

CARSTEN THOMASSEN

Transversals of circuits in the lexicographic product of directed graphs

Mathématiques et sciences humaines, tome 51 (1975), p. 43-45

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=MSH_1975__51__43_0

© Centre d'analyse et de mathématiques sociales de l'EHESS, 1975, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Mathématiques et sciences humaines » (<http://msh.revues.org/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

TRANSVERSALS OF CIRCUITS IN THE LEXICOGRAPHIC PRODUCT OF DIRECTED GRAPHS

Carsten THOMASSEN^{*}

A directed graph G consists of a set $V(G)$ of vertices and a set $E(G)$ consisting of ordered pairs (x,y) (called edges) of distinct vertices x,y . The directed circuit of length n ($n \geq 2$) is the directed graph consisting of the vertices $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ and the edges (x_i, x_{i+1}) , $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, and (x_n, x_1) . Let S_q denote the graph with q vertices and no edges.

The lexicographic product $G \otimes H$ of the directed graphs G, H can be defined as follows :

For each vertex x of G , let H_x be a copy of H such that $x,y \in V(G)$, $x \neq y$ implies $H_x \cap H_y = \emptyset$. Then add to the graph $\bigcup_{x \in V(G)} H_x$ all edges (x_1, y_1) such that $x_1 \in H_x$, $y_1 \in H_y$ and $(x,y) \in E(G)$.

G being a directed graph, we define $\tau(G) = \min \{m \mid \exists A \subseteq E(G) : |A|=m, G-A \text{ contains no directed circuit}\}$.

We also define $\tau_k(G) = \min \{m \mid \exists A \subseteq E(G) : |A|=m, G-A \text{ contains no directed circuit of length less than } k\}$. J.C.Bermond showed that

$\tau(G \otimes H) \leq |V(G)| \tau(H) + |V(H)|^2 \tau(G)$ and conjectured [2, Conjecture 2]

that equality holds. (The weaker conjecture for tournaments was made in [1]).

We shall here prove this conjecture.

THEOREM 1

Let G and H be directed graphs with p and q vertices, respectively. Then

$$\tau(G \otimes H) = p\tau(H) + q^2\tau(G).$$

Clearly $\tau(G) = \tau_k(G)$ when $k > |V(G)|$, so Theorem 1 follows from Theorem 2 below.

* Dept of Combinatorics and Optimization, Faculty of Mathematics, University of Waterloo, Canada

THEOREM 2

Let G and H be directed graphs with p and q vertices, respectively. Then for every $k \geq 2$, $\tau_k(G \otimes H) = p\tau_k(H) + q^2\tau_k(G)$.

Proof : Let $A \subseteq E(G)$, $B \subseteq E(H)$ such that $|A| = \tau_k(G)$, $|B| = \tau_k(H)$ and $G - A$, respectively $H - B$, contains no circuit of length less than k . From each of the graphs H_x ($x \in V(G)$) we delete the edges of B and for each edge of A we delete the corresponding q^2 edges of $G \otimes H$. We have then deleted $p\tau_k(H) + q^2\tau_k(G)$ edges from $G \otimes H$ and the resulting directed graph contains no directed circuit of length less than k .

This proves the inequality $\tau_k(G \otimes H) \leq p\tau_k(H) + q^2\tau_k(G)$. The reverse inequality follows from Theorem 3 below.

If $\mathcal{F}$ is a family of directed graphs and G is a directed graph then an $\mathcal{F}$ -transversal of G is a subset A of $E(G)$ such that every subgraph of G which is isomorphic to one of the graphs of $\mathcal{F}$ contains an edge of A . We define $f(G, \mathcal{F})$ as the minimum number of elements in an $\mathcal{F}$ -transversal of G . If, in particular, $\mathcal{F}$ consists of the directed circuits of length less than k then clearly $f(G, \mathcal{F}) = \tau_k(G)$.

THEOREM 3

Let G and H be directed graphs with p and q vertices, respectively, and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of directed graphs. Then $f(G \otimes H, \mathcal{F}) \geq pf(H, \mathcal{F}) + q^2f(G, \mathcal{F})$.

Proof : Since $G \otimes H$ is the edge-disjoint union of $G \otimes S_q$ and the graphs H_x , $x \in V(G)$, we have $f(G \otimes H, \mathcal{F}) \geq pf(H, \mathcal{F}) + f(G \otimes S_q, \mathcal{F})$, so it is sufficient to show that $f(G \otimes S_q, \mathcal{F}) \geq q^2f(G, \mathcal{F})$. Let A be any $\mathcal{F}$ -transversal of $G \otimes S_q$. Select one vertex from each of the graphs $(S_q)_x$, $x \in V(G)$, and consider the subgraph of $G \otimes S_q$ spanned by these vertices. This subgraph is isomorphic to G , and there are q^p such subgraphs, say $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_{q^p}$. For each i , $1 \leq i \leq q^p$, $A \cap E(G_i)$ is an $\mathcal{F}$ -transversal of G_i so

$$(1) \quad |A \cap E(G_i)| \geq f(G_i, \mathcal{F}) = f(G, \mathcal{F}) \quad \text{for each } i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq q^p.$$

Moreover, each edge of A is contained in precisely q^{p-2} of the graphs G_i , $1 \leq i \leq q^p$. Hence

$$(2) \quad q^{p-2} |A| = q^{p-2} \left| \bigcup_{i=1}^{q^p} (A \cap E(G_i)) \right| = \sum_{i=1}^{q^p} |A \cap E(G_i)|.$$

Combining (1) and (2) we obtain the inequality $q^{p-2}|A| \geq q^p f(G, \mathcal{F})$ i.e. $|A| \geq q^2 f(G, \mathcal{F})$. Since A is any $\mathcal{F}$ -transversal, $f(G \otimes S_q) \geq q^2 f(G, \mathcal{F})$.

REFERENCES

- [1] BERMOND J.C., "Ordres à distance minimum d'un tournoi et graphes partiels sans circuits maximaux", *Math. Sci. hum.*, 37 (1972), 5-25.
- [2] BERMOND J.C., "The circuit hypergraph of a tournament", *Proc. Colloquia Mathematica Societatis János Bolyai, Infinite and finite sets*, Keszthely (Hungary), (1973), 165-180.