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INTRODUCTION.

The global existence on Minkowski space-time $\mathbb{M}^n$ of solutions of the Yang-Mills equations coupled with the Higgs equations for a scalar multiplet has been proved for $n = 1$ or $2$ by Ginibre and Velo (1981), for $n = 3$ by Eardley and Moncrief (1981) on the one hand, by Choquet-Bruhat and Christodoulou (1981) on the other hand (the global existence in this article is proved only for small Cauchy data, but includes also spinor sources and the corresponding gauge covariant Dirac equation).

The proof of Ginibre and Velo rests on the local existence theorem obtained by Segal (1978) using the temporal gauge and semi-group theory, and on a priori estimates in this temporal gauge deduced from energy conservation and "higher" energy non blow up for $n = 1$ or $2$. These estimates are not sufficient to complete the proof in the case $n = 3$.

The complete proof of Eardley and Moncrief uses, in addition, estimates of the $L^\infty$ norms of the fields and potential through the use of another gauge (the Cronström gauge) and the properties of the solutions of the usual d'Alembert equation with a source on $\mathbb{M}^n$.

Choquet-Bruhat and Christodoulou use the conformal transformation of $\mathbb{M}_h$ onto an open bounded set of the Einstein cylinder $S^3 \times \mathbb{R}$. The proof of Eardley-Moncrief does not seem to extend in any easy way from $\mathbb{M}_h$ to another Lorentzian manifold. The proof of Choquet-Bruhat and Christodoulou extends only to Lorentzian manifold which are asymptotically Minkowskian at infinity. On the opposite, we shall show in this article that the proof of Ginibre and Velo on $\mathbb{M}_2$ or $\mathbb{M}_3$ extends to a general globally hyperbolic manifold of dimension $2$ or $3$, even if the Yang-Mills bundle is not trivial, when we use the local existence theorem proved on such manifolds in temporal gauge by Choquet-Bruhat, Paneitz and Segal (1983).
1. FIELDS.

A space time \((V_{n+1}, g)\) is a \(C^\infty\) manifold endowed with a lorentzian metric, that is a pseudo riemannian metric of signature \((- , +, +, ... )\).

We denote by \(P\) a \(C^\infty\) principal bundle with base \(V_{n+1}\) and group a Lie group \(G\). We suppose that \(G\) admits a non-degenerate bi-invariant metric, for instance it is the product of abelian and semi-simple groups.

The Lie algebra \(\mathfrak{g}\) admits then an \(\text{Ad}\) invariant non degenerate scalar product, denoted by a dot, which enjoys the property:

\[
(1.1) \quad a \cdot [b,c] = [a,b] \cdot c.
\]

When we shall prove global existence, we shall suppose moreover that this scalar product is positive. A Yang-Mills connection (or potential) is usually defined as a 1-form \(\omega\) on \(P\) with values in \(\mathfrak{g}\), enjoying various properties. Its representant in a local trivialization of \(P\) over \(U \subset V_{n+1}\),

\[
\varphi : p \mapsto (x, a), \quad p \in P, \quad x \in U, \quad a \in G,
\]

is the 1-form \(s \cdot \omega\) on \(U\), where \(s\) is the local section of \(P\) corresponding canonically to the local trivialization,

\[
s(x) = \varphi^{-1}(x, e),
\]

called a gauge in the physics literature. Let \(A_{(i)}\) and \(A_{(j)}\) be representants of \(\omega\) in gauges \(s_i\) and \(s_j\) over \(U_i\) and \(U_j\), then in \(U_i \cap U_j\):

\[
A_{(i)} = \text{Ad}(u_{ij}^{-1}) A_{(j)} + u_{ij}^* \omega_\mathfrak{m},
\]

where \(\omega_\mathfrak{m}\) is the Maurer-Cartan form on \(G\), and

\[
u_{ij} : U_i \cap U_j \to G
\]

is the transition function between the two local trivializations:

\[
s_i = R_{(i)j} s_j, \quad R_{(i)j} \text{ right translation on } P \text{ by } u_{ij}
\]

The property (1.2) leads to the following definition, equivalent to
Definition 1: Given the principal bundle \( P \to \mathbb{V}_{n+1} \), a Yang-Mills potential \( A \) on \( \mathbb{V}_{n+1} \) is a section of the (fibered) tensor product:

\[
T^* \mathbb{V}_{n+1} \otimes \mathcal{P}_{\text{Aff},G}
\]

where \( \mathcal{P}_{\text{Aff},G} \) is the affine bundle with base \( \mathbb{V}_{n+1} \) and typical fiber \( G \) associated to \( P \) via the relation (1.2).

Note: Let \( \tilde{A} \) be a given Yang-Mills potential on \( \mathbb{V}_{n+1} \), then \( A - \tilde{A} \) is a section of the tensor product of vector bundles:

\[
T^* \mathbb{V}_{n+1} \otimes \mathcal{P}_{\text{Ad},G}
\]

where \( \mathcal{P}_{\text{Ad},G} = P \times_{\text{Ad}} G \) is the vector bundle associated to \( P \) by the adjoint representation of \( G \) on \( G \).

Remark: There is a scalar product in the fibers of \( \mathcal{P}_{\text{Ad},G} \), deduced from the \( \text{Ad} \)-invariant scalar product on \( G \).

The curvature \( \Omega \) of the connection \( \omega \) considered as a 1-form on \( P \) is a \( G \)-valued 2-form on \( P \). Its representant in a gauge where \( \omega \) is represented by \( A_{(i)} \) is given by

\[
F_{(i)} = dA_{(i)} + \frac{1}{2} [A_{(i)}, A_{(i)}],
\]

and the relation between two representants is

\[
F_{(i)} = \text{Ad}(u_{ij}^{-1}) F_{(j)} \quad \text{in} \quad U_i \cap U_j.
\]

We have therefore for the Yang-Mills field equivalent to the curvature:

Definition 2: The Yang-Mills field is a section of the vector bundle \( \Lambda^2 T^* \mathbb{V}_{n+1} \otimes \mathcal{P}_{\text{Ad},G} \) given by

\[
F = dA + \frac{1}{2} [A, A],
\]

where (1.5) means that (1.3) is satisfied in each local
trivialization. We also say that $F$ is a $2$-form on $V_{n+1}$ of type $(\text{Ad}, G)$.

In local coordinates on $V_{n+1}$, and for a choice of a basis $(\epsilon^a)$ of $G$, a representative of $F$ has components $F^a_{\lambda\mu}$ given by

$$F^a_{\lambda\mu} = \partial_\lambda A^a_\mu - \partial_\mu A^a_\lambda + C^a_{bc} A^b_\lambda A^c_\mu,$$

where $C^a_{bc}$ are the structure constants of $G$.

