JOURNAL DE THÉORIE DES NOMBRES DE BORDEAUX

JOSÉ FELIPE VOLOCH

Chebyshev's method for number fields

Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux, tome 12, n° 1 (2000), p. 81-85

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=JTNB_2000__12_1_81_0>

Journal de Théorie des Nombres
de Bordeaux **12** (2000), 81–85

Chebyshev's method for number fields

par JOSÉ FELIPE VOLOCH

RÉSUMÉ. Nous donnons une preuve élémentaire d'une minoration explicite du nombre de nombres premiers qui se décomposent complètement dans un corps de nombres. La preuve qui utilise les propriétés des coefficients binomiaux s'apparente à l'approche classique des théorèmes de Chebyshev.

ABSTRACT. We give an elementary proof of an explicit estimate for the number of primes splitting completely in an extension of the rationals. The proof uses binomial coefficents and extends Chebyshev's classical approach.

Chebyshev [C] proved that the number of primes up to x was between multiples of $x/\log x$. In the simplified form of Chebyshev's method worked out by Erdös this can be obtained by looking at the prime factorization of the binomial coefficients $\binom{2n}{n}$. Note that $\binom{2n}{n} = (-4)^n\binom{-1/2}{n}$ is the n-th coefficient of the Taylor expansion of $(1-4x)^{-1/2}$. In the course of their work on Grothendieck's conjecture on differential equations, by considering Padé approximations to $(1+x)^{i\alpha}, i = 1,2,\ldots$ where α is an irrational algebraic integer, D. and G. Chudnovsky proved that there are infinitely many primes which do not split in $\mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$ (which is of course a special case of Chebotarev's density theorem). The proof requires estimating complicated expressions in various binomial coefficients $\binom{i\alpha+j}{n}$. In this paper we show that, at least for $\mathbf{Q}(\alpha)/\mathbf{Q}$ Galois, we can very easily obtain not only that there are infinitely many primes which split completely in $\mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$, but that there are at least a multiple of $x^{1/d}/\log x$ ($d = [\mathbf{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbf{Q}]$) such primes up to x, by simple estimates of $\binom{\alpha}{n}$. We will also study the prime factorization of $\binom{\alpha}{n}$ in the light of the present knowledge about the distribution of primes to analyse the scope of this method.

Lemma 1. *Let* $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}, \alpha \notin \mathbf{N}$, *then* $\log|\binom{\alpha}{n}| = o(n)$.

Proof. This is of course elementary and well-known. The function $(1+x)^\alpha$ is holomorphic on the unit disk and has a singularity at $x = -1$ so its Taylor expansion about zero has radius of convergence 1, hence the result. □

Manuscrit reçu le 17 juin 1999.

For our purposes, Lemma 1 will suffice, but it is worth pointing out that the estimate can be substantially improved (see [VV]).

For now on let α be an irrational algebraic integer such that $\mathbf{Q}(\alpha)/\mathbf{Q}$ is Galois and denote by $\alpha = \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d$ the conjugates of α, so $d = [\mathbf{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbf{Q}]$. Put $f(x) = \prod(x - \alpha_i)$, the minimal polynomial of α over $\mathbf{Q}$. Under our assumptions, $f(x) \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$. Let A_n be the absolute norm of $\binom{\alpha}{n}$, which is a non-zero rational number. We denote by v_p the p-adic valuation associated to the prime p. We will often use that, since $\mathbf{Q}(\alpha)/\mathbf{Q}$ is Galois, for a prime p of $\mathbf{Q}$, p splits completely in $\mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$ if and only if there is a prime of $\mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$ above p which is split over $\mathbf{Q}$.

Lemma 2. *Assume that p does not divide the discriminant of $f(x)$. Then $v_p(A_n) \geq 0$, if p splits completely in $\mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$ and $v_p(A_n) \leq 0$ otherwise.*

Proof. If p splits completely in $\mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$ then $\mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$ embeds in $\mathbf{Q}_p$ and since $\mathbf{Q}(\alpha)/\mathbf{Q}$ is Galois, all conjugates of α will be in $\mathbf{Q}_p$. Therefore, they will actually be in $\mathbf{Z}_p$, since they are algebraic integers. Now, for $x \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ then $\binom{x}{n} \in \mathbf{Z}_p$, so $\binom{\alpha_i}{n} \in \mathbf{Z}_p, i = 1, \ldots, d$, if p splits, giving the first statement of the lemma. For the second statement we note that there is no root of the minimal polynomial of α in $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$ in that case for that would force the existence of a root of $f(x)$ in $\mathbf{Z}_p$, by Hensel's lemma, which would force p to split, so p does not divide the numerator of A_n. □

Lemma 3. *Assume p does not split in $\mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$. Then $v_p(A_n) \leq -cn + O(\log n)$, for some $c > 0$ depending on p.*

