RENDICONTI del SEMINARIO MATEMATICO della UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

CARLO MARCHIORO ENRICO PAGANI

Nonlinear stability of a spatially symmetric solution of the relativistic Poisson-Vlasov equation

Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, tome 78 (1987), p. 125-143

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1987_78_125_0

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1987, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

$\mathcal{N}_{\mathsf{UMDAM}}$

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/

Nonlinear Stability of a Spatially Symmetric Solution of the Relativistic Poisson-Vlasov Equation.

CARLO MARCHIORO - ENRICO PAGANI (*)

Summary - We prove that the distribution functions f(x, p), spatially homogeneous, possibly nonregular, nonincreasing in ||p||, stationary solutions of the relativistic Poisson-Vlasov equation, are nonlinear (Liapunov) stable.

1. Introduction and description of the problem.

A relativistic plasma, when the collisions are neglected and the particles interact only via a medium field, is described by the relativistic Vlasov equation [8], that, when there is one only type of particles, for simplicity, assumes the form:

$$(1.1) p^{\mu} \frac{\partial \hat{f}}{\partial x^{\mu}}(x,p) + mF^{\mu}(x,p) \frac{\partial \hat{f}}{\partial p^{\mu}}(x,p) = 0$$

in which $x = x^{\mu} = (ct, x)$ and $p = p^{\mu} = (p^0, p)$ are the position 4-vector and the momentum 4-vector of a particle respectively; m is the rest

(*) Indirizzo degli AA.: C. MARCHIORO: Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Roma «La Sapienza», Piazzale Aldo Moro 5 - 00185 Roma (Italia); E. PAGANI: Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Trento - 38050 Povo, Trento (Italia).

Research partially supported by the Italian National Research Council (C.N.R.) and Ministry of Public Education (M.P.I.).

4

mass of the particles, that enters into the mass shell condition

$$p^{\mu}p_{\mu}=g_{\mu\nu}p^{\mu}p^{\nu}=(p_0)^2-p^2=m^2c^2$$
 (*)

 \mathbf{or}

$$p_0 = \sqrt{m^2 c^2 + \boldsymbol{p}^2}$$

and c is the speed of light.

The external 4-force $F^{\mu} = (F_*^{0}, F_*)$ satisfies the condition

(1.2)
$$F^{\mu} p_{\mu} = 0 \implies F^{0}_{*} = \frac{F_{*} \cdot p}{p^{0}}$$

i.e. it is purely mechanical (it does not modify the rest mass of the particles). This requirement is fulfilled by the Lorentz 4-force, defined by

$$F^{\mu}(x,p) = rac{-q}{mc} F^{\mu
u}(x) p_{
u}$$

where $F^{\mu\nu}$ is the electromagnetic tensor, related to the electric and magnetic fields E, B by the equations:

$$F^{0i} = E^i$$
 when $i = 1, 2, 3$

 $F^{ij} = B^k$ when i, j, k is an even permutation of 1, 2, 3.

The function $\hat{f} = \hat{f}(x, p)$ is called the distribution function, and is defined on the relativistic phase space (x, p) considered as 8 independent scalar variables).

The absence of « collision terms » into the right hand side of eq. (1.1) is related to the assumption that the particles interact only via a medium field; this is admissible when the gas is sufficiently rarefied.

Rewriting (1.1) in term of spatial quantities (with respect to an inertial reference system), we have:

$$\frac{\partial \hat{f}}{\partial t} + \frac{c\mathbf{p}}{p^0} \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{f}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + \frac{mc}{p^0} F^{\mathbf{0}}_{*} \frac{\partial \hat{f}}{\partial p^0} + \frac{mc\mathbf{F}_{*}}{p^0} \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{f}}{\partial \mathbf{p}} = 0$$

(*) Greek indices run from 0 to 3, and have space-time meaning; latin indices run from 1 to 3, and have space meaning; $g_{\mu\nu} = {\rm diag}\,(1,-1,-1,-1)$.

that, substituting (1.2), introducing the relation between the (spatial) velocity \boldsymbol{v} and the (spatial) momentum \boldsymbol{p}

$$oldsymbol{v}=rac{coldsymbol{p}}{oldsymbol{p}^0}$$

and replacing \hat{f} with f through the definition

$$f(x, p) = \hat{f}(x, p^0 = \sqrt{m^2 c^2 + p^2}, p)$$

becomes

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} + \frac{mc}{\boldsymbol{p}^0} \boldsymbol{F_*} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{p}} = 0$$

or

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} + \boldsymbol{F} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{p}} = 0$$

in which $F = (mc/p^0)F_*$ is the ordinary (spatial) force, equal to the time derivative of the (spatial) momentum, evaluated in the inertial reference system defined above.

In the electromagnetic case, that interests us,

$$F^{i}=rac{mc}{p^{0}}\!\left(\!-rac{q}{mc}F^{ioldsymbol{r}}P_{oldsymbol{r}}\!
ight)\!=qE^{i}-rac{q}{c}F^{ij}v_{j}$$

or

$$m{F} = qm{E} + rac{q}{c} \, m{v} \wedge m{B} \, .$$

In plasma physics are relevant the Maxwell-Vlasov model, based on the equations

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} + q \left(\boldsymbol{E} + \frac{1}{c} \boldsymbol{v} \wedge \boldsymbol{B} \right) \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{p}} &= 0 \\ \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{B} &= 0 \ , \quad \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{E} = \varrho = \varrho_+ + \int_{\boldsymbol{p}} q f(x, \boldsymbol{p}) \, d\boldsymbol{p} \\ \operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{E} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{B}}{\partial t} &= 0 \ , \quad \operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{B} - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{E}}{\partial t} &= \frac{1}{c} \boldsymbol{J} = \frac{q}{c} \int_{\boldsymbol{p}} \boldsymbol{v} f(x, \boldsymbol{p}) \, d\boldsymbol{p} \end{split}$$

and the Poisson-Vlasov model

$$rac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{v} \cdot rac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} + q \boldsymbol{E} \cdot rac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{p}} = 0$$

$$\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{E} = \varrho = \varrho_+ + \int_{\boldsymbol{p}} q f(x, \boldsymbol{p}) d\boldsymbol{p}.$$

In either models, $\boldsymbol{v}=c\boldsymbol{p}/p^{\scriptscriptstyle 0}$ in the relativistic case, and $\boldsymbol{v}=\boldsymbol{p}/m$ in the classical one.