In addition to the Yang-Mills field, many physical theories consider a scalar multiplet or "Higgs field".

Définition 3 : A Higgs field $\Phi$ is a section of a vector bundle $P_r, \mathbb{C}^N$ over $V_{n+1}$ with typical fiber $\mathbb{C}^N$ (or $\mathbb{R}^N$) associated to $P$ via a unitary (or orthogonal) representation $r$ of $G$; the representatives $\Phi_{(i)}$ and $\Phi_{(j)}$ are linked by

$$\Phi_{(i)} = r(u_{ij}) \Phi_{(j)} \quad \text{in } U_i \cap U_j.$$

A particular case when $G$ is itself a unitary group $U(N)$ in matrix representation is

$$r(u_{ij}) \Phi_{(j)} = u_{ij} \Phi_{(i)} = \text{action of } u_{ij} \text{ on } \Phi_{(j)} \in \mathbb{C}^N.$$

In all cases, we have a scalar product in the fibers of $P_r, \mathbb{C}^N$ deduced from the hermitian scalar product in $\mathbb{C}^N$, invariant under the unitary group.

2. COVARIANT DERIVATIVES.

We call $P$-tensor a differentiable section of a vector bundle

$$E = \otimes^p T^* V_{n+1} \otimes P_p,$$

where $P_p$ is a vector bundle associated to $P$ by the representation $\rho$ of $G$. If $V_{n+1}$ is endowed with a metric $g$, the vector bundle $\otimes^p T^* V_{n+1}$ has a natural connection, deduced from the riemannian connection of $g$, while $P_p$ has a connection deduced from the connection $A$ of $P$, with representative $SA_{(i)}$ if $A$ is represented by $A_{(i)} \in G$, $S$ being the mapping from $G$ into the Lie algebra of
p(G) deduced from p. The covariant derivative of a P-tensor f is defined by using these two connections: if f = h \otimes k we define for any tangent vector v to V_{n+1}:

\[ \hat{\nabla}_v f = \nabla_v h \otimes k + h \otimes \hat{\nabla}_v k, \]

where \( \nabla \) is the Riemannian covariant derivative, and \( \hat{\nabla}_v \) the usual gauge covariant derivative. The derivative \( \hat{\nabla}_v f \) is extended by linearity to all sections of \( E \). It is also a section of \( E \), and depends linearly on \( v \); we define \( \hat{\nabla} f \) as the section of

\[ T^* V_{n+1} \otimes E \]

obtained through this linearity. If \( A_{(i)} \) is the representative of the Yang-Mills potential in a local trivialization of \( P_p \), and \( f_{(i)} \) the representative of \( f \), a p-tensor with values in a fixed vector space, we have:

\[ \hat{\nabla} f_{(i)} = \nabla f_{(i)} + A_{(i)} f_{(i)}, \]

which we often write, omitting the index \( (i) \):

\[ \hat{\nabla} f = \nabla f + A f. \]

The same reasoning applies to sections \( \otimes T^* V_{n+1} \otimes E \).

Examples:

1' The Yang-Mills field \( F \) is a section of \( \otimes T^* V_{n+1} \otimes P_{(Ad, \mathcal{O})} \).

Its covariant derivative is:

\[ \hat{\nabla} F = \nabla F + [A, F], \]

2' If \( G \) is a unitary group \( U(N) \), and the Higgs field is a section of the vector bundle \( P \otimes \mathcal{O}^N \) with fiber \( \mathcal{O}^N \) the representation space of \( G \), then:

\[ \hat{\nabla} \Phi = \nabla \Phi + A \Phi. \]
3. EQUATIONS AND IDENTITIES.

The simplest way to obtain intrinsic equations for the fields is to derive them from an intrinsic lagrangian. The physical lagrangian densities, leading to second order equations for the potential \( A \) and the field \( \Phi \), are of the form:

\[
L = \frac{1}{2} F \cdot F + \phi \cdot \Phi \cdot \Phi + V(\Phi^2)
\]

where the dot denotes the scalar product in the fibers of the relevant vector bundles and

\[
\Phi^2 = \Phi \cdot \Phi
\]

\( V \) is some smooth function (self interaction potential).

We shall moreover suppose that \( V(0) = 0 \). The case \( V(0) \neq 0 \) (for instance the cosmic strings) can be treated by alterations of the present method, or by working directly in local spaces.

The stationary points \((A, \Phi)\) of the lagrangian of density (3.1), with respect to arbitrary variations \((\delta A, \delta \Phi)\), with compact support in \( V_{n,1} \), are the solutions of the following intrinsic equations.

1° Yang-Mills equations, \((\text{Ad}, \mathcal{B})\) valued vector equation on \( V_{n,1} \):

\[
\delta F = J, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \varphi^\alpha F_{\alpha \mu} = J^\mu
\]

where the current \( J^\mu \) is the \((\text{Ad}, \mathcal{B})\) valued vector with components:

\[
J^\mu = (\nabla^\Phi \Phi + \hat{\nabla}^\Phi \Phi', \Phi', \Phi')
\]

2° Higgs equation, \((\mathcal{C}^N)\) valued scalar equation on \( V_{n,1} \):

\[
\hat{\nabla}^\lambda \varphi^\alpha \Phi = V'(\Phi^2) \Phi
\]

where \( V' \) is the derivative of \( V \).

It is well known that the Yang-Mills field, curvature of the Yang-Mills potential \( A \), satisfies the Bianchi identity:

\[
\hat{d} F = 0
\]

where \( \hat{d} \) is the totally antisymmetrized covariant derivative, that is,
in coordinates on $V_{n,1}$:

\begin{equation}
\nabla_\alpha F^\alpha + \nabla_\tau F_{\alpha\beta} + \nabla_\beta F_{\tau\alpha} = 0.
\end{equation}

It also satisfies the identity:

\begin{equation}
\delta^2 F = 0, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta F^{\alpha\beta} = 0.
\end{equation}

The equation (3.5) implies that the current $J$ satisfies the equation:

\begin{equation}
\delta J = 0, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \nabla_\mu J^\mu = 0.
\end{equation}

hence the system (3.3), (3.5) is coherent.

Remark: The intrinsic lagrangian (3.1) is invariant under diffeomorphisms of $V_{n,1}$ (with induced transformations on $\mathcal{E}^P T^* V_{n,1}$ and $g$) and automorphisms of $P$ (with induced transformations on the associated bundles). The "conservation" (3.8) of the current $J$ is a consequence of the second invariance. The first invariance leads to the conservation of the stress energy tensor which we shall use later, for a priori estimates.

