

RENDICONTI *del* SEMINARIO MATEMATICO *della* UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

C. DAVID

T_3 -systems of finite simple groups

Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova,
tome 89 (1993), p. 19-27

[<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1993__89__19_0>](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1993__89__19_0)

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1993, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (<http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

T_3 -Systems of Finite Simple Groups.

C. DAVID (*)

1. Introduction.

We present here some further evidence in support of the following conjecture, first formulated by Wiegold in the seventies.

CONJECTURE. Every finite non-abelian simple group has exactly one T_3 -system.

Gilman [5] has shown that the conjecture holds for the simple groups $PSL(2, p)$ with p prime, (indeed it was this result that prompted the conjecture), while Evans [4] has done it for certain Suzuki groups. In both cases the action of the automorphism group on the G -defining subgroups is alternating or symmetric, and this too seems likely to reflect a general truth.

The Suzuki groups and the $PSL(2, p)$ are easier to cope with than the alternating groups, no doubt because of the much greater diversity of subgroups in alternating groups. Since $A_5 \simeq PSL(2, 5)$, Gilman's result provides the answer, while A_6 is so small that a simple calculation is sufficient. The aim of this note is to sketch a proof of the following result.

THEOREM. The alternating group A_7 has just one T_3 -system, and the action of $\text{Aut } F_3$ on the A_7 defining subgroups is alternating or symmetric.

The methods are elementary throughout. I see no way of establishing the conjecture for the general alternating group A_n .

(*) Indirizzo dell'A.: School of Mathematics, University of Wales, College of Cardiff, Senghennydd Road, Cardiff CF2 4AG.

2. T_3 -systems and a result of Evans.

Let F_n be a free group of finite rank n , and let G be any group. We say that N is a G -defining subgroup of F_n if $N \triangleleft F_n$ and $F_n/N \simeq G$. Denote the set of all G -defining subgroups of F_n by $\Sigma(G, n)$ and notice that $\Sigma(G, n)$ is not empty if and only if G can be generated by n elements.

For each $\sigma \in \text{Aut } F_n$ and $N \in \Sigma(G, n)$ we clearly have $F_n/N\sigma \simeq G$ so that $N\sigma \in \Sigma(G, n)$. In this way we obtain an action of $\text{Aut } F_n$ on $\Sigma(G, n)$, the orbits of which are called the T_n -systems of G . ([5] and [3]).

When we investigate T -systems of a specific group G , it is found to be rather difficult to work directly with the action of $\text{Aut } F_n$ on $\Sigma(G, n)$. B. H. Neumann and H. Neumann [7] introduced the notion of generating G -vectors which enabled them to define an equivalent action of $\text{Aut } F_n$ which is more manageable. The details with respect to T_3 are now given following the argument indicated in [4].

Let G be a 3-generator group. A generating G -vector of length 3 is defined to be an ordered triple (g_1, g_2, g_3) where $\langle g_1, g_2, g_3 \rangle = G$. The set of all generating G -vectors of length 3 is denoted by $V(G, 3)$.

Fix a set of free generators x_1, x_2, x_3 for F_3 and let E be the set of epimorphisms from F_3 to G . Define an action of $\text{Aut } F_3 \times \text{Aut } G$ on E by

$$(2.1) \quad \rho(\sigma, \alpha) = \sigma^{-1} \rho \alpha$$

where $\rho \in E$ and $(\sigma, \alpha) \in \text{Aut } F_3 \times \text{Aut } G$.

We can identify $\text{Aut } F_3$ and $\text{Aut } G$ with their copies in $\text{Aut } F_3 \times \text{Aut } G$ and speak of the action of $\text{Aut } F_3$ or $\text{Aut } G$ on E . We clearly have

$$(2.2) \quad \rho_1 \text{ and } \rho_2 \text{ lie in the same Aut } G\text{-orbit of } E \text{ if and only if } \ker \rho_1 = \ker \rho_2.$$

