### PUBLICATIONS MATHÉMATIQUES DE L'I.H.É.S.

## CESAR CAMACHO ALCIDES LINS NETO

#### The topology of integrable differential forms near a singularity

*Publications mathématiques de l'I.H.É.S.*, tome 55 (1982), p. 5-35 <a href="http://www.numdam.org/item?id=PMIHES\_1982\_55\_5">http://www.numdam.org/item?id=PMIHES\_1982\_55\_5</a>\_5

© Publications mathématiques de l'I.H.É.S., 1982, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Publications mathématiques de l'I.H.É.S. » (http://www.ihes.fr/IHES/Publications/Publications.html) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.



# THE TOPOLOGY OF INTEGRABLE DIFFERENTIAL FORMS NEAR A SINGULARITY

by César CAMACHO, Alcides LINS NETO

#### INTRODUCTION (1)

Here we consider integrable differential 1-forms  $\omega$  defined in an open subset U of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  or  $\mathbb{C}^n$ :

$$\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i(x) dx_i, \quad \omega \wedge d\omega \equiv 0.$$

The equation

$$\omega = a_1(x)dx_1 + \ldots + a_n(x)dx_n = 0$$

can be considered either as a total differential equation in the unknowns  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ , or as a plane field  $\pi$ , of codimension one, outside the set of singularities:

$$\operatorname{Sing}(\omega) = \{ p \in U \mid a_i(p) = 0 \text{ for all } i = 1, \ldots, n \}.$$

The solutions of this equation are the integral manifolds of  $\pi$  and by Frobenius' theorem define a codimension one foliation. This foliation plus  $\mathrm{Sing}(\omega)$  will be called the singular foliation of  $\omega$ . A natural problem to consider is the search for a significant class of integrable 1-forms  $\omega$  for which the induced singular foliation is susceptible of a topological description. Next, one would wish to characterize among these forms those which are stable in the sense that all nearby integrable 1-forms induce foliations which are equivalent up to homeomorphism, the reason for this being to augment the set of integrable 1-forms whose induced singular foliation can be understood. Dimension two is special since the above equation becomes in this case an ordinary differential equation. The answer to this problem is then well-known: the first jet of  $\omega$  at a singularity being hyperbolic characterizes the topology of the singular foliation associated to any 1-form close to  $\omega$ .

<sup>(1)</sup> Most of the results in this paper were announced by M. René Thom at the meeting of the Académie des Sciences on March 3, 1980.

Starting in dimension three, however, the problem has a different nature, and, as we will see, the first jet of  $\omega$  at a singularity does not give enough information about many integrable 1-forms.

A natural way of defining integrable 1-forms with singularity  $p \in \mathbb{C}^n$  is to write  $\omega = gdf$  where f is a holomorphic function with a critical point p and g is holomorphic with  $g(p) \neq 0$ . We say that  $g^{-1}$  is an integrating factor of  $\omega$ . The family of 1-forms defined in this way is quite general; in fact, it was shown by B. Malgrange [7], that the germ at  $p \in \mathbb{C}^n$  of a holomorphic integrable 1-form  $\omega$ ,  $\omega(p) = 0$ , admits an integrating factor provided that the set of singularities of  $\omega$  has codimension  $\geq 3$ . It is easy to see in this case that  $\omega$  is stable in the space of holomorphic 1-forms if and only if p is a nondegenerate critical point for f and so its topology is characterized by the 1-jet of  $\omega$  at p. More general criteria for finding integrating factors have been studied by J. F. Mattei and R. Moussu [8], [10].

A different family of integrable 1-forms is the one induced by Lie group actions. For instance, the integrable 1-forms in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  given by

$$\omega = \lambda_1 x_2 x_3 dx_1 + \lambda_2 x_1 x_3 dx_2 + \lambda_3 x_1 x_2 dx_3, \quad \lambda_i \neq \lambda_i \text{ if } i \neq j$$

have as leaves the orbits of a linear action of the group  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . These 1-forms and their perturbations were thoroughly studied in [6]. They have a remarkable property: the first jet at  $o \in \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $j^1(\omega)_0$ , vanishes, and this is a stable property under  $\mathbb{C}^2$ -perturbations of  $\omega$  which are null at  $o \in \mathbb{R}^3$ .

That many integrable 1-forms arise from Lie group actions is a consequence of Theorems 1 and 2 of Chapter II, where we concentrate on dimension three.

Consider the power series development of a holomorphic 1-form  $\omega$  with a singularity at  $o \in \mathbb{C}^3$ :

$$\omega = \omega_k + \omega_{k+1} + \omega_{k+2} + \ldots, \quad k \geq 1,$$

where the coefficients of  $\omega_j$ ,  $j \geq k$ , are homogeneous polynomials of degree j. Then: Suppose  $o \in \mathbb{C}^3$  is an algebraically isolated zero of  $d\omega_k$  and  $k \geq 3$ . Then there is a holomorphic change of coordinates f and holomorphic vector fields X and Y such that  $f^*\omega = \omega_k = i_X i_Y (dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_3)$  and [X, Y] = Y. In fact  $X = \frac{1}{k+1}I$ , I(x) = x, and  $d\omega_k = i_Y (dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_3)$ .

In other words,  $\omega$  embeds in an action of the group of affine transformations of the complex line. When k=2 one obtains that  $\omega_k$  embeds in an action of the group  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . These homogeneous 1-forms  $\omega_k$ ,  $k \geq 3$ , are also stable in the following sense:

For any integrable 1-form  $\eta$  sufficiently  $C^{2k}$ -close to  $\omega_k$  near  $o \in \mathbb{C}^3$ , there exists a point  $p(\eta)$  near  $o \in \mathbb{C}^3$ , such that the (k-1)-jet of  $\eta$  at  $p(\eta)$  vanishes, i.e.  $\eta$  starts with order k. Moreover,  $p(\eta)$  is continuous and  $p(\omega) = o$ .

This is Theorem 5 for dimension three; it gives an idea of how thin the space of differential 1-forms can become after the integrability condition is imposed. The

corresponding versions of the above theorems in the  $C^{\infty}$  case in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  are also valid. As a consequence of this we show in Theorem 6 that:

For any  $k \ge 3$  there exist homogeneous integrable 1-forms  $\omega_k$  of degree k in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  which are  $\mathbb{C}^{2k}$ -structurally stable.

By this we mean that all integrable 1-forms close to  $\omega_k$  in the  $C^{2k}$ -topology are equivalent up to homeomorphism. However,  $\omega_k$  is not stable in the  $C^{k-1}$ -topology. In fact, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there are 1-forms  $f.\omega_k$  which are  $\varepsilon - C^{k-1}$ -close to  $\omega_k$ , where f is a  $C^{\infty}$  function vanishing in a small neighborhood of  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ .

On the other hand, integrable 1-forms in the complex domain with a singularity at  $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$  are in general unstable for  $n \ge 3$ . One can see this for the 1-forms

$$\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} z_{1} \dots \hat{z}_{i} \dots z_{n} dz_{i}, \quad \lambda_{i} \notin \mathbf{R} \lambda_{j} \text{ if } i \neq j,$$

where  $\hat{z}_i$  means that  $z_i$  is omitted in the product. Then:

The equivalence class of  $\{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n\} \subset \mathbb{C}$  under the action of  $Gl(2, \mathbb{R})$  is the only topological invariant of the real codimension two foliation with singularities defined by  $\omega$ . This is Theorem 7.

The homogeneous forms considered up to this point constitute examples of regular forms, a notion which will be introduced now and which, as it turns out, endows a form with stability properties. We write  $H_k^p$  to denote the set of homogeneous p-forms of degree k on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and for  $\omega \in H_k^1$  let

$$T_j^{\omega}: H_j^1 \to H_{k+j-1}^3$$
 and  $S^{\omega}: \mathbb{C}^n \to H_{k-1}^1$ 

be  $T_j^{\omega}(\alpha) = \alpha \wedge d\omega + \omega \wedge d\alpha$  and  $S^{\omega}(a) = L_a\omega$ , the Lie derivative of  $\omega$  along a. Then we say that  $\omega \in H_k^1$  is regular if: a)  $\omega$  is integrable, b)  $\operatorname{Ker}(T_j^{\omega}) = \{0\}$  for  $j \leq k-2$  and c)  $\operatorname{Ker}(T_{k-1}^{\omega}) = \operatorname{Im} S^{\omega}$ . Although this concept has a technical character, the mappings involved in its definition appear naturally in the integrability condition. For instance, if  $\widetilde{\omega} = \omega_0 + \omega_1 + \ldots + \omega_k$  is a polynomial integrable 1-form,  $T_{k-1}^{\omega_k}(\omega_{k-1}) = 0$  is the term of degree 2k-2 of the equation  $\widetilde{\omega} \wedge d\widetilde{\omega} = 0$ . On the other hand, one can identify many integrable 1-forms which are regular. For instance, when n=3 and  $\omega \in H_k^1$  is such that  $d\omega$  has an algebraically isolated zero at  $\omega \in \mathbb{C}^3$ , then  $\omega$  is regular. (Lemmas 2 and 3 of Chapter II.) Also the 1-form in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ 

$$\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i z_1 \dots \hat{z}_i \dots z_n dz_i, \quad \lambda_i \neq \lambda_j \text{ for } i \neq j,$$

is regular (Proposition 4), although  $d\omega$  has no isolated zeros for  $n \ge 3$ .

Chapter III is devoted to the study of homogeneous regular forms and is preparatory to the stability theorem proved in Chapter IV, which goes as follows:

Let  $\mathcal{R}_k(\mathbf{R}^n)$  be the set of regular homogeneous 1-forms of degree k. Define

$$\mathscr{R}_{k}^{\ell}(\mathbf{R}^{n}) = \{ \omega \in \mathscr{R}_{k}(\mathbf{R}^{n}) \mid \dim \operatorname{Im}(\mathbf{S}^{\omega}) = \ell \}.$$

Let  $I^r(U)$ ,  $r \ge 2k$ , be the space of integrable 1-forms of class  $C^r$  endowed with the uniform  $C^r$ -topology and let  $\omega \in I^r(U)$ . A singularity  $p \in U$  of  $\omega$  is called *regular* of order  $k \ge 1$  if the k-1 jet of  $\omega$  at p vanishes, i.e.  $j^{k-1}(\omega)_p \equiv 0$ , and  $j^k(\omega)_p$  is a regular homogeneous 1-form.

Let  $M_k(\omega)$  be the set of regular singularities of  $\omega$  in U and

$$\mathbf{M}_{k}^{\ell}(\omega) = \{ p \in \mathbf{M}_{k}(\omega) \mid j^{k}(\omega)_{p} \in \mathcal{R}_{k}^{\ell}(\mathbf{R}^{n}) \}.$$

Then Theorem 5 asserts:

 $M_k'(\omega) \subset U$  is an embedded submanifold of codimension  $\ell$  and is stable in the following sense: for any relatively compact subset  $P \subset M_k'(\omega)$ , there exists a neighborhood N of  $\omega$ , such that if  $\eta \in N$  then  $M_k'(\eta)$  has a relatively compact subset  $\widetilde{P}$  diffeomorphic and close to P. A similar version holds for  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

We start Chapter I with a recollection of the de Rham division theorem as it will be used frequently throughout this paper.

#### I. — PRELIMINARIES. THE DE RHAM DIVISION THEOREM

Throughout this paper we use the letter K to denote R or C.

Let  $\Lambda_A^p(n)$  be the set of germs at zero of differential *p*-forms of class  $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}$   $(\mathbf{A} = \infty)$ , analytic or holomorphic  $(\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{H})$  in a neighborhood of zero in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  or  $\mathbf{C}^n$ . Then  $\Lambda_A^p(n)$  is a module with coefficients in  $\Lambda_A^0(n)$ .

Definition. — We say that  $\omega \in \Lambda_A^1(n)$  has the division property (in  $\Lambda_A(n)$ ) if for any  $1 \leq p \leq n-1$  and  $\alpha \in \Lambda_A^p(n)$  such that  $\omega \wedge \alpha = 0$  there is  $\beta \in \Lambda_A^{p-1}(n)$  such that  $\alpha = \omega \wedge \beta$ .

It is clear that  $\omega$  has the division property if and only if the following sequence, where  $\omega'(\alpha) = \omega \wedge \alpha$ , is exact for  $1 \le p \le n - 1$ 

$$\Lambda_{A}^{p-1}(n) \xrightarrow{\omega'} \Lambda_{A}^{p}(n) \xrightarrow{\omega'} \Lambda_{A}^{p+1}(n).$$

Definition. — An r-tuple  $(a_1, \ldots, a_r)$  of elements of  $\Lambda_A^0(n)$  is called regular if (1)  $a_1$  is not a zero divisor in  $\Lambda_A^0(n)$  and (2) for any  $1 \le i \le r-1$  the class of  $a_{i+1}$  in the quotient  $\Lambda_A^0(n)/[a_1, \ldots, a_i]$  is not a zero divisor. Here  $[a_1, \ldots, a_i]$  denotes the ideal generated in  $\Lambda_A^0(n)$  by  $a_1, \ldots, a_i$ .

One says that a germ  $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i dx_i \in \Lambda_A^1(n)$  defines a regular sequence if after reindexing the  $a_i$ ,  $(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$  is regular.

Theorem (de Rham [4]). — If  $\omega \in \Lambda^1_A(n)$  defines a regular sequence then  $\omega$  has the division property.

