PUBLICATIONS MATHÉMATIQUES DE L'I.H.É.S.

CHARLES C. PUGH

The $C^1 + \alpha$ hypothesis in Pesin theory

Publications mathématiques de l'I.H.É.S., tome 59 (1984), p. 143-161
<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=PMIHES_1984__59__143_0>

© Publications mathématiques de l'I.H.É.S., 1984, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Publications mathématiques de l'I.H.É.S. » (http://www.ihes.fr/IHES/Publications/Publications.html) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM
Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
http://www.numdam.org/

THE $C^{1+\alpha}$ HYPOTHESIS IN PESIN THEORY

by CHARLES C. PUGH*

1. Introduction. — The stable manifold theory developed by Pesin and others [1, 4, 7, 8, 9] contains a hypothesis that the given dynamics be of differentiability class $C^{1+\alpha}$ for some $\alpha > 0$. That is, first derivatives must obey α-Hölder conditions. Here is an example showing that C^1 alone, i.e. $\alpha = 0$, is insufficient. It uses the auxiliary function

$$g(u) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{u}{\log(1/u)} & \text{if } 0 < u < 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } u = 0 \end{cases}$$

which is C^1, strictly monotone increasing, has $g'(0) = 0$, and is not $C^{1+\alpha}$ for any $\alpha > 0$. Near 0, g grows faster than $u^{1+\alpha}$ for all $\alpha > 0$. Functions like g have been seen before, for instance in S. Sternberg's example of a non C^1-linearizable C^1 contraction of $\mathbf{R}$ [10, p. 101].

Suppose $f: M \to M$ is a C^1 diffeomorphism of the compact manifold M. Let $p \in M$, $v \in T_p M$, $v \neq 0$, be given. The *Lyapunov exponents* of v are

$$\chi^-(v) = \lim_{-n \to -\infty} \frac{1}{-n} \log |T_p f^{-n}(v)|$$

$$\chi^+(v) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log |T_p f^n(v)|$$

if the limits exist. For vectors in most tangent spaces, Oseledec [6] proves that these Lyapunov exponents do exist and that there is a kind of uniformity referred to as *regularity* of the orbit $\mathcal{O}(p) = \{f^n p\}_{n \in \mathbf{Z}}$; namely, if E_p^λ denotes $\{v : v = 0 \text{ or } \chi^-(v) = \lambda = \chi^+(v)\}$ then $T_p M = \bigoplus_\lambda E_p^\lambda$ and

$$\lim_{|n| \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left(\frac{\| Tf^n \mid E_p^\lambda \|}{\| (Tf^n \mid E_p^\lambda)^{-1} \|} \right) = 0. \tag{1}$$

* Partially supported by NSF grant No. MCS-81-02262 and SERC grant No. GR/B 82363.

See also [1, 8]. (A better name for this property might be *subexponential conformality* since $Tf^n \mid E_p^\lambda$ grows like a sequence of dilations, up to a subexponential error.)

Definition. — The orbit $\mathcal{O}(p)$ is *asymptotically hyperbolic* if $\mathcal{O}(p)$ is regular and $\chi^{\pm}(v) \neq 0$ for all non-zero $v \in T_p M$.

Assume $\mathcal{O}(p)$ is asymptotically hyperbolic and write

$$E_p^u = \bigoplus_{\lambda > 0} E_p^\lambda, \qquad E_p^s = \bigoplus_{\lambda < 0} E_p^\lambda.$$

Pesin's Stable Manifold Theorem [1, 5, 7, 9] asserts in part that the *asymptotically exponentially stable set of p*

$$W^s(p) = \left\{ x \in M : \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log d(f^n x, f^n p) < 0 \right\}$$

is an injectively immersed C^1 manifold tangent at p to E_p^s, provided f is $C^{1+\alpha}$ for some $\alpha > 0$.

Theorem. — *There exists a C^1 diffeomorphism of a 4-manifold having an asymptotically hyperbolic orbit $\mathcal{O}(p)$ such that $W^s(p)$ is not an injectively immersed manifold tangent to E_p^s.*

See § 3 for the example cited.

In the proof of Pesin's Theorem, f is lifted from M to TM along $\mathcal{O}(p)$ via the exponential map. The composites

$$f_n = \exp^{-1}_{f^{n+1}p} \circ f \circ \exp_{f^n p} \qquad n \in \mathbf{Z}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
T_{f^n p} M \arrow[r, "f_n"] \arrow[d, "\exp"] & T_{f^{n+1}p} M \arrow[d, "\exp"] \\
M \arrow[r, "f"] & M
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\cdots \arrow[r, "f_{-2}"] & T_{f^{-1}p} M \arrow[r, "f_{-1}"] \arrow[d, "\exp"] & T_p M \arrow[r, "f_0"] \arrow[d, "\exp"] & T_{fp} M \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, "\exp"] & T_{f^2 p} M \arrow[r, "f_2"] \arrow[d, "\exp"] & \cdots \\
\cdots \arrow[r] & \bullet \arrow[r, "f"] & \bullet \arrow[r, "f"] & \bullet \arrow[r, "f"] & \bullet & \cdots \\
 & f^{-1}p & p & fp & f^2 p &
\end{tikzcd}$$

locally represent f in exponential coordinates along the orbit $\mathcal{O}(p)$. The crucial consequence of $C^{1+\alpha}$ differentiability of f is that the f_n are $C^{1+\alpha}$-equicontinuous. That is, there are uniform constants K, $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\|(Df_n)_x - (Df_n)_y\| \leq K\,|x - y|^\alpha$$

for all $x, y \in T_{f^n p} M$, with $|x|, |y| \leq \delta$.

In § 2, we give an example of a sequence of maps

$$\mathbf{R}^2 \xrightarrow{f_n} \mathbf{R}^2, \quad f_n(z) = A_n z + G_n(z), \quad n \in \mathbf{Z}$$

such that A_n is a diagonal 2×2 matrix, $\|A_n\|$ and $\|A_n^{-1}\|$ are uniformly bounded,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log |A_n \circ \ldots \circ A_0(v)| = \lambda$$

$$v \in \mathbf{R}^2 - \{0\}$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{-n} \log |A_{-n}^{-1} \circ \ldots \circ A_{-1}^{-1}(v)| = \lambda$$

where λ is a negative constant, G_n is C^1, $(DG_n)_0 = 0$, and (C^1 equicontinuity of f_n)

$$\|(DG_n)_x - (DG_n)_y\| \to 0 \text{ uniformly as } |x - y| \to 0,$$

but there exist points z arbitrarily near 0 with

$$|f_n \circ \ldots \circ f_0(z)| \to \infty \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

Thus, 0 should be asymptotically stable under $\{f_n\}$ since $\{Tf_n\}$ contracts asymptotically, but, due to lack of smoothness of $\{f_n\}$, it is not. See Theorem 1 in § 2. (Regularity in this context is implied by the fact that A_n is diagonal.)

