

RENDICONTI *del* SEMINARIO MATEMATICO *della* UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

G. ROMANI

¹
***N*-symmetric submanifolds**

Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova,
tome 84 (1990), p. 123-134

[<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1990__84__123_0>](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1990__84__123_0)

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1990, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (<http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

$\frac{1}{N}$ -Symmetric Submanifolds.

G. ROMANI (*)

SUNTO. - Nel presente lavoro si caratterizzano le sottovarietà di $\mathbf{R}^n$ che sono trasformate in sè dalla simmetria di $\mathbf{R}^n$ rispetto ad un qualunque loro primo spazio normale. Queste sottovarietà sono dette $\frac{1}{N}$ -simmetriche e sono caratterizzate dall'avere la prima applicazione normale totalmente geodetica.

Introduction.

In [F] Ferus demonstrates that the submanifolds M of $\mathbf{R}^n$ having the second fundamental form, s_M , parallel, $\nabla s_M = 0$, are characterized in extrinsic terms as the submanifolds of $\mathbf{R}^n$ transformed locally in itself by the reflection of $\mathbf{R}^n$ with respect to any normal space of the submanifold; such submanifolds are called (locally) *symmetric submanifolds*.

In [R.V.] Ruh and Vilms show how the condition $\nabla s_M = 0$ is equivalent to that the Gauss map, g_M , of the submanifold is totally geodesic: $\nabla(g_M)_* = 0$. One has, therefore, that

THEOREM. M is a (locally) symmetric submanifold of $\mathbf{R}^n$ if and only if $\nabla(g_M)_* = 0$.

Recently in [C.R.] there have been introduced for the sub-

(*) Indirizzo dell'A.: Dipartimento di Matematica « G. Castelnuovo », Piazzale A. Moro 5 - 00185 Roma (Italia).

Work done under support by M.P.I. 60% and 40%:

manifolds M of $\mathbb{R}^n$ some maps, $\overset{k}{v}_M$, that generalise the Gauss map in that, for $k = 0$, $\overset{0}{v}_M = g_M$.

In [C.R.] it is shown how $\nabla(\overset{k}{v}_M)_* = 0$ implies $\overset{k}{v}_M = \text{const}$ for $k > 1$ and how, therefore, the only significant conditions are the $\nabla(\overset{0}{v}_M)_* = \nabla(g_M)_* = 0$ already studied, and the $\nabla(\overset{1}{v}_M)_* = 0$.

It is precisely the condition $\nabla(\overset{1}{v}_M)_* = 0$ that will be dealt with here.

Called s_M the second fundamental form of the submanifold M of $\mathbb{R}^n$, the space generated by the vectors $s_M(X_p, X_p)$, $X_p \in T_p(M)$ is called *first normal space to M in p* and indicated with $\overset{1}{N}_p(M)$. If the submanifold M is *nicely curved* in a way that will later be explained, the dimension on $\overset{1}{N}_p(M)$ does not depend from p and will be indicated with $\overset{1}{n}$.

The map $\overset{1}{v}_M$, that has been mentioned, is therefore defined as the map $\overset{1}{v}_M: M \rightarrow G(\overset{1}{n}, n - \overset{1}{n})$ that $p \in M$ associate $\overset{1}{v}_M(p) = \overset{1}{N}_p(M)$.

Therefore it will be demonstrated that

THEOREM. $\nabla(\overset{1}{v}_M)_* = 0$ if and only if for each $p \in M$ the reflection of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with respect to $\overset{1}{N}_p(M)$ transforms locally M in itself.

In analogy to the definition given by Ferus in [F] these other submanifolds which we have considered will be called *$\overset{1}{N}$ -symmetric submanifolds*.

Still in [C.R.] sufficient and necessary conditions are given in order that one has $\nabla(\overset{0}{v}_M)_* (= \nabla(g_M)_* = \nabla s_M) = 0$, and $\nabla(\overset{1}{v}_M)_* = 0$. From such conditions one deduces at once that $\nabla(\overset{0}{v}_M)_* = 0 \Rightarrow \nabla(\overset{1}{v}_M)_* = 0$, and that, therefore, the (locally) symmetric submanifolds are a particular case of the $\overset{1}{N}$ -symmetric submanifolds.

