

# RENDICONTI *del* SEMINARIO MATEMATICO *della* UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

ANDREA SCHIAFFINO

## **Compactness methods for quasi-linear evolution-equations**

*Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova*,  
tome 55 (1976), p. 151-166

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP\\_1976\\_\\_55\\_\\_151\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1976__55__151_0)

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1976, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (<http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques  
<http://www.numdam.org/>

## Compactness Methods for Quasi-Linear Evolution-Equations.

ANDREA SCHIAFFINO (\*)

### Introduction.

Let  $X$  be a complex Banach space with norm  $|\cdot|$  and let  $-A$  be the infinitesimal generator of the strongly-continuous semigroup  $\{\exp[-tA]; t \geq 0\}$ .

In this paper we consider the existence of a solution to the integral equation

$$(PB1) \quad u(t) = \exp[-tA]x_0 - \int_0^t \exp[-(t-s)A]F(u(s)) ds, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where  $F$  is a continuous function from  $K \subset X$  into  $X$ .

A solution of (PB1) is called a «mild» solution to the abstract Cauchy problem

$$(PB2) \quad u'(t) + Au(t) + F(u(t)) = 0 \quad u(0) = x_0.$$

A solution of (PB2) is called a «strict» solution; it is well known that a strict solution is also a mild solution and that a mild solution is strict if it is differentiable.

In [11] are given some techniques to set up approximate solutions to (PB1) and in [7], [8], [9] and [11] are given criteria for the exi-

---

(\*) Indirizzo dell'A.: Istituto Matematico «G. Castelnuovo», Città Universitaria, Roma.

stence of solutions; these criteria use hypotheses on  $F$ ; we will study sufficient conditions for  $A$  in the case that  $F$  verify only the hypothesis (considered in [9])

$$(HP1) \quad \lim' t^{-1} d(x - tF(x), K) = 0$$

where  $d(x, K) = \text{g.l.b.} \{|y - x|; y \in K\}$ .

Moreover we consider the following hypothesis

$$(HP2) \quad \exp[-tA]K \subset K, \quad t \geq 0.$$

The main result of this paper is the following theorem

**THEOREM 1.** Let us suppose

- i)  $K$  is convex and locally closed;  $x_0 \in K$ .
- ii)  $-A$  is the infinitesimal generator of an analytical semigroup.
- iii)  $\exp[-tA]$  is compact for every  $t > 0$ .
- iv) (HP1) and (HP2) hold.

Then a local solution to (PB1) exists. Moreover a global solution to (PB1) exists if  $F(K)$  is bounded. The solution is strict if  $x_0 \in K \cap \cap D(A)$  and  $F$  is locally Hölder-continuous.

To prove this theorem we construct approximate continuous solutions  $u_\varepsilon \in K$  to (PB1), such that

$$u(t) = \exp[-tA]x_0 - \int_0^t \exp[-(t-s)A]F(u_\varepsilon(s)) ds + \\ + \int_0^t \exp[-(t-s)A]v_\varepsilon(s) ds$$

where  $v_\varepsilon$  are piecewise-continuous functions satisfying  $|v_\varepsilon(t)| < \varepsilon$ .

The construction of  $u_\varepsilon(t)$  is given in section 2 in which we use some lemmas proved in section 1. Our construction is different by the one given in [11] because we suppose that  $K$  is a convex set; this hypothesis is necessary, in our case, to construct  $u_\varepsilon(t)$  in  $K$ .

The proof of theorem 1 is given in section 3; in section 4 we give some examples concerning non-linear perturbation of heat equation.

**1. – Preliminary results.**

In this section we prove some technical lemmas, in order to construct approximate solutions to (PB1). Throughout this paper we suppose that (HP1) and (HP2) hold and that  $K$  is a convex locally-closed set.

LEMMA 1. For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  the function

$$(1) \quad h(\varepsilon, x) = \text{l.u.b.} \{h > 0 : d(x - hF(x), K) < h\varepsilon\}$$

is lower semicontinuous (lsc.).