In § 3 the maps f_n are realized as lifts along an orbit of some C^1 diffeomorphism of a compact 4-manifold. See Theorem 2.

Conjecture. — If $\mathcal{O}(p)$ is an asymptotically hyperbolic orbit of the C^1 diffeomorphism $f: M \to M$, M has dimension two, and $\dim E_p^u = \dim E_p^s = 1$, then Pesin's result holds: $W^s(p)$ is C^1 and tangent at p to E_p^s. Indeed this might be true whenever E_p^s has dimension one. Regularity is automatic on one-dimensional subspaces.

Thanks. — In writing this paper, I benefitted from conversations with M. Herman and A. Fathi at the École Polytechnique in Paris. Comments by the referee were also useful.

2. Nonlinear shear. — Let $g : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ be any smooth function such that

$$\begin{aligned} g(u) &= \frac{u}{\log(1/u)} && \text{if } 0 < u \leq 1/e \\ g'(u) &> 1 && \text{if } u \geq 1/e \\ g'(u) &\text{ is constant} && \text{if } u \geq 1. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Extend g to all of $\mathbf{R}$ by setting $g(-u) = g(u)$ and $g(0) = 0$. Then $g : \mathbf{R} \to (0, \infty)$ is C^1 and is C^∞ on $\mathbf{R} - \{0\}$. The graph of g is shown in Figure 1.



FIG. 1. — The graph of g

Choose constants $0 < a < ab < 1 < b$ and call

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}, \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix},$$

$$f_S = \begin{pmatrix} a & g \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}, \quad f_T = \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ g & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, f_S and f_T are C^1 origin preserving maps $\mathbf{R}^2 \to \mathbf{R}^2$

$$f_S : z \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} ax + g(y) \\ by \end{pmatrix}, \quad f_T : z \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} bx \\ g(x) + ay \end{pmatrix}, \quad z = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}.$$

The linear parts of f_S, f_T at 0 are S, T and f_S, f_T are easily seen to be invertible on all of $\mathbf{R}^2$.

As $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ choose A_n equal to S or T according to the pattern

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccc} S & T & T & S & S & S & T & T & T & T & S & S & S & S & S & & \ldots \\ \| & \| & \| & & & & & & & & & & & & \| & & \\ A_1 & A_2 & A_3 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & A_{15} & & \ldots \end{array}$$

Thus, if L_k denotes $1 + \ldots + k = k(k+1)/2$ then $0 = L_0 < L_1 < L_2 < \ldots$, the sets

$$\mathscr{S} = \{n \in \mathbf{N} : L_{k-1} < n \leq L_k \text{ for some odd } k\}$$
$$\mathscr{E} = \{n \in \mathbf{N} : L_{k-1} < n \leq L_k \text{ for some even } k\}$$

decompose $\mathbf{N}$ into disjoint subsets, and

$$A_n = \begin{cases} S & n \in \mathscr{S} \\ T & n \in \mathscr{E} \end{cases}.$$

Correspondingly define

$$f_n = \begin{cases} f_S & n \in \mathcal{S} \\ f_T & n \in \mathcal{T} \end{cases}.$$

To suggest local iteration along the origin-orbit, write

$$A^n = A_{n-1} \circ \ldots \circ A_0, \quad f^n = f_{n-1} \circ \ldots \circ f_0, \quad n > 0,$$

where we take $A_0 = T$ and $f_0 = f_T$. To complete the picture, if $-n < 0$, set

$$A_{-n} = A_n, \qquad f_{-n} = f_n,$$
$$A^{-n} = A_{-n}^{-1} \circ \ldots \circ A_{-1}^{-1}, \quad f^{-n} = f_{-n}^{-1} \circ \ldots \circ f_{-1}^{-1},$$

and call $A^0 = f^0 =$ identity. Then A^n is the linear part of f^n at 0, $n \in \mathbf{Z}$.

For $|n|$ large

$$A^n = \begin{pmatrix} c_n & 0 \\ 0 & d_n \end{pmatrix}$$

where c_n and d_n are products of approximately equal numbers of a's and b's. As $|n| \to \infty$, $c_n^{1/n}$ and $d_n^{1/n} \to (ab)^{1/2}$, so the family $\{A^n\}_{n \in \mathbf{Z}}$ has double Lyapunov exponent equal to

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2} \log(ab) < 0.$$

Since the A_n are diagonal, regularity (see (1) in § 1) of this Lyapunov splitting is automatic. In sum, $\{A^n\}_{n \in \mathbf{Z}}$ contracts asymptotically. Note, however, that the contraction is *only* asymptotic. Along the orbit, the length of $v = (1, 0)$ expands by b, shrinks by a, grows by b at the next two points, shrinks by a at the next three points, etc.

Theorem 1. — *$\{f^n\}_{n \in \mathbf{Z}}$ does not contract asymptotically although its linear part does. In fact if $z = (x, y)$ is any point in the first quadrant of $\mathbf{R}^2$, $x > 0$ and $y > 0$, then*

$$f^n(z) \to \infty \quad \text{as } n \to \infty$$

although $(Df^n)_0(z) \to 0$ *as* $n \to \infty$.

Remarks. — *a)* f_S is a C^1 diffeomorphism of $\mathbf{R}^2$ onto itself leaving invariant the foliation by horizontal lines. It *shears* the y-axis onto the curve $y \mapsto g(y/b)$ and is an affine contraction of each horizontal line by the constant factor a. See Figure 2.

b) f_T is conjugate to f_S by a 90° rotation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \circ f_S \circ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = f_T.$$

c) $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{Z}}$ is uniformly C^1-equicontinuous.

d) The stable set of o under f^n can be shown to lie wholly in the third quadrant, $x < 0$ and $y < 0$; it seems to be a curve which converges to o in the manner of $\sin(1/x)/\log(1/x)$ as $x \to 0$. All other orbits converge to ∞ as $n \to \infty$.



