PUBLICATIONS MATHÉMATIQUES ET INFORMATIQUES DE RENNES

P. BOLLEY
J. CAMUS

Powers and Gevrey's Regularity for a System of Differential Operators

Publications des séminaires de mathématiques et informatique de Rennes, 1978, fascicule 3
« Séminaire d'analyse fonctionnelle », , exp. nº 2, p. 1-21
<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=PSMIR_1978___3_A2_0>

© Département de mathématiques et informatique, université de Rennes, 1978, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la série « Publications mathématiques et informatiques de Rennes » implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM
Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
http://www.numdam.org/

POWERS AND GEVREY'S REGULARITY FOR A SYSTEM OF DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

by

P. BOLLEY
Institut de Mathématiques §
Informatique
Université de Nantes
44 000 - NANTES

J. CAMUS
U.E.R. Mathématiques §
Informatique
Université de Rennes
35 000 - RENNES

The purpose of this paper is to give some results about powers and Gevrey regularity in the interior and up to boundary for a system of differential operators, which is, in particular, an extension of those of Kotake-Narashiman [8] and Nelson [11].

I - POWERS AND G_S REGULARITY.

At first, we recall the definition (or characterization) of the analyticity of a function :

Definition I-1 :

A function u, C^∞ in an open set of $\mathbb{R}^n$, is analytic in Ω if, for every compact set K of Ω, there exists a constant $L = L_K > 0$ such that, for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, we have :

$$||D^\alpha u||_{L^2(K)} \leq L^{|\alpha|+1}(|\alpha|!)$$

where we have written, for $\alpha = (\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n)$,

$$|\alpha| = \alpha_1+\ldots+\alpha_n \quad \text{and} \quad D^\alpha = i^{-|\alpha|}\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1}\ldots\partial x_n^{\alpha_n}}.$$

We denote by $a(\Omega)$ the space of analytic functions in Ω.

In [8], Kotake-Narashiman characterize the analyticity with the help of the powers of an elliptic operator in the following manner :

THEOREM 0 :

Let Γ be an elliptic differentiel operator of order $m \geq 1$ with analytic coefficients in an open set Ω of $\mathbb{R}^n$, the two following propositions are equivalent :

(i) $u \in a(\Omega)$;

(ii) $u \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ *and, for every compact set* K *of* Ω, *there exists a constant* $L = L_K > 0$ *such that, for every* $k \in \mathbb{N}$, *we have :*

$$||P^k u||_{L^2(K)} \leq L^{k+1}((mk)!).$$

In [11], Nelson characterizes the analyticity with the help of the powers of n real vector fields linearly independant in the following manner :

THEOREM 0' :

Let $P_1, \ldots, P_n$ *be some real vector fields, with analytic coefficients and linearly independant in every point of an open set* Ω *of* $\mathbb{R}^n$, *the two following propositions are equivalent :*

(i) $u \in a(\Omega)$;

(ii) $u \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ *and, for every compact set* K *of* Ω, *there exists a constant* $L = L_K > 0$ *such that, for every* $1 \leq i_j \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq k$ *and* $k \geq 1$, *we have :*

$$||P_{i_1} \ldots P_{i_k} u||_{L^2(K)} \leq L^{k+1}(k!).$$

The purpose of this paper is to extend these results for more general operators and in the Gevrey's classes of order $s \geq 1$ in the interior and also up to the boundary.

We recall the definition of the Gevrey's classes :

Definition 2 :

Let K be a compact set of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and S a real number ≥ 1. We mean by Gevrey's class of order s in K the space $G_S(K)$ of the restrictions over K of C^{∞} functions u in a neighbourhood of K such that there exists a constant $L > 0$ such that, for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, we have :

$$||D^{\alpha}u||_{L^2(K)} \leq L^{|\alpha|+1}(|\alpha|!)^S.$$

Let Ω be an open set of $\mathbb{R}^n$; we mean by Gevrey's class of order s in the space $G_S(\Omega)$ of the functions which are in $G_S(K)$ for every compact subset K of Ω.

If K is "smooth enough", we can replace the $L^2(K)$-norm by the $L^{\infty}(K)$-norm. For s = 1, we get, of course, the analytic functions.

Let Ω be an open set of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with boundary $\partial\Omega$ and $P_j \equiv P_j(x;D)$, $j = 1,\ldots,N$, some differential operators of order $m_j \in \mathbb{N}$. let be denote by $P_j' = P_j'(x;D)$ the principal part of order m_j of P_j ; we introduce the two following conditions :

(A) for every $x \in \Omega$, the polynomial $P_j'(x;\xi)$, for $1 \leq j \leq N$, have no common non trivial real zero ;

(B) for every $x \in \partial\Omega$, the polynomials $P_j'(x;\xi)$, for $1 \leq j \leq N$, have no common non trivial complex zero.

