

VALENTINA BARUCCI

On the Polynomial Ring over a Mori Domain

Publications du Département de Mathématiques de Lyon, 1988, fascicule 3B
, p. 59-63

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=PDML_1988__3B_59_0

© Université de Lyon, 1988, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la série « Publications du Département de mathématiques de Lyon » implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

ON THE POLYNOMIAL RING OVER A MORI DOMAIN

Valentina Barucci

Dipartimento di Matematica

Istituto 'G.Castelnuovo'

Università di Roma 'La Sapienza'

Piazzale Aldo Moro 2

00185 Roma, Italia

One of the open problems about Mori domains is the following: if A is a Mori domain (i.e. a domain with the ascending chain condition on divisorial ideals), is $A[X]$ also a Mori domain ?

J.Querré has shown this is true if A is integrally closed (cf. [6, Section 3, Théorème 5]) and M.Roitman found the same result if A contains an uncountable field (cf.[8]). There are no example where A is Mori and $A[X]$ is not.

I shall give a new class of Mori domains for which the polynomial ring is also a Mori domain. A domain of its may be not integrally closed or may not contain an uncountable field. So this class contains new examples with respect to the ones given by J.Querré or M.Roitman.

Suppose A is a Mori domain and A^* is the complete integral closure of A . Suppose further that $(A:A^*) \neq (0)$.

I recall the algorithm given in [2, Section 1] to get A^* in terms of prime ideals of A . Put $A_0 = A$ and

a) $A_{i+1} = (A_i : \mathfrak{R}_i)$, where $\mathfrak{R}_i$ is the intersection of the strong maximal divisorial ideals of A_i (if A_i has some strong maximal divisorial ideal);

b) $A_{i+1} = A_i$, if A_i does not have any strong maximal divisorial ideal.

We get in this way a sequence of Mori overrings of A ,

that

is stationary for some m and $A_m = A^*$ (cf. [2, Theorem 1.8]):

$$A = A_0 \subset A_1 \subset \dots \subset A_m = A^* \quad (*)$$

Consider now the particular case where for each i , $i = 0, \dots, m-1$, $\mathfrak{R}_i$ is a radical ideal of A_{i+1} . I call in this case A "seminormal in A^* "; this definition is due to the following points:

i) if A is Noetherian, then $A^* = \bar{A}$ (integral closure of A) and our particular condition holds if and only if A is seminormal (in $\bar{A}$) in the usual sense;

ii) there are many similarities between Noetherian seminormal domains and Mori domains "seminormal in the complete integral closure": in both cases, A_i is obtained from A_{i+1} by a glueing of prime ideals (cf. for details [10, Theorem 2.1] and [2, Corollary 3.7]).

The property ii) indicates also how to construct examples of domains of this type. We get for example in this way the domains $A = k + XYk[X, Y]$ or $B = k[X] + Yk[X, Y, Z]$. Notice that, on the contrary of the Noetherian case, in the Mori case, we do not have any finiteness type-restriction, so that two lines can be "glued" in a point as in $\text{Spec}(A)$ or a plain can be "glued" in a line as in $\text{Spec}(B)$ (cf. for other examples [2, Examples 3.12]).

THEOREM. Let A be a Mori domain such that $(A:A^*) \neq (0)$. If A is "seminormal in A^* ", then $A[X]$ is also a Mori domain.

Proof. Let $A_i = B \subset A_{i+1} = C$ be the generic step of the sequence $(*)$. Thus $C = (B:\mathfrak{R})$, where, if $P_1, \dots, P_n$ are the strong maximal divisorial ideals of B (cf. [2, Proposition

1.5]), we have $\mathbf{R} = P_1 \cap \dots \cap P_n$.

By [2, Proposition 2.7 and Corollary 2.8], we know that $B = C \cap B_1 \cap \dots \cap B_n$, where for each j , $j = 1, \dots, n$, B_j is the pullback of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & k(P_j) = B_{P_j} / P_j B_{P_j} \\ & & \downarrow \\ S_j^{-1}C & \longrightarrow & S_j^{-1}C / S_j^{-1}P_j \end{array}$$

(where $S_j = B - P_j$).

Since A^* is a Krull domain (cf.[1, Corollary 18]), it is well known that $A^*[X]$ also is a Mori (in fact Krull) domain. Thus to prove the Theorem it is enough to show (in the generic step of the sequence $(*)$, $B \subset C$) that if $C[X]$ is a Mori domain, then $B[X]$ is also a Mori domain.