Definition. — Let  $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i dx_i \in \Lambda_A^1(n)$ ,  $a_i(0) = 0$  for  $1 \le i \le n$ . We say that zero is an algebraically isolated zero of  $\omega$  if the vector space  $\Lambda_A^0(n)/[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$  has finite dimension.

A proof of the following theorem and its corollary can be found in [10] or [11].

Theorem. — Let  $\omega \in \Lambda_A^1(n)$ ,  $\omega(o) = o$ , with an algebraically isolated zero at o. Then  $\omega$  has the division property.

Corollary (Parametric division). — Let  $\omega_y = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x, y) dx_i$ , where  $(x, y) \in K^n \times K^m$  and  $a_i$  is analytic,  $1 \le i \le n$ . Suppose that  $0 \in K^n \times K^m$  is an algebraically isolated zero of  $\omega_0$ . If  $\alpha_y$  is a p-form  $1 \le p \le n-1$  in  $K^n$  depending analytically on the parameter  $y \in K^m$ 

and  $\alpha_y \wedge \omega_y = 0$  then there exists an analytic (p-1)-form  $\beta_y$ , depending analytically on the parameter y, such that  $\alpha_y = \omega_y \wedge \beta_y$ , for any y in a neighborhood of 0.

Another fact which will be used is the following:

Proposition. — Let  $\omega \in \Lambda^1_H(n)$ ,  $a_i(0) = 0$  for  $1 \le i \le n$ . Let  $\widetilde{\omega}$  be the complexification of  $\omega$ . Then  $0 \in \mathbb{K}^n$  is an algebraically isolated zero of  $\omega$  if and only if  $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$  is a topologically isolated zero of  $\widetilde{\omega}$ .

The proof can be found also in [10] pg. 181.

We proceed to show a dual version of the de Rham division theorem.

Definition. — Let  $X = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}$ , X(0) = 0, be the germ at zero of a  $C^{\infty}$ , analytic or holomorphic vector field in  $K^{n}$ . We say that X has an algebraically isolated zero at  $0 \in K^{n}$  if the vector space  $\Lambda^{0}_{\infty}(n)/[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}]$  (or  $\Lambda^{0}_{H}(n)/[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}]$ ) has finite dimension.

Definition. — The vector field X has the division property if for any  $1 \le p \le n-1$  and  $\alpha \in \Lambda_A^p(n)$  such that  $i_X(\alpha) = 0$  there is  $\beta \in \Lambda_A^{p+1}(n)$  such that  $\alpha = i_X(\beta)$ .

By  $i_X$  we denote the interior product  $i_X(\alpha)(v_1, \ldots, v_{p-1}) = \alpha(X, v_1, \ldots, v_{p-1})$ , where  $\alpha \in \Lambda_A^p(n)$  and  $v_1, \ldots, v_{p-1}$  are vector fields.

Theorem. — Let X be a  $C^{\infty}$  or holomorphic vector field in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  or  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with an algebraically isolated zero at 0. Then X has the division property.

Proof. — Let 
$$*: \Lambda_{A}^{p}(n) \to \Lambda_{A}^{n-p}(n)$$
 be the Hodge star operator. If
$$\eta = \sum_{1 \leq i_{1} < \dots < i_{p} \leq n} a_{i_{1} \dots i_{p}} dx_{i_{1}} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_{p}} \in \Lambda_{A}^{p}(n)$$

$$*\eta = \sum_{1 \leq j_{1} < \dots < j_{n-p} \leq n} (\operatorname{sgn} \sigma) a_{i_{1} \dots i_{p}} dx_{j_{1}} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{j_{n-p}}$$

1 / . . . .

then

where  $(i_1, \ldots, i_p, j_1, \ldots, j_{n-p})$  is a permutation  $\sigma$  of  $(1, \ldots, n)$  and  $\operatorname{sgn} \sigma = 1$  if  $\sigma$  is even,  $\operatorname{sgn} \sigma = -1$  if  $\sigma$  is odd. Then the following diagram commutes

$$\Lambda_{A}^{p-1}(n) \xrightarrow{\omega'} \Lambda_{A}^{p}(n) \xrightarrow{\omega'} \Lambda_{A}^{p+1}(n)$$

$$\downarrow^{*} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{*}$$

$$\Lambda_{A}^{n-p+1}(n) \xrightarrow{i_{\mathbf{X}}} \Lambda_{A}^{n-p}(n) \xrightarrow{i_{\mathbf{X}}} \Lambda_{A}^{n-p-1}(n)$$

where  $1 \le p \le n-1$ ,  $*\omega = i_X(dx_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dx_n)$  and  $\omega'(\alpha) = \omega \wedge \alpha$ . It follows that  $\omega$  has an isolated zero at  $\alpha$ . By the de Rham theorem the first horizontal sequence is exact. This implies that the second sequence is exact.

#### II. — INTEGRABLE 1-FORMS IN DIMENSION THREE

Here we consider integrable 1-forms  $\omega$  in K3. For such forms we can write

$$d\omega = \mathbf{Y_1} dx_2 \wedge dx_3 + \mathbf{Y_2} dx_3 \wedge dx_1 + \mathbf{Y_3} dx_1 \wedge dx_2$$

or 
$$d\omega = i_{Y}(dx_{1} \wedge dx_{2} \wedge dx_{3})$$
 where  $Y = \sum_{i=1}^{3} Y_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}$ .

The vector field Y is called the *rotation* of  $\omega$  and will be denoted by rot  $\omega$ . We say that  $o \in K^3$  is an algebraically isolated zero of  $d\omega$  if it is an algebraically isolated zero for rot  $\omega$ . When this happens we say that  $\omega$  is simple at  $o \in K^3$ . It is easy to verify that this condition is independent of the coordinate system.

From the integrability condition  $\omega \wedge d\omega \equiv 0$  one obtains

$$i_{Y}(\omega)d\omega - \omega \wedge i_{Y}(d\omega) = 0.$$

Thus

$$i_{\rm Y}(\omega)d\omega=0$$

and if  $\omega$  is simple at  $o \in K^3$  we have  $i_Y(\omega) = 0$ , i.e. rot  $\omega$  is tangent to the leaves of  $\omega$ .

#### 1. Integrable 1-forms and Lie group actions.

An integrable 1-form is called homogeneous when all its coefficients are homogeneous polynomials of the same degree.

Theorem 1. — Any integrable 1-form  $\omega_k$  homogeneous of degree k,  $k \geq 3$ , and simple at  $o \in K^3$  can be written

$$\omega_k = i_X i_Y(\Omega), \quad \Omega = dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_3$$

where 
$$Y = rot(\omega_k)$$
 and  $X(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{1}{k+1}(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ .

*Proof.* — One has  $i_Y \omega_k = 0$ . Then by the de Rham theorem  $\omega_k = i_Y \alpha = i_X i_Y \Omega$  where X is linear, X(x) = A.x. Moreover,

$$i_{\mathrm{Y}}\Omega = d\omega_{k} = d(i_{\mathrm{X}}i_{\mathrm{Y}}\Omega) = \mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{X}}(i_{\mathrm{Y}}\Omega) - i_{\mathrm{X}}d(i_{\mathrm{Y}}\Omega) = \mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{X}}(i_{\mathrm{Y}}\Omega)$$

or 
$$i_{\mathbf{Y}}\Omega = i_{[\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y}]}\Omega + i_{\mathbf{Y}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{X}}\Omega.$$

Now, 
$$L_{X}\Omega = \frac{d}{dt}(e^{tA})^{*}\Omega\Big|_{t=0} = \frac{d}{dt}(\det e^{tA})\Big|_{t=0} \cdot \Omega = \operatorname{tr}(A) \cdot \Omega.$$

Therefore  $i_{\mathrm{Y}}\Omega=i_{[\mathrm{X},\mathrm{Y}]}\Omega+\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{A}).i_{\mathrm{Y}}\Omega$  and so

$$[X, Y] = \lambda Y, \quad \lambda = I - tr(A) \quad \text{and} \quad X(p) = A.p.$$

Since [I, Y] = (k-2)Y where I(x) = x, one has

$$\left[X - \frac{\lambda}{k-2}I, Y\right] = 0.$$

The following lemma shows that  $X = \frac{\lambda}{k-2}I$ . Since  $\lambda = I - tr(A) = I - \frac{3\lambda}{k-2}$  one obtains  $X = \frac{I}{k+1}I$ .

Remark. — When k=2 the same proof shows that  $\omega_2 = i_X i_Y(\Omega)$  where X and Y are commutative vector fields. In fact, if k=2, X and Y are linear and  $[X, Y] = \lambda Y$ . So  $XY - YX = \lambda Y$  and  $tr(X - YXY^{-1}) = 3\lambda$ . Thus  $\lambda = 0$ .

Lemma 1. — Let Y be a homogeneous vector field of degree  $k-1 \ge 2$  in  $K^n$  such that  $o \in K^n$  is an algebraically isolated zero for Y. Let B be a linear vector field such that [B, Y] = o. Then  $B \equiv o$ .

*Proof.* — Assuming B and Y complex, let v be an eigenvector of B with eigenvalue  $\mu$ . Since

$$DY(v).B(v) - BY(v) = 0$$
 for any  $v$ 

we have

$$\mu \mathrm{DY}(v) \cdot v = \mathrm{BY}(v)$$
.

By the homogeneity of Y,  $DY(v) \cdot v = (k-1)Y(v)$ . So

$$BY(v) = \mu(k-1)Y(v).$$

Since  $o \in \mathbb{C}^n$  is an isolated zero of Y, Y(v) is an eigenvector of B with eigenvalue  $\mu(k-1)$ .

Similarly  $Y^{j}(v)$  is an eigenvector of B with eigenvalue  $\mu(k-1)^{j}$ . Since  $k-1 \ge 2$  this implies that  $\mu = 0$ . So all eigenvalues of B vanish. Therefore  $B^{\ell+1} = 0$  for some  $0 \le \ell \le n-1$ . We proceed to show that  $\ell = 0$ .

If on the contrary  $\ell > 0$ , there exists  $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $B^{\ell}z \neq 0$ . Since  $Y(e^{tB}z) = e^{tB}Y(z)$ , we have

$$Y\left(z+tBz+\ldots+\frac{t^{\ell}}{\ell!}B^{\ell}z\right)=\left(I+tB+\ldots+\frac{t^{\ell}}{\ell!}B^{\ell}\right)Y(z)$$

or dividing by  $t^{(k-1)\ell}$ 

$$Y\left(\frac{1}{t^{\ell}}\left(z+\ldots+\frac{t^{\ell-1}}{(\ell-1)!}B^{\ell-1}z\right)+\frac{1}{\ell!}B^{\ell}z\right)=\frac{1}{t^{k\ell-\ell}}\left(I+\ldots+\frac{t^{\ell}}{\ell!}B^{\ell}\right)Y(z).$$

Taking limits as  $t \to \infty$ , we obtain  $Y(B^{\ell}z) = 0$  which is absurd. Then  $\ell = 0$ .

Remark. — The existence of homogeneous integrable 1-forms  $\omega$ , simple at  $o \in K^3$ , can be shown as follows. For any  $k \ge 3$  find a volume preserving vector field Y,

i.e.  $L_Y \Omega = 0$ , such that Y is homogeneous of degree k and has  $0 \in K^3$  as an algebraically isolated zero. Then the form  $\omega = i_I i_Y \Omega$  satisfies

$$d\omega = di_{\mathrm{I}}i_{\mathrm{Y}}\Omega = \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{I}}i_{\mathrm{Y}}\Omega = i_{\mathrm{ILYI}}\Omega + i_{\mathrm{Y}}\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{I}}\Omega.$$

Using  $L_{\tau}\Omega = 3\Omega$ , obtain

$$d\omega = i_{[I,Y]}\Omega + i_{3Y}\Omega = i_{(k+1)Y}\Omega.$$

Therefore  $rot(\omega) = (k + 1)Y$ .

#### 2. Finite Determinacy.

Here we consider integrable 1-forms in  $K^3$  which can be written as  $\omega = \omega_k + R$ , where  $\lim_{x\to 0} |x|^{-k} R(x) = 0$ , and  $\omega_k = j^k(\omega)_0$ . Clearly  $\omega_k$  is integrable. We say that  $o \in K^3$  is a simple singularity of order k of  $\omega$  when  $o \in K^3$  is an algebraically isolated zero for  $d\omega_k$ .

Theorem 2. — Let  $\omega$  be an integrable 1-form of class  $C^r$  defined in an open set  $U \subset K^3$   $(r = \infty)$  or analytic if  $K = \mathbb{R}$  and r = holomorphic if  $K = \mathbb{C}$ ). Suppose that  $o \in K^3$  is a simple singularity or order  $k \geq 3$  of  $\omega$ , where  $j^k(\omega)_0 = \omega_k$ . Then there exists a  $C^r$  local diffeomorphism f such that f(o) = o and  $f^*(\omega) = \omega_k$  (1).

Remark. — The theorem is also true for k=2 in the following case. Let  $\omega_2 = j^2(\omega)_0$  and  $Y = \operatorname{rot} \omega_2$ . In this case Y is a linear vector field in  $K^3$  and  $\omega_2$  can be written as  $\omega_2 = i_X i_Y(\Omega)$ , where X is linear. If we assume that there is a linear combination aX + bY satisfying non resonance conditions in the  $C^{\infty}$  case or Siegel's conditions in the analytic case (cf. [13] and [12]), then Theorem 3 is true for  $\omega$ .