However, in [K.K.] Kowalski and Külich present a notion of generalized k -symmetric submanifold that results in its turn to be a generalization of those $\overset{1}{N}$ -symmetric submanifold: these last ones in fact appear as a particular case of generalized 2-symmetric submanifold, according to Kowalski and Külich [K.K].

I. Preliminaries.

Let M a m -dimensional submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Chosen a point p on M , the tangent space in p to M , $T_p(M)$, is also called the first

osculator space to M in p and indicated with $\dot{O}_p(M): T_p(M) = \dot{O}_p(M)$.

The second osculator space in p to M , $\ddot{O}_p(M)$, is defined as the subspace generated by $\overset{1}{X}_p$ and by $\overset{R}{\nabla}_{\overset{1}{X}_p} \overset{2}{X}$ when $\overset{1}{X}$ varies in $T_p(M)$, and $\overset{2}{X}$ in $T(M)$ and where with $\overset{R}{\nabla}$ is indicated the covariant derivative in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

In symbols:

$$\ddot{O}_p(M) = \{ \overset{1}{X}_p, \overset{R}{\nabla}_{\overset{1}{X}_p} \overset{2}{X} : \overset{1}{X}_p \in T_p(M), \overset{2}{X} \in T(M) \}.$$

In general the k -th osculator space to M in p is defined putting:

$$(1) \quad \overset{k}{O}_p(M) = \{ \overset{1}{X}_p, \overset{R}{\nabla}_{\overset{1}{X}_p} \overset{2}{X}, \overset{R}{\nabla}_{\overset{1}{X}_p} \overset{R}{\nabla}_{\overset{1}{X}_p} \overset{3}{X}, \dots, \\ \overset{R}{\nabla}_{\overset{1}{X}_p} \overset{R}{\nabla}_{\overset{1}{X}_p} \dots \overset{R}{\nabla}_{\overset{1}{X}_p} \overset{k}{X} : \overset{1}{X}_p \in T_p(M), \overset{2}{X}, \dots, \overset{k}{X} \in T(M) \}.$$

If for each k the dimension of $\overset{k}{O}_p(M)$ does not depend on p it is said that the submanifold M is *nicely curved*.

Naturally $\overset{k}{O}_p(M) \subseteq \overset{k+1}{O}_p(M)$ and evidently if for a certain entire $l > 0$ $\overset{l}{O}_p(M) = \overset{l+1}{O}_p(M)$ then $\overset{l}{O}_p(M) = \overset{l'}{O}_p(M)$ for every $l' > l$. The orthogonal complement of $\overset{k}{O}_p(M)$ in $\overset{k+1}{O}_p(M)$ will be called k -th normal space to M in p and denoted with $\overset{k}{N}_p(M)$, in particular it will result

$$(2) \quad \overset{k+1}{O}_p(M) = \overset{k}{O}_p(M) \oplus \overset{k}{N}_p(M)$$

from which it follows at once that if M is nicely curved then also the dimensions of $\overset{k}{N}_p(M)$ is constant on M .

In the following we will place $\overset{0}{O}_p(M) = \{0\}$ and consequently

$\overset{0}{N}_p(M) = \overset{1}{O}_p(M) = T_p(M)$. From (2) it clearly follows

$$(3) \quad \overset{k+1}{O}_p(M) = \overset{0}{N}_p(M) \oplus \overset{1}{N}_p(M) \oplus \dots \oplus \overset{k}{N}_p(M).$$

For the notions stated up to here compare [Sp].

If we now suppose M nicely curved we can define for $k = 0, 1, \dots, l-1$ the map $\overset{k}{\nu}_M: M \rightarrow G(\overset{k}{n}, n - \overset{k}{n})$, where with $\overset{k}{n}$ is indicated the constant dimension of $\overset{k}{N}_p(M)$, placing for $p \in M$,

$$\overset{k}{\nu}_M(p) = N_p(M) \quad (\varepsilon G(n, n - \overset{k}{n})).$$

The differential of $\overset{k}{\nu}_M$ in the point p of M , $(\overset{k}{\nu}_M)_{*p}$, gives place to a homomorphism between $T_p(M)$ and $T_{\overset{k}{\nu}_M(p)}(G(\overset{k}{n}, n - \overset{k}{n}))$, but every tangent vector to the grassmannian, $G(n, n - \overset{k}{n})$ of the $\overset{k}{n}$ -spaces of $\mathbb{R}^n$ in its point α , can be thought as a homomorphism between the point α considered as $\overset{k}{n}$ -space and its orthogonal, $\alpha^\perp$ (cfr. [R.V.]).