At first, we have the following theorem on powers in the Gevrey's classes $G_S(\Omega)$, which generalizes the Kotaké-Narashiman and Nelson's theorems :

THEOREM 1 :

If the operators P_j, $j = 1,\ldots,N$, have coefficients in $G_S(\Omega)$ and satisfy the condition (A), the two following propositions are equivalent :

(i) $u \in G_S(\Omega)$;

(ii) $u \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and for every compact subset K of Ω, there exists a constant $L = L_K > 0$ such that, for every $1 \leq i_j \leq N$, $1 \leq j \leq k$ and $k \geq 1$, we have :

$$||P_{i_1}\ldots P_{i_k}u||_{L^2(K)} \leq L^{k+1}\left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} m_{i_j}\right)!\right)^S.$$

Also, we have the following result which is a result on powers in the Gevrey's classes $G_S(\bar{\Omega})$:

THEOREM 2 :

If Ω is a bounded open set of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with Lipschitzian boundary if the operators P_j, for $1 \leq j \leq N$, have coefficients in $G_S(\bar{\Omega})$ and satisfy the conditions (A) and (B), the two following propositions are equivalent :

(i) $u \in G_S(\bar{\Omega})$;

(ii) $u \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$ and there exists a constant $L > 0$ such that, for every $1 \leq i_j \leq N$, $1 \leq j \leq k$ and $k \geq 1$, we have :

$$||P_{i_1} \cdots P_{i_k}||_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq L^{k+1} \left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} m_{i_j} \right)! \right)^S .$$

We recall that an open set Ω of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with Lipschitzian boundary $\partial\Omega$ is an open set such that, for every point $x_o \in \partial\Omega$, there exists a real number $r > 0$, a system of local coordinates $(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$ and a Lipschitzian function $h = h(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1})$ such that :

$$\Omega \cap B(x_o,r) = \{(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \ ; \ x_n > h(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1})\} \cap B(x_o,r)$$

where $B(x_o,r)$ is a ball of center x_o and radius r.

The implications (i)$\Longrightarrow$(ii) always are true and are easy to prove.

The method used to prove the theorem 2 (like for the theorem 1) in the implication (ii)$\Longrightarrow$(i) is an adaptation of this of Kotaké-Narashiman [8] using the tools of Morrey-Nirenberg [10].

At first, we can only consider the operators with the same order m. In fact, for $j = 1,\ldots,N$, we put $\hat{m}_j = \prod_{i \neq j} m_i$ and $Q_j = P_j^{\hat{m}_j}$. The operators

$Q_j = Q_j(x;D)$, for $1 \leq j \leq N$, have the order $m = \prod_{j=1}^{N} m_j$ and satisfy the conditions (A) and (B) if and only if the operators P_j for $j = 1,\dots,N$ satisfy the conditions (A) and (B). And more, if $u \in C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})$ and if there exists a constant $L > 0$ such that, for every $1 \leq i_j \leq N$, $1 \leq j \leq k$ and $k \geq 1$, we have :

$$||P_{i_1} \dots P_{i_k} u||_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq L^{k+1}((\sum_{j=1}^{k} m_{i_j})!)^S ,$$

then also we have :

$$||Q_{i_1} \dots Q_{i_k} u||_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq L'^{k+1}((km)!)^S$$

with $L' = (\max(L,1))^m$.

Then, for the following, we assume that all the operators P_j have the same order m.

The point of the begining of the proof is a global a priori estimate which is given in Aronszajn [2], Smith [12] (cf. also Bolley-Camus [3]) :

Proposition I-1 :

Under the assumptions of the theorem 2, for every $k \geq 1$, there exists a constant $L > 0$ such that, for every $u \in C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})$, we have :

$$||u||_{H^k(\Omega)} \leq C. \{ \sum_{j=1}^{N} ||P_j u||_{H^{k-m}(\Omega)} + ||u||_{L^2(\Omega)} \} .$$

By localization, we are going to deduce two others a priori estimates. At first :

Proposition I-2 :

Under the assumptions of the theorem 2, for every $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, for every open neighbourhoods W and W' of x in $\bar{\Omega}$, W' being relatively compact in W, there

exists a constant $A > 0$ such that, for every $u \in C^{\infty}(W)$, we have :

$$||u||_{H^m(W')} \leqslant A. \{ \sum_{j=1}^{N} ||P_j u||_{L^2(W)} + ||u||_{L^2(W)} \} .$$

Proof :

From the proposition I-1, then exists a constant $C > 0$ such that, for every $u \in C^{\infty}(W)$, and $1 \leqslant k \leqslant m$, we have :

$$||u||_{H^k(W)} \leqslant C. \{ \sum_{j=1}^{N} ||P_j u||_{H^{-m+k}(W)} + ||u||_{L^2(W)} \} .$$