4. CAUCHY PROBLEM.

We suppose, in this article, that the manifold $V_{n,1}$ is of the type $S \times \mathbb{R}$, with $S_t = S \times \{t\}$ space like for $g$, and $x \times \{\mathbb{R}\}$ time like. We denote by $X$ the tangent to $x \times \{\mathbb{R}\}$, and by $n$ the normal to $S_t$. Adapted local coordinates will be $x^0 = t$, and $(x^i)$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, local coordinates in $S$.

The Cauchy problem for a Y.M.H. system is the determination of a solution from data on the submanifold $S_0 \equiv S \times \{0\}$. These data are Cauchy data.

1° A section $a$ over $S_0$ of the bundle $T^* S_0 \otimes P_{\text{Arr.}} g$;
2° A section $a_0$ over $S_0$ of the bundle $P_{\text{Arr.}} g$;
3° A section $b$ over $S_0$ of the bundle $T S_{n,1} \otimes P_{\text{Ad.}} g$, i.e. a tangent vector to $S_0$ of type $(\text{Ad}, g)$;
4° A section $\varphi$ and a section $\hat{\varphi}$ over $S_0$ of the bundle $P_{r,\xi^0}$, i.e.
A solution \((A, F, \psi)\) of the Y.M.H. system is said to take these initial data if:

1. \(i^* A = a\),

where \(i^*\) is the pull back \(T^* V \to T^* S_0\) deduced from the inclusion mapping \(S_0 \to V_{n+1}\);

2. \(A X l_{S_0} = a_0\),

(\(a\) is the pull back \(T^* V \to T^* S_0\) deduced from the inclusion mapping \(S_0 \to V_{n+1}\);

3. \(F \cdot i^* g_{S_0} = \bar{E}\)  
   (i.e. \(\tilde{F}^\mu_n n_\lambda I_{S_0} = \bar{E}^\mu\), we have \(\bar{E}^0 = 0\), \(\bar{E}\) is tangent to \(S_0\));

4. \(\phi I_{S_0} = \varphi\), \(X^\dagger \phi I_{S_0} = \dot{\phi}\)

5. CONSTRAINT.

It is easy to see, in coordinates adapted to the slicing \(V = S \times R\), that the equation

\(\hat{\nabla}_\lambda F^\lambda = J^0\) restricted to \(S_0\)

depends only on the Cauchy data. It is therefore a constraint to be satisfied by these data. It reads in local coordinates and gauge, since \(F\) is antisymmetric:

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_j ( F^0 J_{0j} - F^j J_{0j} ) + [ A_j , F^j ] = J^0 \quad \text{on} \quad S_0 ,
\]

with \(\tilde{g}\) the metric induced by \(g\) on \(S_0\) and, in our signature:
\[ \sqrt{g_{00}} l^{-1/2} = \sqrt{g(x_n n) l = N = - n_0} , \]

hence
\[ (5.2) \quad \nabla J^0 N l_{s_0} = - \tilde{E} J . \]

and the constraint reads (\( \nabla \) metric derivative in the metric \( \tilde{g} \)):
\[ \nabla_j \tilde{E}^j = [a_j, \tilde{E}^j] = J^0 n_0 l_{s_0} , \]

which can be written intrinsically:
\[ (5.3) \quad \text{div} \tilde{E} = q , \quad q = J.n l_{s_0} ; \]

\( J \) is given by (3.4), hence \( q \) is a quadratic polynomial in the Cauchy data \( \varphi, \dot{\varphi} \).

It can be proved that the operator \( \text{div} \) is the \( L^2 \)-adjoint of the operator \( \text{grad} \) mapping scalars on \( V_{n+1} \) of type \((\text{Ad}, 0)\) into 1-forms on \( V_{n+1} \) of type \((\text{Ad}, 0)\), given in any representation by
\[ f \mapsto \text{grad} f = df + [a, f] , \]

and that the operator \( \hat{\Delta} = \text{div} \text{grad} \) is an elliptic operator on \( V_{n+1} \).

In appropriate functional spaces depending on \( S \), we shall have a \( L^2 \)-orthogonal splitting saying that the constraint (5.3) has solutions \( \tilde{E} \) for any \( q \) orthogonal to the kernel of the operator \( \text{grad} \). In particular, if this kernel is empty (we then say that the potential \( a \) is "generic"), the equation (5.3) has solutions \( \tilde{E} \) for arbitrary \( q \).

Examples of generic potentials on a compact manifold \( S \) are given in Chequet-Bruhat and Christodoulou (1981). For an asymptotically euclidean \((S, \tilde{g})\), and in appropriate functional spaces capturing the asymptotically zero character of the fields:
\[ \text{grad} u = 0 \quad \text{implies} \quad u = 0 \]

(because \( \text{grad} u = 0 \) implies \( \text{grad} |u|^2 = 0 \)), and the constraint (5.3) has solutions for arbitrary \( q \) in appropriate functional space (for instance, a weighted Sobolev space).
6. TEMPORAL GAUGE.

To solve the evolution problem of a Y.M.H. field from Cauchy data one chooses a gauge, that is one imposes an extra condition on the potential such that the Y.M.H. truncated by using this extra condition becomes a hyperbolic system with domain of dependance determined by the null cone of the metric, in order to satisfy the relativistic causality requirement. Since we are interested in non trivial bundles \( P \), we shall adopt the active viewpoint for gauge transformations, that is we consider them as automorphisms of \( P \). The temporal gauge for a potential \( A \) will be defined with respect to some given smooth potential \( \tilde{A} \) on \( V_{n,1} \).

**Définition**: The potential \( A \) is said to be in \( \tilde{A} \)-temporal gauge, if the vector \((A - \tilde{A})\) of type \((\text{Ad}, \mathcal{G})\) is orthogonal to the time line, that is:

\[
A_0 - \tilde{A}_0 = 0.
\]

In the case where \( P \) is trivial, it is possible to work with representations globally defined on \( V_{n,1} \), and to choose \( \tilde{A} \) such that its representative is zero.

**Lemma**: For an arbitrary potential \( A \), there is an automorphism of \( P \) such that its transform by this automorphism is in \( \tilde{A} \)-temporal gauge.