FIG. 2. — The non-linear horizontal shear f_S

Lemma 1. — *If* $0 < \beta_n \to 1$ *as* $n \to \infty$ *and* $b > 1$, $c > 0$ *are fixed, then*

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} b^{cn^2} \beta_n \beta_{n-1}^2 \cdots \beta_1^n \beta_0^{n+1} = \infty.$$

Proof. — Fix s, l such that

$$n > s \Rightarrow \beta_n \geq b^{-c}$$
$$\beta_0, \ldots, \beta_s \geq b^{-l}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} b^{cn^2} \beta_n \cdots \beta_0^{n+1} &= b^{cn^2} \beta_n \cdots \beta_{s+1}^{n-s} \beta_s^{n-s+1} \cdots \beta_0^{n+1} \\ &\geq b^{cn^2} (b^{-c})^{1+\ldots+(n-s)} (b^{-l})^{(n+1)(s+1)} \\ &> b^{(cn^2/2) - l(s+1)(n+1)} \to \infty \end{aligned}$$

as $n \to \infty$. Q.E.D.

For any function $f(u)$ that vanishes at $u = 0$ and is defined for $u \geq 0$, let

$$\sigma_f(u) = \frac{f(u)}{u}.$$

$\sigma_f(u)$ is the *shrinking factor* of f at u: under f, the distance from u to o is shrunk by the factor $\sigma_f(u)$.

Lemma 2. — *Let* $g(u) = u/\log(1/u)$ *as above,* $0 < u < 1/e$. *Call* $\sigma = \sigma_g$. *Then*

$$\frac{\sigma(gu)}{\sigma(u)} \to 1 \quad \textit{as } u \to 0.$$

Proof.

$$\frac{\sigma(gu)}{\sigma(u)} = \frac{\log(u)}{\log(gu)} = \frac{\log(u)}{\log u - \log|\log u|} = \frac{1}{1 + \dfrac{\log|\log u|}{|\log u|}} \to 1$$

as $u \to 0$. Q.E.D.

Remark. — Since $g'(0) = 0$, $\sigma(gu)$ and $\sigma(u)$ both tend to 0 with u. It is somewhat remarkable that they do so at the same order because gu is *far* closer to 0 than is u. If g were $C^{1+\alpha}$, $\alpha > 0$, the lemma would fail. For example if $\tilde{g} = u^{1+\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\sigma}$ is the shrinking factor for $\tilde{g}$, then

$$\frac{\tilde{\sigma}(\tilde{g}u)}{\tilde{\sigma}(u)} = u^{\alpha^2} \to 0 \quad \text{as } u \to 0.$$

Likewise, in the next lemma, g must be $u/\log(1/u)$ or some similar function which grows too fast near 0 to be $C^{1+\alpha}$, $\alpha > 0$.

Lemma 3. — *Let g be as in Figure 1 and (2). Fix $u_0 > 0$, $b > 1$. Set*

$$u_1 = bg(u_0), \ldots, u_k = b^k g(u_{k-1}).$$

Then

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} u_k = \infty.$$

Proof. — If $u_0 > 1/e$ then $u_1 = bg(u_0) \geq b/e > 1/e$, and, by induction,

$$u_k = b^k g(u_{k-1}) \geq b^k/e \to \infty \quad \text{as } k \to \infty,$$

so we may suppose $0 < u_0 < 1/e$. On $(0, 1/e)$,

$$\sigma'(u) = \frac{1}{u(\log(1/u))^2} > 0,$$

so σ is monotone there. Clearly g and $g^k = g \circ \ldots \circ g$, k times, are monotone also. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} g^k(u_0) &= g^{k-1}(gu_0) < g^{k-1}(bgu_0) = g^{k-1}(u_1) \\ &= g^{k-2}(gu_1) < g^{k-2}(b^2 gu_1) = g^{k-2}(u_2) \\ &= \ldots < u_k \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} u_{k+1} &= b^{k+1} g(u_k) = b^{k+1} \sigma(u_k) u_k = b^{k+1} \sigma(u_k) b^k \sigma(u_{k-1}) u_{k-1}. \\ &= \ldots = b^{(k+2)(k+1)/2} \sigma(u_k) \ldots \sigma(u_0) \\ &> b^{(k+2)(k+1)/2} \sigma(g^k u_0) \sigma(g^{k-1} u_0) \ldots \sigma(u_0) \\ &> b^{k^2/2} \left(\frac{\sigma(g^k u_0)}{\sigma(g^{k-1} u_0)}\right) \left(\frac{\sigma(g^{k-1} u_0)}{\sigma(g^{k-2} u_0)}\right)^2 \ldots \left(\frac{\sigma(gu_0)}{\sigma(u_0)}\right)^k (\sigma(u_0))^{k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2, all the factors

$$\frac{\sigma(g^n u_0)}{\sigma(g^{n-1} u_0)} \to 1$$

as $n \to \infty$, so by Lemma 1, $u_{k+1} \geq 1/e$ for some first $k + 1$. Beyond this $k + 1$, $u_m \geq b^{m-(k+1)}/e \to \infty$ as $m \to \infty$, as observed at the outset. Q.E.D.

Proof of Theorem 1. — Recall that $0 < a < ab < 1 < b$ and

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}, \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}, \quad f_S = \begin{pmatrix} a & g \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}, \quad f_T = \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ g & a \end{pmatrix},$$

$$A_n = \begin{cases} S & \text{if } n \in \mathscr{S} \\ T & \text{if } n \in \mathscr{E} \end{cases}, \quad A^n = A_{n-1} \circ \ldots \circ A_0,$$

$$f_n = \begin{cases} f_S & \text{if } n \in \mathscr{S} \\ f_T & \text{if } n \in \mathscr{E} \end{cases}, \quad f^n = f_{n-1} \circ \ldots \circ f_0,$$

where

$$\mathscr{S} = \{n \in \mathbf{N} : 1 + \ldots + (k-1) < n \leq 1 + \ldots + k \text{ for some even } k \in \mathbf{N}\},$$
$$\mathscr{E} = \mathbf{N} - \mathscr{S},$$

and g is the function in Figure 1 or (2). Write

$$f_n(z) = A_n z + G_n(z),$$

where $G_n : \mathbf{R}^2 \to \mathbf{R}^2$ is given by

$$G_n(z) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} gy \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } n \in \mathscr{S} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ gx \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } n \in \mathscr{E} \end{cases}, \quad z = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let π_1 and π_2 be the projections onto the x-axis and y-axis. Identify each axis with $\mathbf{R}$. Then

$$\pi_1 \circ G_n = \begin{cases} g \circ \pi_2 & \text{if } n \in \mathscr{S}, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \in \mathscr{E}, \end{cases} \quad \pi_2 \circ G_n = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \in \mathscr{S}, \\ g \circ \pi_1 & \text{if } n \in \mathscr{E}. \end{cases}$$