PROOF. We first remark that the application  $h \rightarrow h^{-1}d(x - hF(x), K)$  is increasing, due to the convexity of  $K$ , for every  $u \in K$ . Let  $x_0 \in K$ ,  $h \in ]0, h(\varepsilon, x_0)[$  and

$$\varepsilon' = h^{-1}d(x_0 - hF(x_0), K) < \varepsilon ;$$

let  $x$  belong to  $K$ , then

$$h^{-1}d(x - hF(x), K) \leq h^{-1}|x - x_0| + |F(x) - F(x_0)| + \varepsilon' < \varepsilon$$

if  $h^{-1}|x - x_0| + |F(x) - F(x_0)| < \varepsilon - \varepsilon'$ ; then, for the continuity of  $F$ , the lemma follows.

LEMMA 2. If we define

$$(2) \quad \sigma'(\varepsilon, x) = \\ = \text{l.u.b.} \{T > 0 : \min \{h(\varepsilon, \exp[-sA]x) - 2T ; s \in [0, T]\} > 0\}$$

then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the function  $\sigma'(\varepsilon, \cdot)$  is lsc. on  $K$ .

PROOF. Let  $x_0 \in K$  and  $T \in ]0, \sigma'(\varepsilon, x_0)[$ ; we have

$$h(\varepsilon, \exp[-sA]x_0) > 2T, \quad s \in [0, T].$$

It is obvious that  $h(\varepsilon, \exp[-sA]x)$  is lsc. in  $K \times \bar{R}_+$  and, because the compactness of  $[0, T]$ , it exists a covering  $\{]t_i - \delta_i, t_i + \delta_i[ \}_{i=1, \dots, n}$

of  $[0, T]$  such that

$$h(\varepsilon, \exp[-sA]x) > 2T, \quad |s - t_i| < \delta_i, \quad |x - x_0| < \delta_i;$$

let  $\delta_0 = \min(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n)$  and  $x \in K \cap B(x_0, \delta_0)$ , so we have

$$h(\varepsilon, \exp[-sA]x) > 2T$$

and the lemma is proved.

**LEMMA 3.** Let  $M$  and  $M'$  be two metric spaces and let  $d$  and  $d'$  denote their respective metrics. Let  $G: M \rightarrow M'$  be continuous; we define

$$(3) \quad \varrho(\varepsilon, x) = \text{l.u.b.} \{ \varrho > 0 : \omega(G, B(x, \varrho)) < \varepsilon \},$$

where

$$\omega(G, B(x, \varrho)) = \text{l.u.b.} \{ d'(G(y), G(z)), y, z \in B(x, \varrho) \}.$$

Let  $\sigma: M \rightarrow R_+$  be lsc.; then the function  $x \rightarrow \varrho(\sigma(x), x)$  is lsc..

**PROOF.** Let  $x_0 \in M$ ,  $\varrho_0 = \varrho(\sigma(x_0), x_0)$  and  $\varrho' \in ]0, \varrho_0[$ . We have

$$\sigma' = \omega(G, B(x_0, (\varrho_0 + \varrho')/2)) < \sigma(x_0)$$

therefore there exists  $r \in ]0, (\varrho_0 - \varrho')/2[$  such that  $\sigma(x) > \sigma'$ ,  $\forall x \in B(x_0, r)$ .

If  $x \in B(x_0, r)$  we have:  $B(x, \varrho') \subset B(x_0, (\varrho_0 + \varrho')/2)$ , therefore  $\omega(G, B(x, \varrho')) \leq \sigma' < \sigma(x)$ ; consequently  $\varrho(\sigma(x), x) \geq \varrho'$  and the assertion of lemma follows.

In the following we use the functions

$\sigma''(\varepsilon, x)$  defined by (3) in the case

$$M = K \quad M' = X \quad G(x) = F(x),$$

$\varrho'(\varepsilon; x, t)$  defined by (3) in the case

$$M = K \times \bar{R}_+ \quad M' = X \quad G(x, t) = \exp[-tA]x,$$

$\varrho''(\varepsilon; x, t)$  defined by (3) in the case

$$M = K \times \bar{R}_+ \quad M' = X \quad G(x, t) = F(\exp[-tA]x).$$

Let us remark that all the functions

$$h(\varepsilon, x), \quad \sigma'(\varepsilon, x), \quad \sigma''(\varepsilon, x), \quad \varrho'(\varepsilon; x, t), \quad \varrho''(\varepsilon; x, t)$$

are lsc. for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

LEMMA 4. Let  $x$  belong to  $K$  and  $T > 0$ ; let moreover suppose

$$T \leq \min\{\sigma'(\varepsilon, x); \varrho'(\varrho''(\varepsilon; x, 0); x, 0)\}$$

then it exists a Lipschitz-continuous function  $y(s): [0, T] \rightarrow K$  such that

$$(4) \quad |\exp[-sA]x - TF(x) - y(s)| < 2\varepsilon T \quad s \in [0, T].$$

PROOF. Let us remark that

$$d(\exp[-sA]x - TF(x), K) \leq d(\exp[-sA]x - TF(\exp[-sA]x), K) + \\ + T|F(\exp[-sA]x) - F(x)| < 2\varepsilon T.$$