From this it follows that $(\overset{k}{\nu}_M)_{*p}$ can be thought as a bilinear map between $T_p(M) \times \overset{1}{N}_p(M)$ and $\overset{1}{N}_p(M)^\perp$.

The covariant derivative of $(\overset{k}{\nu}_M)_*$ as bilinear map between $T_p(M) \times \overset{1}{N}_p(M)$ and $\overset{1}{N}_p(M)^\perp$ will be indicated with $\nabla(\overset{k}{\nu}_M)_*$. As already indicated in the introduction for every $k > 1$, $\nabla(\overset{k}{\nu}_M)_* = 0$ it implies $(\overset{k}{\nu}_M)_* = 0$ that is $\overset{k}{\nu}_M = \text{constant}$ (cfr. [C.R.]) for $k = 0$, $\overset{0}{\nu}_M = g_M$ (where g_M is the classical gauss map of M) and the condition $\nabla(g_M)_* (= \nabla(\overset{0}{\nu}_M)_*) = 0$ has been found equivalent to suppose M symmetric submanifold; for that reason we will limit ourselves to the study of the condition $\nabla(\overset{1}{\nu}_M)_* = 0$.

For that purpose, we observe that the first normal space, $\overset{1}{N}_p(M)$, in the point p to M coincides with the space generated by $s_M(X_p, X_p)$, where s_M is the second fundamental form of M , when X_p varies in $T_p(M)$ (cfr. [Sp]).

In the continuation the normal space to a submanifold M of $\mathbb{R}^n$ will be indicated with $N(M)$, furthermore given a subspace H of $\mathbb{R}^n$, with $P_H: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow H$ we will indicate the orthogonal projection of $\mathbb{R}^n$ on H ; given a submanifold S of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $\overset{S}{\nabla}$ we will indicate the connection on S induced by $\mathbb{R}^n$; given a vector sub-bundle $F \rightarrow S$ on S of the product bundle $S \times \mathbb{R}^n$, we will indicate with $\overset{F}{\nabla}$ the connection in F induced by $S \times \mathbb{R}^n$.

II. Let M a nicely curved submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^n$, of dimension m .

(1) DEFINITION. M is a $\overset{1}{N}$ -symmetric submanifold if for any $p \in M$,

the reflection of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with respect to $\overset{1}{N}_p$ transforms locally M in itself.

We prove the

(2) THEOREM. M is $\overset{1}{N}$ -symmetric iff $\nabla(\overset{1}{\nu}_M)_* = 0$.

First we prove the implication

$$(3) \quad \nabla(\overset{1}{\nu}_M)_* = 0 \Rightarrow M \text{ is } \overset{1}{N}\text{-symmetric}$$

For each $p \in M$ we will define

$$(4) \quad (\overset{\perp}{NT})_p = (\overset{1}{N}_p(M) \oplus T_p(M))^\perp = \\ = \overset{1}{N}_p(M)^\perp \cap T_p(M)^\perp = \overset{1}{N}_p(M)^\perp \cap N_p(M)$$

and with $p + (\overset{\perp}{NT})_p$ the affine subspace of $\mathbb{R}^n$ through the point p parallel to $(\overset{\perp}{NT})_p$.

Let U an open set of $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $U \cap \left(\bigcup_{p \in M} (p + (\overset{\perp}{NT})_p) \right)$ is a submanifold, $\tilde{M}$, or $\mathbb{R}^n$; for $p \in M \cap U(\subset \tilde{M})$ we will have

$$(5) \quad T_p(\tilde{M}) = T_p(M) \oplus (\overset{\perp}{NT})_p$$

and

$$(6) \quad N_p(\tilde{M}) = (T_p(\tilde{M}))^\perp = (T_p(M) \oplus (\overset{\perp}{NT})_p)^\perp = \\ = (T_p(M)^\perp \cap (\overset{\perp}{NT})_p^\perp = N_p(M) \cap \overset{1}{N}_p(M) = \overset{1}{N}_p(M)$$

and

$$(7) \quad T_p(\tilde{M}) = \overset{1}{N}_p(M)^\perp$$

moreover

(8) PROP. M is a totally geodesic submanifold of $\tilde{M}$

PROOF. If $X_p \in T_p(M)$, $Y \in T(M)$ then

$$\overset{\mathbf{R}}{\nabla}_{X_p} Y \in \overset{1}{O}_p(M) = T_p(M) \oplus \overset{1}{N}_p(M);$$