We are going to deduce the proposition I-2 of this estimate in proving by induction on p, for $1 \leqslant p \leqslant m$, that there exists a constant $C_p > 0$ and a function $\phi_p \in C_o^{\infty}(W)$ equal to 1 on $\overline{W}'$ such that, for every function $u \in C^{\infty}(W)$ we have :

$$(p) \qquad ||u||_{H^m(W')} \leqslant C_p. \{ \sum_{j=1}^{N} ||P_j u||_{L^2(W)} + ||u||_{L^2(W)} + ||\phi_p u||_{H^{m-p}(W)} \} .$$

For $p = 1$, we consider a function $\phi_o \in C_o^{\infty}(W)$, equal to 1 on $\overline{W}'$; then, if $u \in C^{\infty}(W)$, from the precedent estimate written with $k = m$, we have :

$$||u||_{H^m(W')} \leqslant ||\phi_o u||_{H^m(W)} \leqslant C. \{ \sum_{j=1}^{N} ||P_j(\phi_o u)||_{L^2(W)} + ||\phi_o u||_{L^2(W)} \}.$$

However, $P_j(\phi_o u) = \phi_o P_j u - [P_j, \phi_o]\phi_1 u$ where $\phi_1 \in C_o^{\infty}(W)$, equal to 1 on the support of ϕ_o and $[P_j, \phi_o]$ means the commutator of P_j and ϕ_o. Hence,

$$||P_j(\phi_o u)||_{L^2(W)} \leqslant C_1'. \{ ||P_j u||_{L^2(W)} + ||\phi_1 u||_{H^{m-1}(W)} \}$$

for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant N$; then we get (1).

Suppose (p) is true and show $(p+1)$ if $p+1 \leqslant m$.

From the precedent estimate written with $k = m-p$, we get, for every $u \in C^{\infty}(W)$:

$$||\phi_p u||_{H^{m-p}(W)} \leqslant C. \{ \sum_{j=1}^{N} ||P_j(\phi_p u)||_{H^{-p}(W)} + ||\phi_p u||_{L^2(W)} \}.$$

Writting $P_j(\phi_p u) = \phi_p P_j u + [P_j, \phi_p]\phi_{p+1} u$ where $\phi_{p+1} \in C_0^{\infty}(W)$, equal to 1 on the support of ϕ_p. Hence,

$$||P_j(\phi_p u)||_{H^{-p}(W)} \leqslant C'_{p+1}. \{ ||P_j u||_{L^2(W)} + ||\phi_{p+1} u||_{H^{m-(p+1)}(W)} \}$$

for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant N$, from where we get $(p+1)$.

In particular, the inequality (m) is exactly the inequality of the proposition I-2.

In the second step, we establish an other a priori estimate localized for some paritular open sets W and W'. For that, we need some notations : let x be a point in $\overline{\Omega}$, $o \leqslant \rho < R < R_1$;

$$W = \Omega \cap B(x;R_1) \qquad \underline{W} = \overline{\Omega} \cap B(x;R_1)$$

$$W_\rho = \Omega \cap B(x;R-\rho) \qquad \underline{W}_\rho = \overline{\Omega} \cap B(x;R-\rho).$$

Then, we have the following refined a priori estimate :

<u>Proposition I-3</u> :

Under the assumptions of the theorem 2, for every $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ and $0 < R < R_1$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that, for every $u \in C^{\infty}(W)$, for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ with $|\alpha| \leqslant m$, ρ and $\rho' > 0$ with $\rho+\rho' < R$ and $\rho \leqslant 1$, we have :

$$\rho^m ||D^\alpha u||_{L^2(W_{\rho+\rho'})} \leqslant C.\ \{\rho^m \sum_{j=1}^{N} ||P_j u||_{L^2(W_{\rho'})} + \sum_{|\beta|\leqslant m-1} \rho^{|\beta|} ||D^\beta u||_{L^2(W_{\rho'})}\}.$$

Proof :

We consider a function $\varphi \in C_o^\infty(\underline{W}_{\rho'})$ such that $0 \leqslant \varphi \leqslant 1$, $\varphi = 1$ on $W_{\rho+\rho'}$, $||D^\alpha \varphi||_{L^\infty(W_o)} \leqslant C_\alpha\ \rho^{-|\alpha|}$ where C_α is a constant which depends on α and not on x, ρ and ρ'.

We apply the proposition I-1 to the function φu for $u \in C^\infty(\underline{W})$:

$$||D^\alpha(\varphi u)||_{L^2(W_o)} \leqslant A.\ \{\sum_{j=1}^{N} ||P_j(\varphi u)||_{L^2(W_o)} + ||\ u||_{L^2(W_o)}\}$$

for $|\alpha| \leqslant m$.

