1$, we can use the relation (lemma)

$$A_{n-1} = \frac{n}{\pi} A_n + q A_{n-q} \quad (n \geq q),$$

to bring back the sequence of indices into arithmetic progressions of ratio q

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{G}_{\alpha+q'}(x-1) &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \left[\frac{\alpha + (k+1)q}{\pi} A_{\alpha+(k+1)q} + q A_{\alpha+kq} \right] \frac{(x-1)_k}{\pi^k} \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\alpha + kq}{\pi} A_{\alpha+kq} \frac{(x-1)_{k-1}}{\pi^{k-1}} + \sum_{k \geq 0} q A_{\alpha+kq} \frac{(x-1)_k}{\pi^k}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\tilde{G}_\alpha(x) - \tilde{G}_{\alpha+q'}(x-1)$ is equal to

$$A_\alpha + \sum_{k \geq 1} A_{\alpha+kq} \frac{(x-1)_{k-1}}{\pi^k} (x - \alpha - kq) - \sum_{k \geq 0} q A_{\alpha+kq} \frac{(x-1)_{k-1}}{\pi^k} (x-k).$$

A miracle happens when x is equal to the fixed point α_* :

$$\alpha_* - \alpha - kq = q(\alpha_* - k),$$

so that all terms compensate except $k = 0$, whence the first formula in the theorem. Summing up consecutive expressions and noting that $(\alpha + q')_* = \alpha_* - 1$, we obtain a telescopic sum

$$\tilde{G}_\alpha(\alpha_*) - \tilde{G}_{\alpha+Nq'}(\alpha_* - N) = (1-q) \sum_{0 \leq k < N} A_{\alpha+kq'}. \quad \blacksquare$$

More generally,

$$\frac{x - \alpha - kq}{x - k} - q = \frac{x - \alpha - kq - qx + qk}{x - k} = \frac{x - (\alpha + qx)}{x - k}$$

and remembering $\alpha = (1-q)\alpha_*$

$$\frac{x - \alpha - kq}{x - k} - q = \frac{x - \alpha_* + q\alpha_* - qx}{x - k} = (x - \alpha_*) \frac{1-q}{x - k},$$

hence the more general formula

$$\tilde{G}_\alpha(x) - \tilde{G}_{\alpha+q'}(x-1) = (1-q) A_{\alpha+(x-\alpha_*)} \frac{1-q}{\pi} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{A_{\alpha+(k+1)q}}{\pi^k} (x-1)_k.$$

It is well known that the Dwork exponential converges in a ball of radius > 1 , hence $A_n \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) so that we may go to the limit

$$\begin{aligned} & (1-q) \sum_{k \geq 0} A_{\alpha+kq'} \quad (\text{Gauss-Dwork sum}) \\ &= \tilde{G}_\alpha(\alpha_*) - \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{G}_{\alpha+Nq'}(\alpha_* - N). \end{aligned}$$

The limit vanishes in view of the following lemma since $\alpha_* - N \in \mathbf{Z}_p$.

LEMMA. We have $\|\tilde{G}_\alpha\| \rightarrow 0$ ($\alpha \rightarrow \infty$). More precisely

$$\|\tilde{G}_\alpha\| \leq \begin{cases} r_p^{\alpha/q} & \text{if } p \geq 3 \\ r_p^{(\alpha-q)/2q} & \text{if } p = 2. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. The norm used here is the sup norm on the unit ball, so that

$$\|\tilde{G}_\alpha\| \leq \sup_{k \geq 0} \left| \frac{A_{\alpha+kq}}{\pi^k/k!} \right|$$

(the Mahler theorem states that this is in fact an equality, provided that the sup norm is taken on the unit ball of C_p). But

$$\left| \frac{\pi^k}{k!} \right| = \frac{r_p^k}{|p|^{\text{ord}_p k!}} = r_p^{k - (k - S_p(k))} = r_p^{S_p(k)}.$$

On the other hand, the Dwork series $\Theta_q(T) = e^{\pi(T - T^q)} = \sum_{n \geq 0} A_n T^n$ is bounded by 1 on the ball of radius $|p|^{\frac{1-p}{pq}} > 1$

$$|A_n| |p|^{n \frac{1-p}{pq}} \leq 1, \quad |A_n| \leq |p|^{n \frac{p-1}{pq}} = r_p^{n \frac{(p-1)^2}{pq}}.$$

This leads to

$$\left| \frac{A_{\alpha+kq}}{\pi^k/k!} \right| \leq \frac{r_p^{(\alpha+kq) \frac{(p-1)^2}{pq}}}{r_p^{S_p(k)}}.$$

(1) *Case $p \geq 3$ is odd.* In this case, we use the minoration $r_p^{S_p(k)} \geq r_p^k$ of the denominator. The exponent of r_p is easily estimated

$$(\alpha + kq) \frac{(p-1)^2}{pq} - k = \alpha \frac{(p-1)^2}{pq} + k \left(\frac{(p-1)^2}{p} - 1 \right).$$

As $p \geq 3$, $\frac{p-1}{p} > \frac{1}{2}$ and

$$(\alpha + kq) \frac{(p-1)^2}{pq} - k \geq \alpha \frac{p-1}{2q} + k \frac{p-3}{2} \geq \alpha \frac{1}{q}.$$

Hence this exponent of r_p is greater or equal to α/q whence the first assertion.