therefore, (4),

$$P_{(\overset{\perp}{NT})_p} \left(\overset{\mathbf{R}}{\nabla}_{X_p} Y \right) = P_{T_p(M) \oplus \overset{1}{N}_p(M)} \left(\overset{\mathbf{R}}{\nabla}_{X_p} Y \right) = 0;$$

it follows, (5),

$$\overset{\tilde{M}}{\nabla}_{X_p} Y = P_{T_p(\tilde{M})} \left(\overset{\mathbf{R}}{\nabla}_{X_p} Y \right) = P_{T_p(M) \oplus (\overset{1}{N^1 T})_p} \left(\nabla_{X_p} Y \right) = P_{T_p(M)} \left(\overset{\mathbf{R}}{\nabla}_{X_p} Y \right) = \overset{M}{\nabla}_{X_p} Y.$$

Consider now:

a) the gauss map of order zero $\overset{\circ}{\nu}_{\tilde{M}}: \tilde{M} \rightarrow G(n - \overset{1}{n}, \overset{1}{n})$ of $\tilde{M}$

$$\overset{\circ}{\nu}_{\tilde{M}}(q) = T_q(\tilde{M}) \quad q \in \tilde{M}$$

b) the isometry

$$\mu: G(n - \overset{1}{n}, \overset{1}{n}) \rightarrow G(\overset{1}{n}, n - \overset{1}{n})$$

between the grassmannian $G(n - \overset{1}{n}, \overset{1}{n})$ of the $(n - \overset{1}{n})$ -subspaces of $\mathbf{R}^n$ and the grassmannian $G(\overset{1}{n}, n - \overset{1}{n})$ of the $\overset{1}{n}$ -subspaces of $\mathbf{R}^n$, that to a $(n - \overset{1}{n})$ -subspace associates to it the orthogonal

c) the map

$$\overset{\circ}{\mu}_{\tilde{M}}: \tilde{M} \rightarrow G(\overset{1}{n}, n - \overset{1}{n})$$

defined by

$$\overset{\circ}{\mu}_{\tilde{M}} = \mu \circ \overset{\circ}{\nu}_{\tilde{M}}$$

therefore:

(9) PROP. For

$$p \in M \cap U(\subset \tilde{M}), \quad X_p, Y_p \in T_p M (\subset T_p(\tilde{M})), \quad \xi_p \in \overset{1}{N}_p(M) (= N_p(\tilde{M}))$$

$$\text{i) } \overset{\circ}{\mu}_{\tilde{M}}(p) = \overset{1}{\nu}_M(p)$$

$$\text{ii) } (\overset{\circ}{\mu}_{\tilde{M}})_*(X_p) = (\overset{1}{\nu}_M)_*(X_p)$$

$$\text{iii) } \nabla_{X_p}(\overset{\circ}{\mu}_{\tilde{M}})_*(Y_p, \xi_p) = \nabla_{X_p}(\overset{1}{\nu}_M)_*(Y_p, \xi_p)$$

PROOF OF i).

$$\overset{\circ}{\mu}_{\tilde{M}}(p) = \mu(\overset{\circ}{\nu}_{\tilde{M}}(p)) \underset{a)}{=} \mu(T_p(\tilde{M})) \underset{b)}{=} T_p(\overset{\perp}{\tilde{M}}) \underset{(6)}{=} \overset{1}{N}_p(M) = \overset{1}{\nu}_M(p)$$

PROOF OF ii). It's a natural consequence of i).

PROOF OF iii).

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{X_p}(\overset{\circ}{\mu}_{\tilde{M}})_*(Y_p, \xi_p) &= \\ &= \overset{\overset{1}{N}^\perp}{\nabla_{X_p}}[(\overset{\circ}{\mu}_{\tilde{M}})_*(Y, \xi)] - (\overset{\circ}{\mu}_{\tilde{M}})_*(\overset{\tilde{M}}{\nabla_{X_p}} Y, \xi_p) - (\overset{\circ}{\mu}_{\tilde{M}})_*(Y, \overset{\overset{1}{N}}{\nabla_{X_p}} \xi) \underset{1),(8)}{=} \\ &= \overset{\overset{1}{N}^\perp}{\nabla_{X_p}}(\overset{1}{\nu}_M)_*(Y, \xi) - (\overset{1}{\nu}_M)_*(\overset{M}{\nabla_{X_p}} Y, \xi_p) - (\overset{1}{\nu}_M)_*(Y_p, \overset{\overset{1}{N}}{\nabla_{X_p}} \xi) = \\ &= \nabla_{X_p}(\overset{1}{\nu}_M)_*(Y_p, \xi_p) \end{aligned}$$

here with X, Y, ξ we indicate extensions of X_p, Y_p in $T(M)$ and of ξ_p in $\overset{1}{N}(M)$.