Proof of Theorem 2. — Let  $\widetilde{Y}=\operatorname{rot}(\omega)$ . Since  $i_{\widetilde{Y}}(\omega)=0$  there is, by the de Rham division theorem, a 2-form  $\eta$  such that  $\omega=i_{\widetilde{Y}}(\eta)$ . But  $-\eta=i_{\widetilde{X}}(\Omega)$ , where  $\Omega=dx_1\wedge dx_2\wedge dx_3$ . Therefore  $\omega=i_{\widetilde{X}}\,i_{\widetilde{Y}}(\Omega)$  and similarly  $\omega_k=i_Xi_Y(\Omega)$  where by Theorem I  $X(x)=\frac{1}{k+1}x$  and  $Y=\operatorname{rot}\omega_k$ . Let f be a local diffeomorphism f(0)=0, such that  $j^1(f)_0=\operatorname{identity}$  and  $f^*(\widetilde{X})=X$ . Now  $f^*(\omega)=i_Xi_{\overline{Y}}(\Omega)$  where  $\overline{Y}=\det(\mathrm{D}f)\cdot f^*(\widetilde{Y})=\operatorname{rot}(f^*\omega)$ . This implies as in Theorem I that  $[X,\overline{Y}]=\frac{k-2}{k+1}\overline{Y}$  or  $[I,\overline{Y}]=(k-2)\overline{Y}$ , where I(x)=x. Therefore  $\overline{Y}$  is homogeneous of degree k-I. Moreover  $j^{k-1}(\overline{Y})_0=j^{k-1}(Y)_0=Y$ , because  $j^1(f)_0=\operatorname{identity}$ . Therefore  $f^*\omega=\omega_k$ .

<sup>(1)</sup> A particular version of this theorem was obtained independently by Cerveau and Moussu.

#### 3. Polynomial Integrable Forms.

Here we study integrable 1-forms whose coefficients are polynomials of degree  $k \ge 3$ . Such a form is written

$$\omega = \omega_0 + \omega_1 + \ldots + \omega_k$$

where  $\omega_j$  is homogeneous of degree j.

The main result of this section is that under the hypothesis that  $o \in K^3$  is an algebraically isolated zero of  $d\omega_k$  then  $\omega$  is, modulo a translation, a homogeneous form of degree k.

Lemma 2. — Let  $\omega_{k-1}$  be a homogeneous form of degree k-1 and  $\omega_k$  as above. Then  $(1) \qquad \qquad \omega_{k-1} \wedge d\omega_k + \omega_k \wedge d\omega_{k-1} = 0$ 

if and only if  $\omega_{k-1} = L_a(\omega_k)$  for some  $a \in K^3$ . Here  $L_a(\omega_k)$  is the Lie derivative of  $\omega_k$  in the direction of the constant vector field a.

*Proof.* — By Theorem 1 we have  $\omega_k = i_X i_Y(\Omega)$  where  $d\omega_k = i_Y(\Omega)$ . Then  $i_X(d\omega_k) = \omega_k$ . From (1) we obtain

$$(2) i_{X}(\omega_{k-1})d\omega_{k} - \omega_{k-1} \wedge \omega_{k} - \omega_{k} \wedge i_{X}(d\omega_{k-1}) = 0.$$

Using the interior product  $i_Y$  in (2) we get

$$-i_{\mathbf{Y}}(\omega_{k-1})\omega_k+i_{\mathbf{Y}}i_{\mathbf{X}}(d\omega_{k-1})\omega_k=0.$$

Then

and

i.e.

Then

$$i_{\mathbf{Y}}(\omega_{k-1}-i_{\mathbf{X}}d\omega_{k-1})=0.$$

This means that  $\omega_{k-1} - i_X d\omega_{k-1} = i_Y \alpha$  for some  $\alpha \in \Lambda^2(K^3)$ . Now,  $\alpha = -i_v(\Omega)$ , therefore

$$\omega_{k-1} - i_{\mathbf{X}} d\omega_{k-1} = i_{\mathbf{v}} d\omega_{k}$$

where v is constant.

We obtain:

$$egin{aligned} i_{\mathrm{X}}\,\omega_{k-1} &= i_{\mathrm{X}}i_{v}(d\omega_{k}) = -i_{v}(\omega_{k}) \ d(i_{\mathrm{X}}\,\omega_{k-1}) &= -di_{v}(\omega_{k}). \ \omega_{k-1} - i_{\mathrm{X}}(d\omega_{k-1}) - d(i_{\mathrm{X}}\,\omega_{k-1}) = i_{v}(d\omega_{k}) + d(i_{v}\,\omega_{k}), \ \omega_{k-1} - \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{X}}\,\omega_{k-1} = \mathrm{L}_{v}\,\omega_{k}. \end{aligned}$$

But 
$$X = \frac{1}{k+1}I$$
, so  $L_X \omega_{k-1} = \frac{k}{k+1}\omega_{k-1}$  and

$$\omega_{k-1} = L_a \omega_k, \quad a = (k+1)v.$$

Conversely, since  $\omega_k \wedge d\omega_k = 0$  we have

$$L_a \omega_k \wedge d\omega_k + \omega_k \wedge d(L_a \omega_k) = 0.$$

Therefore if  $\omega_{k-1} = L_a \omega_k$  we obtain (1).

14

Lemma 3. — Let  $\omega_k$  be as above and let  $\omega_j$  be a homogeneous form of degree j,  $0 \le j \le k-2$  such that

(3) 
$$\omega_{j} \wedge d\omega_{k} + \omega_{k} \wedge d\omega_{j} = 0.$$

Then  $\omega_i = 0$ .

*Proof.* — As in Lemma 2 we have 
$$i_{Y}(\omega_{j}-i_{X}d\omega_{j})=0$$
 and then  $\omega_{j}-i_{X}(d\omega_{j})=i_{Y}\alpha$ ,  $\alpha\in\Lambda^{2}(\mathbf{R}^{3})$ .

However, since Y is homogeneous of degree k-1 and  $\omega_j$ ,  $i_X(d\omega_j)$  are of degree j < k-1, we have

$$\omega_i - i_{\mathbf{X}}(d\omega_i) = 0.$$

Consequently,  $i_{X}(\omega_{j}) = 0$  and  $di_{X}\omega_{j} = 0$ . Therefore  $\omega_{j} = L_{X}\omega_{j}$ . Since  $X = \frac{1}{k+1}I$ ,  $L_{X}\omega_{j} = \frac{j+1}{k+1}\omega_{j}$ . So  $\omega_{j} = 0$ .

Lemma 4. — Let  $\omega_k$  be a homogeneous differential form of degree k in  $K^n$  and  $f_b(x) = x + b$ . Then

$$(4) f_b^*(\omega_k) = \omega_k + \mathcal{L}_b(\omega_k) + \widetilde{\omega}_{k-2} + \ldots + \widetilde{\omega}_0$$

where  $\mathfrak{S}_i$  is homogeneous of degree j.

Proof. — Let 
$$\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i(x) dx_i$$
 where each  $P_i(x)$  is homogeneous of degree  $k$ . Then
$$P_i(x+b) = P_i(x) + DP_i(x) \cdot b + \frac{1}{2}D^2P_i(x) \cdot b^2 + \dots + \frac{1}{k!}D^kP_i(x) \cdot b^k,$$

$$f_b^*(\omega_k) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i(x+b) dx_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{1}{j!}D^jP_i(x) \cdot b^j dx_i$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{j!}D^jP_i(x) \cdot b^j dx_i = \omega_k + \sum_{i=1}^{n} DP_i(x) \cdot b dx_i + R_{k-2}$$

$$= \omega_k + L_k \omega_k + R_{k-2},$$

where  $R_{k-2}$  is a polynomial form of degree k-2.

Theorem 3. — Let  $\omega$  be a polynomial integrable form of degree k in  $K^3$ . Write  $\omega$  as a sum of homogeneous forms  $\omega_j$ :

$$\omega = \omega_0 + \omega_1 + \ldots + \omega_{k-1} + \omega_k$$

and assume that  $\omega_k$  is simple at  $o \in K^3$ .

Then there is  $a \in K^3$  such that  $\omega = f_a^*(\omega_k)$ ,  $f_a(x) = x + a$ .

*Proof.* — Since 
$$\omega \wedge d\omega = 0$$
, we have  $\omega_k \wedge d\omega_k = 0$  and  $\omega_k \wedge d\omega_{k-1} + \omega_{k-1} \wedge d\omega_k = 0$ .

By (1),  $\omega_{k-1} = L_a(\omega_k)$ . From (4) we obtain

$$f_b^*(\omega) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} f_b^* \omega_j + \omega_{k-1} + R'_{k-2} + \omega_k + L_b \omega_k + R''_{k-2}.$$

Taking b = -a, we get

$$f_b^*(\omega) = \omega_k + \overline{\omega}_{k-2} + \ldots + \overline{\omega}_0$$

where  $\overline{\omega}_i$  has degree j. By the integrability of  $\omega$ 

$$\overline{\omega}_{k-2} \wedge d\omega_k + \omega_k \wedge d\overline{\omega}_{k-2} = 0.$$

Then by (3),  $\overline{\omega}_{k-2} = 0$ .

Similarly 
$$\overline{\omega}_{k-3} = \ldots = \overline{\omega}_0 = 0$$
. Then  $f_{-a}^*(\omega) = \omega_k$ .

Remark. — Observe that the main properties about  $\omega_k$  that we have used in the proof of Theorem 3 are:

- (5)  $\omega_k$  is integrable.
- (6) If  $\alpha$  is a homogeneous 1-form of degree  $j \leq k-1$  such that  $\alpha \wedge d\omega_k + \omega_k \wedge d\alpha = 0$  then  $\alpha = 0$  if  $j \leq k-2$  and  $\alpha = \mathbf{L}_a(\omega_k)$  for some  $a \in \mathbf{K}^3$ , if j = k-1.

In § 1, Chapter III, we shall see examples of homogeneous 1-forms in  $K^n$ , n > 3, which satisfy conditions (5), (6). This motivates the following definition.

Definition. — Let  $\omega_k$  be a homogeneous 1-form of degree k in  $K^n$ . We say that  $\omega_k$  is regular if it satisfies conditions (5) and (6) above.

With the same proof of Theorem 3, we have:

Theorem 3'. — Let  $\omega = \omega_0 + \ldots + \omega_k$  be a polynomial integrable 1-form in  $K^n$ , where  $\omega_j$  is homogeneous of degree j and  $\omega_k$  is regular. Then there is  $a \in K^n$  such that  $\omega = f_a^*(\omega_k)$ ,  $f_a(x) = x + a$ .

#### III. — REGULAR INTEGRABLE FORMS

The notion of regularity plays a fundamental role in the study of stability properties of integrable forms. In this chapter we derive its main properties.

#### 1. Regular Homogeneous 1-forms.

Let E be a vector space over the field K ( $K = \mathbf{R}$  or  $\mathbf{C}$ ) and let  $\eta$  be a p-form on E. We say that  $\eta$  is homogeneous of degree k if there exists a linear coordinate system on E in which  $\eta$  is expressed as a homogeneous p-form of degree k, i.e. all coefficients of the expression of  $\eta$  in this coordinate system are homogeneous polynomials of degree k. Of course, if  $\eta$  is homogeneous of degree k in some linear coordinate system, then the same is true for all linear coordinate systems on E. We denote by  $H_k^p(E)$ , or simply  $H_k^p$ , the set of all homogeneous p-forms of degree k on E.

The condition of regularity, given before can be expressed as follows. Let  $\omega \in H^1_k$ . Consider the linear operators  $T^\omega_j: H^1_j \to H^3_{k+j-1}$  and  $S^\omega: K^n \to H^1_{k-1}$  defined by  $T^\omega_j(\alpha) = \alpha \wedge d\omega + \omega \wedge d\alpha$  and  $S^\omega(a) = L_a(\omega)$ . Then  $\omega$  is regular if and only if  $\omega$  is integrable and satisfies the following conditions

(7) 
$$\operatorname{Ker}(\mathbf{T}_{i}^{\omega}) = \{0\} \quad \text{if } 0 \leq j \leq k-2.$$

(8) 
$$\operatorname{Ker}(T_{k-1}^{\omega}) = \operatorname{Im}(S^{\omega}).$$

Observe that the integrability condition,  $\omega \wedge d\omega = 0$ , implies that

$$L_a(\omega) \wedge d\omega + \omega \wedge d(L_a(\omega)) = 0, \quad a \in K^n,$$

i.e.  $Im(S^{\omega}) \subset Ker(T_{k-1}^{\omega})$  for every integrable  $\omega \in H_k^1$ .

We use the following notations:

$$\begin{split} \mathscr{R}_k(\mathbf{E}) &= \mathscr{R}_k = \{ \omega \in \mathbf{H}_k^1 \, | \, \omega \text{ is regular} \} \\ \mathscr{R}_k^{\boldsymbol{\ell}}(\mathbf{E}) &= \mathscr{R}_k^{\boldsymbol{\ell}} = \{ \omega \in \mathscr{R}_k \, | \, \dim(\mathbf{Im}(\mathbf{S}^{\omega})) = \ell \}. \end{split}$$

Now we can state the results.

