

RENDICONTI *del* SEMINARIO MATEMATICO *della* UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

ALBERTO SCALARI

A remark on semiglobal existence for $\bar{\partial}$

Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova,
tome 97 (1997), p. 29-33

[<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1997__97__29_0>](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1997__97__29_0)

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1997, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (<http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

A Remark on Semiglobal Existence for $\bar{\partial}$.

ALBERTO SCALARI(*)

ABSTRACT - It is proved in [6] that a domain Ω of $X = \mathbb{C}^n$ is pseudoconvex if and only if $\bar{\partial}$ -closed forms (of positive degree) are $\bar{\partial}$ -exact in Ω . We prove here by elementary techniques that pseudoconvexity of Ω is still characterized by solvability of $\bar{\partial}$ -forms over relatively compact subsets of Ω .

1. - Semiglobal solvability in $\mathbb{C}^2$.

Let $X = \mathbb{C}^n$ and let $\mathcal{O}_X$ be the sheaf of holomorphic functions on X . Let Ω be a domain of X with C^2 -boundary $M = \partial\Omega$, and denote by $\delta(z)$, $z \in \Omega$ the Euclidian distance to M . Let z be a point of M ; one defines the Levi form of M at z (from the exterior side of Ω) by

$$L_M(z) = -\partial\bar{\partial}(\delta)(z)|_{T_z^c M},$$

where $T_z^c M = T_z M \cap \sqrt{-1}T_z M$. One denotes by $s_M^+(z)$, $s_M^-(z)$, and $s_M^0(z)$ the numbers of respectively positive, negative, and null eigenvalues of $L_M(z)$.

One denotes by $H^j(\Omega, \mathcal{O}_X)$, $0 \leq j \leq n$, the space of $\bar{\partial}$ -closed $(0, j)$ -forms on Ω (with C^∞ -coefficients) modulo $\bar{\partial}$ -exact forms.

We begin our discussion in $X = \mathbb{C}^2$. The proof of the following statement was suggested word by word by professor Alexander Tumanov.

(*) Indirizzo dell'A.: Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Padova, Via Belzoni 7, 35131 Padova, Italy.

PROPOSITION 1.1. *Let Ω' be a domain of $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{z_0\}$ such that z_0 belongs to a compact component of $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \Omega'$. Then*

$$(1.1) \quad H^1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{z_0\}, \mathcal{O}_X)|_{\Omega'} \neq 0.$$

PROOF. Assume $z_0 = 0$. Define:

$$f = \begin{cases} \bar{\partial} \left(\frac{\bar{z}_2}{z_1(|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2)} \right), & z_1 \neq 0, \\ -\bar{\partial} \left(\frac{\bar{z}_1}{z_2(|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2)} \right), & z_2 \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to prove that f is a (closed) 1-form in $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{z_0\}$. Assume by absurd that $H^1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{z_0\}, \mathcal{O}_X)|_{\Omega'} = 0$. Then there exists g in Ω' : $\bar{\partial}g = f$ in Ω' whence

$$h := z_1 g - \frac{\bar{z}_2}{|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2}$$

is holomorphic in Ω' since it is holomorphic in $\Omega' \setminus \{z_1 = 0\}$ and bounded in Ω' far from 0. By Hartogs' theorem h extends to the compact components of $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \Omega'$ and in particular to 0. But $h|_{z_1=0} = -1/z_2$ does not. Q.E.D.

PROPOSITION 1.2. *Let Ω be a domain of $\mathbb{C}^2$ with C^2 boundary $M = \partial\Omega$. For $z_0 \in \partial\Omega$, assume $L_M(z_0) < 0$. Then*

$$(1.2) \quad H^1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{z_0\}, \mathcal{O}_X)|_{\Omega'} \neq 0,$$

for suitable $\Omega' \subset \subset \Omega$.

PROOF. Let $z_0 = 0$. (a) We prove that for convenient $\Omega' \subset \subset \Omega$, we can find $\tilde{\Omega}' \supset \Omega'$, $z_0 \in \tilde{\Omega}'$, connected along a complex curve L through z_0 such that any $h \in \mathcal{O}_X(\Omega')$ extends to $\tilde{\Omega}'$. In fact in complex coordinates $z = (z_1, z_2)$, $z = x + iy$, we can assume:

$$(1.3) \quad \Omega = \{z; x_2 > -x_1^2 + o(x_1, y_1, y_2)^2\}.$$

Define

$$I_t = \left\{ (x_1, x_2); x_2 = \frac{t^2}{4}, -t \leq x_1 \leq t \right\} \cup \left\{ (x_1, x_2); x_1 = t, \frac{-t^2}{2} \leq x_2 \leq \frac{t^2}{4} \right\},$$

$$J_t = \left\{ (x_1, x_2); -t < x_1 < t, \frac{t^2}{4} - \frac{t}{3}(x_1 + t) < x_2 < \frac{t^2}{4} \right\}.$$

