

RENDICONTI
del
SEMINARIO MATEMATICO
della
UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

H. W. K. ANGAD-GAUR

**The homological dimension of a torsion-free
abelian group of finite rank as a module over
its ring of endomorphisms**

Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova,
tome 57 (1977), p. 299-309

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1977__57__299_0

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1977, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (<http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/legal.php>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

The Homological Dimension of a Torsion-Free Abelian Group of Finite Rank as a Module Over Its Ring of Endomorphisms

by

H. W. K. ANGAD-GAUR *

1. Introduction.

Every abelian group can be considered as a module over its endomorphism ring and it is natural to inquire what its projective dimension is.

Douglas-Farahat [3] proved that the projective dimension is ≤ 1 if the group is torsion or divisible. They described classes of torsion-free groups of finite rank with projective dimension 0 or ∞ . Richman-Walker [7] found mixed groups of projective dimension 2.

The problem whether or not every positive integer can occur as a projective dimension of some group has been solved in the affirmative by Boglev [1]. Using Corner's [2] construction he proved that for every positive integer or ∞ , there exists a reduced, torsion-free group of countable rank with the prescribed dimension.

The question if the same holds for torsion-free groups of finite rank remained open. Here we wish to settle this by proving a result analogous to Boglev's. Our proof is simpler than Boglev's.

(*) Indirizzo dell'A.: Tulane University - Department of Mathematics - New Orleans Louisiana 70118.

2. - In this section we construct for every integer $n \geq 1$, a reduced torsion-free ring of finite rank with 1 whose global dimension is n . In doing so, we use an idea due to Jans ([5] p. 63, exercise 5).

Let A be a left Q_p -module with basis $e_1, \dots, e_{n+1}, m_1, \dots, m_n$ where Q_p denotes the localization of Z at p , i.e. the set of those rational numbers which, in their lowest form, have denominators relatively prime to a fixed prime p . Define the multiplication in A via

$$e_i e_j = \delta_{ij} e_i, e_i m_j = \delta_{ij} m_j, m_i e_j = \delta_{i,j-1} m_i, m_i m_j = 0$$

for $j = 1, \dots, n; i = 1, \dots, n + 1$ where δ is the Kronecker delta. This is enough to extend the multiplication to all of A . Clearly, A becomes in this way a Q_p -algebra with identity $e_1 + \dots + e_{n+1} = 1$. The additive group is reduced torsion-free of finite rank: it is the direct sum of $2n + 1$ copies of Q_p .

The projective dimension of a left R -module M will be denoted by $\dim_R M$. We shall need the following two well-known results.

LEMMA A. *If*

$$0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow D \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence of left R -modules, then

$$\dim_R D \leq \max(\dim_R B, \dim_R C).$$

Equality holds except possibly when $\dim_R C = \dim_R B + 1$.

Proof. See Kaplansky [6], p. 169.

LEMMA B. *If M is a direct sum of modules B_i , then*

$$\dim_R M = \sup \dim_R B_i.$$

Proof. See Kaplansky [6], p. 169, example 4.

We prove a few lemmas before we can find the left global dimension of A .

- LEMMA 1. i) $\dim_A Ae_i = 0$ for all i ,
 ii) $\dim_A Am_i = i - 1$ for all i .

Proof. i) follows from the fact that the e_i 's form a complete set of orthogonal idempotents and hence all Ae_i are projective A -modules.

ii) For $i = 1$: $Ae_1 \cong Am_1$, under an isomorphism which maps e_1 onto m_1 . Application of i) gives the desired result.

$i > 1$: Consider the following A -exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Am_{i-1} \xrightarrow{h} Ae_i \xrightarrow{g} Am_i \rightarrow 0 \tag{1}$$

where g is defined by $g(e_i) = e_i m_i = m_i$ and h is the inclusion map.