If we consider that μ is an isometry we will have

$$(10) \quad \nabla(\overset{\circ}{\mu}_{\tilde{M}})_* \underset{b)}{=} \nabla(\mu_* \circ (\overset{\circ}{\nu}_{\tilde{M}})_*) = \mu_* \circ (\nabla(\overset{\circ}{\nu}_{\tilde{M}})_*)$$

therefore for the iii)

$$(11) \text{ PROP. For } X_p, Y_p \in T_p(M), \xi_p \in \overset{1}{N}_p(M)$$

$$\mu_*[(\nabla_{X_p}(\overset{\circ}{\nu}_{\tilde{M}})_*(Y_p))](\xi_p) = \nabla_{X_p}(\overset{1}{\nu}_M)_*(Y_p, \xi_p) .$$

Infact

$$\mu_*[(\nabla_{X_p}(\overset{\circ}{\nu}_{\tilde{M}})_*(Y_p))](\xi_p) \underset{(10)}{=} \nabla_{X_p}(\overset{\circ}{\mu}_{\tilde{M}})_*(Y_p, \xi_p) \underset{\text{iii)}}{=} \nabla_{X_p}(\overset{1}{\nu}_M)_*(Y_p, \xi_p) .$$

In particular

$$(12) \text{ PROP. } \nabla(\overset{\circ}{\nu}_{\tilde{M}})_* = 0 \text{ iff any } X_p, Y_p \in T_p(M) \subset T_p(\tilde{M})$$

$$\nabla_{X_p}(\overset{\circ}{\nu}_{\tilde{M}})_*(Y_p) = 0$$

The (12) follows from (11) considering the fact that μ_* is an isomorfism.

We can now demonstrate that, indicated by $s_{\tilde{M}}$ the second fundamental form on $\tilde{M}$ and with $\tilde{\nabla}s_{\tilde{M}}$ its derivative considering it with values in the orthogonal, $N(\tilde{M})$, to $T(\tilde{M})$, one has

$$(13) \text{ PROP. If } \nabla_{\nu_*}^1 = 0 \text{ then for any } p \in M, (\tilde{\nabla}s_{\tilde{M}})_p = 0$$

$$(\text{i.e. } \tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{X}_p} s_{\tilde{M}}(\tilde{Y}_p, \tilde{Z}_p) = 0 \quad \forall \tilde{X}_p, \tilde{Y}_p, \tilde{Z}_p \in T_p(\tilde{M}))$$

PROOF. First of all remember that $(\tilde{\nu}_{\tilde{M}})_* = s_{\tilde{M}}$ and that $\nabla(\tilde{\nu}_{\tilde{M}})_* = \tilde{\nabla}s_{\tilde{M}} [\text{R.V.}]$. Then taken $X_p, Y_p \in T_p(M) (\subset T_p(\tilde{M}))$ and $\tilde{Z}_p \in T_p(\tilde{M}) \cdot (\subset T_p(M))$ one has

$$\text{j) } \tilde{\nabla}_{X_p} s_{\tilde{M}}(Y_p, \tilde{Z}_p) = \nabla_{X_p}(\tilde{\nu}_{\tilde{M}})_*(Y_p, \tilde{Z}_p) = (\nabla_{X_p}(\tilde{\nu}_{\tilde{M}})_*(Y_p))(\tilde{Z}_p) \stackrel{(12)}{=} 0$$

and, for the symmetry of $s_{\tilde{M}}$

$$\text{jj) } \tilde{\nabla}_{X_p} s_{\tilde{M}}(\tilde{Z}_p, Y_p) = \tilde{\nabla}_{X_p} s_{\tilde{M}}(Y_p, \tilde{Z}_p) = 0$$