Let  $c_0 = 2\varepsilon T - \max_{[0, T]} d(\exp[-sA]x - TF(x), K) > 0$ ; let us choose  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = T$  in such a way that

$$\omega(\exp[-sA]x - TF(x), [t_{i-1}, t_i]) < c_0/4.$$

Let  $x_i = \exp[-t_i A]x - TF(x)$  and  $y_i \in K$  in such way that  $|x_i - y_i| < c_0/4$  and, finally, we can define

$$y(s) = y_{i-1} + \frac{s - t_{i-1}}{t_i - t_{i-1}}(y_i - y_{i-1}) \quad s \in [t_{i-1}, t_i].$$

Then we have

$$|\exp[-sA]x - TF(x) - y(s)| < 2\varepsilon T - c_0/2 + \\ + \left| \exp[-sA]x - TF(x) - x_i - \frac{s - t_{i-1}}{t_i - t_{i-1}} \right| < 2\varepsilon T$$

and the lemma follows.

## 2. - Approximate solutions.

If  $x_0$  belongs to  $K$ , there exist three positive numbers  $r$ ,  $M$ ,  $N$  such that  $K \cap B(x_0, 2r)$  is closed and

$$\begin{aligned} |F(x)| &\leq M & x \in K \cap B(x_0, 2r), \\ \|\exp[-tA]\| &\leq N & 0 \leq t \leq r/M. \end{aligned}$$

Now we can consider the function  $\sigma^m(\varepsilon, x) = \min(r, \sigma''(\varepsilon, x))$  and define the lsc. function ( $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $x \in K \cap B(x_0, r)$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} T(\varepsilon, x) = \min\{ &\varrho'(\varrho''(\varepsilon; x, 0); x, 0); \sigma'(\varepsilon, x); \\ &\varrho'(\sigma^m(\varepsilon, x)/2; x, 0); \sigma^m(\varepsilon, x)/N(M + \varepsilon)\}. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 5. If  $x \in K \cap B(x_0, r)$  it exists  $u_\varepsilon(t) \in C^0[0, T(\varepsilon, x); X]$  such that  $u_\varepsilon(t) \in K \cap B(x_0, 2r)$  and

$$(1) \quad u_\varepsilon(t) = \exp[-tA]x - \int_0^t \exp[-(t-s)A]F(u_\varepsilon(s)) ds + \\ + \int_0^t \exp[-(t-s)A]v_\varepsilon(s) ds$$

where  $v_\varepsilon$  is a continuous function verifying  $|v_\varepsilon(t)| < 2\varepsilon$ .

PROOF. Let us write  $T = T(\varepsilon, x)$  and give

$$u_\varepsilon(t) = \exp[-tA]x - T^{-1} \int_0^t \exp[-(t-s)A](\exp[-sA]x - y(s)) ds$$

where  $y(s)$  is given by lemma 4. We have

$$u_\varepsilon(t) = t^{-1} \int_0^t \exp[-(t-s)A] \left[ \exp[-sA]x + \frac{t}{T} (y(s) - \exp[-sA]x) \right] ds$$

therefore  $u_\varepsilon(t) \in K$  because, being  $K$  a convex set, the mean value theorem holds. Now we have

$$|u_\varepsilon(t) - x| \leq |\exp[-tA]x - x| + tN(M + \varepsilon) \leq \sigma'''(\varepsilon, x)$$

therefore  $|F(u_\varepsilon(t)) - F(x)| \leq \varepsilon$  because  $\sigma'''(\varepsilon, x) \leq \sigma''(\varepsilon, x)$ .

Moreover

$$|u_\varepsilon(t) - x_0| \leq |x - x_0| + \sigma'''(\varepsilon, x) \leq 2r$$

because  $\sigma'''(\varepsilon, x) \leq r$ .