**Proof**: We want to find a mapping \( u : V_{n,1} \rightarrow G \) such that the \((\text{Ad}, \mathcal{G})\) valued scalars \( A_0 \) and \( \tilde{A}_0 \) are linked by, in physicist notation:

\[
u^{-1} A_0 u + \nu^{-1} \tilde{A}_0 u = \tilde{A}_0 ;
\]

this is a differential equation for \( u \) which can be solved from initial data on \( S \), for instance \( u|_g = 1 \), the unit of \( G \) (cf. I. Segal (1979) for the case \( V_{n,1} = \mathbb{R}^n \), \( \tilde{A}_0 = 0 \)).

The Y.M. equations truncated by the \( \tilde{A} \)-temporal gauge do not appear at first sight as a hyperbolic system. We set:

\[B = A - \tilde{A}, \quad (\text{Ad}, \mathcal{G}) \text{ valued 1-form on } V_{n,1},\]

We have, by a straightforward computation:
(6.3) \[ F_{\lambda \mu} = \tilde{F}_{\lambda \mu} + \tilde{\nabla}_\lambda B_\mu - \tilde{\nabla}_\mu B_\lambda + [B_\lambda, B_\mu] , \]

where \( \tilde{\nabla} \) is the riemannian, and \( \tilde{\nabla} \) covariant derivative, and \( \tilde{F} \) the curvature of \( \tilde{A} \); then:

(6.4) \[ \tilde{\nabla}_\lambda F^{\lambda \mu} = \tilde{\nabla}_\lambda F^{\lambda \mu} + [B_\lambda, F_{\lambda \mu}] . \]

In \( \tilde{A} \) temporal gauge, \( B_0 = 0 \), and the Yang-Mills equations with unknown \( B \) split into the constraint:

(6.5) \[ \tilde{\nabla}_0 F_{\lambda 0} \equiv \tilde{\nabla}_\lambda \tilde{\nabla}_0 B_\lambda - \tilde{\nabla}_\lambda \tilde{\nabla}_0 B_\lambda + \tilde{\nabla}_\lambda [B_\lambda, B_0] \]
\[ + \tilde{\nabla}_\lambda \tilde{F}_{\lambda 0} + [B_\lambda, F_{\lambda 0}] = J_0 \]

(we have left \( B_0 \) in the formula because the riemannian part of its covariant derivative does not necessarily vanish, it may contain \( B_1 \), but not its derivative): this equation does not contain second derivatives of \( B_1 \) transversal to the \( S_t \), and the evolution equations:

(6.6) \[ \tilde{\nabla}_\lambda F_{\lambda 1} \equiv \tilde{\nabla}_\lambda \tilde{\nabla}_1 B_\lambda - \tilde{\nabla}_\lambda \tilde{\nabla}_1 B_\lambda + \tilde{\nabla}_\lambda [B_\lambda, B_1] + [B_\lambda, F_{\lambda 1}] = J_1 ; \]

the operator on the \( B_i \)'s in these equations is not hyperbolic, it is a non diagonal operator with multiple characteristics: its characteristic cone at a point of \( V_{n+1} \) is \((n-1) \) copies of the null cone of the metric \( g \) and two copies of the tangent to the time line.

We obtain a hyperbolic operator for \( B_1 \) by combining equations (6.5) and (6.6). If (6.5) and (6.6) are satisfied, \( B_1 \) satisfies a system of the form:

(6.7) \[ \tilde{\nabla}_0 J_1 - \tilde{\nabla}_1 J_0 = \tilde{\nabla}_0 \tilde{\nabla}_1 B_1 + h_i , \]

where the \( h_i \) depend on the \( B_i \)'s, and their derivatives only up to second order.

Expressed in terms of the given \( \tilde{A} \) and the unknown \( B \), the Higgs equation reads, since

(6.8) \[ \tilde{\nabla} \Phi = \tilde{\nabla} \Phi + \nabla B \Phi , \]

(6.9) \[ \tilde{\nabla}_\lambda \tilde{\nabla}_\lambda \Phi = \tilde{\nabla}_\lambda \tilde{\nabla}_\lambda \Phi + H = \nabla'(|H|^2) \Phi , \]
where \( H \) depends on \( \Phi \) and \( B \) and their first order derivatives.

The equations (6.7) together with the equations for the Higgs field form at each point of \( V_{n,1} \), and in any representation a hyperbolic system in Leray's sense, with characteristic cone one copy of the null one and one copy of the tangent to the time line, interior to the null cone by hypothesis, the domain of dependance is therefore determined by that cone.

7. REGULARLY HYPERBOLIC MANIFOLDS.

The Leray theory of hyperbolic systems is formulated for sets of numerical valued functions over a manifold \( V_{n,1} \). Such a system is globally hyperbolic, if it is hyperbolic at each point, and the set of time like paths (i.e. with future tangent interior to the future characteristic cone, the manifold is supposed to be "time oriented") is empty or compact, in the set of paths. When the system is semi linear, the characteristics, hence the global hyperbolicity, does not depend on the solutions. When moreover the outer sheet of the characteristic cone is the null cone of \( g \), the hyperbolic system is globally hyperbolic if, and only if, the manifold \( (V_{n,1}, g) \) is globally hyperbolic. It is known (Geroch, 1969) that \( V_{n,1} \) is then a product \( S \times \mathbb{R} \) with \( S^i = S \times \{ t \} \) space like and \( \{ x \} \times \mathbb{R} \) time like.

We shall make somewhat stronger hypothesis on \( (V_{n,1}, g) \), which we shall call "regular hyperbolicity". A manifold \( (V_{n,1}, g) \) will be said to be regularly hyperbolic (it is then globally hyperbolic), if:

1. \( V_{n,1} = S \times \mathbb{R} \) is the direct product of a smooth manifold \( S \) of dimension \( n \) and \( \mathbb{R} \).

2. The metric \( g \) is of signature \((- + + + \cdots )\). The submanifolds \( S^i = S \times \{ t \} \) are space like, their unit future directed normal is denoted by \( n \), \( g(n, n) = -1 \). The curves \( \{ x \} \times \mathbb{R} \) are time like. Their tangent vector is denoted by \( X \), \( g(X, X) < 0 \). We suppose that:

(a) There exist numbers \( \alpha > 0 \) and \( \beta > 0 \) such that, on \( V_{n,1} \):

\[
(5.1) \quad \alpha \leq |g(X, X)|^{1/2} \leq |g(X, n)| \leq \beta.
\]

Remark: On a lorentzian manifold with our signature hypothesis, we always have, since \( X \) and \( n \) are time like and future directed (increasing \( t \)): 
(5.2) \( g(X, n) < 0, \ |g(X, n)| \geq |g(X, X)| \alpha |g(n, n)| \).

We set \( N = -g(X, n) \) (lapse function).