Now fix some $z = (x, y)$ with $x > 0$ and $y > 0$. We must prove

(3) $$f^n(z) \to \infty \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

By construction, f^n carries the first quadrant into itself, so all quantities in the following estimates are positive. Set

$$s_0 = \pi_2(f^1 z) = \pi_2(f_0 z),$$
$$s_1 = \pi_1(f^3 z),$$
$$s_2 = \pi_2(f^6 z),$$
$$\vdots$$
$$s_k = \pi_i(f^m z), \quad m = 1 + \ldots + (k+1), \quad i = \begin{cases} 1 & k \text{ is odd} \\ 2 & k \text{ is even} \end{cases},$$
$$\vdots$$

We claim

(4) $$s_k \geq b^k g(s_{k-1}) \quad k \geq 1.$$

Suppose k is odd, for instance $k = 1$. Then

$$\left.\begin{aligned} A_n &= T \\ \pi_1 \circ G_n &= 0 \\ \pi_2 \circ G_n &= g \circ \pi_1 \end{aligned}\right\} \quad \text{for } m - (k+1) + 1 \leq n \leq m,$$

$$\left.\begin{aligned} A_n &= S \\ \pi_1 \circ G_n &= g \circ \pi_2 \\ \pi_2 \circ G_n &= 0 \end{aligned}\right\} \quad \text{if} \quad n = m - (k+1).$$

Thus
$$\begin{aligned} s_k &= \pi_1(f^m z) = \pi_1(f_{m-1}(f^{m-1} z)) = \pi_1(T(f^{m-1} z) + G_{m-1}(f^{m-1} z)) \\ &= b\pi_1(f^{m-1} z) = \ldots = b^{k-1} \pi_1(f^{m-k+1} z) \\ &= b^{k-1} \pi_1(f_{m-k}(f^{m-k} z)) = b^{k-1} \pi_1(T(f^{m-k} z) + G_{m-k}(f^{m-k} z)) \\ &= b^k \pi_1(f^{m-k} z) = b^k \pi_1(f_{m-k-1}(f^{m-k-1} z)) \\ &= b^k \pi_1(S(f^{m-k-1} z) + G_{m-k-1}(f^{m-k-1} z)) \\ &= b^k a\pi_1(f^{m-k-1} z) + b^k g(\pi_2(f^{m-k-1} z)) \\ &> b^k g(\pi_2(f^{m-k-1} z)) = b^k g(s_{k-1}), \end{aligned}$$

since $(1 + \ldots + k) = m - k - 1$. Similarly, if k is even, $k \geq 2$, $m = 1 + \ldots (k+1)$, then

$$\left.\begin{aligned} A_n &= S \\ \pi_1 \circ G_n &= g \circ \pi_2 \\ \pi_2 \circ G_n &= 0 \end{aligned}\right\} \quad \text{for } m - (k+1) + 1 \leq n \leq m,$$

$$\left.\begin{aligned} A_n &= T \\ \pi_1 \circ G_n &= 0 \\ \pi_2 \circ G_n &= g \circ \pi_1 \end{aligned}\right\} \quad \text{if} \quad n = m - (k+1)$$

and
$$\begin{aligned} s_k &= \pi_2(f^m z) = \ldots = b^k \pi_2(f_{m-k-1}(f^{m-k-1} z)) \\ &= b^k \pi_2(T(f^{m-k-1} z) + G_{m-k-1}(f^{m-k-1} z)) \\ &= b^k a\pi_2(f^{m-k-1} z) + b^k g(\pi_1(f^{m-k-1} z)) > b^k g(s_{k-1}), \end{aligned}$$

which proves (4).

Call $u_0 = s_0$ and $u_k = b^k g(u_{k-1})$, $k \geq 1$. By (4), induction, and monotonicity of g,

(5) $$s_k \geq u_k \quad \text{if } k \geq 0,$$

for $s_k \geq b^k g(s_{k-1}) \geq b^k(u_{k-1}) = u_k$. By Lemma 3, $u_k \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$. From (5)

(6) $$s_k \to \infty \quad \text{as } k \to \infty.$$

This proves (3) for a certain subsequences of $n \to \infty$, namely for $n = m$ with m of the form $1 + \ldots + (k + 1)$.

To handle general n, observe that

$$\pi_1(f^{m+1} z) \text{ and } \pi_2(f^{m+1} z) \text{ both tend to } \infty \text{ as } m = 1 + \ldots + (k + 1) \to \infty. \tag{7}$$

For if k is odd then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1(f^{m+1} z) &= \pi_1(\mathrm{T}(f^m z) + \mathrm{G}_m(f^m z)) \\ &= b\pi_1(f^m z) = bs_k \to \infty \quad \text{as } k \to \infty, \\ \pi_2(f^{m+1} z) &= \pi_2(\mathrm{T}(f^m z) + \mathrm{G}_m(f^m z)) \\ &= a\pi_2(f^m z) + g(\pi_1(f^m z)) > g(s_k) \to \infty \quad \text{as } k \to \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if k is even. Now the $k + 1$ iterates f_n, $m < n < m + (k + 2)$, increase one of these coordinates, $\pi_1(f^{m+1} z)$ or $\pi_2(f^{m+1} z)$, by powers of b, so, for these n,

$$\max(\pi_1(f^{n+1} z), \pi_2(f^{n+1} z)) > \min(\pi_1(f^{m+1} z), \pi_2(f^{m+1} z)).$$

But this means, by (7), that

$$|f^{n+1} z| \geq \min(\pi_1(f^{m+1} z), \pi_2(f^{m+1} z)) \to \infty \quad \text{as } k \to \infty,$$

for $1 + \ldots + (k + 1) \leq n < 1 + \ldots + (k + 2)$, which completes the proof of (3) and Theorem 1.