Proposition 1. —  $\mathscr{R}'_k(E)$  is open in the set of integrable homogeneous 1-forms of degree k for any  $k \geq 1$ .

Definition. — Let  $\omega \in H_k^1(E)$ . We say that  $\omega$  can be written with  $m \leq n$  variables if there is a linear coordinate system  $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  in E, such that  $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(x_1, \ldots, x_m) dx_i$ 

in this coordinate system, that is,  $\omega$  does not depend on  $x_{m+1}, \ldots, x_n$ . The rank of  $\omega$  (rank( $\omega$ )) is the minimum number of variables in which  $\omega$  can be written.

Proposition 2. — Let  $\omega \in \mathcal{R}_k^{\ell}$ . Then  $\operatorname{rank}(\omega) = \ell$ .

Proposition 3. — Let  $\omega \in H^1_k(\mathbb{K}^n)$  be integrable and  $d\omega \not\equiv 0$ . Let  $\ell \geq 2$ . Then  $\omega \in \mathscr{R}^\ell_k(\mathbb{K}^n)$  if and only if there exists an  $\ell$ -dimensional subspace  $E \subseteq \mathbb{K}^n$  such that the restriction  $\omega/E \in \mathscr{R}^\ell_k(E)$ .

Corollary. — Let  $\omega \in \mathcal{R}_k^l(\mathbf{K}^m)$  with  $d\omega \not\equiv 0$ . If  $f: \mathbf{K}^n \to \mathbf{K}^m$  is linear and surjective then  $f^*(\omega) \in \mathcal{R}_k^l(\mathbf{K}^n)$ .

Before proving the results we give some examples.

Example 1. — Let  $\omega = df$  where  $f: K^n \to K$  is homogeneous of degree k+1. Then  $\omega \in \mathcal{R}_k$  if and only if k=1 and f is a non-degenerated quadratic form in  $K^n$ . This is true because if  $k \ge 2$  then any form  $\omega_k = df$  admits perturbations of lower order (see Theorem 3').

Example 2. — Let  $\omega$  be an integrable homogeneous 1-form of degree  $k \geq 3$  in  $K^3$  such that  $o \in K^3$  is an algebraically isolated zero of  $d\omega$ . Let  $f: K^n \to K^3$  be defined by  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$  and  $\omega^* = f^*(\omega)$ . Then, by Proposition 3,  $\omega^* \in \mathcal{R}^3_k(K^n)$ .

Example 3. — Homogeneous 1-forms defined by linear  $K^{n-1}$  actions on  $K^n$ . Let  $\omega$  be the homogeneous 1-form of degree n-1 defined by

(9) 
$$\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_1 \dots \hat{x}_i \dots x_n dx_i.$$

Where  $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$  and the symbol  $\hat{x}_i$  means omission of  $x_i$  in the product. Every form of type (9) is integrable and in fact they are induced by  $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$  linear actions on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , in the following sense.

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}$  be linear commutative vector fields in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Assume

$$X_j(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=(\alpha_j^1x_1,\ldots,\alpha_j^nx_n),\quad \alpha_j^i\in\mathbf{C},\quad 1\leq i\leq n,\quad 1\leq j\leq n-1.$$

Define  $\omega = i_{X_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge X_{n-1}}(\Omega)$ , where  $\Omega = dx_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dx_n$ . Then it is easy to see that  $\omega$  has an expression like in (9), where  $a_i = \pm \det(A_i)$  and  $A_i$  is the  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$  minor obtained from  $(\alpha_j^{\ell})_{1 \leq j \leq n-1}^{1 \leq \ell \leq n}$  by deleting the *i*-th column. This case corresponds to the canonical form for an open and dense set of linear  $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$  actions on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . In the case of linear  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  actions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  we can in the same way induce an integrable 1-form  $\omega$  and the canonical form is

(10) 
$$\omega = f(x, u, v) \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i \frac{dx_i}{x_i} + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} (u_j^2 + v_j^2)^{-1} \left[ (\alpha_j u_j + \beta_j v_j) du_j + (-\beta_j u_j + \alpha_j v_j) dv_j \right] \right]$$

for an open and dense set of actions, where  $x = (x_1, ..., x_k)$ ,  $u = (u_1, ..., u_\ell)$ ,  $v = (v_1, ..., v_\ell)$ ,  $k + 2\ell = n$  and  $f(x, u, v) = x_1 ... x_k (u_1^2 + v_1^2) ... (u_\ell^2 + v_\ell^2)$ .

If we complexify (10) then it can be reduced to the form (9) which is easier in handling algebraic computations. So we assume in the real case that  $\omega$  is complexified and is like in (9).

Observe that (9) or (10) can be considered as 1-forms in  $K^m$ , where  $m \ge n$ . We have the following.

Proposition 4. — Let  $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_1 \dots x_i \dots x_n dx_i$  be a 1-form in  $\mathbb{C}^m$ , where  $m \ge n \ge 2$ . If  $a_i \ne a_j \ne 0$  for  $i \ne j$ ,  $0 \le i$ ,  $j \le n$ , then  $\omega \in \mathcal{R}_{n-1}^n(\mathbb{C}^m)$ .

(I.I) Proof of Proposition 1. — Let  $\omega \in \mathscr{R}'_k(E)$  and consider as before the operators  $T_j^{\omega}(\alpha) = \alpha \wedge d\omega + \omega \wedge d\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in H_j^1$  and  $S^{\omega}(a) = L_a(\omega)$ ,  $a \in K^n$ . By definition we have that

(7) 
$$\operatorname{Ker}(\mathbf{T}_{i}^{\omega}) = \{0\} \text{ if } 0 \leq j \leq k-2 \text{ and }$$

(8) 
$$\operatorname{Ker}(T_{k-1}^{\omega}) = \operatorname{Im}(S^{\omega}), \quad \dim(\operatorname{Im}(S^{\omega})) = \ell.$$

We want to prove that (7) and (8) are true for all  $\eta \in H_k^1$ , integrable, sufficiently near  $\omega$ .

First of all observe that the maps  $\eta \mapsto T_j^{\eta}$  and  $\eta \mapsto S^{\eta}$  are continuous. Since the set of one to one linear operators of  $H_j^1$  into  $H_{k+j-1}^3$  is open in the set of all linear operators we get that if  $\eta$  is sufficiently near  $\omega$  then  $\operatorname{Ker}(T_j^{\eta}) = \{0\}$  for  $0 \le j \le k-2$ , so that (7) is true for  $\eta$ .

Let us consider (8). Since  $\eta \mapsto T_{k-1}^{\eta}$  and  $\eta \mapsto S^{\eta}$  are continuous, for  $\eta$  sufficiently near  $\omega$ , we get

(8 a) 
$$\dim \operatorname{Ker}(T_{k-1}^{\eta}) \leq \dim \operatorname{Ker}(T_{k-1}^{\omega}) = \ell$$
 and

(8 b) 
$$\dim \operatorname{Im}(S^{\eta}) > \dim \operatorname{Im}(S^{\omega}) = \ell$$
.

Now, if  $\eta$  is integrable, then  $\operatorname{Im}(S^{\eta}) \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(T_{k-1}^{\eta})$ , so that

$$\ell = \dim \operatorname{Ker}(T_{k-1}^{\omega}) \ge \dim \operatorname{Ker}(T_{k-1}^{\eta}) \ge \dim \operatorname{Im}(S^{\eta}) \ge \dim \operatorname{Im}(S^{\omega}) = \ell.$$

Hence  $\operatorname{Im}(S^{\eta}) = \operatorname{Ker}(T_{k-1}^{\eta})$  and  $\dim \operatorname{Im}(S^{\eta}) = \ell$ .

(1.2) Proof of Proposition 2. — By example 1 we can suppose that  $d\omega \equiv 0$ .

Let  $\omega \in \mathscr{R}'_k(K^n)$  and  $a \in \operatorname{Ker}(S^\omega) - \{o\}$ . We proceed to prove that in this case  $\omega$  can be written with n-1 variables. By a linear change of variables we can suppose that  $a = \partial/\partial x_n$ . If  $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i(x) dx_i$ ,  $L_a(\omega) = o$  implies that  $\frac{\partial p_i}{\partial x_n} = o$ , therefore  $p_i = p_i(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1})$ ,  $1 \le i \le n$ . We have to prove that  $p_n \equiv o$ . We write  $z = (x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1})$ ,  $y = x_n$ ,  $p_n = p$  and  $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} p_i(z) dx_i$ , so that  $\omega = \alpha + p(z) dy$ .

Since  $\alpha$  does not depend on y we get  $pd\alpha = dp \wedge \alpha$  and  $\alpha \wedge d\alpha = 0$ . From this get  $pd\omega = dp \wedge \omega$ . We need a lemma.

Lemma 5. — Let p be a homogeneous polynomial of degree j,  $0 \le j \le k$ . If  $\omega \in \mathcal{R}_k(K^n)$ ,  $d\omega \equiv 0$  and  $pd\omega = dp \wedge \omega$  then  $p \equiv 0$ .

*Proof.* — If j = 0 we get  $pd\omega = 0$  and since  $d\omega \neq 0$  then p = 0. If 0 < j < kthen the equation  $pd\omega = dp \wedge \omega$  implies that  $dp \wedge d\omega = 0$  or  $dp \wedge d\omega + \omega \wedge d(dp) = 0$ and since  $\omega$  is regular we get dp = 0 if  $0 \le j \le k - 1$  and  $dp = L_v(\omega)$ ,  $v \in K^n$ , if j=k. In the case  $0 \le j \le k-1$  we get p=0 because p is homogeneous. Let us consider the case j=k. In this case  $L_n(\omega)=dp$  implies that  $L_n(d\omega)=0$  and  $pd\omega = dp \wedge \omega$  implies

$$\mathbf{L}_{v}(p)d\omega = \mathbf{L}_{v}(pd\omega) = \mathbf{L}_{v}(dp \wedge \omega)$$
  
=  $d(\mathbf{L}_{v}(p)) \wedge \omega + dp \wedge \mathbf{L}_{v}(\omega) = d(\mathbf{L}_{v}(p)) \wedge \omega$ .

Since  $L_{\nu}(p)$  has degree k-1 it follows that  $L_{\nu}(p) = 0$ .

Now let 
$$X(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \partial/\partial x_i$$
. Then  $dp \wedge \omega = pd\omega$  implies that  $kp\omega - qdp = i_X(dp \wedge \omega) = i_X(pd\omega) = pi_X(d\omega)$ 

where  $q = i_X(\omega)$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree k + 1. We have

$$i_{\rm X}(d\omega) = {\rm L}_{\rm X}(\omega) - d(i_{\rm X}(\omega)) = (k+1)\omega - dq$$

because  $\omega$  is homogeneous of degree k. Therefore

$$p\omega = pdq - qdp$$
.

Applying L, to both members of the equation we get

$$bdb = bdr - rdb$$

where  $r = L_p(q)$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree k. Applying  $i_x$  to both members of the equation we have  $kp^2 = pi_X(dr) - ri_X(dp) = p(kr) - r(kp) = 0$ . Hence p = 0. This finishes the proof of the lemma.

By Lemma 5 we get 
$$\omega = \alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} p_i(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) dx_i$$
.

By Lemma 5 we get  $\omega = \alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} p_i(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) dx_i$ . Now  $\ell = \dim \operatorname{Im}(S^{\omega}) = \operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Ker}(S^{\omega})$ , so that applying Lemma 5 inductively it is possible to find a linear coordinate system  $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  such that  $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \omega^i(x_1, \ldots, x_\ell) dx_i$ . On the other hand, suppose we could write  $\omega$  with  $m < \ell$  variables. In this case it is easy to see that codim  $Ker(S^{\omega}) \leq m < \ell$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $rank(\omega) = \ell$ .

(1.3) Proof of Proposition 3. — Suppose first that  $\omega \in \mathcal{R}_k^{\prime}(K^n)$ . In this case, by Proposition 2,  $\omega$  can be written with  $\ell$  variables,  $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} p_i(x_1, \ldots, x_{\ell}) dx_i$ . Take  $E = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_\ell, 0, \ldots, 0) \mid x_1, \ldots, x_\ell \in K\}$ . So  $\omega/E$  has the same expression as  $\omega$  and it is not difficult to verify that  $\omega/E \in \mathcal{R}_k^{\ell}(E)$ .

Suppose now that there exists an  $\ell$ -dimensional plane  $E \subset K^n$ , such that  $\omega/E \in \mathscr{B}_k^{\ell}(E)$ . We can suppose  $E = \{(x, 0) \mid x \in K^{\ell}\}$ . Let  $E_j = \{(x, 0) \mid x \in K^{\ell+j}\}$  and  $\eta_j = \omega/E_j$ . The idea is to prove that  $\eta_j \in \mathscr{B}_k^{\ell}(E_j)$ , by induction on  $j = 0, \ldots, n-\ell$ .

For j=0 the assertion is clear. Suppose the assertion true for  $j\geq 0$  and let us prove that it is true for j+1. First of all we prove that  $\eta_{j+1}$  can be written with  $\ell+j$  variables. If  $x\in E_{j+1}$  we write x=(z,y) where  $z\in E_j$  and  $y\in K$ . In this coordinate system  $\eta_{j+1}$  can be written as

$$\eta_{j+1} = \alpha_k + y\alpha_{k-1} + \ldots + y^k\alpha_0 + p(z, y)dy$$

where p is a homogeneous polynomial of degree k and  $\alpha_i$  is a homogeneous 1-form of degree i which does not depend on y and dy.