Suppose that $\ker \rho = N$. Then $\ker \rho \alpha = N$ too, and so we can associate N with the $\text{Aut } G$ -orbit of E that contains ρ , viz. $\{\rho \alpha: \alpha \in \text{Aut } G\}$. Notice that for all $\sigma \in \text{Aut } F_3$ we have $\ker(\rho(\sigma, 1)) = \ker(\sigma^{-1} \rho) = N\sigma$. Hence $N\sigma$ is associated with the $\text{Aut } G$ -orbit of E containing $\rho(\sigma, 1)$. Moreover, $N \in \Sigma(G, 3)$ if and only if $N = \ker \rho$ for some $\rho \in E$. Therefore

$$(2.3) \quad \text{The action of } \text{Aut } F_3 \text{ on } \Sigma(G, 3) \text{ is equivalent to its action on the Aut } G\text{-orbits of } E.$$

The map $\pi: E \rightarrow V(G, 3)$ given by

- (2.4) $\rho\pi = (x_1\rho, x_2\rho, x_3\rho)$ is a bijection. Furthermore, π enables us to carry over the action of $\text{Aut } F_3 \times \text{Aut } G$ on E to an action on $V(G, 3)$.

This is given by

$$(2.5) \quad \rho\pi(\sigma, \alpha) = \sigma^{-1}\rho\alpha\pi.$$

The action of $\text{Aut } F_3 \times \text{Aut } G$ on $V(G, 3)$ given by (2.5) is equivalent to its action on E . Therefore the action of $\text{Aut } F_3$ on the $\text{Aut } G$ -orbits of $V(G, 3)$ is equivalent to its action on the $\text{Aut } G$ -orbits of E . Combining this last remark with (2.3) gives the following fundamental result.

- (2.6) *The action of $\text{Aut } F_3$ on the $\text{Aut } G$ -orbits of $V(G, 3)$ is equivalent to its action on $\Sigma(G, 3)$.*

Let us now examine in greater detail the actions of $\text{Aut } F_3$ and $\text{Aut } G$ on $V(G, 3)$. Here we again identify $\text{Aut } F_3$ and $\text{Aut } G$ with their copies in $\text{Aut } F_3 \times \text{Aut } G$.

Suppose throughout that (g_1, g_2, g_3) is a typical element of $V(G, 3)$. By (2.4) there exists $\rho \in E$ with $(g_1, g_2, g_3) = \rho\pi = (x_1\rho, x_2\rho, x_3\rho)$. The action of $\text{Aut } G$ on $V(G, 3)$ is now easily given explicitly; by (2.5) we have $(g_1, g_2, g_3)(1, \alpha) = \rho\pi(1, \alpha) = (x_1\rho\alpha, x_2\rho\alpha, x_3\rho\alpha) = (g_1\alpha, g_2\alpha, g_3\alpha)$. Moreover since $\langle g_1, g_2, g_3 \rangle = G$ we have $(g_1, g_2, g_3) = (g_1\alpha, g_2\alpha, g_3\alpha)$ if and only if $\alpha = 1$. Hence

- (2.7) *The action of $\text{Aut } G$ on $V(G, 3)$ is given by $\alpha: (g_1, g_2, g_3) \rightarrow (g_1\alpha, g_2\alpha, g_3\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in \text{Aut } G$ and all $(g_1, g_2, g_3) \in V(G, 3)$.*

We next consider the action of $\text{Aut } F_3$ on $V(G, 3)$. For all $\sigma \in \text{Aut } F_3$ we have $(g_1, g_2, g_3)(\sigma, 1) = \rho\pi(\sigma, 1) = \sigma^{-1}\rho\pi = (x_1\sigma\rho, x_2\sigma\rho, x_3\sigma\rho)$ from (2.5). Suppose that

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{cases} x_1\sigma^{-1} = w_1(x_1, x_2, x_3), \\ x_2\sigma^{-1} = w_2(x_1, x_2, x_3), \\ x_3\sigma^{-1} = w_3(x_1, x_2, x_3), \end{cases}$$

where $w_1(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ is a word in (x_1, x_2, x_3) . Now

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1\sigma^{-1}\rho, x_2\sigma^{-1}\rho, x_3\sigma^{-1}\rho) &= (w_1\rho, w_2\rho, w_3\rho) = \\ &= (w_1(g_1, g_2, g_3), w_2(g_1, g_2, g_3), w_3(g_1, g_2, g_3)) \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma \in \text{Aut } F_3$ and w_1, w_2, w_3 are given by (2.8). Therefore

(2.9) *The action of $\text{Aut } F_3$ on $V(G, 3)$ is given by*

$$\sigma: (g_1, g_2, g_3) \rightarrow (w_1(g_1, g_2, g_3), w_2(g_1, g_2, g_3), w_3(g_1, g_2, g_3))$$

where $\sigma \in \text{Aut } F_3$ and w_1, w_2, w_3 are given by (2.8).