Elsewhere, if we put :

$$P_j = P_j(x;D) = \sum_{|\lambda|\leqslant m} a_{j\lambda}(x) D^\lambda ,$$

we have :

$$P_j(\varphi u) - \varphi P_j u = \sum_{\substack{\beta < \lambda \\ |\lambda|\leqslant m}} a_{j\lambda} \binom{\lambda}{\beta} D^{\lambda-\beta}\ D^\beta u.$$

But, there exists some constants $C_{j,\lambda,\beta} > 0$, independant in ρ, such that :

$$||a_{j\lambda}\binom{\lambda}{\beta} D^{\lambda-\beta}\varphi||_{L^\infty(W_o)} \leqslant C_{j,\lambda,\beta}\ \rho^{-|\lambda-\beta|}.$$

Then,

$$||D^\alpha(\varphi u)||_{L^2(W_o)} \leqslant A'.\{\sum_{j=1}^{N} ||P_j u||_{L^2(W_{\rho'})} + \sum_{\substack{|\beta|<|\lambda| \\ |\lambda|\leqslant m}} \rho^{-|\lambda|+|\beta|} ||D^\alpha u||_{L^2(W_{\rho'})}\}$$

and, since $\rho \leqslant 1$, we have :

$$||D^\alpha(\varphi u)||_{L^2(W_o)} \leqslant A'.\{\sum_{j=1}^{N} ||P_j u||_{L^2(W_{\rho'})} + \sum_{\substack{|\beta|<|\lambda| \\ |\lambda|\leqslant m}} \rho^{-m+|\beta|} ||D^\beta u||_{L^2(W_{\rho'})}\}$$

that gives the inequality of the proposition I-3.

We now do an induction on this inequality to obtain an estimate on one derivative of u in terms of some powers of $P_j u$:

Proposition I-4 :

Under the assumptions of theorem 2, for every $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, $0 < R < R_1$ there exists a constant $A \geq 1$ such that, for every ρ with $0 < \rho < \min(1,R)$, every $u \in C^\infty(W)$, every $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ with $|\alpha| \leq km$ and $k \geq 1$, we have :

$$\rho^{|\alpha|S} ||D^\alpha u||_{L^2(W_{|\alpha|\rho})} \leq A^{|\alpha|+1} . \{ \sum_{\nu=1}^{k} \rho^{(\nu-1)mS} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_j \leq N \\ 1 \leq j \leq \nu}} ||P_{i_1} \dots P_{i_\nu} u||_{L^2(W)} + ||u||_{L^2(W)} \}.$$

Proof :

The coefficients $a_{j\nu}$ of the operators P_j being in the class $G_S(\bar{\Omega})$, there exists a constant $B > 0$ such that, for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, we have :

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{|\lambda| \leq m} ||D^\alpha a_{j\lambda}||_{L^\infty(W_o)} \leq B^{|\alpha|+1} (\alpha!)^S$$

then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{|\lambda| \leq m} ||D^\alpha a_{j\lambda}||_{L^\infty(W_\rho)} \leq B^{|\alpha|+1} (\alpha!)^S \rho^{-|\alpha|S}.$$

We put :

$$S_k(u) = S_k(u;\rho) =$$

$$= \sum_{\nu=1}^{k} \rho^{(\nu-1)mS} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_j \leq N \\ 1 \leq j \leq \nu}} ||P_{i_1} \dots P_{i_\nu} u||_{L^2(W)} + ||u||_{L^2(W)} .$$

then we have :

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} \rho^{mS} S_k(P_j u) \leq S_{k+1}(u)$$

and $$S_k(u) \leqslant S_{k+1}(u) \ .$$

We now prove the inequality of the proposition I-4 by induction on k. At first, the inequality of the proposition I-2 gives :

$$||D^\alpha u||_{L^2(W_o)} \leqslant A. \{ \sum_{j=1}^{N} ||P_j u||_{L^2(W)} + ||u||_{L^2(W)} \}$$

for $|\alpha| \leqslant m$.

We can choose $A \geqslant 1$ and since $\rho \leqslant 1$, we have the inequality of the proposition I-4 for $k = 1$.

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that $km < |\alpha| \leqslant (k+1)m$ and assume proved the inequality of the proposition I-4 for every $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that $|\beta| \leqslant |\alpha| -1$. We put $\alpha = \alpha_o + \alpha'$ with $|\alpha_o| = m$. We use the inequality of the proposition I-3 with $(|\alpha|-1)\rho$ insteed of ρ', α_o instead of α and $D^{\alpha'}u$ instead of u, that gives :

$$\rho^{|\alpha|S}||D^\alpha u||_{L^2(W_{|\alpha|\rho})} \leqslant C. \{\rho^{|\alpha|S} \sum_{j=1}^{N} ||P_j(D^{\alpha'}u)||_{L^2(W_{(|\alpha|-1)\rho})}$$

$$+ \sum_{|\beta| \leqslant m-1} \rho^{|\alpha|S-m+|\beta|}||D^{\beta+\alpha'}u||_{L^2(W_{(|\alpha|-1)\rho})} \}.$$