Remark. — The strategy of $\{f_n\}$ is to expand one component of z k times by b and then transfer as much as possible of this expanded component to the opposite component for the next $k + 1$ iterates. The non-smoothness of f_n permits just enough transfer.

3. Realizing the Example. — Consider the diffeomorphisms $f_n : \mathbf{R}^2 \to \mathbf{R}^2$ as in § 2. We want to lift them to the 2-sphere by central projection, that is, by projection from the center of a unit 2-sphere whose south pole rests at the origin of $\mathbf{R}^2$. For polynomial vector fields this is a standard construction due to Poincaré [2]. Let

$$r = \frac{\mathrm{R}}{1 + \mathrm{R}^2}$$

$$\mathrm{R} = \tan(\varphi)$$



FIG. 3. — Central Projection

$\rho_- : \mathbf{R}^2 \to S^2_-$ be this projection where S^2_- is the southern hemisphere. Let α be the antipodal map of S^2 and define $\rho_+ : \mathbf{R}^2 \to S^2_+$, the central projection to the northern hemisphere, S^2_+, by $\rho_+ = \alpha \circ \rho_-$. See Figure 3. (Stereographic projection, by the way, is unsuitable for such lifting.)

Any map $f : \mathbf{R}^2 \to \mathbf{R}^2$ lifts to a map $\rho_- f \cup \rho_+ f : S^2 - S^2_0 \to S^2 - S^2_0$ making

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^2_\pm & \xrightarrow{\rho_\pm f} & S^2_\pm \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho_\pm} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho_\pm} \\ \mathbf{R}^2 & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbf{R}^2 \end{array}$$

commute. (S^2_0 is the equator of S^2.) The next lemma gives sufficient conditions that $\rho_- f \cup \rho_+ f$ extend to a map $\rho_\# f$ on all of S^2. Note first, however, that any linear (or affine) isomorphism $A : \mathbf{R}^2 \to \mathbf{R}^2$ lifts to a diffeomorphism $\rho_\# A : S^2 \to S^2$. See [2] or below.

Lemma 4. — *Suppose* $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}$, $ab \neq 0$, *and* $h : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$ *is a* C^1 *function with compact support. Then the map*

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} a & c+h \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} ax + cy + h(y) \\ by \end{pmatrix}$$

lifts to a unique continuous map $\rho_\# f : S^2 \to S^2$ *which agrees with* $\rho_\pm f$ *on* S^2. *Moreover,* $\rho_\# f$ *is a* C^1 *diffeomorphism whose* 1*-jet at the equator* S^2_0 *is the same as that of* $\rho_\# A$. *At the points* $x_{\pm\infty}$ *where the x-axis-longitude* L_x *meets* S^2_0, *this* 1*-jet is independent of* c; *it is*

$$x_{\pm\infty} \mapsto \left(x_{\pm\infty}, \begin{pmatrix} 1/a & 0 \\ 0 & b/a \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

respecting the splitting $T_{x_{\pm\infty}}(S^2) = T_{x_{\pm\infty}}(L_x) \oplus T_{x_{\pm\infty}}(S^2_0)$.

Proof. — This is basically a chain rule calculation. Let (φ, θ) be the natural angular coordinates on S^2_- and let (r, θ) be the polar coordinates in $\mathbf{R}^2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} r &= \tan\varphi, \quad \theta = \theta, \quad & \rho^{-1}(\varphi, \theta) &= (\tan\varphi, \theta), \\ x &= r\cos\theta, & f_1 &= ax + cy + h(y), \\ y &= r\sin\theta, & f_2 &= by. \end{aligned}$$

Express $\rho_- f : S^2_- \to S^2_-$ in the (φ, θ)-coordinates as

$$\begin{aligned} &(\varphi, \theta) \mapsto (\Phi, \Theta) \\ &\Phi = \tan^{-1}(R), \quad R = |f| = (f_1^2 + f_2^2)^{1/2}, \\ &\Theta = \tan^{-1}(f_2/f_1). \end{aligned}$$

Since $R = |f|$ we have

$$\frac{R^2}{r^2} = a^2 \cos^2\theta + c^2 \sin^2\theta + \frac{(h(r\sin\theta))^2}{r^2} + 2ac\cos\theta\sin\theta$$
$$+ 2a\cos\theta\frac{h(r\sin\theta)}{r} + 2c\sin\theta\frac{h(r\sin\theta)}{r} + b^2\sin^2\theta.$$

Let $\varphi \to \pi/2$. Then $r = \tan\varphi \to \infty$ and

$$\frac{R^2}{r^2} \rightrightarrows a^2\cos^2\theta + c^2\sin^2\theta + 2ac\cos\theta\sin\theta + b^2\sin^2\theta > 0. \tag{8}$$

By $\rightrightarrows$ we denote uniform convergence respecting θ. From (8) follows

$$\Phi \rightrightarrows \pi/2 \quad \text{as } \varphi \to \pi/2. \tag{9}$$

Similarly

$$\Theta(\varphi,\theta) = \tan^{-1}(f_2/f_1) = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{b\sin\theta}{a\cos\theta + c\sin\theta + \frac{1}{r}h(r\sin\theta)}\right) \tag{10}$$
$$\rightrightarrows \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{b\sin\theta}{a\cos\theta + c\sin\theta}\right).$$

Some care is needed here since $a\cos\theta + c\sin\theta$ can equal 0. Fix some small $\theta_0 > 0$ and let $N = \{\theta : 0 \leq \theta \leq \theta_0$, or $\pi - \theta_0 \leq \theta \leq \pi + \theta_0$, or $2\pi - \theta_0 \leq \theta < 2\pi\}$. If θ_0 is small and $\theta \in N$ then the argument of $\tan^{-1}$ converges uniformly and (10) is immediate. If $\theta \notin N$ and $z = (r, \theta)$, $r = \tan\varphi \to \infty$, then

$$f(z) = \begin{pmatrix} ax + cy \\ by \end{pmatrix}$$

since $h(y) = h(r\sin\theta)$, h has compact support, and $r\sin\theta \to \infty$. Since Θ refers to the angle made by $f(z)$, $\Theta(\varphi,\theta)$ converges uniformly for $\theta \notin N$ also, proving (10).

