

RENDICONTI  
*del*  
SEMINARIO MATEMATICO  
*della*  
UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

B. GOLDSMITH

**A note on products of infinite cyclic groups**

*Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova*,  
tome 64 (1981), p. 243-246

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP\\_1981\\_\\_64\\_\\_243\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1981__64__243_0)

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1981, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (<http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques  
<http://www.numdam.org/>

## A Note on Products of Infinite Cyclic Groups.

B. GOLDSMITH (\*)

### Introduction.

In his book [2], Fuchs introduces the notion of a subgroup  $X$  of a Specker group  $P$  being a *product* and goes on to establish a Lemma [2, Lemma 95.1] which yields a useful characterization of the quotient  $P/X$  and enables an easy derivation of Nunke's characterization of epimorphic images of the Specker group [4]. Unfortunately this Lemma is incorrect as we show in section 1. In section 2 by suitably strengthening the hypothesis we regain a characterization of the quotient. Throughout, all groups are additively written Abelian groups and our notation follows the standard works of Fuchs [1], [2].

**§ 1.** Suppose  $P = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle e_n \rangle$  is a Specker group, then Fuchs defines a subgroup  $X$  of  $P$  to be a *product*  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle x_n \rangle$  if for every  $m$ , the  $m$ -th coordinates of almost all  $x_n$  are 0 and  $X$  consists of all the formal sums  $\sum s_n x_n$ . To avoid confusion with the usual meaning of product (i.e.  $X$  is a product if it is isomorphic to a cartesian product of infinite cyclic groups) we denote a *product* (in the sense of Fuchs) by  $\sum^*$  and reserve the symbol  $\prod$  for the more usual meaning.

**LEMMA 1.** If  $Y$  is an endomorphic image of  $P$  then  $Y$  is a *product* (in the sense of Fuchs).

(\*) Indirizzo dell'A.: Dublin Institute of Technology, Kevin Street, Dublin 8, Irlanda.

PROOF. Let  $\alpha: P \rightarrow P$  be an endomorphism with  $\text{Im } \alpha = Y$ . Let  $\pi_n$  denote the projection of  $P$  onto  $\langle e_n \rangle$ , and set, for each  $n$ ,  $y_n = e_n \alpha$ . Since  $\langle e_n \rangle$  is slender, the map  $\alpha \pi_n: P \rightarrow \langle e_n \rangle$  maps almost all  $e_i$  to zero i.e.  $y_i \pi_n = e_i \alpha \pi_n = 0$  for all but a finite number of indices  $i$ . Thus for every  $n$ , the  $n$ -th co-ordinates of almost all  $y_i$  are zero and so the set of sums  $\{\sum s_i y_i\}$  is a *product*  $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} * \langle y_i \rangle$  in  $P$ .

Now define  $\beta: P \rightarrow P$  by  $(\dots, n_i e_i, \dots) \beta = \sum n_i y_i$ . For each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $\alpha \pi_n$  and  $\beta \pi_n$  map  $P$  into a slender group and agree on  $S = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle e_i \rangle$ . Hence  $\alpha \pi_n = \beta \pi_n$  for all  $n$ . So  $\alpha = \beta$  and  $Y = \text{Im } \alpha = \text{Im } \beta = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} * \langle y_i \rangle$ , and thus  $Y$  is a *product* (in the sense of Fuchs).

COUNTER-EXAMPLE. With  $P = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle e_i \rangle$ , set  $Y = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle 2^i e_i \rangle$ . Then  $P/Y \cong \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}(2^i)$  and this is a complete module over the ring  $J_2$  of 2-adic integers. Moreover the torsion submodule of this quotient is not dense in the 2-adic topology. Hence it has a direct summand  $H \cong J_2$  and if  $\langle x \rangle$  is dense in  $H$  then  $H/\langle x \rangle$  is divisible. Choose  $y \in P$  such that  $y$  maps onto  $x$  modulo  $Y$  and let  $X = \langle y, Y \rangle$ . Then certainly  $X$  is isomorphic to  $P$  and hence is an endomorphic image of  $P$ . By Lemma 1  $X$  is a *product* (in the sense of Fuchs). However  $P/X \cong \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}(2^i)/\langle x \rangle$  which contains the divisible subgroup  $H/\langle x \rangle$ . However if the conclusion of Lemma 95.1 in [2] were correct then  $P/X$  would be reduced. So  $X$  is clearly a counter-example to the quoted Lemma.

*Acknowledgement.* The above arguments arose from interesting discussions with Peter Neumann and Adolf Mader. The main idea in the counter-example is essentially due to the former.

§ 2. In this section by introducing an appropriate topological concept we can regain some information about quotients. Let  $P = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle e_i \rangle$  and topologize  $P$  with the product topology of the discrete topology on each component. We refer to this topology simply as the product topology on  $P$ . The subgroups  $P_n = \prod_{i=n}^{\infty} \langle e_i \rangle$  are a basis of neighbourhoods of zero.

PROPOSITION 2. If  $X$  is a subgroup of  $P$  which is closed in the product topology then

(i)  $X$  is a product  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} * \langle x^i \rangle$ ;

(ii)  $P/X$  is isomorphic to a cartesian product of cyclic groups.