For $i = 2$, we get $\dim_A Am_2 \leq 1$. If Am_2 was projective, then Am_2 would be isomorphic to a summand Af of A with f an idempotent. To prove that this is not possible, suppose the contrary. Then under an isomorphism, some rm_2 ($r \in Q_p$) is mapped onto f . We can write

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} q_i e_i + \sum_{j=1}^n r_j m_j \quad \text{with } q_i, r_j \in Q_p.$$

Since for the annihilators we have

$$Ann rm_2 = Ann m_2 = Ann f$$

and

$$Ann m_2 = Ae_1 \oplus Am_1 \oplus Ae_3 \oplus \dots \oplus Ae_{n+1}.$$

we get by a simple calculation that f has the form $f = r_2 m_2$ ($r_2 \in Q_p$). But Am_2 contains no idempotents, so $f \in Am_2$ leads to a contradiction. Thus $\dim_A Am_2 = 1$.

Continuing inductively for $i = 3, 4, \dots$, application of Lemma A to (1) gives $\dim_A Am_i = i - 1$.

LEMMA 2. Suppose k is a non-negative integer and $\mu \in Q_p$; then

- i) $A p^k e_i \cong Ae_i$,
- ii) $A (p^k e_i + \mu m_i) \cong Ae_i$,
- iii) $A p^k m_i \cong Am_i$.

Proof. i) and iii) are obvious since $e_i \mapsto p^k e_i$ and $m_i \mapsto p^k m_i$ induce isomorphisms. To prove ii), note that the map $f: Ae_i \rightarrow A(p^k e_i + \mu m_i)$ defined by $f(e_i) = p^k e_i + \mu m_i$ is an isomorphism.

Let L denote an arbitrary left ideal of A . Then by passing to A/N where N is the ideal of A generated by the m_i 's, L becomes a direct sum: $(L + N)/N = \bigoplus A p^{k_i} (e_i + N)$ for some i 's. By taking coset representatives, $x_i = p^{k_i} e_i + \mu m_i$ ($\mu \in Q_p$) one can now prove:

$$L = B + C \tag{2}$$

where $B = \bigoplus A x_i$ and $C = \bigoplus A p^{l_i} m_i$ with l_i a non-negative integer for some i 's. Let $D = B \cap C$ then $D \cong \bigoplus A m_i$ with some i 's.

LEMMA 3. *For every left ideal L of A , $\dim_A L \leq n$.*

Proof. If we decompose L as in (2) then we can consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow D \xrightarrow{h} B \oplus C \xrightarrow{g} L \rightarrow 0$$

where \bigoplus denotes the outer direct sum, g is the natural epimorphism and $h(d) = (d, -d)$. By Lemmas 1 and B, $\dim_A D \leq n - 1$. By Lemmas 1, 2 and B, $\dim_A (B \oplus C) \leq n - 1$. Now by application of Lemma A to the exact sequence above we get $\dim_A L \leq n$.

We now exhibit a left ideal of dimension n .

LEMMA 4. *If $L_i = A p e_{i+1} + A m_i$ then $\dim_A L_i = i$ ($i = 0, \dots, n$).*

Proof. We prove this by induction on i . If $i = 0$, apply Lemma 2. If $i = 1$, we have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow A e_1 \xrightarrow{f} A e_1 \oplus A e_2 \xrightarrow{g} L_1 \rightarrow 0$$

where $f(e_1) = (p e_1, -m_1 e_2)$ and $g(a e_1, b e_2) = a e_1 m_1 + b p e_2$ ($a, b \in A$). From Lemmas 1 and A we know that $\dim_A L_1 \leq 1$. If the above sequence splits then there exists a homomorphism $h: A e_1 \oplus A e_2 \rightarrow A e_1$ such that $h \circ f = 1$ on $A e_1$. Let $h(e_1, 0) = \lambda e_1$ ($\lambda \in Q_p$). We must have $h(0, e_2) = 0$ since $h(0, e_2) = h(0, e_2^2) = e_2 h(0, e_2) = 0$. Then $h(f(e_1)) = \lambda p e_1 = e_1$ and so p divides 1 in Q_p , a contradiction. Hence the sequence does not split and $\dim_A L_1 = 1$.