Proof. If v_p denotes an extension of the p-adic valuation to $\mathbf{Z}_p[\alpha]$ then, since p does not split, $\mathbf{Z}_p[\alpha]$ is strictly bigger than $\mathbf{Z}_p$ so there exists an integer $s \geq 0$ such that $v_p(\alpha_j - k) \leq s, j = 1, \ldots, d, k \in \mathbf{Z}$. Given $j, 1 \leq j \leq d$, there are at most $n/p + O(1)$ integers $k, 0 \leq k < n$ with $v_p(\alpha_j - k) > 0$. At most $n/p^2 + O(1)$ of those satisfy $v_p(\alpha_j - k) > 1$ and so on, until at most $n/p^s + O(1)$ of those satisfy $v_p(\alpha_j - k) > s - 1$ but none satisfy $v_p(\alpha_j - k) > s$. As

$$A_n = \frac{\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \prod_{j=1}^{d} (\alpha_j - k)}{n!^d}$$

we get $v_p(A_n) \leq d \sum_{i=1}^{s} n/p^i + O(1) - dv_p(n!)$. As $v_p(n!) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [n/p^i]$, we get $v_p(A_n) \leq -dn \sum_{i>s} 1/p^i + O(\log n)$, as was to be proved. □

Lemma 4. *If p splits in $\mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$ then $v_p(A_n) \ll \log n / \log p$.*

Proof. Consider A_n, α as p-adic integers. We have that

$$A_n = \frac{\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \prod_{j=1}^{d} (\alpha_j - k)}{n!^d}.$$

Given $j, 1 \leq j \leq d$, there are $n/p + O(1)$ integers $k, 0 \leq k < n$ with $k \equiv \alpha_j (\mathrm{mod}\, p)$ and $n/p^2 + O(1)$ of those satisfy $k \equiv \alpha_j (\mathrm{mod}\, p^2)$ and so on.

However, if $p^r|(\alpha_j - k)$ then $p^r \ll k^d \leq n^d$, so $r \ll \log n/\log p$. Therefore the p-adic valuation of the numerator of the above expression for A_n is at most $dn/(p-1) + O(\log n/\log p)$. But the last expression is also a lower bound for the p-adic valuation of the denominator of the above expression for A_n, namely $n!^d$. The lemma follows. □

Theorem. *If S is the set of primes splitting in $\mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$ then*

$$\#\{p \leq x \mid p \in S\} \gg x^{1/d}/\log x.$$

Proof. For x sufficiently large, let y be the unique positive solution to $f(y) = x$ and put $n = [y]$. By lemma 1, $\log|A_n| = o(n)$. On the other hand, $\log|A_n| = \sum_{p\in S} v_p(A_n)\log p + \sum_{p\notin S} v_p(A_n)\log p$. Clearly, if $v_p(A_n) > 0$ with $p \in S$, then p divides $f(k)$ for some $k, 0 \leq k < n$, so $p \leq f(n) \leq x$ and by lemma 4, $v_p(A_n)\log p \ll \log x$, so

$$\sum_{p\in S} v_p(A_n)\log p \ll \#\{p \leq x \mid p \in S\}\log x.$$

By lemma 2, for all but finitely many primes p not in S, $v_p(A_n) \leq 0$ and for any $p \notin S$, $v_p(A_n) \leq -cn$ eventually. Therefore, provided there exists at least one prime $p \notin S$, $-\sum_{p\notin S} v_p(A_n)\log p \gg n \gg x^{1/d}$, and the result follows. If S is the set of all primes then the theorem follows from Chebyshev's original argument. □

It is possible to estimate the implicit constant in the statement of the theorem by making explicit the estimates in Lemmas 1, 3 and 4. For Lemma 1, this is done in [VV] and the estimate will depend on the archimedian absolute values of α, and for suitable α, these can be estimated in terms of the discriminant of $\mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$. The constant in Lemma 4 is easily estimated and depends only on d. The constant in Lemma 3 is $1/(p-1)$, if p does not ramify. This will make the constant in the Theorem depend on the size of the smallest non-split prime, which in general can be bounded by a power of the discriminant of $\mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$ (see [VV]). The implicit constant in the Theorem will then be a negative power of the discriminant of $\mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$ for x sufficiently large. For cyclotomic fields this can be much improved. If α is a primitive m-th root of unity then p splits if and only if $p \equiv 1 (\mathrm{mod}\, m)$, so in particular, none of the primes $p < m$ split and the constant of the theorem in this case can be taken to be $\sum_{p<m} \log p/(p-1)$ which is about $\log m$, for m large.