Finally let us define

$$v_\varepsilon(t) = F(u_\varepsilon(t)) - T^{-1}(\exp[-tA]x - y(t)) ;$$

then

$$|v_\varepsilon(t)| \leq |F(u_\varepsilon(t)) - F(x)| + |F(x) - T^{-1}(\exp[-tA]x - y(t))| < 2\varepsilon$$

and the lemma follows.

An analogous statement of this lemma is the following: for every  $x \in K \cap B(x_0, r)$  there exist  $T(\varepsilon, x)$  and  $u_\varepsilon(t)$  verifying:

- i)  $T(\varepsilon, x) > 0$  is lsc. in  $K \cap B(x_0, r)$ ,
- ii)  $u_\varepsilon(t) \in C^0(0, T(\varepsilon, x); X)$  and  $u_\varepsilon(t) \in K$ ,
- iii)  $u_\varepsilon(t)$  verifies (1).

We can now prove the following

**THEOREM 2.** Let (HP1) and (HP2) hold; then for every  $x_0 \in K$  there exist  $T = T(x_0) > 0$  and  $u_\varepsilon \in C^0(0, T; X)$  verifying (1) with  $v_\varepsilon$  piecewise-continuous.

**PROOF.** Let us use the symbols of previous lemma and pose  $T = r/M$ .

For  $x \in K$  such that  $|x - x_0| \leq r$  let  $u_\varepsilon(t, x)$  be the function introduced by lemma 5.

If there exist  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  such that

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} t_1 = T(\varepsilon, x_0), \\ x_{i+1} = u_\varepsilon(T(\varepsilon, x_i), x_i), t_{i+1} = t_i + T(\varepsilon, x_i), i = 0, \dots, n-1 \end{cases}$$

and  $t_{n-1} < r/M \leq t_n$ , we can define

$$u_\varepsilon(t) = u_\varepsilon(t - t_{i-1}, x_{i-1}) \quad t \in [t_{i-1}, t_i],$$

and the thesis follows.

Now let us assume that a finite sequence as above cannot be found. Then the (2) define two sequences  $\{t_n\}$  and  $\{x_n\}$  where  $\{t_n\}$  is increasing and  $t_n \rightarrow t_0 \leq r/M$ ; the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  verifies

$$x_{n+1} = \exp[-(t_{n+1} - t_n)A]x_n + \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \exp[-(t_{n+1} - s)A]H(s) ds$$

where  $H(s)$  is piecewise-continuous and bounded by  $M + \varepsilon$ .

By induction

$$x_n = \exp[-t_n A]x_0 + \int_0^{t_n} \exp[-(t_n - s)A]H(s) ds.$$

Now we can evaluate  $|x_{n+p} - x_n|$ ;

$$\begin{aligned} |x_{n+p} - x_n| &\leq |\exp[-t_{n+p}A]x_0 - \exp[-t_nA]x_0| + \\ &+ \left| \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+p}} \exp[-(t_{n+p} - s)A]H(s) ds \right| + \\ &+ \left| \int_0^{t_n} \exp[-(t_{n+p} - t_n)A] - \exp[-(t_n - s)A]H(s) ds \right|. \end{aligned}$$

The first two terms go to zero as  $n$  and  $n + p$  diverge; the third term goes also to zero for the Lebesgue convergence theorem; thus  $\{x_n\}$  converges. Let  $x$  be its limit, then

$$0 < T(\varepsilon, x) \leq \lim' T(\varepsilon, x_n) = \lim' (t_{n+1} - t_n) = 0$$

which is impossible and the theorem follows.

**3. – The proof of the existence theorem.**

Throughout this section we assume that the hypotheses of theorem 1 hold and we use the notations introduced in theorem 2.

LEMMA 6. Let  $\sigma \in ]0, T[$  and  $C \subset X$  a bounded set; then the set

$$E_\sigma = \bigcup_{t \in [\sigma, T]} \exp[-tA]C$$

is relatively compact.

PROOF. Let  $x_n = \exp[-t_n A]c_n$  ( $t_n \in [\sigma, T], c_n \in C$ ) be a sequence in  $E_\sigma$ ; we can suppose  $t_n \rightarrow t \in [\sigma, T]$  and  $\exp[-t_n A]c_n \rightarrow x \in X$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |x_n - x| &\leq |\exp[-t_n A]c_n - \exp[-tA]c_n| + |\exp[-tA]c_n - x| \leq \\ &\leq \|\exp[-t_n A] - \exp[-tA]\| |c_n| + |\exp[-tA]c_n - x| \end{aligned}$$

which goes to zero because the semigroup is analytical and  $\{c_n\}$  is bounded.