We continue, using the following result, a convenient reference for which is [6] Chapter 3.

(2.10) *$\text{Aut } F_3$ is generated by the automorphisms given below, where $1 \leq i, k \leq 3$, $i \neq k$ and unmentioned generators of F_3 are fixed.*

$$P(i, k): x_i \rightarrow x_k, \quad x_k \rightarrow x_i,$$

$$\sigma(i): x_i \rightarrow x_i^{-1},$$

$$L(i, k): x_i \rightarrow x_k x_i,$$

$$R(i, k): x_i \rightarrow x_i x_k.$$

These are called the elementary automorphisms of F_3 . Their effect on $(g_1, g_2, g_3) \in V(G, 3)$ is to interchange any two entries, invert any entry or multiply any entry by any other on the left or right. This is seen with the aid of (2.9).

As $\text{Aut } F_3$ is generated by elementary automorphisms, the above remark has an important consequence, namely

(2.11) *Two elements of $V(G, 3)$ lie in the same $\text{Aut } F_3$ -orbit if and only if one can be transformed into the other by a finite sequence of the following operations:*

— *Interchanging two entries:*

$$\text{e.g. } (g_1, g_2, g_3) \rightarrow (g_1, g_2, g_3).$$

— *Inverting an entry:*

$$\text{e.g. } (g_1, g_2, g_3) \rightarrow (g_1^{-1}, g_2, g_3).$$

— *Multiplying one entry on the left by another:*

$$\text{e.g. } (g_1, g_2, g_3) \rightarrow (g_2 g_1, g_2, g_3).$$

— *Multiplying one entry on the right by another:*

$$\text{e.g. } (g_1, g_2, g_3) \rightarrow (g_1 g_2, g_2, g_3).$$

We say that two elements of $V(G, 3)$ are equivalent if they lie in the same $\text{Aut } F_3$ -orbit.

An important property of A_7 in our context is that it has *spread 2* in the sense of Brenner and Wiegold ([1] and [2]). This means that for any pair x, y of non-trivial elements of A_7 , there is a third element z such that $\langle x, z \rangle = \langle y, z \rangle = A_7$. The connection with T_3 -systems is the following simple but important result of Evans [4].

(2.12) *Let G be any group of spread 2. Then all redundant generating triple are equivalent.*

A redundant generating triple (g_1, g_2, g_3) is one where one of g_1, g_2, g_3 can be omitted and the remaining two elements still generate the group. Thus our strategy will be to show that every generating triple for A_7 is equivalent to a redundant triple.

3. T_3 -systems of A_7 .

The 2520 elements of A_7 are classified into distinct types of permutations. We shall use the representation of these permutations as products of disjoint cycles, omitting cycles of length one. If an element is a product of disjoint cycles of lengths $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k$ where $r_1 > 1$ then we say it is of *type* $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k$. The table below gives the number of elements of each type in A_7 and also in each of the maximal subgroups of A_7 which are isomorphic to $PSL(2, 7)$.

Type	7	5	4, 2	3, 3	3, 2, 2	3	2, 2	Ident.	Total
A_7	720	504	630	280	210	70	105	1	2520
$PSL(2, 7)$	48	0	42	56	0	0	21	1	168

There are 15 maximal subgroups of A_7 which are isomorphic to $PSL(2, 7)$. Each element of type 7 of A_7 is in one and only one of these maximal subgroups. This property is also true for each element of type 4, 2 of A_7 .

In order to show that every generating G -vector (g_1, g_2, g_3) , is equivalent to a redundant vector we systematically look at all possible cases.

CASE 1. If one of the elements of the triple is of type 7, say g_1 then as we remarked above, it is one and only one of the $PSL(2, 7)$ contained in A_7 ; call this group B .

If $g_2 \in B$ then $\langle g_1, g_2 \rangle \subseteq B$ while if $g_2 \notin B$ then $\langle g_1, g_2 \rangle = A_7$ as B is a maximal subgroup. The same holds for g_3 .