But, we have :

$$D^{\alpha'}(P_j u) - P_j(D^{\alpha'}u) = \sum_{|\lambda| \leqslant m} \sum_{\gamma \leqslant \alpha'} \binom{\alpha'}{\gamma} D^{\alpha'-\gamma} a_{j\lambda} \eta^{\gamma+\lambda} u \ ,$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} ||D^{\alpha'-\gamma} a_{j\lambda}||_{L^2(W_{km\rho})} \leqslant B^{|\alpha'-\gamma|+1}((\alpha'-\gamma)!)^S (mk\rho)^{-|\alpha'-\gamma|S}$$

and

$$\binom{\alpha'}{\gamma} \frac{((\alpha'-\gamma)!)^S}{mk^{|\alpha'-\gamma|S}} \leqslant (\binom{\alpha'}{\gamma} \frac{(\alpha'-\gamma)!}{(mk)^{|\alpha'-\gamma|}})^S \leqslant (\frac{|\alpha'|}{mk})^{|\alpha'-\gamma|S} \leqslant 1$$

since $|\alpha'| = |\alpha|-m \leq km$.

Hence,

$$D^{\alpha'}(P_j u) - P_j(D^{\alpha'}u)\,||_{L^2(W_{(|\alpha|-1)\rho})} \leq$$

$$\leq \sum_{|\lambda|\leq m} \sum_{\gamma<\alpha'} {}^{|\alpha'-\gamma|+1}\, \rho^{-|\alpha'-\gamma|S}\, ||D^{\gamma+\lambda}u||_{L^2(W_{(|\alpha|-1)\rho})}$$

then, for $km < |\alpha| \leq (k+1)m$, we have :

$$\rho^{|\alpha|S}||D^{\alpha}u||_{L^2(W_{|\alpha|\rho})} \leq C.\ \{\ \rho^{|\alpha|S} \sum_{j=1} ||D^{\alpha'}P_j u||_{L^2(W_{|\alpha'|\rho})} +$$

$$+ \sum_{|\beta|\leq m} \rho^{|\alpha|S-m+|\beta|}\ ||D^{\beta+\alpha'}u||_{L^2(W_{|\beta+\alpha'|\rho})} +$$

$$+ \sum_{|\lambda|\leq m} \sum_{\gamma<\alpha'} \rho^{(m+|\gamma|)S}\, B^{|\alpha'-\gamma|+1}\, ||D^{\gamma+\lambda}u||_{L^2(W_{(m+|\gamma|)\rho}}\ )\}.$$

We now can apply the assumption of our induction to estimate each term of the member of the right side of this inequality;the first term ie :

$$\leq \rho^{mS}\, A^{|\alpha'|+1} \sum_{j=1}^{N} S_k(P_j u) \leq A^{|\alpha'|+1} S_{k+1}(u)\ ,$$

the second term is :

$$\leq \sum_{|\beta|\leq m} A^{|\beta+\alpha'|+1}\ S_{k+1}(u)\ ,$$

and the third term is :

$$\leq \sum_{|\lambda|\leq m} \sum_{\gamma<\alpha'} B^{|\alpha'-\gamma|+1}\ A^{m+|\gamma|+1}\ S_{k+1}(u).$$

then, we have :

$$\rho^{|\alpha|S}||D^{\alpha}u||_{L^2(W_{|\alpha|\rho})} \leqslant A^{|\alpha|+1}S_{k+1}(u)\{CA^{-m}+C\sum_{|\beta|<m}A^{-1}+\sum_{|\lambda|\leqslant m}\sum_{\gamma<\alpha'}B^{|\alpha'-\gamma|+1}A^{-|\alpha'-\gamma|}\}.$$

But,

$$C\sum_{|\lambda|\leqslant m}\sum_{\gamma<\alpha'}B^{|\alpha'-\gamma|+1}A^{-|\alpha'-\gamma|} \leqslant C.m^n B^2A^{-1}\sum_{|\beta|\geqslant o}(BA^{-1})^{|\beta|} ;$$

We can choose A large enough, independent of α and ρ, in order to the term between the brackets be $\leqslant 1$, that achieves the proof of the proposition I-4.

Then, we can give the property about the powers "locally up to the boundary" :

Proposition I-5 :

Under the assumptions of theorem 2, if $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, $u \in C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega}) \cap B(x;R_2)$ and such that, for every open neighbourhood $\mho$ of x in $\bar{\Omega}$ with $\mho$ relatively compact in $\bar{\Omega} \cap B(x;R_2)$, there exists a constant $L = L_{\mho} > 0$ such that, for every $1 \leqslant i_j \leqslant N$, $1 \leqslant j \leqslant k$ and $k \geqslant 1$, we have :

$$||P_{i_1}\ldots P_{i_k}u||_{L^2(U)} \leqslant L^{k+1}(km!)^S$$

then $u \in G_S(\bar{\Omega} \cap B(x;R_2))$.