As is clear from the equations, in the Poisson-Vlasov model, the magnetic field is assumed to be null, and this approximation, that originates from an obvious requirement of simplicity, is acceptable in many quasi-stationary phoenomena.

The charge density ϱ is the sum of a constant (in time and space) term ϱ_+ , and of another one, depending on the distribution function f, so that the global charge is null. In a plasma model with one only type of particles (electrons), ϱ_+ may be identified with the constant and uniform charge density of the fixed positive ions.

Concerning the mathematical problem of the existence of the solutions of the above problem, we say that, for the non relativistic Maxwell-Vlasov model, the local-in-time existence and uniqueness of the solution is proved in [26, 28]. In [28] is also proved that the classical solutions of the non-relativistic Maxwell-Vlasov equation converge to the solutions of the Poisson-Vlasov equation, when the speed of light goes to infinity. In one space dimension, the problem of the existence is treated in [7]. The Hamiltonian structure of the equation of motion is discussed in [18, 12] and in many other papers referred in [12].

Concerning the non-relativistic Poisson-Vlasov model, the associated Cauchy problem has been completely solved in 1 and 2 spatial dimensions [6, 22, 27]. In 3 space dimensions, the existence of global weak solutions is proved in [1, 14, 15], and, when the initial data are small enough, the existence of global classical solutions [3] is also proved. In 3 spatial dimensions, there exist classical global solutions for symmetric initial data [4, 13, 25]. The existence of classical solutions corresponding to any initial data is an open problem, as well as the uniqueness problem of weak solutions. For reader's utility, we refer also the papers [2, 5, 11] and the review papers [19, 20].

As discussed in [23, 24], when the speeds of the particles approach

the speed of light, the classical Maxwell and Poisson-Vlasov models become inadequate, and must be substituted by the relativistic ones.

Concerning the Cauchy problem we refer [10, 29]. In [10] the 3-dimensional relativistic Poisson-Vlasov equation is treated, and the existence of global-in-time classical solutions is proved, assuming spherical symmetry of the initial data. The relativistic Maxwell-Vlasov equation in 3-space dimensions is treated in [29], and the local-in-time existence of classical solutions is proved, starting from regular initial data. Moreover, it is proved that the solutions of the Relativistic Maxwell-Vlasov equation converge in a pointwise sense to the solutions of the non-relativistic Poisson-Vlasov equation, when the speed of light goes to infinity.

In this paper we treat the relativistic Poisson-Vlasov model, with a plasma made of electrons, whose density in phase space is determined by the distribution function f(x, t, p) and a background of positive fixed ions, uniformly distributed on the domain, so that the global charge is null.

We assume that the domain is a flat ν -dimensional torus T^{ν} , $(\nu=1,2,3)$, having dimensions L_z,L_{ν},L_z respectively, or, equivalently, we assume that the distribution function and all the other physical quantities of the system are spatially periodic.

In order to simplify the notations, we assume the charge, the mass of the particles, the speed of light and the charge density of the positive background equal to 1, and so we obtain the following system of equations:

(1.3)
$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} + \boldsymbol{E} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{p}} = 0 \\ \boldsymbol{v} = \frac{\boldsymbol{p}}{\sqrt{1 + \boldsymbol{p}^2}}, \quad \boldsymbol{E}(\boldsymbol{x}, t) = -\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \varphi(\boldsymbol{x}, t) \\ -\Delta_{\boldsymbol{x}} \varphi(\boldsymbol{x}, t) = \varrho(\boldsymbol{x}, t) = 1 - \int_{\boldsymbol{P} \in \mathbf{R}^{\boldsymbol{v}}} f(\boldsymbol{x}, t, \boldsymbol{p}) d\boldsymbol{p}. \end{cases}$$

This system of equations admits a first integral, which is the total energy of the system:

(1.4)
$$\int_{\boldsymbol{x}\in T'} d\boldsymbol{x} \int_{\boldsymbol{P}\in \mathbf{R'}} d\boldsymbol{p} \sqrt{1+\boldsymbol{p}^2} f(\boldsymbol{x},t,\boldsymbol{p}) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\boldsymbol{x}\in T'} d\boldsymbol{x} E^2(\boldsymbol{x}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} T + U \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} E.$$

In this work we prove that the class of spatially homogeneous distribution functions f(x, p), possibly non regular, non increasing in ||p||, stationary solutions of the relativistic Poisson-Vlasov equation (1.3) is non-linearly (Liapunov) stable. We stress that the proof does not use the regularity property of the solution, and this fact may be physically interesting in some special case. By non regular solution, we mean a solution of the weak formulation of eq. (1.3)

$$\frac{d}{dt}f_t[g] - f_t[\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} g] + f_t \left[\boldsymbol{E} \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial \boldsymbol{p}}\right] = 0$$

where

$$f_t[g] = \int_{\boldsymbol{x} \in T^r} d\boldsymbol{x} \int_{\boldsymbol{P} \in \mathbf{R}^r} d\boldsymbol{p} f(\boldsymbol{x}, t, \boldsymbol{p}) g(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p})$$

g being any test function.

