# PUBLICATIONS MATHÉMATIQUES DE L'I.H.É.S.

# JEAN-PIERRE SERRE

On a functorial property of power residue symbols. Erratum : Solution of the congruence subgroup problem for  $SL_n (n \ge 3)$  and  $Sp_{2n} (n \ge 2)$ 

*Publications mathématiques de l'I.H.É.S.*, tome 44 (1974), p. 241-244 <a href="http://www.numdam.org/item?id=PMIHES\_1974\_44\_241\_0">http://www.numdam.org/item?id=PMIHES\_1974\_44\_241\_0</a>

© Publications mathématiques de l'I.H.É.S., 1974, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Publications mathématiques de l'I.H.É.S. » (http://www.ihes.fr/IHES/Publications/Publications.html) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.



# ON A FUNCTORIAL PROPERTY OF POWER RESIDUE SYMBOLS

Erratum to: Solution of the congruence subgroup problem for  $SL_n$   $(n \ge 3)$  and  $Sp_{2n}$   $(n \ge 2)$ , by Hyman Bass, John Milnor and Jean-Pierre Serre (Publ. Math. I.H.E.S., 33, 1967, p. 59-137).

#### 1. Statement of results

This concerns part (A.23) of the Appendix of the above paper (p. 90-92).

Let  $k_1 \supset k$  be a finite extension of number fields, of degree  $d = [k_1 : k]$ . Denote by  $\mu_k$  (resp.  $\mu_{k_1}$ ) the group of all roots of unity in k (resp.  $k_1$ ), and by m (resp.  $m_1$ ) the order of  $\mu_k$  (resp.  $\mu_k$ ). We have

$$N_{k_1/k}(\mu_{k_1}) \subset \mu_k \subset \mu_{k_1}$$

and m divides  $m_1$ .

It is easy to see (cf. (A.23, a)) that there is a unique endomorphism  $\varphi$  of  $\mu_k$  such that  $\varphi(z^{m_1/m}) = \mathbf{N}_{k,lk}(z) \quad \text{ for all } z \in \mu_k.$ 

Since  $\mu_k$  is cyclic of order m, there is a well-defined element e of  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\varphi(z) = z^e$  for all  $z \in \mu_k$ . Two assertions about e are made in (A.23):

(A.23), b) We have  $e = (1 + m/2 + m_1/2) dm/m_1$ ; this makes sense because  $dm/m_1$  has denominator prime to m.

(A.23), c) Let a be an algebraic integer of k, and let  $\mathfrak{b}$  be an ideal of k prime to  $m_1a$ ; identify  $\mathfrak{b}$  with the corresponding ideal of  $k_1$ . Then

where the left subscript denotes the field in which the symbol is defined.

Both assertions are proved in (A.23) by a "dévissage" argument which is incorrect (the mistake occurs on p. 91 where it is wrongly claimed that one can break up the extension  $k(\mu_{k_1})/k$  into layers such that the order of  $\mu_k$  increases by a prime factor in each one).

The actual situation is:

Theorem 1. — Assertion (A.23), b) is false and assertion (A.23), c) is true.

To get a counter-example to (A.23), b), take for  $k_1$  the field  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{-1})$  of 8th-roots of unity, and for k either  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  or  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$ . In both cases, we have

m=2,  $m_1=8$ , d=2; this shows that the denominator of  $dm/m_1$  need not be prime to m. Moreover, a simple calculation shows that  $e \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  is equal to o in the first case and to o in the second case; hence, there is no formula for e involving only d, m and  $m_1$ .

The truth of (A.23), c) will be proved in § 3 below.

Remark. — The reader can check that (A.23), b) was not used at any place in the original paper, except for a harmless quotation on p. 81.