As (g_1, g_2, g_3) is a generating set for A_7 , one of g_2, g_3 is not an element of B and will generate A_7 with g_1 . Thus any generating triple containing an element of type 7 is equivalent to a redundant triple.

CASE 2. Suppose that g_1 is of type 5, without loss of generality, (12345) say. If $\langle g_1, g_2 \rangle$ is transitive over the set $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$ then $\langle g_1, g_2 \rangle = A_7$.

So we look at the cases when $\langle g_1, g_2 \rangle$ and $\langle g_1, g_3 \rangle$ are non transitive but of course, g_2 and g_3 between them must move 6 and 7. We need to consider two cases.

- i) $g_1 = (12345)$, $g_2 = (\dots)(67)$, $g_3 = (\dots 6)(\dots)(7)$. Then $6g_3 = i$ with $i \neq 6$ and $i \neq 7$ and $7g_3 = 7$ so $6g_2g_3 = 7$ and $7g_2g_3 = i$.

This means that $g_2g_3 = (\dots 67i \dots)(\dots)$ and hence $\langle g_1, g_2g_3 \rangle$ is transitive and so must be A_7 .

- ii) $g_1 = (12345)$, and let g_2 move 6 but not 7 and g_3 move 7 but not 6. Then g_2g_3 will move 6 and 7 and then $\langle g_1, g_2g_3 \rangle$ is again transitive and so is A_7 .

Thus if the generating triple contains an element of type 5 it is equivalent to a redundant triple.

The further cases, with g_1, g_2 and g_3 taking all possible types, are shown in the following table, which indicates the length of the calculation required.

We investigate the cases 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7, using the following consideration.

- i) There is a need for transitivity over $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$.
- ii) Any triple equivalent to a triple with an element of type 7 or of type 5 is no problem.
- iii) Two elements generating a transitive subgroup of A_7 , in which one is of type 3 will generate A_7 ([7], p. 34).
- iv) Two elements generating a transitive subgroup of A_7 and each of type 4, 2 in different $PSL(2, 7)$ subgroups will generate A_7 .

The investigation leads to the conclusion that if the generating triple contains an element of type 4, 2 it is equivalent to a redundant triple.

Case	g_1 type	g_2 type	g_3 type
3	4, 2	4, 2	4, 2 or 3 or 3, 3 or 3, 2, 2 or 2, 2
4	4, 2	3	3 or 3, 3 or 3, 2, 2 or 2, 2
5	4, 2	3, 3	3, 3 or 3, 2, 2 or 2, 2
6	4, 2	3, 2, 2	3, 2, 2 or 2, 2
7	4, 2	2, 2	2, 2
8	3	3	3 or 3, 3 or 3, 2, 2 or 2, 2
9	3	3, 3	3, 3 or 3, 2, 2 or 2, 2
10	3	3, 2, 2	3, 2, 2 or 2, 2
11	3	2, 2	2, 2
12	3, 3	3, 3	3, 3 or 3, 2, 2 or 2, 2
13	3, 3	3, 2, 2	3, 2, 2 or 2, 2
14	3, 3	2, 2	2, 2
15	3, 2, 2	3, 2, 2	3, 2, 2 or 2, 2
16	3, 2, 2	2, 2	2, 2
17	2, 2	2, 2	2, 2

We provide here a proof of some of Case 3 to demonstrate the methods used. The complete proofs of the assertions made here involve a great deal of simple but tedious calculation.

CASE 3. Let g_1, g_2 and g_3 be each of type 4, 2 and each in a different $PSL(2, 7)$ -subgroup of A_7 . As an example we consider the following case.

$$g_1 = (3567)(12) \in \langle (1234567), (23)(47) \rangle,$$

$$f_2 \in \langle (2314567), (13)(47) \rangle,$$

$$g_3 \in \langle (2431567), (43)(17) \rangle.$$

If $\langle g_1, g_2 \rangle$ is transitive over $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$ there is no problem. We also find for the remaining elements g_2 that $g_1 g_2$ or $g_1 g_2^{-1}$ or $g_1 g_2^2$ is of type 7 or type 5 except for $g_2 = (2537)(16)$ or $(1567)(23)$ and their inverses.