(2) *Case $p = 2$.* The preceding minoration of the denominator is not precise enough to lead to the result. This is why we keep

scrupulously the exponent $S_2(k)$ and have now to estimate

$$(\alpha + kq) \frac{(p-1)^2}{pq} - S_p(k) = (\alpha + kq) \frac{1}{2q} - S_2(k) = \frac{\alpha}{2q} + \frac{k}{2} - S_2(k).$$

But the following table

k	0	1	2	3	≥ 4
$\frac{k}{2} - S_2(k)$	0	-1/2	0	-1/2	> 0

shows $\frac{k}{2} - S_2(k) \geq -1/2$ (it is a simple exercise to prove it formally) which finishes the proof. ■

Summing up, we have obtained the main result.

THEOREM 4 (GROSS-KOBLITZ). *For $0 \leq a < q-1$ ($q = p^f$, $f \geq 1$), we have*

$$-\sum_{\varepsilon^q = \varepsilon \neq 0} \varepsilon^{-a} \Theta_q(\varepsilon) = \pi^{S_p(a)} \prod_{0 \leq i < f} \Gamma_p \left(\frac{a^{(i)}}{q-1} \right)$$

where the integers $0 \leq a^{(i)} < q-1$ have p -adic expansions obtained by cyclic permutation from that of a , and $S_p(a)$ is the sum of digits of a in base p .

Since the values of Γ_p are p -adic units, we deduce the following result.

COROLLARY 1 (STICKELBERGER). *For $0 \leq a < q$, the p -adic absolute value of the Gauss sum $\sum_{\varepsilon^q = \varepsilon \neq 0} \varepsilon^{-a} \Theta_q(\varepsilon)$ is*

$$|\pi^{S_p(a)}| = r_p^{S_p(a)} = |p|^{\frac{S_p(a)}{p-1}}. \quad \blacksquare$$

COROLLARY 2. *When $p \equiv 1 \pmod n$, the values of Γ_p at the rational numbers $\frac{m}{n}$ are algebraic numbers. More precisely*

$$\Gamma_p \left(\frac{m}{n} \right) \in \mathbb{Q}(\mu_{np}, \sqrt[n]{-p}).$$

PROOF. By the functional equation of Γ_p , it is enough to establish this when $0 \leq m < n$. If we write $p-1 = ln$ and $\frac{m}{n} = \frac{lm}{p-1}$, we can use the Gross-Koblitz formula for $q = p$ and $a = lm$. ■

APPENDIX 1. For an odd prime $p \geq 3$, the Legendre relation for Γ_p is

$$\Gamma_p(x) \Gamma_p(1-x) = (-1)^{R(x)}$$

where $R(x) \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ is in the class of $x \bmod p$. Let us write it in the equivalent form

$$\Gamma_p(-x) \Gamma_p(x+1) = (-1)^{R(-x)} = (-1)^{p-x_0} = (-1)^{1+x_0} \quad (x = x_0 + x_1 p + \dots)$$

For $p = 2$ and $x = x_0 + x_1 2 + x_2 2^2 + \dots$, we have

$$\Gamma_2(x) \Gamma_2(1-x) = (-1)^{1+x_1},$$

$$\Gamma_2(-x) \Gamma_2(x+1) = (-1)^{1+x_0+x_1}.$$

One way of unifying the two cases consists in writing

$$\Gamma_p(-x) \Gamma_p(x+1) = (-1)^{1+x_0+(p-1)x_1}.$$

APPENDIX 2. It is well known that the $\Theta_q(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{C}_p$ are p th roots of unity (Dwork's theorem). We can observe

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_q(T) &= \Theta_p(T) \Theta_p(T^p) \dots \Theta_p(T^{q/p}) \\ &= 1 + \pi(T + T^p + \dots + T^{q/p}) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\Theta_q(\varepsilon) \equiv 1 + \pi(\varepsilon + \varepsilon^p + \dots + \varepsilon^{q/p}) \bmod \pi^2$$

$$\Theta_q(t(x)) = \xi_\pi^{t(T^x)}, \quad \xi_\pi = \Theta_p(1) \quad (t: \text{Teichmüller})$$

and the Gauss sums considered here are precisely Gauss sums for the field $\mathbb{F}_q$.

APPENDIX 3. The Atkin operators still satisfy

$$U_q(f)(T^q) = \sum a_{qn} T^{qn} = q^{-1} \sum_{\zeta \in \mu_q} f(\zeta T)$$

(often used for $q = p$). On the other hand, the operator $\delta = T(d/dT)$ is the

degree operator: it sends T^n onto nT^n hence

$$\delta = T \frac{d}{dT} : \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n T^n \mapsto \sum_{n \geq 0} n a_n T^n.$$

From this, the relation $U_q \circ \delta = q(\delta \circ U_q)$ immediately follows

$$U_q \circ \delta \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n T^n \right) = \sum_{n \geq 0} q n a_{qn} T^n = q \sum_{n \geq 0} n a_{qn} T^n = q \delta \circ U_q \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n T^n \right).$$

REFERENCES

- [1] B. GROSS - N. KOBLITZ, *Gauss sums and the p-adic Γ -function*, Annals of Math., **109** (1979), pp. 569-581.
- [2] R. F. COLEMAN, *The Gross-Koblitz Formula*, in Galois Representations and Arithmetic Algebraic Geometry, Advanced Studies in Pure Math., **12** (1987), pp. 21-52, North-Holland Publ. Co. ISBN: 0-444-70315-2.
- [3] A. M. ROBERT, *A Course in p-adic Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Grad. Text in Math., **198** (2000) ISBN: 0-387-98669-3.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione il 3 marzo 2000.