Proof :

We fixe $R' < R_2$ and put $\mho' = \Omega \cap B(x;R_2)$. We want to show that $u \in G_S(\bar{\mho'})$. We choose R_1 and R such that $R' < R < R_1 < R_2$ and with the notations used in the proposition I-4, we have :

$$||P_{i_1}\ldots P_{i_k}u||_{L^2(W)} \leqslant L^{k+1}(km!)^S$$

hence,

$$S_k(u) \leqslant \sum_{\nu=1}^{k} \rho^{(\nu-1)mS} N^{\nu} L^{\nu+1} ((\nu m)!)^S + L$$

for every ρ such that $0 < \rho < \mathrm{Min}(1,R)$.

We choose $\rho = \frac{R-R'}{km}$, $R-R'$ being small enough ; then we get :

$$((\nu m)!)^S \rho^{(\nu-1)mS} \leqslant (km)^{mS}$$

for $\nu \leqslant k$.

Therefore, there exists a constant $B_1 > 0$ such that :

$$S_k(u) \leqslant \sum_{\nu=1}^{k} N^\nu L^{\nu+1} (km)^{mS} + L \leqslant B_1^{k+1}$$

for $k \geqslant 1$.

And with the proposition I-4, there exists a constant $B_2 > 0$ such that, for $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ with $|\alpha| \leqslant km$ and $k \geqslant 1$, we have :

$$||D^\alpha u||_{L^2(W_{R-R'})} \leqslant B_2^{k+1} k^{kS} .$$

In particular, if we apply this formula for $|\alpha| = k$, we get, for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$:

$$||D^\alpha u||_{L^2(U')} \leqslant B_2^{|\alpha|+1} |\alpha|^{|\alpha|S} ,$$

that gives $u \in G_S(\overline{U'})$.

The theorem 2, for the assertion (ii)$\Longrightarrow$(i), is proved.

<u>Remark I-1</u> :

In the case where $\overline{\Omega}$ is a C^∞ compact manifold with boundary, the condition (B) can be replaced, in the theorem 2, by the following condition :

(B') for every $x \in \partial\Omega$, the polynomials $P_j'(x;\xi)$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant N$ have no common non trivial complex zero with imaginary part orthogonal to $\partial\Omega$ in x.

Remark I-2 :

By the same method, the inequalities of coercivness given in Agmon [1] allow to give some similar results about powers in the classes $G_S(\bar{\Omega})$ for boundary value problems associated to some systems $(P_1,\dots,P_N$; $B_1,\dots,B_p)$ where the P_j are differential operators and B_j are differential operators at the boundary ; the case where the system of P_j is reduced to a single operator is the case which was studied by Lions-Magenes 9 and the case where the system of B_j is empty is the case that we have studied here.

II - G_S - REGULARITY.

It comes from the teorem 1 the following corollary about the $G_S(\Omega)$-regularity :

Corollary II-1 :

Under the assumptions of theorem 1, the two following propositions are equivalent :

(i) $u \in G_S(\Omega)$

(ii) $u \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ and $P_j u \in G_S(\Omega)$ for $1 \leq j \leq N$.

and from the theorem 2, we get the following corollary about the $G_S(\bar{\Omega})$-regularity :

Corollary II-2 :

Under the assumptions of theorem 2, the two following propositions are equivalent :

(i) $u \in G_S(\bar{\Omega})$;

(ii) $u \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$ and $P_j u \in G_S(\bar{\Omega})$ for $1 \leq j \leq N$.

Remark II-1 :

Using the results of regularity given by Smith [11] (cf. also Bolley-Camus [3]), we can replace $u \in C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})$ by $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ in the corollary II-2. In the same way, we can replace $u \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ by $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ in the corollary I-1, using for that, the ellipticity of the operator $\sum_{j=1}^{N} P_j^* P_j$ in Ω.

It is easy to see that the condition (A) for the corollary II-1 and the conditions (A) and (B) (or (B')) for the corollary II-2 are not necessary.

When the operators $P_j = P_j(D)$ have constant coefficients, we introduce the following condition:

(C) The set of the complex common roots ξ of the polynomails $P_j(\xi)$, for $1 \leq j \leq N$, is finite.

Then, we have the following necessary and sufficient condition of $G_S(\Omega)$-regularity :

THEOREM II-1 :

Let Ω be a bounded open set of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with Lipschitzian boundary, and P_j be some operators with constants coefficients, $1 \leq j \leq N$; the two following propositions are equivalent :

(i) The space $\{u \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)\ ;\ P_j u \in G_S(\bar{\Omega}),\ 1 \leq j \leq N\}$ is the space $G_S(\bar{\Omega})$;

(ii) The operators P_j, $1 \leq j \leq N$, satisfy the condition (C).

The proof made in the case of the space $C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in Bolley-Camus [3] can be applied for the space $G_S(\bar{\Omega})$. We recall it here.