For $i > 1$, the inductive step can be applied by observing that the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L_{i-1} \xrightarrow{r} Ae_i \oplus Ae_{i+1} \xrightarrow{s} L_i \rightarrow 0$$

with $r(pe_i) = (pe_i, -m_i)$, $r(m_{i-1}) = (m_{i-1}, 0)$, $s(e_i, 0) = e_i m_i = m_i$ and $s(0, e_{i+1}) = pe_{i+1}$ is A -exact.

We can now prove :

THEOREM 1. *The left global dimension of A is equal to $n + 1$.*

Proof. Because A is not semisimple, left global dimension of $A = \sup \{dim_A L | L \text{ is a left ideal of } A\} + 1$ (see [5], p. 56). The left ideal L_n has, by Lemma 4, projective dimension n . This together with Lemma 3 gives $\sup \{dim_A L | L \text{ is a left ideal of } A\} = n$, and hence left global dimension of A is $n + 1$.

3. - Equip A with the p -adic topology, i.e. A has a linear topology with a neighborhood system consisting of the subgroups $p^k A$ ($k = 1, \dots$). Since A is p -reduced and torsion-free, this topology is Hausdorff. Form its completion \hat{A} in the p -adic topology by considering Cauchy nets or inverse limits (see Fuchs [4]). \hat{A} is a Q_p^* -ring with basis $e_1, \dots, e_{n+1}, m_1, \dots, m_n$ where Q_p^* denotes the ring of the p -adic integers. Since A is a free Q_p -module of finite rank, another way of obtaining \hat{A} is by tensoring A by Q_p^* , i.e. $\hat{A} = A \otimes_{Q_p} Q_p^*$. Since the topology on A is Hausdorff, A can be considered to be a pure subring of \hat{A} . \hat{A} becomes a left A -module, too.

4. - In this section we combine Corner's construction (see Corner [2]) with Bobylev's idea (see Bobylev [1]) in order to find a torsion-free group of finite rank whose endomorphism ring is isomorphic to the ring A described in 2.

First we want to state a lemma which we shall need.

LEMMA C. *If $\varrho_1 a_1 + \dots + \varrho_n a_n = 0$ where $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ and $\varrho_1, \dots, \varrho_n$ are p -adic integers linearly independent over Q_p , then $a_1 = \dots = a_n = 0$.*

Proof. See Corner [2] Lemma 2.1.

Choose in A a Q_p -basis $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2n+1}$ such that $\alpha_1 = 1$. Choose in Q_p^* algebraically independent elements $\varrho_1, \dots, \varrho_{2n+1}, \beta$ over Q_p . Let

$$\varepsilon = \varrho_1 \alpha_1 + \dots + \varrho_{2n+1} \alpha_{2n+1},$$

and define G to be the pure subgroup

$$G = \langle A, A\varepsilon, m_n \beta \rangle_*$$

in \hat{A} . It is clear that G is torsion-free of finite rank. If $\text{End } G$ denotes the endomorphism ring of G , then we claim :

THEOREM 2. $\text{End } G \cong A$.

Proof. G is a left A -module. For if $g \in G$, then for some integer $q \neq 0$,

$$qg = a + b\varepsilon + c\beta m_n \quad \text{with } a, b, c \text{ in } A.$$

Therefore for any d in A ,

$$d(qg) = q(dg) = da + db\varepsilon + dc\beta m_n \in G,$$

and hence by the purity of G , $dg \in G$.

Since $1 \in G$, we have that A is isomorphic to a subring of $\text{End } G$.