If now $\eta_p, \zeta_p \in (N^{\perp}T)_p (\subset T_p(\tilde{M}))$ it results

$$\text{jjj) } (\tilde{\nabla}_{X_p} s_{\tilde{M}})(\eta_p, \xi_p) = 0$$

to prove jjj) let us begin by observing that $\tilde{\nabla}_{X_p} s_{\tilde{M}}(\eta_p, \xi_p)$ one calculates starting from two arbitrary vector fields, η, ζ tangent to $\tilde{M}$ and verifying the condition $\eta(p) = \eta_p, \xi(p) = \xi_p$. Moreover it will be sufficient to define η and ζ along any curve C for p having as tangent vector X_p , and in the points of $(N^{\perp}T)_{p'}$ with $p' \in C$.

We define η, ζ on C in the following manner: if $p' \in C, \eta(p'), \xi(p')$, are the transported by parallelism of η_p and ζ_p in p' along C so that it results

$$\text{1) } \tilde{\nabla}_{X_p} \eta = \tilde{\nabla}_{X_p} \zeta = 0$$

$$\text{2) } \eta(p'), \zeta(p') \in (N^{\perp}T)_{p'} = \text{orthogonal in } T(\tilde{M}) \text{ of } T(M)$$

the second condition, 2), follows from the fact that $(N^{\perp}T)_p$ is the orthogonal in $T(\tilde{M})$ of $T(M)$ and from the fact that $\tilde{M}$ is totally geodesic in $\tilde{M}$; it assures that for every $p' \in C$ and for every $q \in (N^{\perp}T)_{p'}$, we can define $\eta(q) = \eta(p'), \zeta(q) = \zeta(p')$. So for each $p' \in C$

$$\text{3) } s_{\tilde{M}}(\eta(p'), \zeta(p')) = 0 \quad ,$$

We will therefore have

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_{X_p} s_{\tilde{M}})(\eta_p, \zeta_p) = \tilde{\nabla}_{X_p}(s_{\tilde{M}}(\eta, \zeta)) - s_{\tilde{M}}(\tilde{\nabla}_{X_p} \eta, \zeta_p) - s_{\tilde{M}}(\eta_p, \tilde{\nabla}_{X_p} \zeta) = 0.$$

They will be now $\tilde{X}_p, \tilde{Y}_p, \tilde{Z}_p \in T_p(\tilde{M})$ and put $\tilde{X}_p = X_p + \eta_p$, $\tilde{Y}_p = Y_p + \theta_p$, $\tilde{Z}_p = Z_p + \zeta_p$ with $X_p, Y_p, Z_p \in T_p(M)$, $\eta_p, \theta_p, \zeta_p \in (N^\perp T)_p$. We will have

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{X}_p} s_{\tilde{M}})(\tilde{Y}_p, \tilde{Z}_p) = \tilde{\nabla}_{X_p} s_{\tilde{M}}(\tilde{Y}_p, \tilde{Z}_p) + \tilde{\nabla}_{X_p} s_{\tilde{M}}(\tilde{Y}_p, \tilde{Z}_p)_{ji, jji, jij} \tilde{\nabla}_{\eta_p} s_{\tilde{M}}(\tilde{Y}_p, \tilde{Z}_p)$$

but $\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{X}_p} s_{\tilde{M}}$ is symmetric with respect to its arguments, so

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{X}_p} s_{\tilde{M}})(\tilde{Y}_p, \tilde{Z}_p) &= \tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{Y}_p} s_{\tilde{M}}(\eta_p, \tilde{Z}_p) = \tilde{\nabla}_{Y_p} s_{\tilde{M}}(\eta_p, \tilde{Z}_p) + \tilde{\nabla}_{\theta_p} s_{\tilde{M}}(\eta_p, \tilde{Z}_p)_{ji, jji, jij} \\ &= \tilde{\nabla}_{\theta_p} s_{\tilde{M}}(\eta_p, \tilde{Z}) = \tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{Z}_p} s_{\tilde{M}}(\eta_p, \theta_p) = \tilde{\nabla}_{\zeta_p} s_{\tilde{M}}(\eta_p, \theta_p) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

the last equality being the consequence of the fact that η_p and θ_p can be extended along ζ_p in parallel and constant vector fields.