(b) The properly riemannian metrics \( g_i \), induced on each \( S_i \) by the metric \( g \), are all uniformly equivalent to some smooth riemannian metric \( \bar{g} \) : there exists \( k_1 > 0 \) and \( k_2 > 0 \) such that:

\[
(5.3) \quad k_1 g(\xi, \eta) \leq g_i(\xi, \eta) \leq k_2 g(\xi, \eta), \quad \forall \xi, \eta \in TS
\]

We suppose the metric \( \bar{g} \) has a non zero injectivity radius (hence is complete).

We have:

Lemma : On a regularly hyperbolic manifold, there exists a smooth properly riemannian metric \( e \) defined by the contravariant tensor (recall \( g(X, n) = -N \)):

\[
(5.4) \quad e^\ell = g^\ell + N(X \otimes n + n \otimes X).
\]

8. GAUGE INVARIANT SOBOLEV SPACES.

Local existence theorems for the solutions of the Cauchy problem for sections of bundles over \( V_{n+1} \) of the type considered in previous paragraphs can be obtained by working with representatives in open sets \( U \subset V_{n+1} \) over which \( P \) is trivialized, for which the usual theorems with ordinary Sobolev spaces apply, and using uniqueness theorems to glue together these solutions. However, it is more in the spirit of the theory to work with gauge invariant objects, and it becomes fundamental for the proof of global existence theorems.

We first define the Sobolev space \( W^p_* \) for tensors of type \((\text{Ad}, S)\) or \((r, \mathcal{C}^n)\) on \((S, g)\), given some smooth Yang-Mills potential \( \tilde{a} \) on \( S \). We now suppose the \( \text{Ad} \)-invariant scalar product in \( \mathcal{D} \) positive definite.

Definition : The space \( W^p_* \) of tensors of some given order and type over \( S \) is the completion of the space \( C^\infty_0 \) of \( C^\infty \) such tensors with compact support with respect to the norm:
\[ \| f \|_{W^s_p} = \left( \sum_{0 \leq k \leq s} \int_S |D^k f|^p \, du \right)^{1/p} , \]

where \( 1 \leq p < \infty \), \( s \) is a non-negative integer, \( du \) is the volume element of \( \tilde{\mathcal{g}} \), \( Df \) is a Riemannian and \( \tilde{\alpha} \) is a gauge derivative. The norm at a point corresponding to the scalar products deduced from \( \tilde{\mathcal{g}} \) and the Ad-invariant scalar product in \( \mathfrak{G} \).

We set \( H^s = W^s_2 \). It is a Hilbert space. \( W^s_2 \) is a Banach space.

It can be proved that the usual Sobolev inequalities and multiplication theorems are valid for these spaces \( W^s_2 \), as well as the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality:

\[ \| f \|_{L^q} \leq C \| f \|_{L^r}^{1-\sigma} \| Df \|_{L^p}^\sigma , \]

where

\[ \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1-\sigma}{r} + \sigma \left( \frac{L}{p} - \frac{1}{n} \right) , \quad 0 < \sigma < 1 , \]

for \( 1 \leq p \leq r \), \( q < q_1 \), \( q_1 = +\infty \) if \( n < p \),

\[ q_1 = \frac{np}{n - p} \quad \text{if} \quad n > p , \]

with \( C \) a constant depending only on \((S, \tilde{\mathcal{g}})\).

Let now \( a \) be another, non-necessarily smooth Yang-Mills potential.

**Lemma:** If \( a = \tilde{\alpha} \in W^s_2 \), \( f \in W^p_s \) and \( s > \frac{n}{p} \), then:

\[ \| f \|_{W^s_p} = \left( \sum_{0 \leq k \leq s} \| \tilde{\alpha}^k f \|_{L^p} \right)^{1/p} \]

is finite; moreover there exists a constant \( C \) such that:

\[ \| f \|_{W^s_p} \leq C (1 + \| a \|_{W^s_p} \| f \|_{W^p_s} ) . \]

**Proof:** Uses the relation between \( \tilde{\mathcal{v}} \) and \( \tilde{\mathcal{v}} \) and the Sobolev multiplication theorem.

Moreover, it can be proved that the Sobolev and Nirenberg-Gagliardo inequalities are valid when \( \| \|_{W^s_p} \) is replaced by \( \| \|_{W^p_s} \).
We denote by $V_{n,1}(T)$ the manifold $S \times (-T, T)$, and by $E_\alpha(T)$ a space of $P$-tensors of some given type on $V_{n,1}(T)$ which is the closure of the space of $C^\infty$ such tensors with respect to the norm:

$$
\|f\|_\alpha = \sup_{|t|<T} \left\{ \sum_{0<k<s} \left( \int_S \|\xi^k f\|^2 \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} .
$$

$E_\alpha(T)$ is a Banach space.

Remark: $V_{n,1} = S \times \mathbb{R}$, hence admits an atlas with domains of maps of the type $U_{(i)} \times \mathbb{R}$, $U_{(i)}$ homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^n$. The principal fiber bundle $P$ can therefore be trivialized over $U_{(i)} \times \mathbb{R}$, the transition functions are of the form:

$$
u_{(i,j)} : (U_i \cap U_j) \times \mathbb{R} \to G .$$

If we suppose, to simplify our work, that the bundle structure is time independent, that is that there exists a family of local trivializations covering $P$, called admissible, such that the transition functions do not depend on $t$. There exists then Yang-Mills potentials $\tilde{A}$ whose representation in every admissible local trivialization is such that $\tilde{A}_0 = 0$ and $\tilde{a} = i_t^* \tilde{A}$ does not depend on $t$, where $i_t$ is the embedding of $S_t$ in $V_{n,1}$. By strengthening moreover regular hyperbolicity to boundedness of curvature and an appropriate number of its derivations (cf. Choquet-Bruhat, Christodoulou and Francaviglia, Cagnac and Choquet-Bruhat, and for full details and proofs, Cagnac), we can show that:

$$
E_\alpha(V_T) = \bigcap_{0<k<s} C^k([-T, T], H_{n-k}(S)) .
$$

9. LOCAL EXISTENCE.

The usual functional machinery can be used together with the definitions of § 8 to prove the existence of the solutions of the Cauchy problem on a small enough time interval $I$.

Theorem 1 (local existence in temporal gauge): The Y.M.H. system in $\tilde{A}$ temporal gauge admits a solution in $E_\alpha(T)$ on $V_T = S \times (-T, T)$ taking the Cauchy data on $S$:
if \( s > \frac{n}{2} \) and \( T \) is small enough.

\[ T \text{ depends only on the } H_{s_0} \times H_{s_0-1} \text{ norm of the Cauchy data, with } s_0 \]

the smallest integer such that \( s_0 > \frac{n}{2}, \ s_0 > 2 \).