The proof of our result is organized into 3 Lemmata (whose proofs, rather technical, will be given later), and into a Theorem. The formulation of the Lemmata and of the Theorem, and their proofs, when it is possible, will not depend on the number of space dimensions.

The proof relies on the application to the relativistic Poisson-Vlasov equation of a technique, suggested by Marchioro and Pulvirenti [16, 17] that is based on the observation that the kinetic energy corresponding to a stable stationary solution is an extremum, constrained to the orbits of the coadjoint representation [12] of the measure preserving diffeomorphysms group acting on a domain D (when the system is an incompressible ideal fluid occupying a domain D, and so the configuration space of the system is the group of diffeomorphysms of D), and the measure preserving diffeomorphysms group acting on the phase space, (when the system is an ideal plasma). By a classical viewpoint, these orbits are linked with the conservation of the vorticity integral, in two space dimensions, and with the Liouville theorem. See also, for this subject [12, 9, 21].

In this work we assume that when the initial data is «near» the stationary solution, the Cauchy problem admits a global in time weak solution.

We finally remark that similar methods could be used for the relativistic Maxwell-Vlasov equation.

1. Proof of the main result.

DEFINITION. Let S be the set of the stationary solutions of the eq. (1.3) satisfying the following conditions:

DEFINITION. $\forall M > 0$, given a stationary solution $\bar{f} \in S$, we define the class

of distribution functions whose kinetic energy density is «near» the kinetic energy density of \bar{f} , $\forall x \in T^r$.

DEFINITION. Given a distribution function $F: T^{\nu} \times \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \to \mathbb{R}^{+}$, we define the class

$$I(F) = \left\{ f | f \colon T^{p} \times \mathbb{R}^{p} \to R^{+}, \ \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{+}, \ \operatorname{meas} \left\{ (\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p}) | f(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p}) > \lambda \right\} \right\}.$$

The functions of I(F) assume the same values assumed by F, but in different points of the domain, with the property that this rearrangement preserves the measure.

LEMMA 1. Let $\bar{f} \in S$, and $f_0: T^{\nu} \times \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \to R^{+}$ be the initial datum of the problem (1.3). There exists

$$ilde{f}\colon T^{m{p}}\! imes\!R^{m{p}}\! o R^+\,, \quad ilde{f}(m{x},m{p})= ilde{f}(\|m{p}\|)\;, \quad ilde{f}(\cdot)\colon R^+\! o R^+\,, \ ilde{f}(\cdot)\; ext{non-increasing}$$

such that:

- 1) $f_0 \in I(\tilde{f})$
- 2) $\|f_0 \bar{f}\|_1 < \delta \rightarrow \|\tilde{f} \bar{f}\|_1 < \delta$ when δ is sufficiently small.

LEMMA 2. Let $\tilde{f} \in S$, $f \in I(\tilde{f}) \cap L_{\infty}(T^{\nu} \times \mathbb{R}^{\nu})$, and defining

$$T(f) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\boldsymbol{x}} \int_{\boldsymbol{p}} \sqrt{1 + \boldsymbol{p}^2} f(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p}) d\boldsymbol{x} d\boldsymbol{p}$$

we have the results:

1) when $\nu = 1$, there exist constants C_1 , C_2 such that

$$C_1 \big[C_2 \gamma \|f - \tilde{f}\|_1 - \gamma^3 \big]^2 \leqslant T(f) - T(\tilde{f}) \quad \text{ for any } \gamma^2 < C_2 \|f - \tilde{f}\|_1$$

2) when $\nu = 2, 3$, and f, \tilde{f} have compact supports, there exists a constant C_3 , such that

$$C_3 ||f - \tilde{f}||_1^2 \leqslant T(f) - T(\tilde{f})$$
.

LEMMA 3. Let \tilde{f} be a function satisfying all the properties stated in Lemma 1, and let $f_0 \in I(\tilde{f}) \cap \Im(\tilde{f}, M)$, (and, by Liouville theorem, $f_t \in I(\tilde{f})$). We have the result:

$$T(f_t) - T(\tilde{f}) \leqslant g(\|f_0 - \tilde{f}\|_1)$$

where $g(x) \to 0$ when $x \to 0$.

THEOREM. Let $\bar{f} \in S$ and $f_0 \in L_{\infty}(T^{\nu} \times R^{\nu}) \cap \Im(\bar{f}, M)$ be the initial datum of the problem (1.3). Then

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \ \exists \delta > 0 \quad \text{such that} \quad \|f_0 - \overline{f}\|_1 < \delta \ \Rightarrow \ \sup_{t \geqslant 0} \|f_t - \overline{f}\|_1 < \varepsilon \;.$$

PROOF. Let the initial datum f_0 such that $||f_0 - \bar{f}||_1 < \delta$. By triangular inequality

Using Lemma 1, there exists \tilde{f} such that

1) f_0 (and f_t , by Liouville theorem) $\in I(\tilde{f})$;

2)
$$\|\tilde{f} - \tilde{f}\|_1 < \delta$$
.