If $\langle g_1, g_3 \rangle$ is transitive over $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$ there is no problem. We also find for the remaining elements g_3 that $g_1 g_3$ or $g_1 g_3^{-1}$ is of type 7

or type 5 expect for $g_3 = (3657)(12)$ or $(3567)(14)$ or $(3576)(24)$ and their inverses.

For these elements or their inverses, g_2g_3 or $g_2g_3^{-1}$ is of type 7 or type 5.

We see that for the selected g_1 and the subgroups concerned, all the triples are equivalent to redundant triples. This is found to be true whichever of the 14 maximal subgroups are chosen to contain elements g_2 and g_3 . Thus any generating triple containing three elements of type 4, 2 each in a different $PSL(2, 7)$ maximal subgroup is equivalent to a redundant triple.

We now consider the case with g_1, g_2 each of type 4, 2 and each in a different $PSL(2, 7)$ -subgroup of A_7 with g_3 any element of type 3. We consider the following case.

$$g_1 = (3567)(12) \in \langle (1234567), (23)(47) \rangle,$$

$$g_2 \in \langle (2314567), (13)(47) \rangle.$$

$$g_3 = \text{any element of type 3 in } A_7,$$

When we consider the products of g_1g_2 and g_1g_3 we find problems only occur when $g_2 = (2537)(16)$ or $(1567)(23)$ and $g_3 = (124)$ or (345) or (346) or (347) or (456) or (457) .

For these elements we find that either an equivalent triple can be obtained with one element, a product of g_1, g_2 and g_3 , which is of type 7 or of type 5, or the triple is not a generating triple.

We again see that for the selected g_1 and the subgroups concerned, all the triples are equivalent to redundant triples. This is found to be true whichever of the maximal subgroups are chosen to contain element g_2 . Thus any generating triple containing two elements of type 4, 2 each in a different $PSL(2, 7)$ maximal subgroup with the third element of type 3 is equivalent to a redundant triple.

Case 3, when completed, and then cases 4, 5, 6 and 7 all lead to the same conclusion that the generating triples concerned are all equivalent to a redundant triple.

The information obtained from cases 1 to 7 is used in the other cases in the order as shown in the table and with each case leading to a redundant triple.

The final conclusion is that all the generating G -vectors are equivalent to redundant vectors and consequently A_7 has only one T_3 -system.

A further result of Evans [4] can now be used to complete the proof.

- (3.1) *Let G be a nonabelian finite simple group with $d(G) = k$. Suppose that $G = \langle g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k \rangle$ where $g_k^2 = 1$. Then $\text{Aut } F_{k+1}$ acts as a symmetric or alternating group on at least one of its orbits on $\Sigma(G, k+1)$.*

The alternating group A_7 may be generated by $\langle g_1, g_2 \rangle$ where g_1 is an element of type 7 and g_2 is an element of type 2, 2 which is not in the $PSL(2, 7)$ maximal sub-group containing g_1 . For example we have $A_7 = \langle (1234567), (12)(45) \rangle$. We conclude that the action of $\text{Aut } F_3$ on the A_7 defining subgroups is alternating or symmetric.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. L. BRENNER - J. WIEGOLD, *Two-generator groups I*, Michigan Math. J., **22** (1975), pp. 53- 64.
- [2] J. L. BRENNER - J. WIEGOLD, *Two-generator groups II*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., **22** (1980), pp. 113-124.
- [3] M. J. DUNWOODY, *On T -systems of groups*, J. Austral. Math. Soc., **3** (1963), pp. 172-179.
- [4] M. J. EVANS, *Problems concerning generating sets for groups*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Wales (1985).
- [5] R. GILMAN, *Finite quotients of the automorphism group of a free group*, Canad. J. Math., **29**, no. 3 (1977), pp. 541-551.
- [6] W. MAGNUS - A. KARRASS - D. SOLITAR, *Combinatorial Group Theory*, Interscience, New York (1966).
- [7] B. H. NEUMANN - H. NEUMANN, *Zwei Klassen charakteristischer Untergruppen und ihre Faktorgruppen*, Math. Nachr., **4** (1951), pp. 106-125.
- [8] H. WIELANDT, *Finite permutation groups*, Lectures, University of Tübingen (1954/55); English trans., Academic Press, New York (1964).

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione il 19 luglio 1991.