LEMMA 7. Let us define

$$w_\varepsilon(t) = \int_0^t \exp[-(t-s)A]F(u_\varepsilon(s)) ds ;$$

then it exists a sequence  $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$  such that  $w_{\varepsilon_n}(t)$  is uniformly convergent.

PROOF. Let  $\sigma \in ]0, T[$  and define

$$\begin{aligned} w'_{\varepsilon,\sigma}(t) &= \begin{cases} w_\varepsilon(t) & t \leq \sigma \\ \int_{t-\sigma}^t \exp[-(t-s)A]F(u_\varepsilon(s)) ds & t > \sigma \end{cases} \\ w''_{\varepsilon,\sigma}(t) &= \begin{cases} 0 & t \leq \sigma \\ \int_0^{t-\sigma} \exp[-(t-s)A]F(u_\varepsilon(s)) ds & t > \sigma. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The functions  $w'_{\varepsilon,\sigma}$  and  $w''_{\varepsilon,\sigma}$  are continuous and their sum is  $w_\varepsilon$ . Let us consider the set  $E_\sigma$  introduced in lemma 6 in the case  $C = F(B(x_0, r))$  and the closed convex hull  $D_\sigma$  of the set

$$\bigcup_{\tau \in [0, T]} \tau E_\sigma.$$

It is obvious that  $D_\sigma$  is compact and  $w''_{\varepsilon,\sigma}(t) = 0 \in D_\sigma$  for  $t \leq \sigma$ ; if  $t > \sigma$

$$w''_{\varepsilon,\sigma}(t) = \int_0^{t-\sigma} \exp[-(t-s)A] F(u_\sigma(s)) ds \in D_\sigma$$

for the convexity of  $D_\sigma$  and the mean value theorem.

To apply Ascoli's theorem we remark that

$$\frac{d}{dt} w''_{\varepsilon,\sigma}(t) = \exp[-\sigma A] F(u_\varepsilon(t-\sigma)) - \int_0^{t-\sigma} A \exp[-(t-s)A] F(u_\varepsilon(s)) ds$$

and

$$\left| \frac{d}{dt} w''_{\varepsilon,\sigma}(t) \right| \leq N(M + \varepsilon) + T \frac{N}{\sigma} (M + \varepsilon)$$

therefore, for fixed  $\sigma$ ,  $w''_{\varepsilon,\sigma}$  describes a compact set in  $C^0(0, T; X)$ .

Let us now consider a sequence  $\sigma_k \rightarrow 0$ ; by the diagonal method we can construct a subsequence of  $\{\varepsilon_n\}$ , let us call it still  $\{\varepsilon_n\}$ , such that  $w''_{\varepsilon_n, \sigma_k}$  is uniformly convergent in  $[0, T]$  for every  $k$ .

For every  $k$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |w_{\varepsilon_n}(t) - w_{\varepsilon_m}(t)| &\leq |w'_{\varepsilon_n, \sigma_k}(t) - w'_{\varepsilon_m, \sigma_k}(t)| + |w''_{\varepsilon_n, \sigma_k}(t) - w''_{\varepsilon_m, \sigma_k}(t)| \leq \\ &\leq 2\sigma_k M^2 + |w''_{\varepsilon_n, \sigma_k}(t) - w''_{\varepsilon_m, \sigma_k}(t)| \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} |w_{\varepsilon_n}(t) - w_{\varepsilon_m}(t)| \leq 2\sigma_k M^2$$

uniformly in  $t$  and for every  $k$ .

Because we can choose  $\sigma_k$  arbitrarily small, the lemma follows.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. By lemma 7 the sequence  $u_{\varepsilon_n}(t)$  converges uniformly to

$$u(t) = \exp[-tA]x_0 - w(t)$$

where  $w(t) = \lim w_{\varepsilon_n}(t)$ ; now

$$w(t) = \lim \int_0^t \exp[-(t-s)A] F(u_{\varepsilon_n}(s)) ds ;$$

let us note that  $F(u_{\varepsilon_n}(s)) \rightarrow F(u(s))$  pointwise and  $|F(u_{\varepsilon_n}(s))| \leq M$ ; by dominated convergence theorem

$$w(t) = \exp[-tA]x_0 - \int_0^t \exp[-(t-s)A] F(u(s)) ds$$

and theorem 1 follows.