Now we estimate the first term in the right hand side of (2.1) in the following way:

$$\begin{split} \|f_t - \tilde{f}\|_1 &\leq K\sqrt{T(f_t) - T(\tilde{f})} & (f_t \in I(\tilde{f}) \text{ so Lemma 2 applies}) \\ &\leq K\sqrt{g(\|f_0 - \tilde{f}\|_1)} & (\text{by Lemma 3}) \\ &\leq K\sqrt{g(\|f_0 - \tilde{f}\|_1 + \|\tilde{f} - \tilde{f}\|_1)} & (\text{by triangular inequality}) \,. \end{split}$$

Now, $||f_0 - \tilde{f}||_1 < \delta$, and $||\tilde{f} - \tilde{f}||_1 < \delta$ using Lemma 1. In concluding

$$||f_t - \overline{f}||_1 \leq K \sqrt{g(2\delta)} + \delta$$
. \square

PROOF OF LEMMA 1. $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$, we define the family of sets (see [16, 17]):

$$A_{\lambda} = \{(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p}) \in T^{\nu} \times \mathbb{R}^{\nu} | f_0(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p}) > \lambda\}$$

and the functions:

$$egin{aligned} p(\lambda) &= rac{ ext{meas } A_{\lambda}}{2L_{x}} & ext{when }
u &= 1 \ p(\lambda) &= \left(rac{ ext{meas } A_{\lambda}}{\pi L_{x} L_{y}}
ight)^{rac{1}{4}} & ext{when }
u &= 2 \ p(\lambda) &= \left(rac{3 ext{ meas } A_{\lambda}}{4\pi L_{x} L_{y} L_{z}}
ight)^{rac{1}{4}} & ext{when }
u &= 3 \ ilde{f}(p) &= ext{sup } \{\lambda | p(\lambda) \geqslant p, \, \lambda \in (ext{es. inf. } f_{0}, \, ext{es. sup. } f_{0})\} \ ilde{f}(oldsymbol{x}, oldsymbol{p}) &= ilde{f}(\|oldsymbol{p}\|) \; . \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, the function $\tilde{f}(\cdot)$ is nonincreasing, and satisfies $f_0 \in I(\tilde{f})$. To prove the second step of the Theorem 1, we define the sets:

$$S(\lambda, f) = \{(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p}) \in T^{\nu} \times \mathbb{R}^{\nu} | f(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p}) > \lambda \}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$$

and the quantities

$$\sigma(\lambda) = \max \left(S(\lambda, \tilde{f}) \triangle S(\lambda, \tilde{f}) \right)$$

$$\Sigma(\lambda) = \max \left(S(\lambda, \tilde{f}) \triangle S(\lambda, f_0) \right)$$

in which \bar{f} , f_0 and \tilde{f} are the stationary solution, the initial datum, and the function built above, respectively. Denoting by χ the set function, we have:

$$egin{aligned} \sigma(\lambda) = & \int\!\! dm{x} \!\int\!\! dm{p} |\chi_{S(\lambda,\widetilde{f})} - \chi_{S(\lambda,\widetilde{f})}| = \left| \int\!\! dm{x} \!\!\int\!\! dm{p} (\chi_{S(\lambda,\widetilde{f})} - \chi_{S(\lambda,\widetilde{f})})
ight| = \ & = \left| \int\!\! dm{x} \!\!\int\!\! dm{p} \;\chi_{S(\lambda,\widetilde{f})} - \!\!\!\int\!\! dm{x} \!\!\int\!\! dm{p} \;\chi_{S(\lambda,\widetilde{f})}
ight| = \end{aligned}$$

and, by definition of \tilde{f}

$$\sigma(\lambda) = \left| \int \! dm{x} \! \int \! dm{p} \; \chi_{S(\lambda,f_{m{o}})} \! - \! \int \! dm{x} \! \int \! dm{p} \; \chi_{S(\lambda,ar{f})}
ight| \! \leqslant \! \int \! dm{x} \! \int \! dm{p} |\chi_{S(\lambda,f_{m{o}})} \! - \chi_{S(\lambda,ar{f})}| = \varSigma(\lambda)$$

In concluding:

$$\|\tilde{f} - \bar{f}\|_1 = \int_{\mathbf{R}^+} d\lambda \sigma(\lambda) \leqslant \int_{\mathbf{R}^+} d\lambda \Sigma(\lambda) = \|\bar{f} - f_0\|_1$$

and this completes the proof of Lemma 1.

PROOF OF LEMMA 2. Let $||f||_{\infty} = ||\tilde{f}||_{\infty} = \alpha$ and N be an integer. We define the sets

$$egin{aligned} A_k &= \left\{ (m{x},m{p}) \in T^{m{p}} imes \mathbb{R}^{m{p}} \, \middle| \, f(m{x},m{p}) > k \, rac{lpha}{N}
ight\} \qquad k = 1,\, ...,\, N-1 \ A_k &= \left\{ (m{x},m{p}) \in T^{m{p}} imes \mathbb{R}^{m{p}} \, \middle| \, f(m{x},m{p}) > k \, rac{lpha}{N}
ight\} \end{aligned}$$

and the step functions

$$f^{\scriptscriptstyle N} = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} rac{lpha}{N} \, \chi(A_k) \;, ~~ ilde{f}^{\scriptscriptstyle N} = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} rac{lpha}{N} \, \chi(ilde{A}_k)$$

 χ being the set function.