Proof :

We assume that (i) is true. We introduce the space :

$$Y(\Omega) = \{u \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega) \ ; \ P_j u = 0 \ , \ 1 \leqslant j \leqslant N\}.$$

We denote by $Y^o(\Omega)$ (resp. $Y^1(\Omega)$) the space $Y(\Omega)$ equipped with the $L^2(\Omega)$-norm (resp. $H^1(\Omega)$-norm). The identity map from $Y^1(\Omega)$ into $Y^o(\Omega)$ being continuous and these spaces being Banach spaces, the two norms $L^2(\Omega)$-norm and $H^1(\Omega)$-norm are equivalent on $Y(\Omega)$. Then, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that, for every $u \in Y(\Omega)$, we have :

$$||u||_{H^1(\Omega)} \leqslant C.||u||_{L^2(\Omega)} .$$

The unit ball of $Y^o(\Omega)$ is then compact and therefore $Y(\Omega)$ is of finite dimension.

But, if $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^n$ satisfies $P_j(\xi) = 0$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant N$, the function $u(x) = e^{i<x,\xi>}$ satisfies $P_j u = 0$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant N$. Then, necessarily, the set of complex common roots of the polynomials in finite.

We now assume that (ii) is true. Let $\xi^1,\ldots,\xi^\nu$ be the complex common roots of the polynomials P_j for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant N$. For each $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$, we consider the polynomial :

$$Q_j(\xi) = \prod_{i=1}^{\nu} (\xi_j - \xi_j^i)$$

where we have put $\xi = (\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_n)$.

Then, we have $Q_j(\xi^i) = 0$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant \nu$; that is, the polynomials Q_j, $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$, vanish on the set of the complex common roots of the polynomials P_j, $1 \leqslant j \leqslant N$. From the Nullstellensatz's theorem (cf. Van der Warden [13] for example), there exists an integer $\rho \geqslant 1$ such that the polynomials Q_j^ρ for $1<j<n$ belong to the ideal spanned by the polynomials P_ℓ, $1<\ell<N$; that is, there exists some polynomials A_{j_ℓ} such that :

$$Q_j^\rho(\xi) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{N} A_{j\ell}(\xi)\, P_\ell(\xi) \quad , \quad 1 \leqslant j \leqslant n \, .$$

The polynomials Q_j^ρ are polynomials of order $\nu\rho$ of which the principal part is equal to $\xi_j^{\nu\rho}$: these principal parts have only 0 like complex common root, that is, they satisfy the conditions (A) and (B). Hence, if $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ and satisfy $P_j u \in G_S(\bar{\Omega})$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant N$, then $Q_j^\rho u \in G_S(\bar{\Omega})$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$. And from Smith [12], Bolley-Camus [3], $u \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$ and the corollary II-2 gives $u \in G_S(\bar{\Omega})$.

From the theorem II-1, in particular, we deduce the following sufficient condition of $G_S(\Omega)$-regularity :

Corollary II-3 :

Let P_j be some differential operators, $1 \leqslant j \leqslant N$, with constant coefficients and satisfying the condition (C) ; the two following propositions are equivalent :

(i) $u \in G_S(\Omega)$;

(ii) $u \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ and $P_j u \in G_S(\Omega)$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant N$.

Remark II-2 :

It comes from the precedent theorems that, if the polynomials $P_j \equiv P_j(\xi)$, $1 \leqslant j \leqslant N$, (with constant coefficients), have principal parts without complex common root different from 0, that is the condition (B), then, they have only a finite number of complex common roots, that is satisfy the condition (C) : it is a "classical" result in algeabraic geometry.

III - "REDUCED POWERS" AND G_S-REGULARITY.

In [5], Damlakhi gives a refinement about the Nelson's theorem (theorem 0') in the following sense :

THEOREM [5] :

Let $P_1,\dots,P_n$ be some real vectors fields, with analytic coefficients and linearly independant in each point of an open set Ω; the two following propositions are equivalent :

(i) $u \in a(\Omega)$;

(ii) $u \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ and, for every compact subset K of Ω, there exists a constant $L = L_K > 0$ such that, for every $k \geqslant 1$ and $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, we have :

$$||P_i^k u||_{L^2(K)} \leqslant L^{k+1}(k!) .$$

In a similar way and, according to the precedent chapters I and II, we are going to put the two following conjectures :

Conjecture 1 :

Under the assumption of theorem 1, the two following propositions are equivalent :

(i) $u \in G_S(\Omega)$;

(ii) $u \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ and, for every compact subset K of Ω, there exists a constant $L = L_K > 0$ such that, for every $k \geqslant 1$ and $1 \leqslant i \leqslant N$, we have :

$$||P_i^k u||_{L^2(K)} \leqslant L^{k+1}((km_i)!)^S.$$

Conjecture 2 :

Under the assumptions of theorem 2, the two following propositions are equivalent :

(i) $u \in G_S(\bar{\Omega})$;

(ii) $u \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ and there exists a constant $L > 0$ such that, for every $k \geqslant 1$ and $1 \leqslant i \leqslant N$, we have :

$$||P_i^k u||_{L^2(\Omega)} \leqslant L^{k+1}((km_i)!)^S.$$

Then, a positive answer is given in a particular case by Damlakhi [5] who uses for that the notion of analytic wave front set of an hyperfunction and the fundamental theorem of Sato, and also the idea to add an other variable t (in $\mathbb{R}$) and to consider the evolution operators $P_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - i\, P_j$, $1 \leq j \leq N$.