Indeed $B[X] = C[X] \cap B_1[X] \cap \dots \cap B_n[X]$. So, by [7, Théorème 2], it is enough to show that $B_j[X]$ is a Mori domain (for $j = 1, \dots, n$).

Let's fix an index j and let's denote, for simplicity, B_j by $\mathbf{B}$, $S_j^{-1}C$ by $\mathbf{C}$, $S_j^{-1}P_j$ by $\mathbf{P}$ and $k(P_j)$ by k . From the previous pullback diagram, we get the following pullback diagram (cf.[4, Lemma 2]):

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{B}[X] & \longrightarrow & k[X] \\
& & \downarrow \\
\mathbf{C}[X] & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{C}/\mathfrak{I}[X] = \mathbf{C}[X]/\mathfrak{I}[X]
\end{array}$$

If L is the quotient field of $k[X]$, we have $k[X] = \mathbf{C}/\mathfrak{I}[X] \cap L$, where the intersection is made in the total quotient ring of $\mathbf{C}/\mathfrak{I}[X]$. Thus, by a result of Roitman (cf.[9, Theorem 4.15]), $\mathbf{B}[X]$ is a Mori domain if: i) $\mathbf{C}[X]$ is a Mori domain, ii) $\mathfrak{I}[X]$ is a Mori ideal, iii) $\mathfrak{I}[X]$ is a prime ideal of $\mathbf{B}[X]$. Actually $\mathbf{C}[X] = S_j^{-1}\mathbf{C}[X] = S_j^{-1}(\mathbf{C}[X])$ is a Mori domain, because $\mathbf{C}[X]$ is Mori (cf.[5, Corollaire 3]). Moreover $\mathfrak{I}$ is a radical ideal of $\mathbf{C}$ (cf.[2, Proposition 3.3,2]) and is also a Mori ideal, because it is a prime (in fact maximal) ideal of the Mori domain $\mathbf{B}$ (cf.[8, Theorem 6.2]). Thus, by [9, Proposition 4.9, (b)], $\mathfrak{I}$ is a finite intersection of prime ideals of $\mathbf{C}$. We easily deduce that $\mathfrak{I}[X]$ is also a finite intersection of prime ideals of the Mori domain $\mathbf{C}[X]$. Thus, by [8, Theorem 6.2], $\mathfrak{I}[X]$ is a Mori ideal. Moreover $\mathfrak{I}[X]$ is prime in $\mathbf{B}[X]$ and so we conclude that $\mathbf{B}[X]$ is a Mori domain.

It is not difficult to show that if A is an integrally closed Mori domain such that $(A:A^*) = (0)$, then A is "seminormal in A^* " and so, by the Theorem, $A[X]$ is Mori. Thus in this case the polynomial ring inherits the Mori property and we get as a consequence of the Theorem the mentioned result of J.Querré in a particular case:

COROLLARY. Let A be a Mori domain such that $(A:A^*) \neq (0)$. If A is integrally closed, then $A[X]$ is a Mori domain.

REFERENCES

- [1] V.BARUCCI. Strongly divisorial ideals and complete integral closure of an integral domain. J.Algebra 99 (1986), 132-142.
- [2] V.BARUCCI. A Lipman's type construction, glueings and complete integral closure. Nagoya Math. J. (to appear).
- [3] V.BARUCCI - S.GABELLI. How far is a Mori domain from being a Krull domain? J.Pure Appl.Algebra 45 (1987), 101-112.
- [4] A.BOUVIER - M.FONTANA. The catenarian property of the polynomial rings over a Prufer domain. Séminaire d'Algèbre P.Dubreil et M.P.Malliavin. Proceedings, Paris 1983-84, 340-354.
- [5] J.QUERRE. Sur une propriété des anneaux de Krull. Bull.Sc.Mat. 95 (1971), 341-354.
- [6] J.QUERRE. Idéaux divisoriels d'un anneau de polynomes. J.Algebra 64 (1980), 270-284.
- [7] N.RAILLARD (DESSAGNES). Sur les anneaux de Mori. C.R.Acad.Sc.Paris 280 (1975), 1571-1573.
- [8] M.ROITMAN. On Mori domains and commutative rings with the chain condition on annihilators. J.Pure Appl.Algebra (to appear).
- [9] M.ROITMAN. On Mori domains and commutative rings with the chain condition on annihilators II (preprint).
- [10] C.TRAVERSO. Seminormality and Picard group. Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa 24 (4) (1970), 585-595.