Now we consider the difference between the kinetic energies associated with the functions f^N and \tilde{f}^N :

$$(2.2) T(f^{N}) - T(\tilde{f}^{N}) = \int d\mathbf{x} \int d\mathbf{p} \sqrt{1 + \mathbf{p}^{2}} (f^{N} - \tilde{f}^{N}) =$$

$$= \frac{\alpha}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \left\{ \iint_{A_{k}} d\mathbf{p} \sqrt{1 + \mathbf{p}^{2}} - \iint_{\tilde{A}_{k}} d\mathbf{p} \sqrt{1 + \mathbf{p}^{2}} \right\} =$$

$$= \frac{\alpha}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \left\{ \iint_{A_{k} \setminus \tilde{A}_{k}} d\mathbf{p} \sqrt{1 + \mathbf{p}^{2}} - \iint_{\tilde{A}_{k} \setminus \tilde{A}_{k}} d\mathbf{p} \sqrt{1 + \mathbf{p}^{2}} \right\}.$$

Now the details of the proof depend on the number of space dimensions: we examine, in the first time, the 1 dimensional case. Using the definitions

$$(2.3a,b) \qquad p_{\mathtt{k}} = rac{ ext{meas } ilde{A}_{\mathtt{k}}}{2L_{\mathtt{k}}} > 0 \;, \quad eta_{\mathtt{k}} = rac{1}{2} \| \chi(A_{\mathtt{k}}) - \chi(ilde{A}_{\mathtt{k}}) \|_{1}$$

the following estimates hold:

$$\int \int dm{x} dm{p} \sqrt{1+m{p}^2} \geqslant 2 \int \int dm{x} \int dm{p} \sqrt{1+m{p}^2} = 2 L_x \int \sqrt{1+m{p}^2} dm{p}$$

$$\int \int dm{x} dm{p} \sqrt{1+m{p}^2} \leqslant 2 L_x \int \sqrt{1+m{p}^2} dm{p}$$

$$\int \int dm{x} dm{p} \sqrt{1+m{p}^2} \leqslant 2 L_x \int \sqrt{1+m{p}^2} dm{p}$$

$$\int \int dm{x} dm{p} \sqrt{1+m{p}^2} \leqslant 2 L_x \int \sqrt{1+m{p}^2} dm{p}$$

$$\int \int dm{x} dm{p} \sqrt{1+m{p}^2} \leqslant 2 L_x \int \sqrt{1+m{p}^2} dm{p}$$

and the equation (2.2) becomes

$$\begin{split} (2.4) \qquad T(f^{N}) - T(\tilde{f}^{N}) \geqslant & \frac{2\alpha L_{x}}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \left\{ \int\limits_{P_{k}}^{P_{k} + \beta_{k}/2L_{x}} \sqrt{1 + p^{2}} \, dp - \int\limits_{P_{k} - \beta_{k}/2L_{x}}^{P_{k}} \sqrt{1 + p^{2}} \, dp \right\} = \\ & = \frac{2\alpha L_{x}}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \left\{ \int\limits_{P_{k}}^{P_{k} + \beta_{k}/2L_{x}} \frac{\sqrt{1 + p^{2}} - 1}{p} \, p \, dp - \int\limits_{P_{k} - \beta_{k}/2L_{x}}^{P_{k}} \frac{\sqrt{1 + p^{2}} - 1}{p} \, p \, dp \right\}. \end{split}$$

Using the monotonicity of $(\sqrt{1+p^2}-1)/p$ in $[0, \infty)$, the following

estimate holds

$$\int\limits_{P_k}^{P_k+eta_k/2L_x} rac{\sqrt{1+p^2}-1}{p} \, p \, dp \! \geqslant \! rac{\sqrt{1+p_k^2}-1}{p_k} \int\limits_{P_k}^{P_k+eta_k/2L_x} \! p \, dp = \ = rac{\sqrt{1+p_k^2}-1}{p_k} rac{(eta_k/L_x) \, p_k + eta_k^2/4L_k^2}{2}$$

and the analogous one

$$\int\limits_{p_k - \beta_k/2L_x}^{p_k} \frac{\sqrt{1 + p^2} - 1}{p} \, p \, dp \leqslant \frac{\sqrt{1 + p_k^2} - 1}{p_k} \cdot \frac{(\beta_k/L_k) \, p_k - \beta_k^2/4L_x^2}{2}$$

so that (2.4), taking (2.3b) into account, becomes

$$(2.5) T(f^{N}) - T(\tilde{f}^{N}) \geqslant \frac{\alpha}{8NL_{x}} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{\sqrt{1+p_{k}^{2}}-1}{p_{k}} \cdot \|\chi(A_{k}) - \chi(\tilde{A}_{k})\|_{1}^{2}.$$

Now, using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality in the following way:

$$(2.5) \qquad \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} a_k \cdot 1 < \left(\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} a_k^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} 1\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} a_k^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{N-1}$$

that implies

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} a_k^2 \geqslant \frac{1}{N-1} \binom{N-1}{\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} a_k}^2 > \frac{1}{N} \binom{N-1}{\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} a_k}^2$$

equation (2.5) becomes

$$(2.6) T(f^{N}) - T(\tilde{f}^{N}) \ge \frac{1}{8\alpha L_{x}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \left(\frac{\sqrt{1+p_{k}^{2}}-1}{p_{k}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\alpha}{N} \|\chi(A_{k}) - \chi(\tilde{A}_{k})\|_{1} \right)^{2}.$$

The term $(\sqrt{1+p_k^2}-1)/p_k$ in (2.6) goes to 0 when $p_k \to 0$, and this gives some problems in underestimating the right hand side of (2.6) in terms of $||f^N-\tilde{f}^N||_1$.