The solution depends continuously on the Cauchy data. The support of the solution is in the future of the Cauchy data; its trace on \( S_1 \), \( |t| < T \), is compact if the support of the Cauchy data is compact.

Hence a solution in \( E_s(T) \) is a limit of solutions in \( C_0^0(T) \).

**Remark:** The proof that we have given is valid only for \( s > 2 \); it is no further restriction than \( s > \frac{n}{2} \) if \( n > 1 \). For \( n = 1 \), one can prove the following corollary:

**Corollary:** In the case \( n = 1 \), the solution exists on \( V_T \), \( T \) small enough if:

\[ b, \varphi, \tilde{E} - \tilde{E} \in H^s_1, \quad \dot{\varphi} \in L^2. \]

The solution is in \( E_s(T) \), if the data are respectively in \( H^s \) and \( H^{s-1} \).

**Theorem 2 (local existence for the original system):** The solution in \( E_s(V_T) \) of the Y.M.H. equations in \( \tilde{A} \)-temporal gauge satisfies the original Y.M.H. equations, if the Cauchy data satisfy the constraint.

**Proof:** Denote by \( f_\mu = \tilde{\nabla}_h F^\mu - J^\mu = 0 \) the original Y.M. equations.

The equations we solved are, in addition to the Higgs equation (3.5):

\[ (9.1) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_0 f_1 - \tilde{\nabla}_1 f_0 = 0. \]

It can be proved, using (9.1), (3.5), and the identities (3.7), that the \( f_\mu \) satisfy a linear homogeneous system which take zero Cauchy data if the original Cauchy data satisfy the constraint.

**10. Energy Estimate.**

Standard reasoning shows that the solution will exist on \( V_{n+1} \), if we can find a continuous function \( C \) on \( \mathbb{R} \) such that any local solution
on a manifold $V_T$ satisfies the a priori estimate, with $f = (B, \Phi)$:

$$\|w_{\mu}(T)\| < C(T), \quad s > \frac{n}{2}.$$ 

The backbone for the obtention of such estimates is the energy inequality, energy conservation in the case of a stationary space-time. It is sufficient to prove the estimate for solutions in $C^0_0(T)$, since any solution in $E_0(T)$ is limit of such solutions. The lemma of § 8 proves moreover that it is sufficient to obtain these estimates for the $E_0(T)$ norms.

**Definition**: The stress energy tensor of a Y.M.H. field with self interaction potential $V$ is the usual 2-tensor on $V_{n+1}$ (dots are products in the scalar product deduced from the $Ad$-invariant one, we have written indices to explicit the $g$ scalar products):

$$T_{\alpha\beta} = F^\lambda_{\alpha\beta} F_{\lambda\mu} - \frac{1}{N} \delta_{\alpha\beta} F_{\lambda\mu} F^{\lambda\mu}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \left( \phi^\lambda \phi \phi \phi + \phi^\lambda \phi \phi + \phi^\lambda \phi \phi + \phi^\lambda \phi \phi \phi \right) - \delta_{\alpha\beta} \left( \phi^\lambda \phi \phi \phi \right).$$

Its covariant divergence $\nabla_\alpha T^{\alpha\beta} = 0$ modulo the Y.M.H. equations, as could be foreseen (cf. § 3).

The energy momentum vector relative to $X$ is:

$$p^\alpha = T^\alpha_{\beta} X^\beta,$$

and the energy density relative to $S_\xi$ is found to be:

$$\epsilon \equiv T_{\alpha\beta} n^\alpha X^\beta = \frac{N-1}{N} |F|^2 + |\phi|^2 + \frac{1}{2} V(|\phi|^2),$$

where the norms at a point of $V_{n+1}$ of a $P$-tensor is taken in the positive definite scalar products deduced from the $Ad$-invariant product in $\mathfrak{g}$ and from the properly riemannian metric (5.4) on $V_{n+1}$.

The energy density is positive if:

$$V(\xi) > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \xi > 0,$$

which we shall suppose from now on.
The energy at time $t$ exists, for $|t| < T$, if the fields $F$ and $\hat{\Phi}$ as well as $V(|\Phi|^2)$ are in $E_0(T)$, and is given by:

$$y(t) = \int_{S_t} \varepsilon \, d\mu_t .$$

We suppose from now on that:

(10.5) $V(0) = 0$.

The energy is then defined for fields whose support has a compact trace in $S_t$. For solutions of the Y.M.II. system in $C^\infty(T)$, we deduce from the equality:

$$\nabla_\alpha F^\alpha = T_{\alpha\beta}(\nabla^\alpha X^\beta + \nabla^\beta X^\alpha) = T.LX ,$$

the energy equality:

(10.6) $y(t) = y(0) + \int_0^t \int_{S_r} T.LX \, d\mu_r \, d\tau$.

hence the energy inequality, with $C_0$ some constant depending only on $(V_{n, i}, g)$ and $X$:

(10.7) $y(t) \leq y(0) + C_0 \int_0^t y(\tau) \, d\tau$.

If $X$ is a Killing field of $(V_{n, i}, g)$, then $C_0 = 0$; the energy is conserved. If $C_0 \neq 0$, the inequality implies that the continuous function $y$ satisfies on $V_\tau$ (Gronwall lemma):

(10.8) $y(t) \leq C(t)$ with $C(t) = y(0) \exp(C_0 t)$.

This estimate bounds the $E_0$ norm of $F$ and $\hat{\Phi}$, hence the $L^2$ norms of $B = A - \tilde{A}$ in $\tilde{A}$-temporal gauge and the norm of $\Phi$ in such a gauge, by using the relations

$$\hat{\nabla}_0 B_i + \Gamma^j_{0i} B_j = F_{0i} - \tilde{F}_{0i}, \quad \hat{\nabla}_0 \Phi = \hat{\nabla}_0 \Phi ,$$

which are first order differential operators along the time line when
where $C$ depends only on $(V_{n+1}, g)$, and $\| \|_t$ stands for $\| \|_{L^2(s_t)}$, and:

$$
\| B \|^2_t \leq \| B_0 \|^2 + \| B \|^2_t \int_0^t \| \|_t \| \Phi \|^2\,dt .
$$

These inequalities lead to estimates:

$$
\| B \|^2_t \leq C(t), \quad \| F \|^2_t \leq C(t) ,
$$

when such estimates are known for $F$ and $\Phi$. The estimates in $\| F_0 \|_t$-norm of $B$, $F$, $\Phi$, $\Phi$ is not sufficient to obtain an estimate of $\Phi$, and is not sufficient to have global existence even for $n = 1$.