From (9) and (10) we see that $\rho_- f : S^2_- \to S^2_-$ extends to a continuous map on $S^2_0 \cup S^2_-$, sending the equator into itself according to

$$\theta \mapsto \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{b\sin\theta}{a\cos\theta + c\sin\theta}\right). \tag{11}$$

Note that (11) changes by π if θ is replaced by $\theta + \pi$. Thus, $\rho_+ f$ extends to the same map on the equator; *i.e.* f lifts to a (necessarily unique) continuous map $\rho_\# f : S^2 \to S^2$ agreeing with $\rho_\pm f$ on S^2. It is easily seen to be a homeomorphism which is a diffeomorphism except perhaps at the equator.

To calculate the derivatives of $\rho_\# f$ we compute

$$\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial\varphi} = \left(\frac{1}{1+R^2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{R}\right)\left\{f_1\left(\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x}\frac{\partial x}{\partial r}\frac{\partial r}{\partial\varphi} + \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y}\frac{\partial y}{\partial r}\frac{\partial r}{\partial\varphi}\right) + f_2\left(\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x}\frac{\partial x}{\partial r}\frac{\partial r}{\partial\varphi} + \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial y}\frac{\partial y}{\partial r}\frac{\partial r}{\partial\varphi}\right)\right\}$$

$$= \left(\frac{1+r^2}{1+R^2}\right)\left(\frac{r}{R}\right)\left\{\left(a\cos\theta + c\sin\theta + \frac{1}{r}h(r\sin\theta)\right)\right.$$
$$\left.(a\cos\theta + (h'(r\sin\theta) + c)\sin\theta) + b^2\sin^2\theta\right\}.$$

By (8), the first two factors converge uniformly. As above, the terms $h(r\sin\theta)/r$ and $h'(r\sin\theta)\sin\theta$ go to o when $r\to\infty$. Thus

$$\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial\varphi} \rightrightarrows \lim_{\varphi\to\frac{\pi}{2}}\left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^3\{a^2\cos^2\theta + 2ac\cos\theta\sin\theta + c^2\sin^2\theta + b^2\sin^2\theta\} \tag{12}$$
$$= \lim_{\varphi\to\frac{\pi}{2}}\left(\frac{r}{R}\right).$$

Second,

$$\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial\varphi} = \left(\frac{1}{R^2+1}\right)\left(\frac{1}{R}\right)\left\{f_1\left(\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x}\frac{\partial x}{\partial\theta} + \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y}\frac{\partial y}{\partial\theta}\right) + f_2\left(\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x}\frac{\partial x}{\partial\theta} + \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial y}\frac{\partial y}{\partial\theta}\right)\right\}$$

$$= \left(\frac{r}{R^2+1}\right)\left(\frac{r}{R}\right)\left\{\left(a\cos\theta + c\sin\theta + \frac{1}{r}h(r\sin\theta)\right)\right.$$
$$\left.(-a\sin\theta + (c + h'(r\sin\theta))\cos\theta) + b^2\sin\theta\cos\theta\right\}.$$

As $r\to\infty$, $r/(R^2+1)\to 0$ while the other factors approach finite limits. Hence

$$\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial\theta} \rightrightarrows 0 \quad \text{as } \varphi\to\frac{\pi}{2}. \tag{13}$$

Third,

$$\frac{\partial\Theta}{\partial\varphi} = \frac{1}{1+(f_2/f_1)^2}\left\{\frac{\partial(f_2/f_1)}{\partial x}\frac{\partial x}{\partial r}\frac{\partial r}{\partial\varphi} + \frac{\partial(f_2/f_1)}{\partial y}\frac{\partial y}{\partial r}\frac{\partial r}{\partial\varphi}\right\}$$

$$= \frac{f_1^2}{R^2}\left\{\frac{-aby}{f_1^2}\frac{\cos\theta}{\cos^2\varphi} + \frac{abx + bh(y) - byh'(y)}{f_1^2}\frac{\sin\theta}{\cos^2\varphi}\right\}$$

$$= \frac{1+r^2}{R^2}\{-abr\sin\theta\cos\theta + abr\cos\theta\sin\theta + (bh(y) - byh'(y))\sin\theta\}$$

$$= \frac{1+r^2}{R^2}\{bh(r\sin\theta)\sin\theta - br\sin\theta h'(r\sin\theta)\sin\theta\}.$$

Now as $\varphi \to \frac{\pi}{2}$, either h and h' equal 0 or else $r \sin\theta$ stays bounded: $\sin\theta \to 0$ while $r \to \infty$. Thus, the bracketed terms go to 0 while $(1 + r^2)/R^2$ tends to $(\lim r/R)^2 \neq \infty$. Therefore

$$\frac{\partial\Theta}{\partial\varphi} \rightrightarrows 0 \quad \text{as } \varphi \to \frac{\pi}{2}. \tag{14}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial\Theta}{\partial\theta} &= \frac{1}{1 + (f_2/f_1)^2}\left\{\frac{\partial f_2/f_1}{\partial x}\frac{\partial x}{\partial\theta} + \frac{\partial f_2/f_1}{\partial y}\frac{\partial y}{\partial\theta}\right\} \\ &= \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^2\left\{ab\sin^2\theta + ab\cos^2\theta \right. \\ &\qquad\qquad \left. + \left(\frac{1}{r}bh(r\sin\theta) - bh'(r\sin\theta)\sin\theta\right)\cos\theta\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

As above, the terms involving h and h' tend to 0 as $\varphi \to \pi/2$. Thus

$$\frac{\partial\Theta}{\partial\theta} \rightrightarrows \left(\lim\frac{r}{R}\right)^2 ab \quad \text{as } \varphi \to \pi/2. \tag{15}$$

The limits (12)-(15) commute with the antipodal map, so $\rho_\# f$ is C^1; at $(\pi/2, \theta) \in S_0^2$ it has derivative

$$\begin{bmatrix}\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & ab\gamma^2\end{bmatrix}, \quad \gamma = ((a\cos\theta + c\sin\theta)^2 + (b\sin\theta)^2)^{-1/2},$$

respecting the (φ, θ)-coordinates. This is clearly invertible and independent of h. Hence $\rho_\# f$ is a C^1 diffeomorphism whose 1-jet agrees with that of $\rho_\# A$ at the equator. The points $x_{-\infty}$, $x_{+\infty}$ correspond to $\theta = \pi$, $\theta = 0$ and give $\gamma = a^{-1}$, verifying the fact that the derivative of $\rho_\# f$ at $x_{\pm\infty}$ is independent of c. Q.E.D.