Then  $\eta_{j+1}/E_j = \alpha_k = \eta_j \in \mathscr{R}_k^l(E_j)$ , by induction. Set  $a = \partial/\partial y = (0, 1)$  and let  $g_i : E_j \to E_{j+1}$  be defined by  $g_i(z) = z + ta$ . Then  $g_i^*(\eta_{j+1}) = \alpha_k + \ldots + t^k \alpha_0 = \beta_i$ .

Since  $\alpha_k$  is regular, by Theorem 3' there exists  $v \in E_j$  such that  $h^*(\beta_1) = \alpha_k$ , where h(z) = z + v. We define  $f: E_{j+1} \to E_{j+1}$  by f(z, y) = (z + yv, y). Then it is not difficult to see that  $f^*(\eta_{j+1}) = \alpha_k + q(z, y)dy$ , where q is homogeneous of degree k. We write  $q(z, y) = q_k(z) + yq_{k-1}(z) + \ldots + y^kq_0$  where  $q_i$  is homogeneous of degree i,  $0 \le i \le k$ . Now the integrability condition applied to  $f^*(\eta_{j+1})$  implies that

$$q_i d\alpha_k = dq_i \wedge \alpha_k, \quad 0 \le i \le k.$$

Suppose first that  $d\alpha_k \neq 0$ . In this case, by Lemma 5, we get  $q_i = 0$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq k$ , and then  $f^*(\eta_{i+1}) = \alpha_k$ .

If  $d\alpha_k \equiv 0$  then, by Example 1, k=1 and  $\alpha_k = dg$  where g is a non-degenerate quadratic form. In this case  $\omega = dg + \Delta = \sum_{r,s} a_{rs} x_r dx_s$ , where  $\Delta/E_j = 0$  and the matrix  $(a_{rs})$  has rank  $\geq \ell + j \geq \ell \geq 2$ . If  $\Delta \neq 0$  we have in fact rank  $(a_{rs}) \geq 3$ . The idea is to show that in this case  $d\Delta = 0$  so that  $d\omega = 0$  which contradicts the hypothesis. In fact, suppose that we had  $d\Delta \neq 0$ . In this case  $d\omega_0 \neq 0$  and it can be shown that the matrix  $(a_{rs})$  has rank at most 2 (see [5] or [9]). This proves that  $d\alpha_k \neq 0$  in any case.

By the above argument we can suppose that  $\eta_{j+1} = \alpha_k$ , does not depend on y or dy. Let  $\beta \in H^1_m(E_{j+1})$  be such that

$$(*) \beta \wedge d\alpha_k + \alpha_k \wedge d\beta = 0.$$

We write

$$\beta = \beta_m + y\beta_{m-1} + \ldots + y^m\beta_0 + q(z, y)dy$$

where q has degree m and  $\beta_i \in H^1_i(E_j)$ . Then it is not difficult to see that (\*) implies that  $\beta_i \wedge d\alpha_k + \alpha_k \wedge d\beta_i = 0$  for  $0 \le i \le m$ . Since  $\alpha_k \in \mathcal{R}_k(E_i)$  we get  $\beta_i = 0$  for

 $0 \le i \le k-2$  and  $\beta_{k-1} = L_v(\alpha_k)$  (if m=k-1). Consequently, for m < k-1 we have  $\beta = q(z,y)dy$  and for m=k-1 we have  $\beta = L_v(\alpha_k) + q(z,y)dy$ . We write

$$q(z, y) = q_m(z) + yq_{m-1}(z) + \dots + y^m q_0$$

where  $q_i$  is homogeneous of degree i. Now, equation (\*) implies that

$$q_i d\alpha_k + \alpha_k \wedge dq_i = 0, \quad 0 \le i \le m.$$

Since  $m \le k-1$  we get by Lemma 5 that  $q_i = 0$  so that  $\beta = L_v(\alpha_k)$  if m = k-1 and  $\beta = 0$  if m < k-1. This ends the proof.

(1.4) Proof of Proposition 4. — Let  $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_1 \dots \hat{x}_i \dots x_n dx_i$  where  $a_i \neq a_j \neq 0$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , be considered as a 1-form in  $\mathbb{C}^m$ ,  $m \geq n$ . By Proposition 3 it is sufficient to consider the case m = n.

Let  $\alpha$  be a homogeneous 1-form of degree j such that

$$(*) \qquad \alpha \wedge d\omega + \omega \wedge d\alpha = 0.$$

We want to prove that  $\alpha = 0$  if  $0 \le j \le n-3$  and  $\alpha = L_{\nu}(\omega)$  if j = n-2. We can write  $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{|\sigma|=j} b_{i}^{\sigma} x^{\sigma} dx_{i}$ , where  $\sigma = (\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n})$ ,  $x^{\sigma} = x_{1}^{\sigma_{1}} \ldots x_{n}^{\sigma_{n}}$  and  $|\sigma| = \sigma_{1} + \ldots + \sigma_{n}$ . Let us write  $\alpha$  in another way:

$$\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{|\sigma| = j+1} \sigma_{i} C_{i}^{\sigma} x^{\sigma - e_{i}} dx_{i}$$

where  $C_i^{\sigma} = 0$  if  $\sigma_i = 0$ ,  $\sigma_i C_i^{\sigma} = b_i^{\sigma - e_i}$  if  $\sigma_i > 0$  and  $\sigma - e_i = (\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_i - 1, \ldots, \sigma_n)$ . Differentiating  $\alpha$  we get

$$d\alpha = \sum_{k < \ell} \sum_{|\alpha| = \ell+1} \sigma_k \sigma_\ell C_{k\ell}^{\sigma} x^{\sigma - e_k - e_\ell} dx_k \wedge dx_\ell$$

where  $C_{k\ell}^{\sigma} = C_{\ell}^{\sigma} - C_{k}^{\sigma}$ . In the same way we can write

$$\omega = x_1 \dots x_n \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \frac{dx_i}{x_i}$$

and

$$d\omega = x_1 \dots x_n \sum_{k < \ell} a_{k\ell} \frac{dx_k \wedge dx_\ell}{x_k x_\ell}$$

where  $a_{kl} = a_l - a_k$ . Now equation (\*) implies that

$$0 = \alpha \wedge d\omega + \omega \wedge d\alpha = x_1 \dots x_n \sum_{\substack{i < k < \ell \\ |\sigma| = j+1}} e_{ik\ell}^{\sigma} x^{\sigma - e_i - e_k - e_\ell} dx_i \wedge dx_k \wedge dx_\ell$$

where  $e_{ik\ell}^{\sigma} = f_{ik\ell}^{\sigma} + f_{k\ell i}^{\sigma} + f_{\ell ik}^{\sigma}$ ,  $f_{ik\ell}^{\sigma} = \sigma_i C_i^{\sigma} a_{k\ell} + \sigma_k \sigma_{\ell} a_i C_{k\ell}^{\sigma}$ . Then  $e_{ik\ell}^{\sigma} = 0$ ,  $1 \le i, k, \ell \le n$ . Now suppose that  $0 \le j \le n - 3$ . In this case for any  $\sigma$  with  $|\sigma| = j + 1$  there exist  $k \ne \ell$  in  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$  such that  $\sigma_k = \sigma_{\ell} = 0$ , because  $\sigma_1 + \ldots + \sigma_n \le n - 2$ . Therefore we get for such  $\sigma$ 

$$0 = e_{ik\ell}^{\sigma} = \sigma_i C_i^{\sigma} a_{k\ell} = \sigma_i C_i^{\sigma} (a_{\ell} - a_k).$$

Since  $a_{\ell} - a_{k} \neq 0$ , we have  $C_{i}^{\sigma} = 0$ , i = 1, ..., n, which implies that  $\alpha = 0$ . If j = n - 2 and  $\sigma$  is such that  $\sigma_{k} = \sigma_{\ell} = 0$ ,  $k \neq \ell$ , we get in the same way  $C_{i}^{\sigma} = 0$ . Therefore if  $C_{i}^{\sigma} \neq 0$ ,  $\sigma$  must be of the form  $\sigma = (1, ..., 1) - e_{\ell}$  for some  $\ell$  and in this case we have

$$o = e_{i\ell k}^{\sigma} = C_i^{\sigma} a_{k\ell} + C_k^{\sigma} a_{\ell i} + a_{\ell} C_{ik}^{\sigma}.$$

Therefore  $a_i C_k^{\sigma} = a_k C_i^{\sigma}$ ,  $1 \le i, k \le n$ , which means that the vector  $C^{\sigma} = (C_1^{\sigma}, \ldots, C_n^{\sigma})$  is a scalar multiple of the vector  $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ , say  $C^{\sigma} = \lambda_{\ell} a$ , where  $\sigma = (1, \ldots, 1) - e_{\ell}$ . Therefore we can write

$$\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} (x_{1} \dots \hat{x}_{i} \dots x_{n}) \right) dx_{i} = \mathbf{L}_{v}(\omega)$$

where  $v = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}$ , as can be verified directly.

#### 2. Reduction of variables for analytic integrable 1-forms.

Let  $\omega$  be an integrable 1-form defined in an open set  $U \subset K^n$ . Given an open set  $V \subset U$ , we say that  $\omega$  can be written with  $\ell \leq n$  variables in V if there is a diffeomorphism  $f: V \to f(V) \subset K^n$  such that f(p) = p and

$$f^*(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \omega_i(x_1, \ldots, x_{\ell}) dx_i.$$

The rank of  $\omega$  at p is the minimum number of variables in which  $\omega$  can be written in a neighborhood of p. We use the notation rank<sub>p</sub>( $\omega$ ) for the rank of  $\omega$  at p.

Geometrically the fact that  $\operatorname{rank}_p(\omega) = m < n$ , means that the foliation defined by  $\omega$  is locally equivalent at p to the product of a codimension one singular foliation in  $K^m$  by  $K^{n-m}$ .

#### Examples

- 1) If  $\omega$  is a regular homogeneous 1-form of degree k, then  $\operatorname{rank}_0(\omega) = \dim \operatorname{Im}(S^{\omega})$ , where  $S^{\omega}(a) = L_a(\omega)$ ,  $a \in K^n$  (cf. Prop. 2).
- 2) If  $\omega$  is an integrable 1-form such that  $\omega_p = 0$  and  $d\omega_p \neq 0$ , then  $\operatorname{rank}_p(\omega) = 2$  (cf. [5], [9]).
- 3) Let  $\omega \in I^r(U)$   $(r \ge 4)$  and suppose that there exist  $p \in U$  and a 3-dimensional plane  $F \subset K^n$  such that  $p \in F$  and p is a hyperbolic singularity of the vector field  $rot(\omega/F)$ . Then  $rank_p(\omega) = 3$ . The proof can be found in [6].

It is an open question to know whether a 1-form  $\omega \in I^r(U)$  with  $J^{k-1}(\omega)_p \equiv 0$  and  $J^k(\omega)_p \in R'_k$  can be reduced to  $\ell$  variables near p. Along this direction we have the following result.

Theorem 4. — Let  $\omega$  be an analytic integrable 1-form defined in an open set  $U \subset K^n$ . Suppose that there exist  $p \in U$  and a 3-dimensional plane  $F \subset K^n$  such that  $p \in F$  and p is an algebraically isolated singularity of the vector field  $rot(\omega/F)$ . Then  $rank_n(\omega) = 3$ .

**Proof.** — The idea is to prove that if  $\omega$  can be written with  $\ell$  variables,  $4 \le \ell \le n$ , then it can be written with  $\ell - 1$  variables. More specifically, if  $f^*(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \omega_i(x_1, \ldots, x_\ell) dx_i$  for a diffeomorphism  $f: V \to f(V) \subset K^n$ , f(o) = o, then we shall construct a diffeomorphism  $g: V' \to g(V')$ , g(o) = o, of the form  $g(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = (g_1(x_1, \ldots, x_\ell), x_{\ell+1}, \ldots, x_n)$  where  $g_1: V_1 \subset K' \to K'$  and such that

$$(f \circ g)^*(\omega) = g^*(f^*(\omega)) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \widetilde{\omega}_i(x_1, \ldots, x_{\ell-1}) dx_i.$$

So we can suppose that  $\ell = n$  and all the steps of the induction procedure will be similar to this case. We can suppose also that p = 0 and  $F = \{(x, 0) \in K^n \mid x \in K^3\}$ .

In order to prove that  $\omega$  can be written with n-1 variables we shall construct an analytic vector field X in a neighborhood W of o, such that X is transversal to the plane  $\widetilde{F} = \{(x, 0) \mid x \in K^{n-1}\}$  and  $i_X(d\omega) = 0$ .

Suppose for a moment that we have constructed such a vector field. Let  $V \subset K^n$  be a neighborhood of  $o \in K^n$ , and  $f: V \to f(V) \subset W$  be a diffeomorphism such that  $f^*(X) = \partial/\partial x_n = e_n$ . If  $\eta = f^*(\omega)$ , then we have  $i_{e_n}(d\eta) = o$  and the integrability condition  $\eta \wedge d\eta = o$  implies that  $i_{e_n}(\eta) = o$  and so

$$\mathbf{L}_{e_n}(\eta) = i_{e_n}(d\eta) + d(i_{e_n}(\eta)) = \mathbf{o}.$$

Therefore the coefficients of  $\eta$  do not depend on  $x_n$ , so that  $\eta = \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) dx_i$ . Using that  $i_{e_n}(\eta) = 0$  we get  $\eta_n \equiv 0$ . Hence  $\omega$  can be written with n-1 variables.