We choose $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ and we determine an integer h such that

$$\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+p_k^2}-1}{p_k}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} > \gamma \quad \text{ when } 1 \leqslant k < h.$$

so that the equation (2.6) becomes

$$(2.8) T(f^{N}) - T(\tilde{f}^{N}) \geqslant \frac{1}{8\alpha L_{x}} \left[\gamma \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{\alpha}{N} \| \chi(A_{k}) - \chi(\tilde{A}_{k}) \|_{1} - \sum_{k=h}^{N-1} \left(\gamma - \left(\frac{\sqrt{1+p_{k}^{2}}-1}{p_{k}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \frac{\alpha}{N} \| \chi(A_{k}) - \chi(\tilde{A}_{k}) \|_{1} \right) \right]^{2} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \\ \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{8\alpha L_{x}} \left[\gamma \| f^{N} - \tilde{f}^{N} \|_{1} - Q \right].$$

Using (2.7a), and taking into account that

$$\operatorname{meas} A_k = \operatorname{meas} \tilde{A}_k \Rightarrow \|\chi(A_k) - \chi(\tilde{A}_k)\|_1 \leq 2 \operatorname{meas} \tilde{A}_k = 4L_x p_k,$$

we obtain

$$Q \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \gamma \frac{\alpha}{N} 4L_x p_k.$$

Solving (2.7b) with respect to p_k and substituting the result into (2.9), we obtain

$$p_{\scriptscriptstyle k}\!\leqslant\!\frac{2\gamma^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}{1-\gamma^{\scriptscriptstyle 4}}\quad\text{ when }h\!\leqslant\! k\!\leqslant\! N\!-\!1 \;\Rightarrow\; Q\!\leqslant\!\frac{8L_{\scriptscriptstyle x}\gamma^{\scriptscriptstyle 3}\, \varkappa}{1-\gamma^{\scriptscriptstyle 4}}$$

and (2.8) becomes

$$T(f^{\scriptscriptstyle N}) - T(\tilde{f}^{\scriptscriptstyle N}) \geqslant \frac{1}{8\alpha L_x} \bigg[\gamma \|f^{\scriptscriptstyle N} - \tilde{f}^{\scriptscriptstyle N}\|_1 - \frac{8L_x\gamma^3\,\alpha}{1-\gamma^4} \bigg]^2$$

and, ending N to infinity, using the dominated convergence theorem,

we have:

$$T(f) - T(\tilde{f}) \geqslant \frac{1}{8\alpha L_x} \left[\gamma \| f - \tilde{f} \|_1 - \frac{8L_x \gamma^3 \alpha}{1 - \gamma^4} \right]^2$$

and this proves Lemma 2 in one space dimension.

In two space dimensions, the proof must be modified as follows. We define $p_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \delta_k'$ through the conditions:

$$(2.10a) \qquad \quad p_{k} = \left(\frac{\operatorname{meas} \tilde{A_{k}}}{\pi L_{x} L_{y}}\right), \quad \quad \beta_{k} = \frac{1}{2} \|\chi(A_{k}) - \chi(\tilde{A_{k}})\|_{1}$$

(2.10b)
$$\pi(p_k + \delta_k)^2 - \pi p_k^2 = \pi p_k^2 - \pi(p_k - \delta_k')^2 = \frac{\beta_k}{L_n L_n}$$

and, by monotonicity of $((\sqrt{1+p^2}-1)/p)$ in $[0, \infty)$, we have

$$(2.11) T(\tilde{f}^{N}) - T(\tilde{f}^{N}) \geqslant \frac{2\pi L_{x} L_{y} \alpha}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{\sqrt{1+p_{k}^{2}} - 1}{p_{k}} \left[\int_{p_{k}}^{p_{k} + \delta_{k}} p^{2} dp - \int_{p_{k} - \delta'}^{p_{k}} p^{2} dp \right]$$

and, performing an obvious change of variable

$$\begin{split} (2.12) \quad T(f^{N}) - T(\tilde{f}^{N}) \geqslant & \frac{\alpha L_{x} L_{y}}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{\sqrt{1 + p_{k}^{2}} - 1}{p_{k}} \cdot \\ & \cdot \bigg[\int_{A=0}^{\beta_{k}/L_{x}L_{y}} \left(\sqrt{p_{k}^{2} + \frac{A}{\pi}} - \sqrt{p_{k}^{2} - \frac{\beta_{k}}{\pi L_{x}L_{y}}} + \frac{A}{\pi} \right) dA \bigg] \geqslant \\ & \geqslant \frac{\alpha L_{x} L_{y}}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{\sqrt{1 + p_{k}^{2}} - 1}{p_{k}} \cdot \frac{1/\pi \cdot (\beta_{k}/L_{x}L_{y})^{2}}{\sqrt{p_{k}^{2} + \beta_{k}/\pi L_{x}L_{y}} + p_{k}}. \end{split}$$

We bound the denominator in (2.12) by observing that, by definition of β_k

$$\beta_k \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2 \text{ meas } A_k = L_x \cdot L_y \pi \cdot p_k^2$$

so that

$$T(f^{N}) - T(\tilde{f}^{N}) \geqslant \frac{\alpha}{4\pi L_{x} L_{y}(1 + \sqrt{2})} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{\sqrt{1 + p_{k}^{2}} - 1}{p_{k}^{2}} \|\chi(A_{k}) - \chi(\tilde{A}_{k})\|_{1}^{2}$$

and using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality

$$\begin{split} T(f^{\scriptscriptstyle N}) - (\tilde{f}^{\scriptscriptstyle N}) & > \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha(1+\sqrt{2})\,L_xL_y} \cdot \\ & \cdot \left[\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{(\sqrt{1+p_k^2}-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{p_k} \cdot \frac{\alpha}{N} \, \|\chi(A_k) - \chi(\tilde{A}_k)\|_1 \right]^2. \end{split}$$

We observe that when $p_k \to 0$, the coefficient of $(\alpha/N) \| \chi(A_k) - \chi(A_k) \|_1$ approaches a non null value, so here we have not the difficulty of the previous case. Despite of this, this coefficient goes to zero when $p_k \to \infty$, so that, in order to underestimate $T(f^N) - T(\tilde{f}^N)$ in terms of $\|f^N - \tilde{f}^N\|_1$, we must assume the compactness of the supports of f and \tilde{f} . In this hypothesis, when $N \to \infty$,

$$T(f) - T(\tilde{f}) \geqslant C_3 ||f - \tilde{f}||_1^2$$
.