Now return to the proof of Theorem 1. Since f_8 does not satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 4, it is convenient to introduce the odd version of the function g in § 2,

$$g_0(u) = \begin{cases} g(u) & \text{if } u \geq 0, \\ -g(u) & \text{if } u \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Then $g_0'(u) \equiv c$ for some constant $c > 1$, provided $|u| \geq 1$. Call

$$A_\pm = \begin{pmatrix} a & \pm c \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}, \quad f_\pm = \left(A_\pm \pm \begin{pmatrix} 0 & g_0 - c \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) : \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} ax \pm g_0(y) \\ by \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly

$$f_\pm(x, y) = f_8(x, y) \quad \text{for } \pm y \geq 0.$$

Since $g_o(y) - cy$ has compact support, $f_\pm$ satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 4 and lifts to S^2 as $\rho_\#(f_\pm)$. At the equator, the 1-jet of $\rho_\#(f_\pm)$ agrees with that of $\rho_\#(A_\pm)$.

Divide S^2 into two hemispheres $H_\pm$ along the x-axis longitude L_x, say

$H_\pm$ is the hemisphere containing the quarter sphere $\rho_-\{(x,y) \in \mathbf{R}^2 : \pm y > 0\}$.

See Figure 4.



FIG. 4. — The hemispheres $H_\pm$ with half latitudes drawn in H_-

Define $F_S : S^2 \to S^2$ by

$$F_S(z) = \begin{cases} \rho_\# f_+(z) & \text{if } z \in H_+, \\ \rho_\# f_-(z) & \text{if } z \in H_-. \end{cases}$$

Then F_S lifts f_S to S^2, but *not* as $\rho_- f_S \cup \rho_+ f_S$! In fact this canonical lift $\rho_\# f_S$ fails to be C^1 at the equator.

At all finite points of the x-axis, $f_+ - f_-$ vanishes to first order (since $g(0) = g'(0) = 0$) so F_S is well defined and continuous on S^2_-; in fact

$$T_z F_S = T_z(\rho_\# f_+) = T_z(\rho_\# f_-)$$

for all $z \in L_x \cap S^2_-$. Thus, $F_S \mid S^2_-$ is a C^1 diffeomorphism of S^2_-.

Although F_S does not commute with the antipodal map α, there is enough symmetry that differentiability of F_S on S^2_- implies it on S^2_+. If $z \in S^2_+ \cap H_+$ then

$$F_S(z) = \rho_\# f_+(z) = \alpha \circ \rho_- f_+ \circ \alpha(z),$$
$$T_z F_S = (T\alpha)_{\rho_- f(\alpha z)} \circ T_{\alpha z}(\rho_- f_+) \circ T_z \alpha,$$

while if $z' \in S^2_+ \cap H_-$ then

$$F_S(z') = \rho_\# f_-(z') = \alpha \circ \rho_- f_- \circ \alpha(z'),$$
$$T_{z'} F_S = (T\alpha)_{\rho_- f_-(\alpha z')} \circ T_{\alpha z'}(\rho_- f_-) \circ T_{z'} \alpha.$$

Now if $z = z' \in L_x \cap S^2_+$ then

$$\rho_- f_+(\alpha z) = \rho_- f_-(\alpha z'),$$
$$T_{\alpha z}(\rho_- f_+) = T_{\alpha z'}(\rho_- f_-),$$

since $\rho_- f_+$ equals $\rho_- f_+$ to first order along $L_x \cap S^2_-$. Thus, $F_S \mid S^2_+$ is a well defined C^1 diffeomorphism of S^2_+ also.

Since F_S is the C^1 diffeomorphism $\rho_\# f_\pm$ on the interior of $H_\pm$, it remains only to check F_S at $S^2_0 \cap \partial H_\pm = x_{\pm\infty}$. But by Lemma 4, $\rho_\# f_\pm$ has at the equator a 1-jet equal to that of the diffeomorphism $\rho_\# A_\pm$ and at $x_{\pm\infty}$ the latter 1-jet does not depend on c. That is,

$$T_{x_{\pm\infty}}(\rho_\# f_+) = T_{x_{\pm\infty}}(\rho_\# A_+),$$
$$T_{x_{\pm\infty}}(\rho_\# f_-) = T_{x_{\pm\infty}}(\rho_\# A_-),$$

and so $T_{x_{\pm\infty}} F_S$ exists and is invertible. Hence

(16) f_S lifts to a (somewhat noncanonical) C^1 diffeomorphism F_S of S^2; similarly f_T lifts to F_T.

Remarks. — It is because the dynamics of the sequence $\{f_n\}$ is sensitive to perturbations at infinity that we took pains to lift the global map f_n to S^2, not just its germ near 0.

We are now ready to embed the example in § 2 into a diffeomorphism of a compact manifold.

Let $h : M^2 \to M^2$ be any diffeomorphism having a hyperbolic invariant set H on which h is topologically conjugate to the full 2-shift and

(17) $T_H h$ dominates TF_S.

By (17) we mean that if $E^{uu} \oplus E^{ss} = T_H M^2$ is the hyperbolic splitting then

$$|Th(v)| > |TF_S(u)| \quad \text{whenever } v \in E^{uu}, \quad |v| = 1,$$
$$u \in TS^2, \quad |u| = 1,$$
$$|Th(v)| < |TF_S(u)| \quad \text{whenever } v \in E^{ss}, \quad |v| = 1,$$
$$u \in TS^2, \quad |u| = 1.$$

That is, the spectrum of $T_H h$ lies outside the annular hull of the spectrum of TF_S. We could, for instance, take H to be a horse-shoe basic set.

Let H_0 be the set of points of H which correspond to symbol sequences with a 0 in the initial position and H_1 be those with a 1 in the initial position. Then

$$H = H_0 \sqcup H_1$$

and H_0, H_1 are compact. Choose a smooth bump function

$$\mu : M^2 \to [0, \pi/2]$$

such that $H_0 = \mu^{-1}(0) \cap H$, $H_1 = \mu^{-1}(\pi/2) \cap H$, and $\mu^{-1}(\{0, \pi/2\})$ is a neighborhood of H.