## 2. A transfer property of Kummer theory

We generalize the notations of § 1 as follows:

 $k_1/k$  is a finite separable extension of commutative fields,  $d = [k_1 : k]$ ,  $\mu$  (resp.  $\mu_1$ ) is a finite subgroup of  $k^*$  (resp.  $k_1^*$ ),  $m = [\mu : 1]$  and  $m_1 = [\mu_1 : 1]$ .

We make the following assumption:

(\*) 
$$N_{k,/k}(\mu_1) \subset \mu \subset \mu_1.$$

As in § 1, this implies that m divides  $m_1$  and that there is a well-defined element  $e \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$N_{k,lk}(z) = z^{em_1/m}$$
 for all  $z \in \mu_1$ .

Let now  $\overline{k}$  be a separable closure of  $k_1$ , and put

$$G_1 = \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{k}/k_1)$$
 and  $G = \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$ ,

so that  $G_1$  is an open subgroup of index d of G. Denote by  $G^{ab}$  (resp.  $G_1^{ab}$ ) the quotient of G (resp.  $G_1$ ) by the closure of its commutator group; this group is the Galois group of the maximal abelian extension  $k^{ab}$  (resp.  $k_1^{ab}$ ) of k (resp.  $k_1$ ) in  $\overline{k}$ . The transfer map (Verlagerung) is a continuous homomorphism

$$\mathrm{Ver}:\;G^{ab}\to G^{ab}_1.$$

Let  $a \in k^*$ . Kummer theory attaches to a the continuous character

$$\chi^a_{k,\,m}:\;\mathrm{G}^{\mathrm{ab}}\! o\!\mu$$

defined by:

$$\chi_{a,m}^k(s) = s(\alpha)\alpha^{-1}$$
 for  $s \in G^{ab}$  and  $\alpha \in k^{ab}$  with  $\alpha^m = a$ .

Similarly, every element b of  $k_1^*$  defines a character

$$\chi_{k_1,m_1}^b: G_1^{ab} \rightarrow \mu_1,$$

and this applies in particular when b=a.

Theorem 2. — If a belongs to  $k^*$ , the map

$$\chi^a_{k_1, m_1} \circ \mathrm{Ver} : \mathbf{G}^{ab} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^{ab}_1 \rightarrow \mu_1$$

takes values in  $\mu$ , and is equal to the e-th-power of  $\chi_{k,m}^a$ .

*Proof.* — [In what follows, we write  $\chi_a$  (resp.  $\psi_a$ ) instead of  $\chi_{k,m}^a$  (resp.  $\chi_{k_1,m_1}^a$ ); we view it indifferently as a character of G or  $G^{ab}$  (resp. of  $G_1$  or  $G_1^{ab}$ ).]

Let  $(s_i)_{i \in I}$  be a system of representatives of the left cosets of G mod.  $G_1$ ; we have  $G = \coprod_{i \in I} s_i G_1$ . If  $s \in G$  and  $i \in I$ , we write  $ss_i$  as  $ss_i = s_j t_i$ , with  $j \in I$ ,  $t_i \in G_1$ , and Ver(s) is the image of  $\prod_{i \in I} t_i$  in  $G_1^{ab}$ .

Let now  $w: G \rightarrow \mu_1$  be the 1-cocycle defined by

$$w(s) = s(\lambda)\lambda^{-1}$$
, where  $\lambda^{m_1} = a$ .

The restriction of w to  $G_1$  is  $\psi_a$ . Hence we have

$$\psi_a(\operatorname{Ver}(s)) = \prod_{i \in I} \psi_a(t_i) = \prod_{i \in I} w(t_i).$$

Since  $t_i = s_i^{-1} s s_i$  and w is a cocycle, we get:

$$w(t_i) = w(s_j^{-1}).s_j^{-1}(w(s)).s_j^{-1}s(w(s_i)),$$

hence

$$\psi_a(\operatorname{Ver}(s)) = h_1 h_2 h_3,$$

with 
$$h_1 = \prod_{i \in I} w(s_j^{-1})$$
,  $h_2 = \prod_{i \in I} s_j^{-1}(w(s))$  and  $h_3 = \prod_{i \in I} s_j^{-1}s(w(s_i))$ .