It remains to prove that every endomorphism of G is multiplication by some element of A . Let $\eta \in \text{End } G$. Then it is known that η can be extended in a unique way to a Q_p^* -endomorphism $\hat{\eta}$ of \hat{A} . Consider

$$\eta(\varepsilon) = \hat{\eta}(\varepsilon) = \varrho_1 \eta(\alpha_1) + \dots + \varrho_{2n+1} \eta(\alpha_{2n+1})$$

Since $\eta(\varepsilon), \eta(\alpha_i)$ are elements of G , for some integer $q \neq 0$ we have

$$q\eta(\varepsilon) = a + b\varepsilon + c\beta m_n \quad \text{with } a, b, c \text{ in } A$$

and $q\eta(\alpha_i) = a_i + b_i \varepsilon + c_i \beta m_n \quad \text{with } a_i, b_i, c_i \text{ in } A.$

Substitution gives

$$a + b\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} \varrho_i \alpha_i\right) + c \beta m_n = \sum_{k=1}^{2n+1} \varrho_k \left(a_k + b_k \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2n+1} \varrho_j \alpha_j\right) + c_k \beta m_n\right).$$

By our choice of the ϱ_i 's and β , all products of these elements are linearly independent over Q_p , from Lemma C we conclude

$$a = c m_n = c_k m_n = 0, \quad b \alpha_k = a_k, \quad b_k \alpha_j + b_j \alpha_k = 0 \quad \text{for all } j, k.$$

If we let $j = k = 1$ in the last equation then $b_1 = 0$. Letting $j = 1$ in the last equation gives now $b_k = 0$, for all k . Then $q \eta(\alpha_i) = b \alpha_i$. For $i = 1$ this gives $q \eta(1) = b \in A$ and by purity of A , $\eta(1) \in A$.

Consequently, $q \eta(\alpha_i) = q \eta(1) \alpha_i$ and by torsion-freeness

$$\eta(\alpha_i) = \eta(1) \alpha_i \quad \text{for all } i.$$

But then $\hat{\eta}$ is multiplication by $\eta(1)$ on \hat{A} and hence η is multiplication by $\eta(1) \in A$ on G .

5. - In this section we will prove that for $n \geq 2$ the group G constructed in 4 has projective dimension n over its endomorphism ring A .

Consider the following short exact sequence of left A -modules

$$0 \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{k} \hat{A} \xrightarrow{\pi} \hat{A}/G \rightarrow 0 \tag{3}$$

where π is the projection and k is the inclusion map. Let $\bar{\beta} = \pi(\beta e_{n+1})$. Then $\bar{\beta} \neq 0$ in \hat{A}/G , because if $\bar{\beta} = 0$ then $\beta e_{n+1} \in G$ and hence for some integer $q \neq 0$ we have $q(\beta e_{n+1}) = a_1 + a_2 \varepsilon + a_3 \beta m_n$ with a_1, a_2, a_3 in A . By Lemma C of 4, we get $q e_{n+1} = a_3 m_n$. Hence $q = 0$ which is a contradiction.

- LEMMA 5. (i) $\dim_A A e_k \otimes_{Q_p} Q = 1$ for all k ,
 (ii) $\dim_A A m_l \otimes_{Q_p} Q = l$ for all l .

Proof. Since $\dim_{Q_p} Q = 1$, we have an exact sequence of Q_p -modules

$$0 \rightarrow F_1 \xrightarrow{r} F_0 \xrightarrow{s} Q \rightarrow 0 \tag{4}$$

where $F_1 \subseteq F_0$ are free Q_p -modules and r is the inclusion map.

(i) Tensoring the above sequence from the left with the right flat Q_p -module Ae_k , we obtain the exact sequence of left A -modules

$$0 \rightarrow Ae_k \otimes_{Q_p} F_1 \rightarrow Ae_k \otimes_{Q_p} F_0 \rightarrow Ae_k \otimes_{Q_p} Q \rightarrow 0.$$

Since F_0, F_1 are free Q_p -modules, $\dim_A Ae_k \otimes_{Q_p} F_1 = \dim_A Ae_k \otimes_{Q_p} F_0 = 0$ and by Lemma A we obtain that $\dim_A Ae_k \otimes_{Q_p} Q \leq 1$. Since the additive group of $Ae_k \otimes_{Q_p} Q$ is divisible, it cannot be a projective A -module. Hence $\dim_A Ae_k \otimes_{Q_p} Q = 1$.