11. SECOND ENERGY ESTIMATE.

To bound the derivatives $\Phi F$ and $\Phi^2 \Phi$, one considers the 2-tensor

$$
\tau_{a b}^{(1)} = e^{\lambda t}(\nabla_{a} F_{\rho}^{b} \cdot \nabla_{\rho} F_{\sigma}^{a} - \frac{1}{4} \nabla_{a} F_{\rho}^{b} \cdot \nabla_{\sigma} F_{\rho}^{a} - \frac{1}{4} \nabla_{a} F_{\sigma}^{b} \cdot \nabla_{\rho} F_{\rho}^{a}) + \text{Re}(\Phi_{a} \Phi_{b}) .
$$

We have:

$$
\tau_{a b}^{(1)} \chi^n n^b = \frac{1}{4} N^{1} |\Phi F|^2 + |\Phi^2 \Phi|^2 ,
$$

and we show, by using the Ricci identity, that, when the Y.M.H. field equations are satisfied, $\nabla_{\alpha} \tau_{a b}^{(1)}$ is a sum of terms of the form, where juxtaposition denotes scalar product, Lie bracket or action of the constant linear operator $S$:

$$
f \cdot \Phi F, \quad f = F or \Phi .
$$
and, if \( g \) is non flat or \( \nabla_n \neq 0 \), terms \( \hat{\nabla} \hat{\nabla} \Phi \), and moreover, if \( V \neq 0 \):

\[
V'(1\Phi^2) \hat{\nabla} \hat{\nabla} \Phi \quad \text{and} \quad V''(1\Phi^2) \Phi \hat{\nabla} \hat{\nabla} \Phi.
\]

The integration of the relation:

\[
\nabla_\alpha (T^{\alpha \beta}_{(1)} X_\beta) = X_\beta \nabla_\alpha T^{\alpha \beta}_{(1)} + \frac{1}{2} T^{\alpha \beta}_{(1)} \cdot L X
\]

leads to an inequality of the form, with \( C, C_1, C_2 \) constants depending only on \( (V_{n+1}, g, P) \) (remark: \( C_1 = 0 \) if \( (V_{n+1}, g) = M_{n+1} \)):

\[
(11.1) \quad y_1(t) \leq y_1(0) + C \int_0^t y_1(\tau) \, d\tau + C_1 \int_0^t \int_{S_\tau} |\Phi|^2 |\nabla \Phi| \, d\mu_\tau \, d\tau + C_2 \int_0^t \int_{S_\tau} \left| V'(1\Phi^2) \Phi \nabla \Phi \right|^2 \, d\mu_\tau \, d\tau \]

where

\[
(11.2) \quad y_1(t) = \int_{S_t} |\nabla \Phi|^2 \, d\mu_\tau.
\]

with

\[
(11.3) \quad |\nabla \Phi|^2 = \frac{1}{4} N^{-1} |\Phi|^2 + |\nabla ^2 \Phi|^2.
\]

We have:

\[
(11.4) \quad \int_{S_\tau} |\Phi|^2 |\nabla \Phi| \, d\mu_\tau \leq \|\nabla \Phi\|_{L^q(S_\tau)} \cdot \|\nabla \Phi\|_{L^2(S_\tau)}.
\]

To estimate

\[
(11.5) \quad \int_{S_\tau} |\Phi|^2 |\nabla \Phi| \, d\mu_\tau \leq \|\nabla \Phi\|_{L^q(S_\tau)} \cdot \|\nabla \Phi\|_{L^2(S_\tau)}
\]

through the estimate of \( \|\nabla \Phi\| \) obtained in the previous paragraph, we use the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality when \( n < 4 \):

\[
(11.6) \quad \|\nabla \Phi\|_{L^q} \leq C \|\nabla \Phi\|_{L^2}^\sigma \|\nabla \Phi\|_{L^2}^{1-\sigma},
\]

with

\[
\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1 - \sigma}{2} + \sigma \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} \right), \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \sigma = \frac{n}{4}, \quad n < 4.
\]
which gives:

$$\int_{S_{\tau}} |f|^2 |\nabla f| \, dt < C \|f\|_{L^2(S_{\tau})} \|f\|_{L^2(S_{\tau})} \|f\|^n_{L^2(S_{\tau})}.$$  

This inequality, inserted in (11.1), will lead, when the estimate of $y(t)$ from the previous paragraph is used, to an inequality containing no power $> 1$ of $y_1(t)$ if:

$$\frac{n}{2} + 1 < 2, \quad \text{i.e. } n < 2.$$

Therefore:

**Lemma:** If $n < 2$ and $V \equiv 0$, the function $y_1(t)$ satisfies an estimate:

$$y_1(t) < C_1(t),$$

where the continuous function $C_1 : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ depends only on $(S, g, P)$ and, continuously, on $y_1(0)$.


When $n = 1$, the local existence theorem is valid for $b, \bar{E}, \varphi \in H_1$, $\phi \in L^2$. An a priori estimate of the $E_0(T)$ norm of the solution for $F$ and $\Phi$ is sufficient to obtain the global existence. The previous paragraph leads to this a priori estimate if $V \equiv 0$. No further restriction on $V$ than $V \in C^2$ with $V > 0$ and $V(0) = 0$ supposed in previous paragraphs is necessary to obtain the a priori estimate of $y_1(t)$ if $n = 1$. Indeed, the estimate of $y(t)$ and a Sobolev inequality shows that, for our $C^\infty_0(T)$ fields, the $L^\infty_0$ norm of $\Phi$ admits an estimate:

$$\|\Phi\|_{L^\infty_0(S_{\tau})} < C(t).$$

The function $V(|\Phi|^2)$, $V'(|\Phi|)$ and $V''(|\Phi|)$, admits estimates of the same type, if $V$ is $C^2$.

**Theorem:** The Y.M.H. equations with regular bundle $P$ over a $V_{1,1}$ regularly hyperbolic manifold admit a global solution, if:
(a) The potential $V$ is $C^2$, non negative, and $V(0) = 0$;
(b) The Cauchy data $b, \varphi$ are in $H^2$, $\tilde{E}$, $\tilde{\varphi}$ are in $H^1$, and satisfy the constraint.