From (13) it follows, in particular, that the second fundamental form of $\tilde{M}$, $s_{\tilde{M}}$, is parallel along each geodesic γ of M (and therefore of $\tilde{M}$ contained on M). From theorem 1 of Strübing [St] it follows, that all the curvatures of each geodesic γ of M are constant and that the vectors of Frenet of even order of γ are found in $N(\tilde{M}) = N^1(M)$, while the odd in $T(\tilde{M})$. From the lemma 1 of Strübing it follows that the reflection of $\mathbb{R}^n$, with respect to $N(\tilde{M}) = N^1(M)$, changes each geodesic γ of M in itself for each $p \in M$ and therefore changes locally M in itself.

The part now demonstrated by the theorem has an immediate consequence:

(14) LEMMA. If $\nabla \nu_*^1 = 0$ then M is locally symmetric.

PROOF. It is seen that if $\nabla \nu_*^1 = 0$, M is locally N^1 -symmetric; for each $p \in M$ there is, therefore, the reflection of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with respect to $N_p^1(M)$ that induces locally on M an involutive isometry that fixes a sole point of M , namely the point p . This is sufficient for the proof.

III. And now we can demonstrate

$$(1) \quad M \text{ is } \overset{1}{N}\text{-symmetric} \Rightarrow \nabla_{\nu_*}^1 = 0.$$

Let us begin by demonstrating the following proposition:

(2) PROP. If $\tau: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is an isometry that maps M in itself, then for each $k = 1, \dots, l$, $\tau(\overset{k}{O}_p(M)) = \overset{k}{O}_{\tau(p)}(M)$

PROOF. By definition

$$\overset{k}{O}_p(M) = \{\overset{1}{X}_p, \overset{\mathbf{R}}{\nabla}_{\overset{1}{X}_p} \overset{2}{X}, \overset{\mathbf{R}}{\nabla}_{\overset{1}{X}_p} \overset{\mathbf{R}}{\nabla}_{\overset{1}{X}} \overset{3}{X} \dots \overset{\mathbf{R}}{\nabla}_{\overset{k-1}{X}} \overset{k}{X} : \overset{i}{X} \in T(M)\}$$

but being τ an isometry of $\mathbb{R}^n$ it results

$$a) \quad \tau_*(\overset{\mathbf{R}}{\nabla}_{\overset{1}{X}_p} \overset{2}{X}) = \overset{\mathbf{R}}{\nabla}_{\tau_*(\overset{1}{X}_p)} \tau_*(\overset{2}{X})$$

$$b) \quad \tau_*(\overset{\mathbf{R}}{\nabla}_{\overset{1}{X}_p} \overset{\mathbf{R}}{\nabla}_{\overset{1}{X}} \overset{3}{X}) = \overset{\mathbf{R}}{\nabla}_{\tau_*(\overset{1}{X}_p)} \tau_*(\overset{\mathbf{R}}{\nabla}_{\overset{1}{X}} \overset{3}{X}) = \overset{\mathbf{R}}{\nabla}_{\tau_*(\overset{1}{X}_p)} \overset{\mathbf{R}}{\nabla}_{\tau_*(\overset{1}{X})} \tau_*(\overset{3}{X})$$

$$c) \quad \tau_*(\overset{\mathbf{R}}{\nabla}_{\overset{1}{X}_p} \overset{\mathbf{R}}{\nabla}_{\overset{1}{X}} \dots \overset{\mathbf{R}}{\nabla}_{\overset{k-1}{X}} \overset{k}{X}) = \overset{\mathbf{R}}{\nabla}_{\tau_*(\overset{1}{X}_p)} \overset{\mathbf{R}}{\nabla}_{\tau_*(\overset{1}{X})} \dots \overset{\mathbf{R}}{\nabla}_{\tau_*(\overset{k-1}{X})} \tau_*(\overset{k}{X})$$

and as $\tau_*(\overset{i}{X}) \in T(M)$ because τ maps M in itself, the written equalities tell us that

$$d) \quad \tau(\overset{k}{O}_p(M)) \subset \overset{k}{O}_{\tau(p)}(M)$$

but τ is bijective, therefore conclusion.

From (2) follows

(3) PROP. If $\tau: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is an isometry of M in itself, then for each $k = 0, 1, \dots, l-1$

$$\tau(\overset{k}{N}_p(M)) = \overset{k}{N}_{\tau(p)}(M).$$

The (3) follows at once from (2) keeping in mind the fact that τ being an isometry preserves the angles and the fact that $\overset{k-1}{N}_p(M)$ is the orthogonal complement of $\overset{k-1}{O}_p(M)$ in $\overset{k}{O}_p(M)$.

