

RENDICONTI
del
SEMINARIO MATEMATICO
della
UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

KIYOSHI ISEKI

**Multi-valued contraction mappings in
complete metric spaces**

Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova,
tome 53 (1975), p. 15-19

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1975__53__15_0

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1975, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (<http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

Multi-Valued Contraction Mappings in Complete Metric Spaces.

KIYOSHI ISEKI (*)

Let (X, d) be a metric space. For any nonempty subsets A, B of X , we define

$$D(A, B) = \inf\{d(a, b) | a \in A, b \in B\},$$

$$\delta(A, B) = \sup\{d(a, b) | a \in A, b \in B\},$$

$$H(A, B) = \max\{\sup\{D(a, B) | a \in A\}, \sup\{D(A, b) | b \in B\}\}.$$

Let $CB(X)$ be the set of all nonempty closed and bounded subsets of X . The space $CB(X)$ is a metric space with respect to the above defined distance H (see K. Kuratowski [1] p. 214). Then we have the following theorem which is a generalization of S. Reich result [2] (or see I. Rus [3]).

THEOREM 1. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, and let $f: X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a multi-valued mapping with the following condition: for every $x, y \in X$,*

$$H(f(x), f(y)) \leq \alpha(D(x, f(x)) + D(y, f(y))) + \\ + \beta(D(x, f(y)) + D(y, f(x))) + \gamma D(x, y),$$

where α, β, γ are non-negative and $2\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma < 1$. Then f has a fixed point, i.e. there is a point x such that $x \in f(x)$.

(*) Indirizzo dell'A.: Kobe University, Rokko Nada Kobe, Giappone.

PROOF. Let x_0 be a point in X , and $x_1 \in f(x_0)$. If

$$H(f(x_0), f(x_1)) = 0,$$

then we have $f(x_0) = f(x_1)$, since H is a metric on $CB(X)$. Therefore we have $x_1 \in f(x_1)$. This contains the proof of the case $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$.

Next we suppose $0 < 2\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma$ and

$$H(f(x_0), f(x_1)) > 0.$$

Put $p = (2\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, then $0 < p < 1$.

Let $h = H(f(x_0), f(x_1))/p$, then we have

$$h > H(f(x_0), f(x_1)).$$

By the definition of H , we have

$$h > H(f(x_0), f(x_1)) \geq D(x_1, f(x_1)).$$

Therefore there is a point x_2 of $f(x_1)$ such that

$$h > d(x_1, x_2).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_1, x_2) &< p^{-1}H(f(x_0), f(x_1)) \\ &\leq p^{-1}\{\alpha[D(x_0, f(x_0)) + D(x_1, f(x_1))] \\ &\quad + \beta[D(x_0, f(x_1)) + D(x_1, f(x_0))] + \gamma D(x_0, x_1)\} \\ &\leq p^{-1}\{\alpha[d(x_0, x_1) + \bar{d}(x_1, x_2)] \\ &\quad + \beta[d(x_0, x_2) + \bar{d}(x_1, x_1)] + \gamma \bar{d}(x_0, x_1)\} \\ &\leq p^{-1}\{\alpha[d(x_0, x_1) + \bar{d}(x_1, x_2)] \\ &\quad + \beta[d(x_0, x_1) + \bar{d}(x_1, x_2)] + \gamma \bar{d}(x_0, x_1)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$(p - (\alpha + \beta))d(x_1, x_2) < (\alpha + \beta + \gamma)\bar{d}(x_0, x_1).$$

Therefore

$$\bar{d}(x_1, x_2) < q\bar{d}(x_0, x_1),$$

where $q = (\alpha + \beta + \gamma)/(p - (\alpha + \beta))$ and $0 < q < 1$.

For x_1, x_2 , we have two cases:

$$1) \ H(f(x_1), f(x_2)) = 0,$$

$$2) \ H(f(x_1), f(x_0)) > 0.$$

If we have the first case, then $x_2 \in f(x_2)$, which completes the proof.

If $H(f(x_1), f(x_2)) > 0$, by a similar method, there is a point x_3 of $f(x_2)$ such that

$$\bar{d}(x_2, x_3) < q\bar{d}(x_1, x_2).$$

In general, if $H(f(x_i), f(x_{i+1})) = 0$ for some i , then $x_i \in f(x_i)$. If, for all i ($i = 0, 1, \dots$), $H(f(x_i), f(x_{i+1})) > 0$, there is a point $x_{i+2} \in f(x_{i+1})$ satisfying

$$\bar{d}(x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}) < q\bar{d}(x_i, x_{i+1}).$$