Let R_θ be the rotation of S^2 by angle θ which fixes the poles. Form the skew product of h and F_S

$$F : M^2 \times S^2 \to M^2 \times S^2$$

$$(w, z) \mapsto (h(w), R_{\mu(w)} \circ F_S \circ R_{-\mu(w)}(z)).$$

F leaves invariant the foliation $\mathscr{S}$ by 2-spheres $w \times S^2$, $w \in M^2$, and by (17), F is normally hyperbolic to $\mathscr{S}$. See [3, p. 116]. Besides

$$T_{(w,z)} F = \begin{bmatrix} \dfrac{\partial h}{\partial w} & \dfrac{\partial R_\mu \circ F_S \circ R_{-\mu}}{\partial w} \\ 0 & \dfrac{\partial R_\mu \circ F_S \circ R_{-\mu}}{\partial z} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{18}$$

Since $h \mid H$ is the 2-shift, there is a (unique) orbit $\mathcal{O}(p)$ in H such that

$$h^n(p) \in H_0 \quad \text{iff } A_n = S, \qquad h^n(p) \in H_1 \quad \text{iff } A_n = T.$$

That is, we consider the orbit $\mathcal{O}(p)$ whose symbol is

000110.101100011 1 ...

respecting the division $H = H_0 \sqcup H_1$. Let

$$P = (p, z_0)$$

where z_0 is the south pole of S^2. The F-orbit of P is $\{(h^n p, z_0)\}$ since z_0 is fixed under F_S and R_θ. By (16), (18), and constancy of μ near H,

$$T_{(h^n p, z_0)} F = \begin{bmatrix} T_{h^n p} h & 0 \\ 0 & A_n \end{bmatrix}. \tag{19}$$

Indeed by choice of μ and the fact that

$$f_T = R_{\pi/2} \circ f_S \circ R_{-\pi/2}$$

one sees that

$$(20)\qquad \begin{array}{ccc} h^n p \times S^2 & \xrightarrow{F} & h^{n+1} p \times S^2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S^2 & \xrightarrow{F_n} & S^2 \end{array}$$

commutes, where $F_n = F_S$ or $F_n = F_T$ according as $A_n = S$ or $A_n = T$.

From (19) $\mathcal{O}(P)$ has one positive Lyapunov exponent corresponding to $Th \mid E^{uu}$ and three negative Lyapunov exponents: one corresponding to $Th \mid E^{ss}$ and the other two being $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}\log(ab)$ which correspond to the A_n's as in § 2. Let E^s denote the space of vectors with negative Lyapunov exponents

$$E^s_P \supset T(p \times S^2).$$

The orbit $\mathcal{O}(P)$ is regular because $TF^n \mid E^s_P$ is diagonal repecting $E^{ss} \oplus (x\text{-axis}) \oplus (y\text{-axis})$.

Theorem 2. — The stable set of P *is not an immersed manifold tangent to* E^s_P.

Proof. — Since F is normally hyperbolic to $\mathcal{S}$, a point (w, z) is asymptotic with P under F if and only if (w, z) lies on the strong stable manifold of some point

$$(p, z') \in W^s(P) \cap (p \times S^2).$$

That is,

$$W^s(P) = W^{ss}(W^s(P) \cap (p \times S^2))$$

where W^{ss} denotes the strong stable manifolds. See [3, p. 71]. But, by (20), $W^s(P) \cap (p \times S^2)$ is just the stable set of 0 under the maps f^n as in § 2 and this set is not a neighborhood of 0; it misses the whole first quadrant. Thus, $W^s(P)$ is contained in the three dimensional set $W^{ss}(p \times S^2)$ but does not include a neighborhood of P in it. It is therefore not able to be an immersed manifold tangent to E^s_P. Q.E.D.

Remarks and Questions. — a) More can probably be proved about $W^s(P)$. It seems to consist of the W^{ss} fibers over a curve tending to P in $p \times S^2$ in an oscillatory fashion. In particular, it seems to have dimension two.

b) Can the dimension of M be reduced form 4 to 3 in the above example by the introduction of a solenoid?

c) Do C^1 diffeomorphisms of 2-manifolds have C^1 stable manifolds at asymptotically hyperbolic orbits?

d) Which orbits in H (*i.e.* which symbol sequences) exhibit this anti-Pesin behavior? Do they form a residual set in H? A set of measure zero for every h-invariant probability measure on H?

e) Is the set of points where the stable set of f is a C^1 injectively immersed manifold a set of measure one for every f-invariant probability measure on M?

f) Does the *generic* C^1 diffeomorphism (for example, one near the F above) have C^1 stable manifolds at its *generic* asymptotically hyperbolic orbits?

REFERENCES

[1] A. Fathi, M. Herman and J. C. Yoccoz, *A proof of Pesin's Stable Manifold Theorem*, preprint of Université de Paris-Sud, Orsay, France.

[2] E. A. Gonzales Velasco, Generic Properties of Polynomial Vector Fields at Infinity, *Trans. AMS*, **143** (69), 201-222.

[3] M. Hirsch, C. Pugh and M. Shub, Invariant Manifolds, *Springer Lecture Notes*, **583**, 1977.

[4] A. Katok, Lyapunov Exponents, Entropy and Periodic Orbits for Diffeomorphisms, *Publ. Math. IHES*, **51** (1980), 137-173.

[5] R. Mañé Ramirez, *Introducão à Teoria Ergódica*, IMPA, 1979.

[6] V. I. Oseledec, Multiplicative Ergodic Theorem, Lyapunov Characteristic Exponents for Dynamical Systems, *Trans. Moscow Math. Soc.*, **19** (1968), 197-231.

[7] Y. B. Pesin, Families of Invariant Manifolds Corresponding to Nonzero Characteristic Exponents, *Math. USSR Izvestija*, **10** (1976), 1261-1305.

[8] Y. B. Pesin, Characteristic Lyapunov Exponents and Smooth Ergodic Theory, *Russian Math. Surveys*, **32** (1977), 4, 55-114.

[9] D. Ruelle, Ergodic Theory of Differentiable Dynamical Systems, *Publ. Math. IHES*, **50** (1979), 27-58.

[10] S. Sternberg, Local C^n Transformations of the Real Line, *Duke Mathematical Journal*, **24** (1957), 97-102.

Mathematics Department
University of California
Berkeley, California 94720
U.S.A.

Manuscrit reçu le 26 février 1982.