Now we construct the vector field X. Suppose  $X = \sum_{i=1}^{s} \Delta_i \partial/\partial x_i + \partial/\partial x_n$ . The condition  $i_X(d\omega) = 0$  is equivalent to

(\*) 
$$\Delta_1 \omega_{1j} + \Delta_2 \omega_{2j} + \Delta_2 \omega_{3j} + \omega_{nj} = 0, \quad 1 \le j \le n$$

where  $d\omega = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} \omega_{ij} dx_i \wedge dx_j$ ,  $\omega_{ij} = -\omega_{ji}$ .

Now observe that the three conditions

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\Delta_2 \, \omega_{12} + \Delta_3 \, \omega_{31} = \omega_{1n} \\ \Delta_1 \, \omega_{12} - \Delta_3 \, \omega_{23} = \omega_{2n} \\ -\Delta_1 \, \omega_{31} + \Delta_2 \, \omega_{23} = \omega_{3n} \end{pmatrix}$$

are equivalent to the conditions (\*).

In fact, to obtain (\*\*) it is sufficient to make j=1,2,3 in (\*). On the other hand, if the conditions (\*\*) are true, it is sufficient to apply the relation  $d\omega \wedge d\omega = 0$  to obtain (\*) for  $j \ge 4$ . For more details see [6].

Now we write the conditions (\*\*) in another way. Let Y be the vector field  $\omega_{23} \partial/\partial x_1 + \omega_{31} \partial/\partial x_2 + \omega_{12} \partial/\partial x_3$  and  $\alpha$  be the 2-form

$$-\Delta_1 dx_2 \wedge dx_3 - \Delta_2 dx_3 \wedge dx_1 - \Delta_3 dx_1 \wedge dx_2.$$

Then (\*\*) is equivalent to  $\zeta=i_{Y}(\alpha)$  where  $\zeta=\sum\limits_{i=1}^{3}\omega_{in}dx_{i}$ . Therefore to obtain  $\Delta_{1}$ ,  $\Delta_{2}$  and  $\Delta_{3}$  it is sufficient to prove that there exists a 2-form  $\alpha$  such that  $\zeta=i_{Y}(\alpha)$ . Since  $i_{Y}(\zeta)=\omega_{23}\,\omega_{1n}+\omega_{31}\,\omega_{2n}+\omega_{12}\,\omega_{3n}=0$  (because  $d\omega\wedge d\omega=0$ ) the proof of the theorem is reduced to the parametric version of the de Rham division theorem, which can be applied in this case because  $o\in K^{n}$  is an algebraically isolated zero of  $Y(x,o)=\operatorname{rot}(\omega/F)$ . This finishes the proof.

#### 1. Stability of regular points.

Let  $\omega$  be an integrable 1-form of class  $\mathbf{C}^r$  defined in an open subset  $\mathbf{U} \subset \mathbf{K}^n$  ( $\mathbf{C}^r = \text{holomorphic}$  if  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{C}$ ), where  $r \geq k$ . Then we can consider the k-jet of  $\omega$  at  $p \in \mathbf{U}$ ,  $j^k(\omega)_p$ , as a polynomial 1-form so that

$$j^k(\omega)_p = \omega_0 + \omega_1 + \ldots + \omega_k$$

where  $\omega_j$  is a homogeneous 1-form of degree j. If  $j^{k-1}(\omega)_p = 0$  then it is not difficult to see that  $\omega_k = j^k(\omega)_p$  is a homogeneous 1-form of degree k.

Definition. — Let  $\omega \in I^r(U)$ ,  $r \ge 1$ . A singularity p of  $\omega$  is called regular of order  $k \ge 1$  if  $j^{k-1}(\omega)_p = 0$  and  $j^k(\omega)_p$  is a regular homogeneous 1-form.

Write  $M_k(\omega)$  to denote the set of regular singularities of order k of  $\omega$  and

$$\mathbf{M}_k^{\ell}(\omega) = \{ p \in \mathbf{M}_k(\omega) \mid j^k(\omega)_p \in \mathscr{R}_k^{\ell}(\mathbf{K}^n) \}.$$

Denote by  $C^s(M, N)$  the set of all  $C^s$  maps from the manifold M to N, endowed with the  $C^s$ -uniform topology.

Theorem 5. — Let  $\omega \in I^r(U)$ ,  $r \geq 2k$ . Then  $M_k^\ell(\omega)$  is an embedded codimension  $\ell$  submanifold of class  $C^{r-k+1}$  (holomorphic if  $K = \mathbb{C}$ ). Moreover, if we fix a relatively compact open subset  $P \subset M_k^\ell(\omega)$ , then there exist neighborhoods  $N \subset I^r(U)$  of  $\omega$  and V,  $P \subset V \subset U$ , such that for any  $\eta \in N$  there exists an embedding  $h_\eta: P \to U$  of class  $C^{r-k+1}$  such that  $h_\eta(P) = M_k^\ell(\eta) \cap V$ . The map  $\eta \mapsto h_\eta \in C^{r-k+1}(P, U)$  can be chosen so that it is continuous.

(1.1) Proof of Theorem 5. — Let  $J^{\ell}$  be the space of 1-forms in  $K^n$  whose coefficients are polynomials of degree  $\leq \ell$ . A form  $x \in J^{\ell}$  can be written as a sum  $x = x_0 + x_1 + \ldots + x_{\ell}$  where  $x_i$  is a homogeneous form of degree i. Given x and y in  $J^{2k}$  define

$$F_m(x,y) = \sum_{\substack{i+j=m\\i,j>0}} (x_i \wedge dy_j + y_j \wedge dx_i), \quad m \leq 2k.$$

Notice that if  $\omega$  is an integrable form and  $x = j^{2k}(\omega)_p$  then  $F_m(x, x) = 0$  for, 1 < m < 2k.

Define also

$$F(x, y) = (F_1(x, y), \ldots, F_{2k}(x, y))$$

and

$$G(x, y) = (F_k(x, y), ..., F_{2k-1}(x, y)).$$

Let  $\pi: J^{2k} \to J^{k-1}$  be the projection defined as

$$\pi(x_0 + \ldots + x_{2k}) = x_0 + \ldots + x_{k-1}$$

and consider the algebraic variety

$$V_{2k} = \{x \in J^{2k} \mid F(x, x) = 0\}$$

and its projection  $V_{2k}^{k-1} = \pi(V_{2k}) = V$ . The tangent space to  $V_{2k}$  at  $x \in V_{2k}$  is by definition  $T_x(V_{2k}) = \{\dot{x} \in J^{2k} \mid F(\dot{x}, x) = 0\}$ .

Lemma 6. — Let  $\omega \in I^r(U)$ ,  $p \in M_k(\omega)$  and  $j^{2k}(\omega)_p = x^0 = \omega_k + \omega_{k+1} + \ldots + \omega_{2k}$ , where  $\omega_i$  is homogeneous of degree j,  $k \leq j \leq 2k$ . Then

$$\pi(T_{x^0}(V_{2k})) = \text{Im}(S_n) = \{\pi(\dot{x}) \mid G(\dot{x}, x^0) = 0\}.$$

By  $S_p$  we denote the operator  $S_p(a) = L_a(\omega_k), \ a \in K^n$ .

*Proof.* — Let 
$$\dot{x}=\dot{x}_0+\ldots+\dot{x}_{2k}$$
. Then 
$$\mathrm{F}_m(\dot{x},x^0)=\sum_{\substack{i+j=m\\i,j\geq 0}}(\dot{x}_i\wedge d\omega_j+\omega_j\wedge d\dot{x}_i)\qquad m=1,\,\ldots,\,2k.$$

For 
$$m < k$$
 we have  $F_m(\dot{x}, x^0) \equiv 0$ .  
For  $m = k$ ,  $F_m(\dot{x}, x^0) = \dot{x}_0 \wedge d\omega_k$ .

Then  $F_k(\dot{x}, x^0) = 0$  implies by assumption that  $\dot{x}_0 = 0$ . Since

$$\mathbf{F}_{k+1}(\dot{x}, x^0) = \dot{x}_1 \wedge d\omega_k + \omega_k \wedge d\dot{x}_1$$

again  $F_{k+1}(\dot{x}, x^0) = 0$  implies  $\dot{x}_1 = 0$ . So by induction we obtain  $\dot{x}_0 = \dot{x}_1 = \dot{x}_2 = \dots = \dot{x}_{k-2} = 0.$ 

Finally

$$\mathbf{F}_{2k-1}(\dot{x}, x^0) = \dot{x}_{k-1} \wedge d\omega_k + \omega_k \wedge d\dot{x}_{k-1}$$

then if  $F_{2k-1}(\dot{x}, x^0) = 0$  we have  $\dot{x}_{k-1} = L_a \omega_k$ ,  $a \in K^n$ . This implies that

$$\{\mathbf{L}_a\omega_k \mid a \in \mathbf{K}^n\} = \pi(\mathbf{T}_{x^0}(\mathbf{V}_{2k})) = \{\pi(\dot{x}) \mid \mathbf{G}(\dot{x}, x^0) = \mathbf{0}\}. \quad \blacksquare$$

Lemma 7. — Let  $p \in M_k^{\ell}(\omega)$  and  $j^{2k}(\omega)_p = x^0 = \omega_k^0 + \ldots + \omega_{2k}^0 \in V_{2k}$ . Let  $F = \pi(T_{x^0}(V_{2k}))$ . Then dim  $F = \ell$  and if  $E \subset J^{k-1}$  is a codimension  $\ell$  subspace such that  $J^{k-1} = E \oplus F$  then there is  $\mu > 0$  such that if  $|x-x^0| < \mu$ ,  $x \in V_{2k}$  and  $\pi(x) \in E$  then  $\pi(x) = 0$ .

*Proof.* — Let 
$$x \in V_{2k}$$
 be written as  $x = x^0 + \Delta x^0$ . Then 
$$F(x^0 + \Delta x^0, x^0 + \Delta x^0) = 2F(\Delta x^0, x^0) + F(\Delta x^0, \Delta x^0) = 0$$
 and 
$$2G(\Delta x^0, x^0) + G(\Delta x^0, \Delta x^0) = 0.$$

We define

$$H(z, \bar{z}) = 2G(z + \bar{z}, x^0) + G(z + \bar{z}, z + \bar{z})$$

where  $z \in E$  and  $\bar{z} = x_k + \ldots + x_{2k}$ . Clearly  $H(z, \bar{z}) = 0$  when  $\Delta x^0 = z + \bar{z}$ . Then we have from the definition of G that  $H(0, \bar{z}) = 0$  and  $\partial_1 H(0, 0) \cdot \dot{z} = 2G(\dot{z}, x^0)$ .

Since  $\pi(x^0) = 0$  then  $G(\dot{z}, x^0) = 0$  implies  $F(\dot{z}, x^0) = 0$  and so  $\dot{z} \in F$ . Consequently  $\partial_1 H(o, o)$  is one to one and so H is locally one to one as a function of  $z \in E$ . This means that if  $|z|, |z'| \le \mu$  and  $|\bar{z}| \le \mu$  with  $z = x_0 + \ldots + x_{k-1}, \ z' = x'_0 + \ldots + x'_{k-1}, \ \bar{z} = x_k + \ldots + x_{2k}$  and  $H(z, \bar{z}) = H(z', \bar{z})$  then z = z'. In particular if  $|z| \le \mu$ ,  $|\bar{z}| \le \mu$  and  $H(z, \bar{z}) = 0 = H(0, \bar{z})$  then z = 0. Therefore if  $\Delta x^0 = z + \bar{z}$ , i.e. if  $x = z + \bar{z} + x^0 \in V_{2k}, \ |z|, |\bar{z}| \le \mu$  and  $\pi(x) \in E$ , then  $z = \pi(x) = 0$ .

Lemma 8. — Let  $\omega \in I^r(U)$  and  $p \in M_k^{\ell}(\omega)$ . Let  $j^{k-1}(\omega) : U \to J^{k-1}$  be the (k-1)-jet section of  $\omega$ . Then there is a neighborhood V of p such that  $M_k^{\ell}(\omega) \cap V = (j^{k-1}(\omega))^{-1}(0) \cap V$ . Moreover  $M_k^{\ell}(\omega) \cap V$  is an embedded  $C^{r-k+1}$  submanifold of codimension  $\ell$  of V (holomorphic if  $K = \mathbb{C}$ ).

Proof. — Define 
$$h: U \to J^{2k}$$
 by  $h(q) = j^{2k}(\omega)_q$ . Then  $h(p) = \omega_k + \ldots + \omega_{2k} = x^0 \in V_{2k}$ .