(ii) We apply induction on l . If $l = 1$, the result follows from the fact that $Am_1 \simeq Ae_1$ and (i). For the case $l = 2$ consider the exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{t} Ae_2 \otimes_{Q_c} F_0 \xrightarrow{g \otimes s} Am_2 \otimes_{Q_p} Q \rightarrow 0 \quad (5)$$

$$0 \rightarrow Am_1 \otimes_{Q_p} F_1 \xrightarrow{v} Ae_2 \otimes_{Q_p} F_1 \oplus Am_1 \otimes_{Q_p} F_0 \xrightarrow{n} M \rightarrow 0 \quad (6)$$

where $M = Ae_2 \otimes_{Q_p} F_1 + Am_1 \otimes_{Q_p} F_0 \subseteq Ae_2 \otimes_{Q_p} F_0$, $t(e_2 \otimes s) = e_2 \otimes r(s)$, $t(m_1 \otimes \bar{s}) = m_1 \otimes \bar{s}$, n denotes the natural epimorphism, $v(m_1 \otimes s) = (m_1 \otimes (-s), m_1 \otimes r(s))$ and g is the map in (1) ($s \in F_1$ and $\bar{s} \in F_0$). From (6) we obtain by the above and Lemma's B and A that $\dim_A M \leq 1$. Suppose by way of contradiction that $\dim_A M = 0$. Then sequence (6) splits and hence there exists a surjection

$$h : Ae_2 \otimes_{Q_p} F_1 \oplus Am_1 \otimes_{Q_p} F_0 \rightarrow Am_1 \otimes_{Q_p} F_1$$

such that $h \circ v = 1$ on $Am_1 \otimes_{Q_p} F_1$. We must have $h(Ae_2 \otimes_{Q_p} F_1) = 0$, because $h(e_2 \otimes s) = h(e_2^2 \otimes s) = e_2 h(e_2 \otimes s) \in e_2(Am_1 \otimes_{Q_p} F_1) = 0$. Then there is a split exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Am_1 \otimes_{Q_p} F_1 \rightarrow Am_1 \otimes_{Q_p} F_0 \rightarrow Am_1 \otimes_{Q_p} Q \rightarrow 0.$$

This is not possible since $Am_1 \otimes_{Q_p} F_0$ is reduced and $Am_1 \otimes_{Q_p} Q$ is divisible. Hence $\dim_A M = 1$. This together with Lemma A gives from (5) that $\dim_A Am_2 \otimes_{Q_p} Q = 2$.

For the case $l > 2$, tensoring (1) from the right by Q gives us the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Am_{i-1} \otimes_{Q_p} Q \rightarrow Ae_i \otimes_{Q_p} Q \rightarrow Am_i \otimes_{Q_p} Q \rightarrow 0.$$

By using the case $l = 2$, (i) and Lemma A we complete the proof of (ii) by applying induction to the above exact sequence on i.

Let L be the left A -submodule in \hat{A}/G generated by $\{\bar{\beta}\}$. Since \hat{A}/G is divisible, we can consider the divisible hull, N , of L . By torsion freeness of \hat{A}/G we obtain $N = L \otimes_{Q_p} Q$.

Note that

$$m_n \bar{\beta} = m_n \pi(\beta e_{n+1}) = \pi(\beta \cdot m_n) = 0.$$

Hence we get the following short exact sequence of left A -modules

$$0 \rightarrow Am_n \xrightarrow{f} Ae_{n+1} \xrightarrow{g} L \rightarrow 0$$

where $g(e_{n+1}) = e_{n+1} \cdot \bar{\beta} = \bar{\beta}$ and f is the inclusion map. Tensoring this sequence from the right by Q gives us the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Am_n \otimes_{Q_p} Q \rightarrow Ae_{n+1} \otimes_{Q_p} Q \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$$

From Lemma's 5 and A we obtain $\dim_A N = n + 1$ ($n > 1$).