Let's stop pretending we don't know anything about the distribution of primes. The estimate $\#\{p \leq x \mid p \in S\} \sim x/d\log x$ is equivalent to the prime ideal theorem for $\mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$. We will now discuss the limitations of the above method. The contribution of a prime p that doesn't split or ramify in $\mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$ to the logarithm of the denominator of A_n is $d\log p v_p(n!) =$

$d \log p \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [n/p^i]$, so the logarithm of the denominator of A_n is

$$dn \sum_{p \leq n, p \notin S} \frac{\log p}{p-1} + O(n)$$

and this is the same as $(d-1)n \log n + O(n)$. Using lemmas 1 and 4 we get that, for any $c > 1$, $\sum_{p \in S, p \leq cn} v_p(A_n) \log p = O(n)$. Therefore large primes must be contributing to the numerator of A_n. Note that $v_p(A_n) > 0$ for $p > n$ if and only if there exists $a, 1 \leq a < n, f(a) \equiv 0 (\mathrm{mod} p)$. Thus, large primes p which contribute to the numerator of A_n are those for which there is a small solution to $f(x) \equiv 0 (\mathrm{mod} p)$. In the case that α is imaginary quadratic, Duke et al. [DFI] have shown that the values of a/p, where $0 \leq a < p, f(a) \equiv 0 (\mathrm{mod} p)$, are uniformly distributed in $[0,1]$ as p varies. No such result is known for general α, but a weak statement about small values of a/p can be deduced from the above and, replacing α by 2α we get values of a/p near $1/2$ but it is unclear how far this can be pushed.

Here is a numerical example. Let, as usual, $i^2 = -1$ and let A be the norm of $\binom{i}{50}$ ($A = A_{50}$ in the above notation). Its value is approximately $A = 0.001441\ldots$. The numerator of A is

$$85421808162799755132933801436866778653179707039034128606073$$
$$6137662393252068140777,$$

which factors as

$$13^2 17^3 29^3\ 37\ 53^2 61\ 73^2 89\ 97\ 101\ 109\ 113\ 137\ 149\ 157\ 181\ 197\ 257$$
$$313\ 353\ 401\ 421\ 461\ 577\ 613\ 677\ 761\ 1013\ 1201\ 1297\ 1601,$$

and we can see that indeed primes much larger than 50 occur. The denominator of A is

$$59278443621977273638751443740525496847515686025377365$$
$$10244703940412014041568399851 52,$$

which factors as $2^{69} 3^{44} 7^{16} 11^8 19^4 23^4 31^2 43^2 47^2$.

There is a different way to extend Chebyshev's method for number fields, first considered by Poincaré [P] for quadratic fields and by Landau [L], in general. It consists of taking $\prod_{NI<X} NI$ as a generalization of the factorial, where I runs through the non-zero ideals of the number field, NI is the norm of I and X is a parameter. This appoach has been combined with deep modern estimates in prime number theory by Friedlander [F] to give good estimates for the number of split primes uniformly in terms of the discriminant of the number field.

Acknowledgements: The author would like to thank J. Vaaler and F. Rodríguez Villegas for helpful conversations and H. Lenstra for pointing

out an innacuracy in an earlier version of the paper. We also acknowledge the use of the software PARI for the numerical calculations. The author would also like to thank the TARP (grant #ARP-006) and the the NSA (grant MDA904-97-1-0037) for financial support.

REFERENCES

[C] P.L. Chebyshev, *Memoire sur les nombres premiers.* J. Math. pures et appl. **17** (1852), 366–390.

[CC] D. Chudnovsky, G. Chudnovsky, *Applications of Padé approximations to the Grothendieck conjecture on linear differential equations.* In Number theory (New York, 1983–84), 52–100, Lecture Notes in Math. **1135**, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1985.

[DFI] W. Duke, J. B. Friedlander, H. Iwaniec, *Equidistribution of roots of a quadratic congruence to prime moduli*, Ann. of Math. **141** (1995), 423–441.

[F] J.B. Friedlander, *Estimates for Prime Ideals.* J. Number Theory, **12** (1980), 101–105.

[L] E. Landau, *Über die zu einem algebraischen Zahlkörper gehörige Zetafunktion und die Ausdehnung der Tschebyscheffschen Primzahlentheorie auf das Problem der Verteilung der Primideale.* Crelle **125** (1903), 64–188.

[P] H. Poincaré, *Extension aux nombres premiers complexes des Théorèmes de M. Tchebicheff.* J. Math. Pures Appl. (4) **8** (1892), 25–68.

[VV] J. Vaaler, J.F. Voloch, *The least nonsplit prime in Galois extensions of* **Q**. J. Number Theory, to appear.

José Felipe VOLOCH
Dept. of Mathematics,
Univ. of Texas,
Austin, TX 78712, USA
E-mail : voloch@math.utexas.edu