PROOF. Part (i) is a well-known result due to Nunke [3]. He shows that there are elements  $x^n$  in  $X$  with (a)  $x_i^n = 0$  for  $i < n$ ; (b)  $x_n^n = 0$  if and only if  $x^n = 0$ ; (c)  $x_n^n$  divides  $u_n$  for all  $u$  in  $X \cap P_n$ . (Subscripts denote components in the product  $P$ .) Moreover if  $X$  is closed,  $X = \sum * \langle x^n \rangle$ .

In establishing (ii) we let  $d_n = x_n^n$  in order to simplify notation. Notice that it follows easily from the properties (a), (b), (c) that if  $X = \sum * \langle y^n \rangle$  also, then  $y_n^n = d_n$  and  $x_{n+1}^n - y_{n+1}^n$  is a multiple of  $d_{n+1}$ .

Suppose  $a \in P$  is given by  $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots)$  then we may write

$$a_1 = r_1 d_1 + s_1 \quad \text{where} \quad 0 \leq s_1 < d_1.$$

Also

$$a_2 - r_1 x_2^1 = r_2 d_2 + s_2 \quad \text{where} \quad 0 \leq s_2 < d_2,$$

$$a_3 - r_1 x_3^1 - r_2 x_3^2 = r_3 d_3 + s_3 \quad \text{where} \quad 0 \leq s_3 < d_3, \quad \text{etc.}$$

Define a map  $\varphi$  from  $P$  onto the cartesian product of the cyclic groups of order  $d_i$  by  $\varphi(a) = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, \dots)$ . We must verify that  $\varphi$  is a well-defined homomorphism. Suppose  $X = \sum * \langle y^n \rangle$  then since  $y_1^1 = x_1^1 = d_1$  we get that  $r_1$  and  $s_1$  are uniquely defined.

Now  $a_2 - r_1 y_2^1 = a_2 - r_1(x_2^1 + k d_2) = (r_2 - r_1 k) d_2 + s_2$  (some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) and so  $s_2$  is defined as before. Note that  $x^1 - y^1 - k x^2 \in X$  and so by property (c)  $x_3^1 - y_3^1 - k x_3^2$  is a multiple of  $d_3$ . Making this substitution one easily obtains that  $a_3 - r_1 y_3^1 - (r_2 - r_1 k) y_3^2 \equiv s_3 \pmod{d_3}$  and so  $s_3$  is defined as before. Repeating this type of argument easily gives that  $\varphi$  is well defined. Moreover  $\varphi$  is easily seen to be a homomorphism.

Finally  $\text{Ker } \varphi = \{a \in P \mid s_1 = s_2 = \dots = 0\}$  i.e. if  $a \in \text{Ker } \varphi$  then

$$a_1 = r_1 d_1$$

$$a_2 = r_2 d_2 + r_1 x_2^1$$

$$a_3 = r_3 d_3 + r_2 x_3^2 + r_1 x_3^1, \quad \text{etc.}$$

i.e.  $a = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} r_i x^i$  and so  $\text{Ker } \varphi = X$ .

Hence  $P/X \cong \prod \mathbb{Z}(d_i)$  where  $\mathbb{Z}(d_i)$  is to be interpreted as  $\mathbb{Z}$  if  $d_i = 0$ .

Given Proposition 2 one can easily recover the characterization of homomorphic images of  $P$  (Nunke [4] or Fuchs [2, Prop. 95.2]).

**COROLLARY 3.** Every epimorphic image of  $P$  is the direct sum of a cotorsion group and a direct product of infinite cyclic groups.

**PROOF.** Let  $K$  be a subgroup of  $P$  and let  $\bar{K}$  be the closure of  $K$  in the product topology. From Proposition 2,  $\bar{K}$  is a product, say  $\bar{K} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} * \langle x_i \rangle$  and  $P/\bar{K}$  is a product of cyclic groups. Let  $P = P_1 \oplus P_2$  where  $P_1, P_2$  are the products of the  $\langle e_n \rangle$  with  $d_n \neq 0$  and  $d_n = 0$  respectively. Then  $\bar{K} \leq P_1$  and  $P_1/\bar{K}$  is algebraically compact since it is a product of finite cyclic groups. Since  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle x_i \rangle$  is contained in  $K$ , the quotient  $\bar{K}/K$  is cotorsion and this combined with  $P_1/\bar{K}$  being cotorsion implies  $P_1/K$  is also cotorsion [1, 54 (D)].

#### REFERENCES

- [1] L. FUCHS, *Infinite Abelian Groups*, Vol. I, Academic Press, New York and London, 1970.
- [2] L. FUCHS, *Infinite Abelian Groups*, Vol. II, Academic Press, New York and London, 1973.
- [3] R. J. NUNKE, *On direct products of infinite cyclic groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **13** (1962), pp. 66-71.
- [4] R. J. NUNKE, *Slender groups*, Acta Sci. Math. Szeged, **23** (1962), pp. 67-73.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione il 14 novembre 1980