In 3 space dimensions, we define p_k , β_k , δ_k , δ_k' in the following way:

$$(2.13a) p_k = \left(\frac{\beta \operatorname{meas} \tilde{A}_k}{4\pi L_x L_y L_z}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \beta_k = \frac{1}{2} \|\chi(A_k) - \chi(\tilde{A}_k)\|_1$$

$$(2.13b) \qquad \frac{4}{3}\,\pi(p_{\it k}+\delta_{\it k})^{\it 3} - \frac{4}{3}\,\pi p_{\it k}^{\it 3} = \frac{4}{3}\,\pi p_{\it k}^{\it 3} - \frac{4}{3}\,\pi(p_{\it k}-\delta_{\it k}')^{\it 3} = \frac{\beta_{\it k}}{L_xL_yL_z}$$

so that the equations (2.11) and (2.12) become

$$\begin{split} T(f^{N}) - T(\tilde{f}^{N}) & \geqslant \frac{4\pi L_{x} L_{y} L_{z} \alpha}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{\sqrt{1 + p_{k}^{2}} - 1}{p_{k}} \bigg[\int_{P_{k}}^{P_{k} + \delta_{k}} p^{3} dp - \int_{P_{k} - \delta_{k}'}^{P_{k}} p^{3} dp \bigg] \geqslant \\ & \geqslant \frac{\alpha L_{x} L_{y} L_{z}}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{\sqrt{1 + p_{k}^{2}} - 1}{p_{k}} \cdot \\ & \cdot \frac{(3/4\pi)(\beta_{k}/L_{x} L_{y} L_{z})^{2}}{(p_{k}^{3} + 3\beta_{k}/4\pi L_{x} L_{y} L_{z})^{\frac{1}{2}} + (p_{k}^{3} + 3\beta_{k}/4\pi L_{x} L_{y} L_{z})^{\frac{1}{2}} p_{k} + p_{k}^{2}} \,. \end{split}$$

By definition of β_k , we obtain

$$\beta_k \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2 \cdot \text{meas } \tilde{A}_k = \frac{4\pi}{3} L_x \cdot L_y \cdot L_z \cdot p_k^3$$

so that

$$\begin{split} T(f^{\text{N}}) - T(\tilde{f}^{\text{N}}) \geqslant \frac{\alpha}{NL_xL_yL_z} \cdot \frac{3}{16\pi \left(\sqrt[3]{4} + \sqrt[3]{2} + 1\right)} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \cdot \\ \cdot \frac{\sqrt{1 + p_k^2} - 1}{p_k^3} \left\| \chi(A_k) - \chi(\tilde{A}_k) \right\|_1^2. \end{split}$$

and using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality

$$\begin{split} T(f^{N}) - T(\tilde{f}^{N}) \geqslant \frac{3}{\alpha L_{x} L_{y} L_{z} \cdot 16\pi \left(\sqrt[3]{4} + \sqrt[3]{2} + 1\right)} \cdot \\ \cdot \left[\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \left(\frac{\sqrt{1 + p_{k}^{2}} - 1}{p_{k}^{3}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\alpha}{N} \left\| \chi(A_{k}) - \chi(\tilde{A}_{k}) \right\|_{1} \right]^{2}. \end{split}$$

As well as in 2 space dimensions, the coefficient of $\alpha/N \|\chi(A_k) - \chi(\tilde{A}_k)\|_1$ approaches a non null value when $p_k \to 0$. Moreover, this coefficient goes to zero when $p_k \to \infty$, and so, as in 2 space dimensions, we must require the compactness of the supports of f and \tilde{f} . In this hypothesis, when $N \to \infty$

$$T(f) - T(\tilde{f}) \geqslant C_3 \|f - \tilde{f}\|_1^2$$

and this completes the proof of Lemma 2.

We note that in the analogous problem of stability for the non relativistic Poisson-Vlasov model [17], in 2 space dimensions, the hypothesis of compactness of the support of the perturbation was not necessary.

PROOF OF LEMMA 3. Let f_t be the time evolution of f_0 by (1.3). Using (1.4) we have

$$T(f_t) + U(f_t) = T(f_0) + U(f_0)$$

and, by positivity of the potential energy

$$T(f_t) \leqslant T(f_0) + U(f_0)$$

Subtracting $T(\tilde{f})$ we have:

(2.14)
$$T(f_t) - T(\tilde{f}) \leqslant [T(f_0) - T(\tilde{f})] + U(f_0)$$

Now

By hypothesis $f_0 \in \mathfrak{I}(\tilde{f}, M)$, so that $f_0 \in \mathfrak{I}(\tilde{f}, M')$, i.e., the integral in the last equation is convergent, and we have the decomposition:

$$(2.15) T(f_0) - T(\tilde{f}) \leq \int d\mathbf{x} \int d\mathbf{p} |f_0 - \tilde{f}| \sqrt{1 + \mathbf{p}^2} + Q(P) \leq \\ \leq \sqrt{1 + P^2} ||f_0 - \tilde{f}||_1 + Q(P)$$

and $Q(P) \to 0$ when $P \to \infty$. (A possible choiche for P is $(\|f_0 - \tilde{f}\|_1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$). Using the periodicity of the boundary conditions,