REMARK 1. If  $F(K)$  is bounded we can choose  $r > 0$  arbitrarily large, so a maximal solution of (PB1) is defined for every  $t \geq 0$ .

REMARK 2. Because the analyticity of  $\exp[-tA]$ ,  $u(t)$  is Hölder continuous, see [3]. If  $F$  is locally Hölder continuous, also  $F(u(t))$  is Hölder continuous. Therefore (see [3]), if  $x_0 \in K \cap D(A)$ ,  $u(t)$  is a classical solution of (PB1) and  $du/dt$ ,  $Au$  are Hölder continuous.

#### 4. - The case of quasi-linear heat equation.

In the following we denote by  $\Omega$  a bounded open set in  $R^n$  whose boundary  $\partial\Omega$  is regular and by  $\alpha(x)$  and  $\beta(x)$  two real continuous functions defined in  $\bar{\Omega}$  such that  $\alpha(x) < \beta(x)$ . Let us consider the compact domain in  $R^{n+1}$

$$D = \{(x, u) \in \bar{\Omega} \times R: \alpha(x) \leq u \leq \beta(x)\}$$

and the convex sets

$$K = \{u \in C^0(\bar{\Omega}): \alpha(x) \leq u(x) \leq \beta(x)\},$$

$$K_p = \{u \in L^p(\Omega): \alpha(x) \leq u(x) \leq \beta(x) \text{ a.e.}\}.$$

Let us consider a real (necessary bounded) continuous function  $f(x, u)$  defined on  $D$  and the function

$$(1) \quad (Fu)(x) = f(x, u(x))$$

defined on  $K$  or  $K_p$ .

LEMMA 8. Let  $X = C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ , the function  $F: K \rightarrow X$  defined by (1) is continuous; moreover  $F$  verifies the condition (HP1) iff

$$(2) \quad f(x, \alpha(x)) \leq 0, \quad f(x, \beta(x)) \geq 0.$$

PROOF. It is obvious that  $F$  is continuous. Let us first note that

$$d(v, K) = \max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} |v(x) - v_K(x)|$$

where

$$(3) \quad v_K(x) = \begin{cases} \alpha(x) & \alpha(x) \geq v(x) \\ v(x) & \alpha(x) \leq v(x) \leq \beta(x) \\ \beta(x) & v(x) \leq \beta(x). \end{cases}$$

*The condition (2) is necessary.* Let us suppose  $f(x_0, \alpha(x_0)) > 0$ ,  $x_0 \in \bar{\Omega}$ . In the case  $v(x) = \alpha(x) - tf(x, \alpha(x))$  ( $t > 0$ ), we have  $v(x_0) < \alpha(x_0)$  and  $v_K(x_0) = \alpha(x_0)$ . Then

$$d(\alpha - tF\alpha, K) = |v - v_K| \geq v_K(x_0) - v(x_0) = tf(x_0, \alpha(x_0))$$

and

$$\lim t^{-1} d(\alpha - tF\alpha, K) \geq f(x_0, \alpha(x_0)) > 0$$

and (HP1) doesn't hold.

*The condition (2) is sufficient.* On the contrary there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$   $u \in K$  and a sequence  $t_n \rightarrow 0$ , such that  $d(u - t_n Fu, K) \geq \varepsilon t_n$ .

Let us pose  $v_n = (u - t_n Fu)_K$ , then

$$(4) \quad |u - t_n Fu - v_n| \geq \varepsilon t_n.$$

Therefore  $v_n(x_n) = \alpha(x_n)$  or  $v_n(x_n) = \beta(x_n)$  so we can suppose, eventually keeping in mind a subsequence, that  $v_n(x_n) = \alpha(x_n)$  and  $x_n \rightarrow$

$\rightarrow x \in \bar{\Omega}$ . By (4) we have

$$(4') \quad \alpha(x_n) - u(x_n) + t_n f(x_n, \alpha(x_n)) > \varepsilon t_n$$

and

$$\alpha(x_n) \leq u(x_n) < \alpha(x_n) + t_n f(x_n, \alpha(x_n)) - \varepsilon t_n$$

therefore  $\alpha(x) = u(x)$ .