Now, let us suppose that M is $\overset{1}{N}$ -symmetric. That implies that for each $p \in M$ the reflection τ_p of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with respect to $\overset{k}{N}_p(M)$ maps locally M in itself. Because of (3) will map therefore in itself the above manifold $\tilde{M}$: infact, if $q \in \tilde{M}$, or $q \in M$ is then by hypothesis transformed in a point of $M \subset \tilde{M}$, or $q \in (\overset{1}{NT})_{p'}$ for a certain $p' \in M$. But

$$(\overset{1}{NT})_{p'} = \overset{2}{N}_{p'}(M) \oplus \dots \oplus \overset{l-1}{N}_{p'}(M) \oplus [\overset{\circ}{N}_{p'}(M) \oplus \overset{1}{N}_{p'}(M) \oplus \dots \oplus \overset{l-1}{N}_{p'}(M)]^\perp.$$

As for (3) $\overset{i}{N}_{p'}(M)$ ($i = 2, \dots, l-1$) is transformed in $\overset{i}{N}_{\tau_p(p')}(M) \subset \tilde{M}$ and obviously

$$\tau_p([\overset{\circ}{N}_{p'}(M) \oplus \dots \oplus \overset{l-1}{N}_{p'}(M)]^\perp) = [\overset{\circ}{N}_{\tau_p(p')}(M) \oplus \dots \oplus \overset{l-1}{N}_{\tau_p(p')}(M)]^\perp$$

since τ_p is an isometry, one has again the proof.

But we have already seen that $\overset{1}{N}_p(M) = N_p(\tilde{M}) = T_p(\tilde{M})^\perp$ for $p \in M$ therefore the fact that M is $\overset{1}{N}$ -symmetric assures us, for a theorem of Ferus (Lemma 1 of [F]) that $(\overset{\tilde{N}}{\nabla} s_{\tilde{M}})_p = 0$ for $p \in M$.

That implies that for $X_p, Y_p \in T_p(M)$

$$a) \quad \overset{\tilde{N}}{\nabla}_{X_p} s_{\tilde{M}}(Y_p) = 0$$

but $s_{\tilde{M}} = (\overset{\circ}{\nu}_{\tilde{M}})_*$ for which

$$b) \quad \nabla_{X_p}(\overset{\circ}{\nu}_{\tilde{M}})_*(Y_p) = 0$$

and (12) paragraph II enables us to conclude with the thesis: $\overset{1}{\nabla} \nu_* = 0$.

If we now remember the condition in order that it results $\overset{N}{\nabla} s_M (= \overset{\circ}{\nabla} \nu_*) = 0$ and $\overset{1}{\nabla} \nu_* = 0$, [C.R.], we see at once that $\overset{N}{\nabla} s_M = 0 \Rightarrow \Rightarrow \overset{1}{\nabla} \nu_* = 0$. It results therefore that the submanifolds $\overset{1}{N}$ -symmetric constitute a generalization of the symmetric submanifolds. Moreover if $\overset{N}{\nabla} s_M = 0$ the osculating space $\overset{2}{O}_p(M) = T_p(M) \oplus \overset{1}{N}_p(M)$ is independent from $p \in M$ and M is all contained on it.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [C.R.] I. CATTANEO GASPARINI - G. ROMANI, *Normal and osculating map. for submanifolds of $\mathbb{R}^n$* , Proceeding of the Royal Society of Edinburgh, **114-A** (1990), pp. 39-55.
- [F] D. FERUS, *Symmetric submanifolds of Euclidean space*, Math. Ann., **247** (1980), pp. 81-83.
- [K.K.] O. KOWALSKI - I. KÜLICH, *Generalized symmetric submanifolds of Euclidean spaces*, Math. Ann., **277** (1987), pp. 67-78.
- [R.V.] E. RUH - J. VILMS, *The tension field of the gauss map*, Trans. of the Amer. Math. Soc., **149** (1970), pp. 569-573.
- [S] M. SPIVAK, *A comprehensive introduction to differential geometry*, Publish or Perish? Inc. 1979 Vol. IV, ch. 7.
- [St] W. STRÜBING, *Symmetric submanifolds of riemannian manifolds*, Math. Ann., **245** (1979), pp. 37-44.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione il 25 luglio 1989.