Consider the following short exact sequence of left A -modules

$$0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{h} \hat{A}/G \xrightarrow{\pi} (\hat{A}/G)/N \rightarrow 0$$

where π is the projection map and h the inclusion map. If $\dim_A \hat{A}/G = m < n + 1$ then by Lemma A, $\dim_A (\hat{A}/G)/N = n + 2$ which is a contradiction to Theorem 1. If $\dim_A \hat{A}/G = m > n + 1$, then we have a contradiction to Theorem 1.

Hence

$$\dim_A \hat{A}/G = n + 1. \tag{7}$$

Since $\dim_{Q_p} Q_p^* = 1$, we have a short exact sequence of Q_p -modules

$$0 \rightarrow R_1 \rightarrow R_0 \rightarrow Q_p^* \rightarrow 0$$

where R_0 and R_1 are free Q_p -modules. Tensoring from the left by the $A - Q_p$ -bimodule ${}_A A_{Q_p}$ gives the following short exact sequence of A -modules :

$$0 \rightarrow A \otimes_{Q_p} R_1 \rightarrow A \otimes_{Q_p} R_0 \rightarrow A \otimes_{Q_p} Q_p^* \rightarrow 0.$$

The left exactness follows from the fact that A_{Q_p} is torsion-free and hence flat as a right Q_p -module. Since tensor product commutes with direct sums, the first two terms of the sequence are free A -modules. We know that $A \otimes_{Q_p} Q_p^* \cong \hat{A}$. From Lemma A we infer

$$\dim_A \hat{A} \leq 1. \quad (8)$$

From Lemma A, (7) and (8), the exact sequence (3) implies that

$$\dim_A G = n \quad (n \geq 2). \quad (9)$$

We can now formulate our main result :

THEOREM 3. *To every integer $m \geq 0$ there exists a torsion free abelian group of finite rank such that its projective dimension over its endomorphism ring is equal to m .*

Proof. If $m = 0$, use $G = Q$. If $m = 1$, let G be any indecomposable group of rank > 1 such that $\text{End } G \cong Z$ (see [4]).

For $m \geq 2$, use the group G constructed in 4 with $n = m$. Then $n \geq 2$ and by Theorem 2 and (9) we get

$$\dim_{\text{End } G} G = m.$$

Acknowledgement.

The results in this paper are part of my dissertation which I am writing at Tulane University. I want to thank my advisors Prof. L. Fuchs and Prof. W. R. Nico for their generous help.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. V. BOBYLEV, *Endoprojective dimension of modules*, Sibirskii Matematicheskii Zhurnal **16** (1975) no. 4 663-682, 883.
- [2] A. L. S. CORNER, *Every countable reduced torsion-free ring is an endomorphism ring*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **13** (1963) 687-710.
- [3] A. J. DOUGLAS and H. K. FARAHAT, *The homological dimension of an abelian group as a module over its ring of endomorphisms*, Monatsh. Math. **69** (1965), 294-305; Monatsh. Math. **76** (1972), 109-111; Monatsh. Math. **80** (1975), 37-44.
- [4] L. FUCHS, *Infinite Abelian Groups I, II*. Academic Press (1970).
- [5] J. P. JANS, *Rings and Homology*. Holt, Rinehart and Winston (1964).
- [6] I. KAPLANSKY, *Fields and Rings*, The University of Chicago Press (1972).
- [7] F. RICHMAN and E. A. WALKER, *Homological dimension of abelian groups over their endomorphism rings*, Proc. American Math. Soc. **54** (1976), 65-68.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione il 13 aprile 1977 e in forma revisionata il 30 gennaio 1978.