Let $K_{ct} = \{(y_1, y_2); |y_1, y_2| < ct\}$. We have $\Omega \supset K_{ct} \times I_t, \forall c \gg 1$ and for suitable t . Let B be a fixed neighborhood of z_0 and $\Omega' = \Omega'_t \subset \subset \Omega$ s.t. $\Omega' \cap B \supset K_{ct} \times I_t$. By [8, Theorem 5] any holomorphic function on $K_{ct} \times I_t$ extends to $K_{ct/2} \times J_t$. In particular (a) follows with L being the z_2 axis.

(b) Choose complex coordinates in $\mathbb{C}^2$ s.t. the curve L of (a) coincides with the z_2 axis. Define f as in the preceding Proposition, solve $\bar{\partial}g = f$ in Ω' and set $h = z_1g - \bar{z}_2/(|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2)$ (holomorphic in Ω'). Take $\Omega' \subset \subset \Omega$ such that (a) holds. Then h (and therefore also $h|_L = -1/z_2$) extends at $z_0 = 0$ which is a contradiction.

2. – Semiglobal solvability in $\mathbb{C}^n$.

Let $X = \mathbb{C}^n$, $M = \partial\Omega$ a C^2 real submanifold of $\mathbb{C}^n$, $\Omega = \{z \in X: \delta(z) > 0\}$.

DEFINITION 2.1. Ω is pseudoconvex if and only if $s_M^-(z) \equiv 0, \forall z \in M$.

It is classical that Ω is pseudoconvex if and only if $H^j(\Omega, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0, \forall j > 0$. With the notation $\Omega_\varepsilon = \{z \in \Omega; \delta > \varepsilon\}$ we can generalize the above characterization as follows:

THEOREM 2.2. Ω is pseudoconvex if and only if

$$(2.1) \quad H^j(\Omega_\varepsilon, \mathcal{O}_X)|_{\Omega_{\varepsilon'}} = 0, \quad \forall \varepsilon, \forall \varepsilon', \text{ with } \varepsilon' > \varepsilon \geq 0, \forall j > 0.$$

PROOF. The «Only if» follows from the fact that Ω_ε is pseudoconvex [6, Theorem 2.6.12].

«If»: (a) Let L be a complex submanifold of X , and set $\omega = L \cap \Omega$, $\omega_\varepsilon = \{z \in \omega; \delta_L(z) > \varepsilon\}$ where δ_L is the distance along L . Then (2.1) implies that $\forall \varepsilon \exists \varepsilon'$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad H^j(\omega_\varepsilon, \mathcal{O}_L)|_{\omega_{\varepsilon'}} = 0 \quad \text{with } \varepsilon' > \varepsilon \geq 0,$$

with $\varepsilon' \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. This follows from adapting the proof of [6, Theorem 4.2.9] as we show here. Let f be a $\bar{\partial}$ -closed form in $\{z \in \omega; \delta_L > \varepsilon\}$. Let $\phi \in C^\infty$, $\phi = 1$ in a neighborhood of ω and $\phi = 0$ in a neighborhood of $\{z \in \Omega; \pi z \notin \omega\}$ ($\pi: X \rightarrow L$ the orthogonal projection). By a recursive argument it is not restrictive to assume $\text{cod}_X L = 1$. Choose coordinates so that $L = \{z: z_n = 0\}$. Solve

$$\bar{\partial} v = z_n^{-1} \bar{\partial} \phi \wedge \pi^* f \quad \text{in } \Omega_{\varepsilon'}$$

thus

$$F := \phi \pi^* f - z_n v$$

is $\bar{\partial}$ -closed in $\Omega_{\varepsilon'}$. Solve $\bar{\partial} G = F$ in $\Omega_{\varepsilon''}$, $\varepsilon'' > \varepsilon'$. Then $g := j^* G$ ($j: L \hookrightarrow X$) solves $\bar{\partial} g = f$ in $\omega_{\varepsilon''}$, $\varepsilon'' > \varepsilon'$ with $\varepsilon'' \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. This proves (2.2).