When *i* runs through I, the same is true for *j*, hence  $h_1$  can be rewritten as  $\prod w(s_i^{-1})$ ; on the other hand, since  $t_i$  acts trivially on  $\mu_1$ , we have  $s_j^{-1}s(z) = t_i s_i^{-1}(z) = s_i^{-1}(z)$  for all  $z \in \mu_1$ , hence  $h_3 = \prod s_i^{-1}(w(s_i)) = \prod w(s_i)^{-1}$  since w is a cocycle. This shows that  $h_1 h_3 = 1$ , hence

$$\psi_a(\text{Ver}(s)) = h_2 = N_{k_1/k}(w(s)) = w(s)^{em_1/m}.$$

Put now  $\alpha = \lambda^{m_1/m}$ . We have  $\alpha^m = a$ , hence

$$\chi_a(s) = s(\alpha)\alpha^{-1} = w(s)^{m_1/m}$$
 for all  $s \in G$ .

This shows that

$$\psi_a(\operatorname{Ver}(s)) = \chi_a(s)^e$$
, q.e.d.

Remark. — When  $m = m_1$ , we have e = d and th. 2 reduces to a special case of the well-known formula

$$\chi_{k_1, m}^b \circ \operatorname{Ver} = \chi_{k, m}^a$$

valid for  $b \in k_1^*$  and  $a = N_{k,/k}(b) \in k^*$ .

# 3. The number field case

We keep the notations of § 2, and assume that k is a number field. If b is an idèle of k, we denote by  $s_k^b$  the element of  $G^{ab}$  attached to b by class field theory; for every  $a \in k^*$ , we define an element  $\binom{a}{b}_m$  of  $\mu$  by:

$$\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)_{m} = \chi_{k, m}^{a}(s_{k}^{b}).$$

Similar definitions apply to  $k_1$  and  $m_1$ .

Theorem 3. — If a (resp. b) is an element of  $k^*$  (resp. an idèle of k), we have

$$\binom{a}{b}_{m} = \left(\binom{a}{b}_{m}\right)^{e}$$
.

This follows from th. 2 and the known fact that  $s_{k_1}^{b} = \operatorname{Ver}(s_k^{b})$ .

Proof of (A.23), c). — Assume now a to be an integer of k, and let b be an ideal of k prime to  $m_1a$ . Choose for b an idèle with the following properties:

- (i) the v-th component of **b** is 1 if the place v is archimedean, or is ultrametric and divides  $m_1a$ ;
  - (ii) the ideal associated to b is b.

It is then easy to check that

$$\binom{a}{b}_m = \binom{a}{b}_m$$
 and  $\binom{a}{b}_{m_1} = \binom{a}{b}_{m_1}$ .

Hence (A.23), c) follows from th. 3.

### 4. The local case

We keep the notations of § 2, and assume that k is a local field, i.e. is complete with respect to a discrete valuation with finite residue field. If  $b \in k^*$ , we denote by  $s_k^b$  the element of  $G^{ab}$  attached to b by local class field theory; if  $a \in k^*$ , the Hilbert symbol  $\left(\frac{a, b}{k}\right)_m \in \mu$  is defined by

$$\left(\frac{a, b}{k}\right)_{m} = \chi_{k, m}^{a}(s_{k}^{b}).$$

Theorem 4. — If a, b are elements of  $k^*$ , we have:

$$\left(\frac{a,\,b}{k_1}\right)_{m_1} = \left(\left(\frac{a,\,b}{k}\right)_m\right)^e.$$

This follows from th. 2 and the known fact that  $s_{k_1}^b = \text{Ver}(s_k^b)$ .

Remark. — It would have been possible to prove th. 4 first, and deduce th. 3 and (A.23), c) from it.

Manuscrit reçu le 7 mai 1974.