From (4')

$$0 \geq t_n^{-1}(\alpha(x_n) - u(x_n)) \geq \varepsilon - f(x_n, \alpha(x_n))$$

that is impossible because  $f(x_n, \alpha(x_n)) \rightarrow f(x, \alpha(x)) \leq 0$ ; the lemma follows.

**LEMMA 9.** Let  $X = L^p(\bar{\Omega})$ ,  $1 \leq p < +\infty$ ; the function  $F: K_p \rightarrow X$  defined by (1) is continuous; moreover  $F$  verify the condition (HP1) iff (2) holds.

**PROOF.** The function  $F$  is continuous because of the Lebesgue convergence theorem. Let us first note that, also in this case,  $d(v, K_p) = |v - v_K|$  where  $v_K$  is defined by (3).

*The condition (2) is necessary.* Let  $u$  belong to  $K_p$ . Let us consider the functions

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_i^+(x) &= \begin{cases} 0 & u(x) - tf(x, u(x)) \leq \beta(x) \\ 1 & u(x) - tf(x, u(x)) > \beta(x) \end{cases} \\ \psi_i^-(x) &= \begin{cases} 0 & u(x) - tf(x, u(x)) \geq \alpha(x) \\ 1 & u(x) - tf(x, u(x)) < \alpha(x) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|d(u - tFu, K)|^p = \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \{ \psi_i^-(x) |u(x) - tf(x, u(x)) - \alpha(x)|^p + \psi_i^+(x) |u(x) - tf(x, u(x)) - \beta(x)|^p \} dx \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(5) \quad \left| \frac{d(u - tF(u), K)}{t} \right|^p = \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \psi_i^-(x) \left| \frac{u(x) - \alpha(x)}{t} - f(x, u(x)) \right|^p + \psi_i^+(x) \left| \frac{\beta(x) - u(x)}{t} + f(x, u(x)) \right|^p \right\} dx.$$

Let us consider  $u(x) = \alpha(x)$  and  $E = \{x \in \bar{\Omega} : f(x, \alpha(x)) > 0\}$ ; now  $\psi_i^-(x) = 1$  on  $E$  and

$$|t^{-1}d(u - tF(u), K)|^p \geq \int_E |f(x, \alpha(x))|^p dx$$

therefore  $\text{mis } E = 0$  and the thesis follows.

*The condition is sufficient.* Let  $u$  belong to  $K_p$ . Let us prove that for every  $x$ , it exists  $t_x > 0$  such that  $\psi_i^-(x) = \psi_i^+(x) = 0$ . In fact if  $u(x) = \beta(x)$ , by (3):  $u(x) - tf(x, u(x)) = \beta(x) - tf(x, \beta(x)) \leq \beta(x)$  and  $\psi_i^+(x) = 0$ ; if  $u(x) < \beta(x)$  and  $t$  is small  $u(x) - tf(x, u(x)) \leq \beta(x)$ ; analogously we proceed for  $\psi_i^-(x)$ . Then the integrand function in (5) goes to zero punctually.

In order to use Lebesgue's convergence theorem we must prove, for instance, that

$$\psi_i^-(x) \left| \frac{u(x) - \alpha(x)}{t} - f(x, u(x)) \right|^p$$

is bounded by  $|f(x, u(x))|^p$ .

If  $\psi_i^-(x) = 0$  we have nothing to prove; if  $\psi_i^-(x) = 1$  we have  $u(x) - tf(x, u(x)) < \alpha(x)$ , and, by (3),  $u(x) > \alpha(x)$ ; then

$$0 < \frac{u(x) - \alpha(x)}{t} < f(x, u(x))$$

therefore  $f(x, u(x)) - t^{-1}(u(x) - \alpha(x)) < f(x, u(x))$ ; the lemma follows.

**THEOREM 3.** Let (3) hold; moreover

- i)  $\alpha, \beta \in W^{1,1}(\Omega)$ ,
- ii)  $\Delta\alpha < 0$ ,  $\Delta\beta > 0$ ,
- iii)  $\alpha(x) \leq 0 \leq \beta(x)$ ,  $x \in \partial\Omega$ .