13. GLOBAL EXISTENCE THEOREM FOR $n = 2$.

For $n = 2$, the local existence is valid for $b, \varphi \in H^2$, $\tilde{E}, \tilde{\varphi} \in H^1$. However, since we have no way of finding directly a priori estimates for $B$, but have to use the relation giving $B$ in terms of $F$, we need to find a priori estimates for $F$ in $E^2$. This estimate is obtained by considering the tensor:

$$T_{\alpha \beta}^{(2)} = e^{\lambda \mu} e^{\lambda \mu} II_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} II_{\mu_1 \mu_2} F_{\rho \rho} - \frac{1}{4} II_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} F_{\rho \rho} II_{\mu_1 \mu_2} F_{\rho \rho}$$

$$+ 2pe II_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} II_{\mu_1 \mu_2} \Phi \cdot II_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} II_{\mu_1 \mu_2} \Phi - \delta_{\alpha \beta} II_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} II_{\mu_1 \mu_2} \Phi \cdot II_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} II_{\mu_1 \mu_2} \Phi,$$

then:

$$T_{\alpha \beta}^{(2)} n^0 = \frac{1}{4} N^{-1} (|\tilde{\Phi}|^2 + |\tilde{\Phi}|^2),$$

while $\nabla_{\alpha} T_{\alpha \beta}^{(2)}$ is found to be, modulo the Y.M.H. equations, a sum of terms of the form, with $f = F$ or $\tilde{\Phi}$:

$$f \tilde{\nabla} f \tilde{\Phi}^2 f,$$

and, if $g$ is non flat:

$$\text{Riem}(g) \tilde{\nabla} f \tilde{\Phi}^2 f, \quad \nabla \text{Riem}(g) f \tilde{\Phi}^2 f.$$

We set, for $C_0^\infty(T)$ fields:

$$(12.1) \quad \psi(t) = \int_{S_t} |\tilde{\Phi}|^2 f^2 \, d\mu_t,$$

and we find, by the same method as in previous paragraphs, that, if $V \equiv 0$:
\[ (12.2) \quad y_2(t) \leq y_2(0) + C_1 \int_0^t \int_{S_T} |\Psi_2|^2 \, |\Phi|^2 \, \text{d}u \, \text{d}t + C_2 \int_0^t \int_{S_T} \left\{ |\Psi|^2 \left( |\Phi|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\} \, \text{d}u \, \text{d}t \]

\[ + \, V''(1 |\Phi|^2) |\Phi|^2 + |\Phi|^3 |\Phi|^2 |\Phi|^2 \left| \Psi \right|^2 \, \text{d}u \, \text{d}t \]

We use again the Cauchy-Schwarz and the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities to obtain, if \( n < 4 \), here with \( n = 2 \), \( L^2 \) standing for \( L^n(S_T) \):

\[ \left( \int_{S_T} |\Psi|^2 \, |\Phi|^2 \, \text{d}u \right)^{1/2} \leq \|\Psi\|_4 \|\Phi\|_4 \leq C \|\Psi\|_2 \|\Phi\|_2 \|\Phi\|_2 \|\Phi\|_2 \]

which leads to an integral inequality containing only powers \( \frac{1}{2} \) and 1 of \( y_2 \), when the estimates previously found for \( y \) and \( y_1 \) are used.

Note that the use of the more general Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality:

\[ \|\Phi\|_L^q \leq C \|\Phi\|_L^m \|\Phi\|_L^a \|\Phi\|_L^{1-a} \quad a = \frac{j}{m} + \frac{n}{2m} - \frac{n}{pm} \quad \text{subject to} \quad \frac{j}{m} \leq a < 1 \]

does not lead either to an estimate of \( y_1 \cdot y_2 \) when \( n > 2 \).

\[ \text{Lemma 2 : If } \quad n = 2 \quad \text{and} \quad V \equiv 0 , \quad \text{the function} \quad y_2 \quad \text{satisfies an estimate} : \]

\[ y_2(t) \leq C_2(t) \]

with \( C_2 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) a continuous function depending only on \((V_n, \Omega, \mathcal{P})\) and, continuously, on \( y(0), y_1(0) \) and \( y_2(0) \).

We now consider the case \( V \neq 0 \). We deduce from the estimate of \( y(t) \) and a Sobolev inequality that, for a \( C_0^\infty(T) \) field we have, if \( n = 2 \)

\[ (12.3) \quad \|\Psi\|_{L^q} \leq C(t) \quad 2 \leq q < \infty . \]
hence the coefficient of $C^2$ in (11.1) is bounded by:

\[(12.4) \int_0^t \left( \|V'(|\Phi|^2)| + \|V''(|\Phi|^2)|\|\Phi\|_1 \right) \, dt \leq C \left( \|V\|_{L^1} \right) \]

which will lead to a term at most linear in $\|\Phi\|_1$, hence linear in $y_1(\tau)$, through the use of the Gagliardo-Nirenberg estimate for $\Phi$ and (12.3) if $V$ is $C^2$ and its derivatives $V'$ and $V''$ have at most a power growth, that is, there exist constants $C > 0$ and numbers $p$, $1 < p < \infty$, such that:

\[(12.5) \|V'(p)\|_1, \|V''(p)\|_1 < Cp^p \text{ for } p \geq 1 .\]

(Note that $V'$ and $V''$ are bounded for $p < 1$, since continuous.)

The inequality (12.2) will lead to an inequality at most linear in $y_2(\tau)$, if $V$ is $C^3$, and satisfies in addition to (12.4):

\[(12.6) \|V'''(p)\|_1 < Cp^p \text{ for } p \geq 1 .\]

From these results, and inspection of $y(0), y_1(0), y_2(0)$, we conclude:

**Theorem**: The Yang-Mills-Higgs equations with regular bundle $P$ over a regularly hyperbolic manifold $(V, g)$ with bounded curvature and curvature gradient admit a global solution, if:

1. The Cauchy data $b, \varphi$ are in $H_3$ and $\tilde{E}, \varphi$ are in $H_2$, and satisfy the constraint.
2. The interaction potential is $C^3$, non-negative, $V(0) = 0$, and $V$ satisfies (12.5), (12.6).

**CONCLUSION**.

The proof of global existence of solutions of the Y.M.H. system, or even of the sourceless Y.M. equations on a general regularly hyperbolic manifold of dimension 4 does not follow from the standard use of Sobolev and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities in view of obtaining the required a priori estimates.

The Eardley-Moncrief method for proving $L^\infty$ estimates of $F$ and $\Phi$ does not seem to extend to non conformally flat space-times. The problem is therefore open to know if the Y.M. equations admit, or do not admit, global solutions on a general regularly hyperbolic manifold.
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