Consider the map  $g: U \to J^{k-1}$  given by  $g = \pi \circ h$ , where  $\pi: J^{2k} \to J^{k-1}$  is the natural projection. Then g(p) = 0 and  $Dg(p) \cdot v = \pi(Dh(p) \cdot v) = L_v(\omega_k)$ ,  $v \in K^n$ . Since  $J^{k-1} = E \oplus F$ ,  $F = \pi(T_{x^*}(V_{2k})) = Im(S_p)$ , it is clear that g intersects E transversely at  $o \in J^{k-1}$ . Therefore if  $W \subset J^{k-1}$  is a small neighborhood of  $o \in J^{k-1}$  and  $V = g^{-1}(W)$  then  $g^{-1}(E) \cap V = g^{-1}(E \cap W)$  is a  $C^{r-k+1}$  codimension  $\ell$  submanifold of U. By Lemma 7 we know that if W is small enough, then  $j^{k-1}(\omega)_q \in \pi(V_{2k}) \cap E \cap W$  if and only if  $j^{k-1}(\omega)_q = o$ . This implies that  $g^{-1}(E) \cap V = (j^{k-1}(\omega))^{-1}(o) \cap V = g^{-1}(o) \cap V$ , so that  $(j^{k-1}(\omega))^{-1}(o) \cap V$  is a codimension  $\ell$  submanifold of V. Since  $\mathscr{R}^{\ell}_{k}(K^n)$  is open in the set of homogeneous integrable 1-forms, then

$$(j^{k-1}(\omega))^{-1}(0) \cap V = M_k^{\ell}(\omega) \cap V$$

if V is small enough. This ends the proof.

Now Theorem 5 follows from the transversality theory.

For 
$$\eta \in I^r(U)$$
, define  $g_n : U \to J^{k-1}$  by  $g_n(p) = j^{k-1}(\eta)_p$ .

Lemma 9. — Let  $\omega \in I^r(U)$  and  $p_0 \in M_k^\ell(\omega)$ . Then there exist neighborhoods V of  $p_0$  and N of  $\omega$  in  $I^r(U)$ , such that for any  $\eta \in N$ ,  $g_{\eta}^{-1}(o) \cap V = M_k^\ell(\eta) \cap V$  is a codimension  $\ell$  submanifold of U. Moreover if Q is an  $\ell$ -dimensional submanifold transversal to  $M_k^\ell(\omega)$  at  $p_0$ , then  $M_k^\ell(\eta) \cap V \cap Q$  contains exactly one point  $h(\eta) \in M_k^\ell(\eta) \cap V \cap Q$ . The point  $h(\eta)$  is characterized by the property  $h(\eta) \in M_k^\ell(\eta/Q \cap V)$ .

*Proof.* — The first part of the lemma follows easily form the transversality theory and Lemma 7. Let  $E \subset J^{k-1}$  be such that  $E \oplus F = J^{k-1}$ ,  $F = Im(Dg_{\omega}(p_0))$ . Then

 $g_{\omega}$  intersects E transversely at  $p_0$ , so there exist neighborhoods V of  $p_0$  and N of  $\omega$  such that if  $\eta \in \mathbb{N}$  then  $g_{\eta}/V$  intersects E transversely. Using Lemma 7 it is not difficult to see that  $g_{\eta}^{-1}(E) \cap V = M_k^{\ell}(\eta) \cap V$ .

Now observe that if  $\omega_k = j^k(\omega)_{p_0}$ , then  $\omega_k/T_{p_0}(Q) = j^k(\omega/Q)_{p_0}$ . But  $\omega_k \in \mathscr{R}_k^l(K^n)$  so that, by Proposition 3,  $\omega_k/T_{p_0}(Q) \in \mathscr{R}_k^l(T_{p_0}(Q))$ . Therefore  $p_0 \in M_k^l(\omega/Q)$ . Let us denote  $\omega/Q = \widetilde{\omega}$ . Then Lemma 9 is reduced to the following:

Lemma 10. — Let  $\widetilde{\omega} \in I^r(Q)$  where  $\dim(Q) = \ell$ . Suppose that  $p_0 \in M_k^{\ell}(\widetilde{\omega})$ . Then there exist neighborhoods V of  $p_0$  and  $\widetilde{N}$  of  $\widetilde{\omega}$  such that if  $\eta \in \widetilde{N}$  then  $\eta$  has a unique singularity  $p(\eta) \in M_k^{\ell}(Q) \cap \widetilde{V}$ . Moreover the map  $\eta \in I^r(Q) \to p(\eta) \in \widetilde{V}$  is continuous.

Proof. — We can assume  $p_0 = o \in K^n$ . For  $\eta \in I^r(Q)$  let  $g_\eta : Q \to J^{k-1}$  be defined by  $g_\eta(p) = j^{k-1}(\eta)_p$ . Then  $F = \operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{D} g_\omega(o))$  has dimension  $\ell$ . If  $E \subset J^{k-1}$  is such that  $J^{k-1} = E \oplus F$ , then  $g_{\widetilde{\omega}}$  intersects E transversely in a unique point. Therefore there exist neighborhoods  $\widetilde{V}$  of o and  $\widetilde{N}$  of  $\widetilde{\omega}$  such that if  $\eta \in \widetilde{N}$  then  $g_\eta/\widetilde{V}$  intersects E transversely in a unique point  $p(\eta) = g_\eta^{-1}(E) \cap \widetilde{V}$ .

End of the proof of Theorem 5. — Let P be a relatively compact subset of  $M_k^{\ell}(\omega)$  and consider a tubular neighborhood  $\pi: W \to P$ . We can suppose that the fibers  $Q_n = \pi^{-1}(p), \ p \in P$ , are  $C^{\infty}$ .

Given  $p \in \overline{P}$ , let  $V_p$  and  $N_p$  be as in Lemma 9. Since  $\overline{P}$  is compact, we take  $p_1, \ldots, p_m$  such that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^m V_{p_i} \supset P$ . Let  $V = W \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^m V_{p_i})$  and  $N = \bigcap_{i=1}^m N_{p_i}$ . Take the restriction  $\widetilde{\pi} = \pi/V$  and the fibers  $\widetilde{\mathbb{Q}}_p = \widetilde{\pi}^{-1}(p)$ ,  $p \in P$ . Now, if  $\eta \in N$  then, by construction, for any  $p \in P$ , there exists a unique point  $q = h(\eta, p) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ , such that  $j^{k-1}(\eta/\widetilde{\mathbb{Q}}_p)_p = o$ . Define  $h_{\eta}(p) = h(\eta, p)$ . By Lemma 9,  $j^{k-1}(\eta)_{h(\eta, p)} = o$ , therefore  $h_{\eta}(P) = M_k^{\ell}(\eta) \cap V$ . Now, Lemma 10 implies that  $\eta \mapsto h_{\eta} \in C^{r-k+1}(P, U)$  is continuous and Theorem 5 is proved.

#### 2. Structural Stability.

Here we consider a class of integrable forms in  ${\bf R}^3$  which are locally structurally stable.

Theorem 6. — Let  $\omega$  be a  $\mathbb{C}^r$  integrable 1-form defined in an open set  $\mathbb{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ , where  $r \geq 2k$ . Let  $p \in \mathbb{U}$  be a simple singularity of order  $k \geq 3$  of  $\omega$ . Suppose that  $\omega_k = j^k(\omega)_p$  is such that  $\omega_k / \mathbb{S}^2$  defines a structurally stable singular foliation on  $\mathbb{S}^2$  where  $\mathbb{S}^2$  is the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Then the germ of  $\omega$  at p is  $\mathbb{C}^r$ -structurally stable.

We observe that the case k = 2 was already studied in [6].

**Proof.** — First of all we note that by Theorem 5 there exist neighborhoods W of p and N of  $\omega$  in the  $C^r$  topology such that for any  $\eta \in N$ ,  $\eta$  has a unique singularity of order k,  $p(\eta) \in W$ . If N is small enough then for any  $\eta \in N$ ,  $j^k(\eta)_{p(\eta)} = \eta_k$  is such

that  $o \in \mathbb{R}^3$  is an algebraically isolated singularity of  $\operatorname{rot}(\eta_k)$  and so  $p(\eta)$  is a simple singularity of  $\eta$ . We can suppose that  $p(\eta) = o$ . So it is enough to prove that if  $\omega = \omega_k + R$  and  $\eta = \eta_k + \widetilde{R}$ , where  $\lim_{x \to 0} |x|^{-k} R(x) = \lim_{x \to 0} |x|^{-k} \widetilde{R}(x) = o$ , then  $\omega$  and  $\eta$  are locally equivalent at  $o \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , provided that  $\omega_k$  is close to  $\eta_k$ .

By the hypothesis, there is  $\rho > 0$  small such that if  $S_{\rho}^2$  denotes the sphere of radius  $\rho$  centered at  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , then  $\omega/S_{\rho}^2$  and  $\eta/S_{\rho}^2$  are topologically equivalent. This follows from the fact that  $\omega_k/S_{\rho}^2$  is structurally stable. Let  $h: S_{\rho}^2 \to S_{\rho}^2$  be an equivalence between  $\omega/S_{\rho}^2$  and  $\eta/S_{\rho}^2$ . Now the idea is to extend h to  $B = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid |x| \le \rho\}$  using vector fields tangent to the leaves of  $\omega$  and  $\eta$ .

By Theorem 1 we know that  $\omega_k(\mathbf{I}) = \eta_k(\mathbf{I}) = 0$  where  $\mathbf{I}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^3 x_i \partial/\partial x_i$ . Using this it is possible to construct vector fields X and  $\widetilde{X}$  in B such that  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$  is a sink for both of them and  $\omega(X) = \eta(\widetilde{X}) = 0$ . Let  $X_i$  and  $\widetilde{X}_i$  be the flows of X and  $\widetilde{X}$  respectively. Given  $x \in B$ , let t < 0 be such that  $X_i(x) \in S_\rho^2$ . We define  $h(x) = \widetilde{X}_{-i}(h(X_i(x)))$ . It is not difficult to see that h is an equivalence between  $\omega$  and  $\eta$ . This finishes the proof.  $\blacksquare$ 

Remark. — It is not difficult to see that for any  $k \ge 3$  there exist 1-forms  $\omega_k$  as in Theorem 6. In fact, for k = 3 the set of structurally stable homogeneous 1-forms is dense in the space of homogeneous simple forms of degree 3 [14].

#### V. — REGULAR HOLOMORPHIC FORMS

#### 1. Homogeneous 1-forms.

In contrast with the real case, integrable forms in the complex domain are in general not structurally stable in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ . For one of the families of regular forms given in this paper this remark follows from the more general theorem:

Theorem 7. — Consider the integrable form  $\omega$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ 

$$\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i z_1 \dots \hat{z}_i \dots z_n dz_i$$

such that

$$\lambda_i \notin \mathbf{R} \lambda_i$$
 for  $i \neq j$ .

Then the equivalence class of

$$\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n\} \subset \mathbf{C}$$

under the action of  $G1(2, \mathbf{R})$  is the sole topological invariant of the real codimension two foliation with singularities defined by  $\omega$  on  $\mathbf{C}^n$  (1).

*Proof.* — The leaves of  $\omega$  are the same as the orbits of the  $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ -action  $\varphi$  generated by the commuting vector fields

$$Z_j(z) = \lambda_1^{-1} z_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} - \lambda_j^{-1} z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \quad j = 2, \ldots, n.$$

In fact, one has  $(\lambda_1\lambda_2\dots\lambda_n)^{-1}\omega=i_{Z_2\wedge\dots\wedge Z_n}(dz_1\wedge\dots\wedge dz_n)$ . Let  $\widetilde{\varphi}$  be the  $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ -action induced in the same way by the form  $\widetilde{\omega}=\sum\limits_{i=1}^n\widetilde{\lambda_i}z_1\dots\widehat{z_i}\dots z_ndz_i$  and suppose there is an isomorphism  $u\in \mathrm{Gl}(2,\mathbf{R})$  such that  $u(\lambda_i)=\widetilde{\lambda_i}$  for  $i=1,\dots,n$ . This induces an isomorphism  $\widetilde{u}:(\mathbf{R}^2)^{n-1}\to(\mathbf{R}^2)^{n-1}$  by  $\widetilde{u}=(u,\dots,u)$  (n-1 times). Putting  $\mathbb{C}^n=\bigoplus_{i=1}^n\mathrm{E}_i$  where  $\mathrm{E}_i=\{z\in\mathbb{C}^n\mid z_j=0 \text{ for } j\neq i\}$  we obtain that  $\widetilde{u}$  preserves the isotropy groups, i.e.:  $\widetilde{u}(G_i)=\widetilde{G}_i,\ i=1,\dots,n$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G}_i &= \{g \in \mathbf{C}^{n-1} \mid \varphi(g, p) = p \in \mathbf{E}_i - \{0\}\}, \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{G}}_i &= \{g \in \mathbf{C}^{n-1} \mid \widetilde{\varphi}(g, p) = p \in \mathbf{E}_i - \{0\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

<sup>(1)</sup> This theorem was obtained independently by B. Klares in the context of  $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ - linear actions on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

This allows us to define a conjugacy between  $\varphi$  and  $\widetilde{\varphi}$  on each  $E_i$ :

$$h_i \circ \varphi(g, p) = \widetilde{\varphi}(\widetilde{u}(g), h_i(p)), \quad p \in E_i.$$

From this and the linearity of  $\varphi$  and  $\widetilde{\varphi}$  it follows easily that  $h = (h_1, \ldots, h_n)$  is a conjugacy between  $\varphi$  and  $\widetilde{\varphi}$ , i.e.

$$h\varphi(g,p) = \widetilde{\varphi}(\widetilde{u}(g),h(p)) \quad p \in \mathbf{C}^n.$$