Hence, for $n > m$,

$$\bar{d}(x_n, x_m) \leq \frac{q^m}{1-q} \bar{d}(x_0, x_1).$$

This shows that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. The completeness of X implies the existence of the limit of $\{x_n\}$. Let x' be the limit of $\{x_n\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} D(x', f(x')) &\leq d(x', x_{n+i}) + \bar{d}(x_{n+1}, f(x')) \\ &\leq \bar{d}(x', x_{n+1}) + H(f(x_n), f(x')). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the assumption, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad D(x', f(x')) &\leq \bar{d}(x', x_{n+1}) \\ &\quad + \alpha[D(x_n, f(x_n)) + D(x', f(x'))] \\ &\quad + \beta[D(x_n, f(x')) + D(x', f(x_n))] + \gamma D(x_n, x') \\ &\leq \bar{d}(x', x_{n+1}) + \alpha[\bar{d}(x_n, x_{n+1}) + D(x', f(x'))] \\ &\quad + \beta[D(x_n, f(x')) + \bar{d}(x', x_{n+1})] + \gamma \bar{d}(x_n, x'). \end{aligned}$$

Let $n \rightarrow \infty$, then (1) implies the following relation.

$$D(x', f(x')) \leq \alpha D(x', f(x')) + \beta D(x', f(x')) .$$

From $1 - \alpha - \beta > 0$, we have

$$D(x', f(x')) = 0 ,$$

which means $x' \in f(x')$. This completes the proof.

Let $BN(X)$ be the set of all nonempty bounded subset of X . Then we have a fixed point theorem.

THEOREM 2. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. If $f: X \rightarrow BN(X)$ is a multi-valued function which satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(f(x), f(y)) \leq & \alpha [H(x, f(x)) + H(y, f(y))] \\ & + \beta [H(x, f(y)) + H(y, f(x))] + \gamma d(x, y) , \end{aligned}$$

for every x, y in X , where α, β, γ are non-negative and $2\alpha + 4\beta + \gamma < 1$, then f has a unique fixed point, i.e. for some x' , $f(x') = \{x'\}$.

Theorem 2 is a generalization of S. Reich result [2]. The proof is due to an idea by S. Reich [2].

PROOF. If $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$, then the result is trivial. We suppose $0 < 2\alpha + 4\beta + \gamma$. Now put $p = (2\alpha + 4\beta + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then we have $p < 1$. Hence there is a single-valued function $g: X \rightarrow X$ such that $g(x)$ is a point y in $f(x)$ which satisfies

$$d(x, y) = d(x, g(x)) \geq p H(x, f(x)) .$$

For such a function g ,

$$\begin{aligned} d(g(x), g(y)) & \leq \delta(f(x), f(y)) \\ & \leq \alpha [H(x, f(x)) + H(y, f(y))] \\ & \quad + \beta [H(x, f(y)) + H(y, f(x))] + \gamma d(x, y) \\ & \leq \alpha p^{-1} [d(x, g(x)) + d(y, g(y))] \\ & \quad + \beta p^{-1} [2d(x, y) + d(x, g(x)) + d(y, g(y))] + \gamma d(x, y) \\ & \leq (\alpha + \beta) p^{-1} [d(x, g(x)) + d(y, g(y))] + (2\beta p^{-1} + \gamma) d(x, y) . \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} d(g(x), g(y)) \leq (\alpha + \beta) p^{-1} [d(x, g(x)) + d(y, g(y))] \\ + (2\beta p^{-1} + \gamma) d(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

The assumption $2\alpha + 4\beta + \gamma < 1$ implies $2(\alpha + \beta) p^{-1} + 2\beta p^{-1} + \gamma < 1$. By a well known theorem, g has a fixed point x' , i.e. $g(x') = x'$. For the point x' ,

$$0 = (x', g(x')) \geq p H(x', f(x')).$$

Hence $x' \in f(x')$.

If $z \in f(z)$, and $H(z, f(z)) > 0$, then

$$\delta(f(y), f(y)) \leq 2(\alpha + \beta) H(y, f(y)) < H(y, f(y)),$$

which is impossible. Hence we have $f(z) = \{z\}$.

To show $z = x'$, consider

$$\delta(f(z), f(x')) \leq \beta [H(z, f(x')) + H(x', f(z))] + \gamma d(z, x') \leq (2\beta + \gamma) d(z, x').$$

Hence we have $z = x'$, which shows that f has a unique fixed point. The proof is complete.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. KURATOWSKI, *Topology*, **1**, PWN, Warszawa (1966).
- [2] S. REICH, *Fixed points of contractive functions*, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.*, (4) **5** (1972), pp. 26-42.
- [3] I. A. RUS, *Teoria punctului fix II*, Univ. « Babes-Bolyai », Cluj (1973).

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione il 19 marzo 1974.