(b) If $n = 1$ there is nothing to prove, and if $n = 2$ the theorem follows from § 1. Set $n \geq 3$, take $z_0 \in \partial\Omega$, $v \in T_{z_0}^C M$ and consider $L = \mathbb{C}v \oplus \oplus Cu$ (u transversal to M). Then (2.2) holds and this implies in particular $H^1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{z_0\}, \mathcal{O}_L)|_{\omega'} = 0$, $\forall \omega' \subset \subset \omega$. Thus with $N = \partial\omega$,

$$L_M(z_0) v^t \bar{v} = L_N(z_0) v^t \bar{v} \geq 0. \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

We can localize our statement:

DEFINITION 2.3. Ω is pseudoconvex at z_0 if and only if $s_M^-(z) = 0$, $\forall z \in M$ close to z_0 .

Let B (or B') range through the family of neighborhoods of the points z close to z_0 on M .

THEOREM 2.4. For any B there exists $B' \subset B$ such that:

$$(2.3) \quad H^1(\Omega \cap B, \mathcal{O}_X)|_{\Omega' \cap B'} = 0, \quad \forall \Omega' \subset \subset \Omega$$

if and only if Ω is pseudoconvex at z_0 .

PROOF. «If»: by [1] we may find $\tilde{\Omega}$: $\tilde{\Omega}$ is pseudoconvex, $\tilde{\Omega} \subset \Omega \cap B$, $\tilde{\Omega} \cap B' = \Omega \cap B'$. Then (2.3) follows.

«Only if»: (a) $X = \mathbb{C}^2$. If Ω is not pseudoconvex at z_0 one may find $z_\nu \rightarrow z_0$ with $s_M^-(z_\nu) = 1$. Then the proof of Proposition (1.2) shows that

$$H^1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{z_\nu\}, \mathcal{O}_X)|_{\Omega' \cap B'} \neq 0$$

for any B' neighborhood of z_v and for suitable $\Omega' = \Omega'_{B'} \subset \subset \Omega$. In particular (2.3) is violated.

(b) Let $X = \mathbb{C}^n$, $n \geq 3$, take L with $\text{cod}_X L \geq 1$, define $b = B \cap L$, $\omega = \Omega \cap L$ and consider f $\bar{\partial}$ -closed in $\omega \cap b$. By the same argument as in Theorem 2.2 f can be «lifted» to F $\bar{\partial}$ -closed in $\Omega' \cap B'$ for any Ω' relatively compact in Ω and for suitable B' . For any ε we take Ω' (dependent on ε) and B'' (independent of ε) s.t.

$$-n\varepsilon + (\Omega \cap B'') \subset \Omega' \cap B'$$

(where n is the outward normal) and define

$$F_1(x) = F(x - n\varepsilon) \quad \text{in } \Omega \cap B''.$$

Solve $\bar{\partial} G_1 = F_1$ in $\Omega'' \cap B'''$ (independent of ε) then g_1 solves $\bar{\partial} g_1 = f_1$ in $\omega'' \cap b'''$ (independent of ε) and thus $\bar{\partial} g = f$ in $-n\varepsilon + (\omega'' \cap b''') \supset \omega''' \cap b'''$ where ω''' is any relatively compact open subset of ω and b''' a suitable neighborhood.

(c) The end of the proof follows from combining (a) and (b).

REFERENCES

- [1] A. ANDREOTTI - H. GRAUERT, *Théorèmes de finitude pour la cohomologie des espaces complexes*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, **90** (1962), pp. 193-259.
- [2] A. ANDREOTTI - C. D. HILL, *E. E. Levi convexity and the Hans Lewy problem*, Part II: *Vanishing theorems*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa (1972), pp. 747-806.
- [3] H. GRAUERT, *Kantenkohomologie*, Compositio Math., **44** (1981), pp. 79-101.
- [4] G. M. HENKIN, *H. Lewy's equation and analysis on pseudoconvex manifolds* (Russian), I. Uspehi Mat. Nauk., **32** (3) (1977), pp. 57-118.
- [5] G. M. HENKIN - J. LEITERER, *Andreotti-Grauert theory by integral formulas*, Birkhauser Progress in Math., **74** (1988).
- [6] L. HÖRMANDER, *An Introduction to Complex Analysis in Several Complex Variables*, Van Nostrand, Princeton N.J. (1966).
- [7] L. HÖRMANDER, *L^2 estimates and existence theorems for the $\bar{\partial}$ operator*, Acta Math., **113** (1965), pp. 89-152.
- [8] H. KOMATSU, *A local version of Bochner's tube theorem*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. 1A, **19** (1972).
- [9] G. ZAMPIERI, *The Andreotti-Grauert vanishing theorem for dihedrons of $\mathbb{C}^n$* , J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, to appear.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione il 17 maggio 1995.