Conversely, suppose there is a local homeomorphism,  $h: (\mathbf{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbf{C}^n, 0)$  around  $0 \in \mathbf{C}^n$ , which is a topological equivalence between  $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i z_1 \dots \widehat{z}_i \dots z_n dz_i$  and  $\omega' = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i' z_1 \dots \widehat{z}_i \dots z_n dz_i$ . Let  $F_i = \{z \in \mathbf{C}^n \mid z_i = 0\}$  and  $F_{ij} = \{z \in \mathbf{C} \mid z_i = z_j = 0\}$ . Then  $\mathrm{Sing}(\omega) = \mathrm{Sing}(\omega') = \bigcup_{i < j} F_{ij}$  and we can assume without loss of generality that  $h(F_{ij}) = F_{ij}$ . Let  $\widetilde{F}_i = F_i - \bigcup_{j \neq i} F_{ij}$ . Then  $\widetilde{F}_i$  is a leaf of both  $\omega$  and  $\omega'$  homeomorphic to  $\mathbf{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{T}^{n-1}$ . So its holonomy is a linear action of  $\mathbf{Z}^{n-1}$  in the transverse section  $\Sigma_i = \{(\mathbf{I}, \dots, \mathbf{I}, z_i, \dots, \mathbf{I}) \mid z_i \in \mathbf{C}\}$ . By hypothesis the holonomy of  $\widetilde{F}_i$  is not trivial. On the other hand, the holonomy of a leaf of  $\omega$  or  $\omega'$  contained in  $\mathbf{C}^n - \bigcup_{i=1}^n F_i$  is trivial. So  $h(\widetilde{F}_i) = \widetilde{F}_k$  for some k, and since  $h(\widetilde{F}_{ij}) = \widetilde{F}_{ij}$  for  $i \neq j$ , then  $h(\widetilde{F}_i) = \widetilde{F}_i$  and  $h(F_i) = F_i$ . The holonomy of  $\widetilde{F}_i$  is generated along the curves  $\gamma_{ij} : S^1 \to \widetilde{F}_i$ ,  $\gamma_{ij}(\theta) = (\mathbf{I}, \dots, \mathbf{I}, 0, \mathbf{I}, \dots, e^{2\pi i \theta}, \mathbf{I}, \dots, \mathbf{I})$  and since  $h(F_{ij}) = F_{ij}$  then

$$h_*([\gamma_{ij}]) = [\gamma_{ij}]$$
 for all  $i \neq j$ .

For simplicity we assume that  $h(p_i) = p_i$  where  $p_i = (1, \ldots, 1, 0, 1, \ldots, 1)$ , o in the *i*-th place. Then *h* induces by projection along the leaves of  $\omega'$ , a germ of a homeomorphism  $h_i: (\Sigma_i, 0) \to (\Sigma_i, 0)$  conjugating the holonomies of  $\omega$  and  $\omega'$ . If  $f_j, f_j': \Sigma_i \to \Sigma_i$  are the generators of the holonomies of  $\omega$  and  $\omega'$  relative to  $\gamma_{ij}$  we must have  $f_j(z_i) = \exp(-2\pi i \lambda_j/\lambda_i) \cdot z_i$ ,  $f_j'(z_i) = \exp(-2\pi i \lambda_j'/\lambda_i') \cdot z_i$  and  $h_i \circ f_j = f_j' \circ h_i$  for all  $j \neq i$ . By the first part of the theorem we can take  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_1' = 1$  and  $\lambda_2 = \lambda_2' = i$ . We show now that  $\lambda_3 = \lambda_3', \ldots, \lambda_n = \lambda_n'$ . We write  $\lambda_j = x_j + iy_j$  and  $\lambda_j' = x_j' + iy_j'$ . The holonomy of  $\widetilde{F}_1$  is generated by:

for 
$$\omega$$
:  $f_2(z_1) = e^{2\pi} \cdot z_1$ ,  $f_j(z_1) = e^{2\pi y_j} \cdot e^{-2\pi i z_j} \cdot z_1$ ,  $j \ge 3$ ;  
for  $\omega'$ :  $f'_2(z_1) = e^{2\pi} \cdot z_1$ ,  $f'_j(z_1) = e^{2\pi y_j'} \cdot e^{-2\pi i z_j'} \cdot z_1$ ,  $j \ge 3$ .

We need the following lemma.

Lemma 11. — Let  $h: \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{C}$ , h(1) = 1, be a homeomorphism such that for any  $(m_1, m_2) \in \mathbf{Z}^2$  and  $z \in \mathbf{C}$ 

$$h(\mu_1^{m_1}\mu_2^{m_2}z) = \mu_1^{\prime m_1}\mu_2^{\prime m_2}h(z)$$

where  $\mu_j \neq 0 \neq \mu'_j$  for j = 1, 2. Then

$$\frac{\log |\mu_2|}{\log |\mu_1|} = \frac{\log |\mu_2'|}{\log |\mu_1'|}$$

provided that  $|\mu_1| \neq 1$ .

*Proof.* — First observe that  $G = \{\mu_1^{m_1} \mu_2^{m_2} \mid m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is a subgroup of the multiplicative group  $C - \{0\}$ . Therefore either G is discrete or I is an accumulation point of G. In the first case it is not difficult to see that there exists  $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 - \{0\}$  such that  $\mu_1^m \mu_2^n = I = \mu_1'^m \mu_2'^n$ , so that

$$-\frac{m}{n} = \frac{\log |\mu_2|}{\log |\mu_1|} = \frac{\log |\mu_2'|}{\log |\mu_1'|}.$$

In the second case there exists a sequence  $(m_k, n_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 - \{0\}$  such that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} (|m_k| + |n_k|) = \infty$$
 and  $\lim_{k \to \infty} \mu_1^{m_k} \mu_2^{n_k} = 1$ .

Then

$$h(1) = \lim_{k \to \infty} h(\mu_1^{m_k} \mu_2^{n_k}) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \mu_1'^{m_k} \mu_2'^{n_k} = 1.$$

This implies

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \left(m_k \log |\mu_1| + n_k \log |\mu_2|\right) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \left(m_k \log |\mu_1'| + n_k \log |\mu_2'|\right) = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} -\frac{m_k}{n_k} = \frac{\log|\mu_2|}{\log|\mu_1|} = \frac{\log|\mu_2'|}{\log|\mu_1'|}. \quad \blacksquare$$

Since  $h_1 \circ f_k = f_k' \circ h_1$ , taking k = 2,  $\mu_1 = e^{2\pi}$ ,  $k = j \ge 3$ ,  $\mu_2 = \exp(-2\pi i \lambda_j)$ , we obtain by the lemma that:

$$\frac{\log(e^{2\pi y_j})}{\log(e^{2\pi})} = \frac{\log(e^{2\pi y_j'})}{\log(e^{2\pi})}.$$

So  $y_j = y'_j$  for all  $j \ge 3$ .

We use the same argument for  $\widetilde{F}_2$ . The holonomy of  $\widetilde{F}_2$  is given by

for 
$$\omega$$
:  $g_1(z_2) = e^{-2\pi} \cdot z_2$ ,  $g_j(z_2) = e^{-2\pi z_j} \cdot e^{-2\pi i y_j} \cdot z_2$ ,  $j \ge 3$ 

for 
$$\omega'$$
:  $g_1'(z_2) = e^{-2\pi}.z_2$ ,  $g_j'(z_2) = e^{-2\pi x_j'}.e^{-2\pi i y_j'}.z_2$ ,  $j \ge 3$ .

Since  $h_2 \circ g_k = g_k' \circ h_2$ , taking k = 2,  $\mu_1 = e^{-2\pi}$ , and  $k = j \ge 3$ ,  $\mu_2 = \exp(-2\pi\lambda_j)$ , we obtain

$$\frac{\log(e^{-2\pi x_j})}{\log(e^{-2\pi})} = \frac{\log(e^{-2\pi x_j'})}{\log(e^{-2\pi})}.$$

So  $x_j = x_j'$  for  $j \ge 3$  and this means  $\lambda_j = \lambda_j'$  for all  $j \ge 3$ .

#### 2. Topological determination in three dimensions.

Proposition 5. — Let  $\omega$  be a holomorphic integrable form with a singularity at  $o \in \mathbb{C}^3$  such that in a neighborhood of  $o \in \mathbb{C}^3$   $\omega$  is written as

$$\omega = \lambda_1 z_2 z_3 dz_1 + \lambda_2 z_1 z_3 dz_2 + \lambda_3 z_1 z_2 dz_3 + R(z)$$

where  $\lim_{z\to 0} |z|^{-2} R(z) = o$  and  $\lambda_i \notin \mathbf{R} \lambda_j$  for  $i \neq j$ . Then  $\omega$  is topologically equivalent to  $\omega_2 = j^2(\omega)_0$  near  $o \in \mathbf{C}^3$ .

Proof. — The proof consists in finding an equivalence between rot  $\omega$  and rot  $\omega_2$  sending leaves of  $\omega$  to leaves of  $\omega_2$ . The vector field rot  $\omega$  is in the Siegel domain, i.e. the convex hull of the eigenvalues of  $j^1(\operatorname{rot}\omega)_0$  contains  $o \in \mathbb{C}$ . Modulo a holomorphic change of coordinates (see [3]) we can assume that the coordinate 2-planes  $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^3 \mid z_i = 0\}$  are all invariant by rot  $\omega$ . The integral complex curves of rot  $\omega$  passing through points z with  $z_i \neq 0$  for all i, are closed subsets of  $\mathbb{C}^3$  at a positive distance from  $o \in \mathbb{C}^3$ . The intersection of these integrals with each  $C_i = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^3 \mid |z_i| = 1\}$  gives a real 1-foliation with a closed integral  $\gamma_i = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^3 \mid |z_i| = 1 \text{ and } z_j = 0 \text{ for } j \neq i\}$  which is hyperbolic of saddle type for all i. From this it is clear that the integral of rot  $\omega$  passing through a point  $z \in \mathbb{B} = \{z \mid |z_i| \leq 1\}$  leaves as intersection with  $\partial \mathbb{B}$  a closed curve provided  $o < |z_i| < 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ .

Let  $S = C_1 \cap C_2$  and  $S_0 = S \cap \{z \mid z_3 = 0\}$ . It follows from [3] that any homeomorphism  $h: S \to S$  with  $h/S_0 =$  identity can be extended to a topological equivalence between rot  $\omega$  and rot  $\omega_2$ . In our case the foliation induced by  $\omega/S$  is completely characterized by the holonomy of  $S_0$ , i.e. by a  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -action  $\varphi_3$  on  $\mathbb{C}$  whose linear part is the  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -action  $\varphi_3$  generated by the diffeomorphisms

$$f_1(z_3) = \exp\left(2\pi irac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_3}
ight)z_3, \qquad f_2(z_3) = \exp\left(2\pi irac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_3}
ight)z_3.$$

So it is enough that h be a conjugacy between  $\varphi_3$  and  $\varphi_3$ . Since such an h clearly exists the proof is finished.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] C. CAMACHO, On  $\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{Z}^\ell$ -actions, Proc. Symp. Dyn. Syst., Editor M. Peixoto, Ac. Press (1971), pp. 23-70.
- [2] C. CAMACHO, A. LINS NETO, C'-structural stability of germs of integrable 1-forms, Atas do XI Colóquio Brasileiro de Matemática, vol. II (1977), pp. 565-567.
- [3] C. CAMACHO, N. KUIPER, J. PALIS, The topology of holomorphic flows with singularity, *Publ. Math. I.H.E.S.*, **48** (1978), pp. 5-38.
- [4] G. de Rham, Sur la division des formes et des courants par une forme linéaire, Comm. Math. Helvetici, 28 (1954), pp. 346-352.
- [5] I. Kupka, The singularities of integrable structurally stable Pfaffian forms, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 52 (1964), pp. 1431-1432.

- [6] A. Lins N., Structural stability of C2-integrable forms, Ann. Inst. Fourier, 27 (2) (1977), pp. 197-225.
- [7] B. MALGRANGE, Frobenius avec singularités I. Codimension un, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S., 46 (1976), pp. 163-173.
- [8] J.-F. Mattel, R. Moussu, Intégrales premières d'une forme de Pfaff analytique, Ann. Inst. Fourier, 28 (4) (1978), pp. 229-347.
- [9] A. Medeiros, Structural stability of integrable differential forms, Springer Lec. Notes, 597 (1977), pp. 395-428.
- [10] R. Moussu, Sur l'existence d'intégrales premières pour un germe de forme de Pfaff, Ann. Inst. Fourier, 26 (2) (1976), pp. 171-220.
- [11] K. SAITO, Calcul algébrique de la monodromie, Astérisque 7 et 8 (1973), pp. 195-211.
- [12] C. L. Siegel, Über die normalform analytischer differentialgleichungen in der n\u00e4he einer Gleichgewichtsl\u00f6sung, Nachr. Akad. Wiss. G\u00f6ttingen, Math. Phys. Kl. (1952), pp. 21-30.
- [13] S. Sternberg, On the structure of local homeomorphisms of euclidean n-space II, Am. J. of Math., 80 (1958), pp. 623-631.
- [14] M. I. CAMACHO, Generic properties of homogeneous vector fields of degree two in R³, An. Acad. Bras. Ciên., 51 (1) (1979), pp. 31-33.

Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada Estrada Da. Castorina, 110 22.460, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brasil.

> Manuscrit reçu le 21 janvier 1980. Révisé le 27 janvier 1981.