Let us consider a measurable (necessarily bounded) function  $u_0(x)$  verifying  $\alpha(x) \leq u_0(x) \leq \beta(x)$  a.e., that is  $u_0 \in K_p$  ( $p > 1$ ). Then, in every  $L^p(\Omega)$  a global strict solution to the quasi-linear heat equation exists

$$(6) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u + f(x, u(t, x)) = 0 & x \in \Omega, \quad t \geq 0 \\ u(t, x) = 0 & x \in \partial\Omega, \quad t \geq 0 \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x) & x \in \Omega. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. Let  $X = L^p(\Omega)$  and  $A = -\Delta(D\Delta) = W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ ; we remark that (HP2) holds because of the maximum principle; thus for theorem 1 and lemma 9 we can conclude that a local mild solution to equation (6) exists. We note that  $F(K_p)$  is bounded in  $L^\infty$ -norm, therefore also in  $L^p$ -norm; then we conclude that a global solution to equation (6) exists.

After let  $v(t) = -f(x, u(t, x)) \in C^0(0, \infty; L^p(\Omega)) \subset L^p_{loc}(0, \infty; L^p(\Omega))$ ; thus  $u$  is a mild solution to the problem

$$u(0) = u_0, \quad u'(t) - \Delta u(t) = v(t)$$

and, for a well-known result by Aganovic-Vishik (see [1]),  $u$  is a strict solution.

REMARK. If, in addition to the hypotheses of theorem 3, we suppose

$$|f(x, u_2) - f(x, u_1)| \leq L|u_2 - u_1|^\alpha, \\ (x, u_i) \in D \quad (i = 1, 2); \quad L > 0; \quad \alpha \in ]0; 1]$$

we have  $\partial u / \partial t, \Delta u \in C^\infty(0, \infty; L^p(\Omega))$ .

PROOF. The function  $F$  is holder-continuous in  $K_p$ ; in fact, if  $u_1, u_2 \in K_p$

$$|F(u_2) - F(u_1)|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p = \int_{\Omega} |f(x, u_2(x)) - f(x, u_1(x))|^p dx \leq \\ \leq L^p \int_{\Omega} |u_2(x) - u_1(x)|^{p\alpha} dx \leq L^p (\text{mis } \Omega)^{1-\alpha} \left( \int_{\Omega} |u_2(x) - u_1(x)|^p dx \right)^{\alpha}.$$

The thesis follows from remark 2 of theorem 1.

### REFERENCES

[1] M. S. AGRANOVICH - M. I. VISHIK, *Problèmes elliptiques avec paramètre et problèmes paraboliques de type general*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk, **19**, no. 3 (1964), pp. 53-161 (Russian Math. Surv., **19**, no. 3 (1964), pp. 53-157).  
 [2] G. DA PRATO, *Sommes d'applications non-linéaires*, Ist. Naz. Alta Mat., Roma Symp. Math., **7** (1971).

- [3] G. DA PRATO - P. GRISVARD, *Sommes d'opérateurs linéaires et équations différentielles opérationnelles*, Jour. de Math. Pures et Appl., **54** (1975).
- [4] M. IANNELLI, *A note on some non-linear non-contraction semigroup*, Boll. U.M.I., no. 6 (1970), pp. 1015-1025.
- [5] J. L. LIONS, *Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires*, Paris, Dunod (1969).
- [6] J. L. LIONS - E. MAGENES, *Problèmes aux limites non homogènes et applications*, vol. 1 e 2, Paris, Dunod, 1968.
- [7] P. H. MARTIN jr., *Differential equations on closed subsets of a Banach space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **179** (1973), pp. 399-414.
- [8] P. H. MARTIN jr., *Approximation and existence of solutions to ordinary differential equation in Banach space*, Funk. Ekvac. **16** (1973), pp. 195-211
- [9] P. H. MARTIN jr., *Invariant sets for perturbed semigroups of linear operators* Ann. di Mat. pura e Appl., **105** (1975), pp. 551-559.
- [10] M. NAGUMO, *Über die lags der Integralkurven gewöhnlicher Differentialgleichungen*, Proc. Phys.-Math. Soc. Japan, **24** (1942), pp. 551-559.
- [11] G. F. WEBB, *Continuous nonlinear perturbations of linear accretive operators in Banach spaces*, J. Funct. Anal., **10** (1972), pp. 191-203.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione il 5 luglio 1975.