Also, the conjecture 1 is true in the case of operators P_j of order 1, with complex and constant coefficients. The proof of this result is based on the following proposition :

Proposition III-1 :

Let $P_j = P_j(\xi)$ be some polynomials, $j = 1,\dots,N$, of order 1 with complex and constant coefficients ; we assume that their principal parts have no real common root different from 0. Then, for every compact sets K_1 and K_2 of R^n, K_1 being included in the interior K_2^o of K_2, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that, for every $u \in C^\infty(K_2)$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, we have :

$$||D^\alpha u||_{L^2(K_1)} \leq C^{|\alpha|+1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{|\beta|\leq|\alpha|} \sum_{j=o}^{|\alpha|-|\beta|} C^{|\beta|}|\alpha|^{|\beta|} \frac{|\alpha|!}{(|\alpha|-|\beta|-j)!j!\beta!}$$

$$||P_i^{|\alpha|-|\beta|-j} u||_{L^2(K_2)} .$$

This proposition is obtained in using, in particular, the special function of truncation given in Hormander [7].

Another positive answer to the conjecture 2 has been given for $s = 1$, $\Omega = (]-1,+1[)^n$ and for the canonical system of the first partial derivatives by Damlakhi [5] who uses for that the spectral theory of the Legendre's operator in n-variables.

The conjecture 2 is also true "locally" in the half-space $\mathbb{R}^n_+ = \{(x,t);t\geqslant 0\}$ for the case of a transversal operator P_1 of order 1 with constant and real coefficients and some tangential operators $P_2,\dots,P_N$ with complex and constant coefficients. The proof is based on the following a priori estimate : there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that, for all $u \in C_0^\infty(\overline{R^n_+})$, $u(x,t) = 0$ for $t \geqslant 1$, $k \geqslant 1$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n-1}$, we have :

$$||D_x^\alpha P_1^k u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n_+)} \leqslant C^{|\alpha|+k+1} \{ ||P_1^{|\alpha|+k+1} u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n_+)} + \sum_{j=2}^{N} \sum_{\ell=o}^{|\alpha|+k+1} \binom{\ell}{|\alpha|+k+1} ||P_j^{|\alpha|+k+1-\ell} u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n_+)} \} .$$

We prove such an inequality in using the inequalities given in Cartan [4] and Hardy-Littlewood-Polya [6].

BIBLIOGRAPHIE

[1] : S. AGMON - The coerciveness problem for integro-differential forms. J. Analyse Math. 6 (1958), 183-223.

[2] : N. ARONSZAJN - On coercive integro- differential quadratic forms, Univ. of Kansas, Tech. Report 14 (1954), 94-106.

[3] : P. BOLLEY et J. CAMUS - Régularité pour des systèmes à coefficients constants, C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris 281 (22 Déc. 1975) série A, 1091,1094.

[4] : H. CARTAN - Fonctions définies par des inégalités sur leurs dérivées, Hermann (1940).

[5] : M. DAMLAKHI - Analyticité et itérés d'opérateurs pseudo-différentiels, Thèse de 3ème cycle, Orsay (1977).

[6] : G. H. HARDY, J.E. LITTLEWOOD, G. POLYA - Inequalities, Cambridge (1967).

[7] : L. HORMANDER - Uniqueness theorem and wave front sets solution of linear differential equations with analytic coefficients, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 14 (1971), 671-704.

[8] : T. KOTAKE, N. NARASIMHAN - Regularity theorems for fractional powers of a linear elliptic operator, Bull.Soc.. Math. France 90 (1962), 449-471.

[9] : J.L. LIONS et E. MAGENES - Problèmes aux limites non homogènes et applications, vol. 3, Dunod Paris (1970).

[10]: C.B. MORREY, L. NIRENBERG - On the analyticity of the solutions of linear elliptic systems of partial differential equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 10 (1957), 271-290.

[11]: E. NELSON - Analytic vectors, Ann. of Math. 70 (3) (1959), 572-615.

[12]: K.T. SMITH - Formules de représentation de fonctions par leurs dérivées, Math. Ann. 188 (1970), 53-77.

[13]: B.L. VAN DER WARDEN - Modern Algebra II, Frederik Ungar Publ. Co..