$$\begin{split} U(f_{\mathbf{0}}) &= \frac{1}{2} \int \! d\boldsymbol{x} \| \nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \varphi_{\mathbf{0}} \|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \int \! d\boldsymbol{x} (\!-\!\varphi_{\mathbf{0}}) \varDelta \varphi_{\mathbf{0}} = \frac{1}{2} \int \! d\boldsymbol{x} \, \varphi_{\mathbf{0}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \, \varrho_{\mathbf{0}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int \! d\boldsymbol{x} \, \varphi_{\mathbf{0}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \left[1 - \int_{\boldsymbol{p}} f_{\mathbf{0}}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p}) \, d\boldsymbol{p} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\boldsymbol{x} \in \boldsymbol{T'}} \! d\boldsymbol{x} \, \varphi_{\mathbf{0}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \int \! d\boldsymbol{p} \, [\tilde{f}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p}) - f_{\mathbf{0}}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p})] = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\boldsymbol{x} \in \boldsymbol{T'}} \! d\boldsymbol{x} \int \! d\boldsymbol{x}' \, G(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}') \varrho_{\mathbf{0}}(\boldsymbol{x}') \int_{\boldsymbol{p} \in \boldsymbol{R'}} \! d\boldsymbol{p} \, [\tilde{f}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p}) - f_{\mathbf{0}}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p})] \end{split}$$

where G is the Green function for Δ with periodic boundary conditions. By the boundedness of $\|\varrho_0(\cdot)\|_{\infty}$, (following by $f_0 \in \mathfrak{I}(\bar{f}, M) \cap L_{\infty}(T^p \times \mathbb{R}^p)$) that implies $f_0 \in L_1(T^p \times \mathbb{R}^p) \cap L_{\infty}(T^p \times \mathbb{R}^p)$), we have

$$(2.16) U(f_{0}) < \frac{1}{2} \| \varrho_{0}(\cdot) \|_{\infty} \cdot \sup_{\boldsymbol{x} \in T^{p}} \int_{\boldsymbol{x}' \in T^{p}} d\boldsymbol{x}' |G(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}')| \int_{\boldsymbol{x} \in T^{p}} d\boldsymbol{x} \cdot \int_{\boldsymbol{x} \in T^{p}} d\boldsymbol{p} |\tilde{f}'(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p}) - f_{0}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p})| = \frac{1}{2} \| \varrho_{0}(\cdot) \|_{\infty} \cdot \sup_{\boldsymbol{x} \in T^{p}} \int_{\boldsymbol{x}' \in T^{p}} d\boldsymbol{x}' |G(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}')| \cdot \|\tilde{f} - f_{0}\|_{1} = c \|\tilde{f} - f_{0}\|_{1}.$$

In concluding, taking (2.15) and (2.16) into account, (2.14) becomes

$$T(f_t) - T(\tilde{f}) \leqslant g(\|\tilde{f} - f_0\|_1)$$

where $g(x) \to 0$ when $x \to 0$.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. A. Arsen'ev, Global existence of a weak solution of Vlasov's system of equations, U.R.S.S. Comput. Math. and Math. Phys., 15 (1) (1975), pp. 131-143.
- [2] A. A. Arsen'ev, Existence and uniqueness of the classical solutions of Vlasov's system of equations, U.R.S.S. Comput. Math. and Math. Phys., 15 (5) (1975), pp. 252-258.
- [3] C. Bardos P. Degond, Global existence for the Vlasov-Poisson equation in three space variables with small initial data, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris, 297, Sez. 1 (1983), p. 131.
- [4] J. Batt, Global symmetric solutions of the initial-value problem in stellar dynamics, J. Diff. Eq., 25 (1977), pp. 342-364.
- [5] J. Batt, The nonlinear Vlasov-Poisson system of partial differential equations in stellar dynamics, Publ. C.N.E.R. Math. Pures Appl. Année 83, vol. 5, fasc. 2 (1983), pp. 1-30.
- [6] J. COOPER, Galerkin approximations for the one-dimensional Vlasov-Poisson equation, Math. Meth. in the Appl. Sci., 5 (1983), pp. 516-529.
- [7] J. COOPER A. KLIMAS, Boundary value problem for the Vlasov-Maxwell equations in one dimension, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 75 (1980), pp. 306-329.
- [8] S. R. DE GROOT C. G. VAN WEERT W. A. VAN LEEUWEN, Relativistic Kinetic Theory. Principles and Application, North Holland, Amsterdam (1980).
- [9] C. S. GARDNER, Bound on the energy available from a plasma, Phys. Fluids,6 (1963), pp. 839-840.
- [10] R. GLASSEY J. SCHAEFFER, On symmetric solutions of the relativistic Vlasov-Poisson system, Comm. Math. Phys., 101 (1985), pp. 459-473.
- [11] R. GLASSEY W. STRAUSS, Singularity formation in a collisionless plasma could occurr only at high velocities, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. (in print).
- [12] D. D. Holm J. E. Marsden T. Ratiu A. Weinstein, Nonlinear stability of fluid and plasma equilibria, Physics Reports, 123 (1985), pp. 1-116.
- [13] E. Horst, On the classical solutions of the initial-value problem for the unmodified nonlinear Vlasov equation I, II, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci., 3 (1981), pp. 229-248; 4 (1982), pp. 19-32.
- [14] E. Horst R. Hunze, Weak solution of the initial-value problem for the unmodified nonlinear Vlasov equation, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci., 6 (1984), pp. 262-279.
- [15] R. Illner H. Neunzert, An existence theorem for the unmodified Vlasov equation, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci., 1 (1979), pp. 530-554.
- [16] C. MARCHIORO M. PULVIRENTI, Some considerations on the nonlinear stability of stationary planar Euler flows, Comm. Math. Phys., 100 (1985). pp. 343-354.