

K. W. GRUENBERG

A. WEISS

Capitulation and transfer kernels

Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux, tome 12, n° 1 (2000),
p. 219-226

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=JTNB_2000__12_1_219_0

© Université Bordeaux 1, 2000, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux » (<http://jtnb.cedram.org/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

Capitulation and Transfer Kernels

par K. W. GRUENBERG et A. WEISS

RÉSUMÉ. On sait que pour une extension galoisienne finie K/k d'un corps de nombres, le noyau du morphisme d'extension $\text{Cl}_k \rightarrow \text{Cl}_K$ s'identifie au noyau $X(H)$ du transfert $H/H' \rightarrow A$, où $H = \text{Gal}(\tilde{K}/k)$, $A = \text{Gal}(\tilde{K}/K)$ et \tilde{K} est le corps de classes de Hilbert de K . Lorsque le groupe $G = \text{Gal}(K/k)$ est abélien, H. Suzuki a montré que $|G|$ divise $|X(H)|$.

Nous appelons noyau de transfert pour G tout groupe abélien fini X qui s'écrit $X(H)$ pour un certain groupe H tel que $A \hookrightarrow H \twoheadrightarrow G$. Après avoir caractérisé les noyaux de transfert en termes de représentations entières de G , nous montrons que X est un noyau de transfert pour le groupe abélien G si et seulement si on a $|G|X = 0$ et $|G|$ divise $|X|$, ce qui fournit une nouvelle démonstration du résultat de Suzuki.

ABSTRACT. If K/k is a finite Galois extension of number fields with Galois group G , then the kernel of the capitulation map $\text{Cl}_k \rightarrow \text{Cl}_K$ of ideal class groups is isomorphic to the kernel $X(H)$ of the transfer map $H/H' \rightarrow A$, where $H = \text{Gal}(\tilde{K}/k)$, $A = \text{Gal}(\tilde{K}/K)$ and \tilde{K} is the Hilbert class field of K . H. Suzuki proved that when G is abelian, $|G|$ divides $|X(H)|$. We call a finite abelian group X a transfer kernel for G if $X \cong X(H)$ for some group extension $A \hookrightarrow H \twoheadrightarrow G$.

After characterizing transfer kernels in terms of integral representations of G , we show that X is a transfer kernel for the abelian group G if and only if $|G|X = 0$ and $|G|$ divides $|X|$. Our arguments give a new proof of Suzuki's result.

Let K/k be a finite unramified Galois extension of number fields with Galois group G . The capitulation kernel for K/k is the kernel of the natural homomorphism of ideal class groups $\text{Cl}_k \rightarrow \text{Cl}_K$. Suzuki [S] proved that when G is abelian, its order $|G|$ divides the order of the capitulation kernel. This remarkable result encapsulates much of the information previously available about capitulation. We refer to the surveys [J] and [M]

for relevant background. Our aim here is to explain a new approach to Suzuki's theorem.

The transition to group theory (reviewed in § 1) allows one to interpret capitulation kernels as transfer kernels, by which we mean the following: given a finite group G , then a finite abelian group X is a *transfer kernel* for G if there exists a group extension $A \hookrightarrow H \twoheadrightarrow G$ with A finite abelian so that X is isomorphic to the kernel of the transfer homomorphism $H/[H, H] \rightarrow A$. We shall prove the following result.

Theorem 1. *If G is a finite abelian group, then the finite additive group X is a transfer kernel for G if, and only if, $|G|X = 0$ and $|G|$ divides $|X|$.*

We outline what follows. In §1 we translate the problem into an equivalent one on G -module extensions over ΔG , the augmentation ideal of the integral group ring $\mathbb{Z}G$. Then §2, the core of the paper, is an analysis of the common structural properties of transfer kernels for G . This makes possible the proof of Theorem 1 in §3. In our final §4 we collect some comments and questions.

1. TRANSLATIONS

We begin with the classical result of E. Artin.

Proposition 1. *The capitulation kernel for K/k is a transfer kernel for G .*

Here is a sketch of the proof. Let \tilde{K} be the Hilbert class field of K and $A = \text{Gal}(\tilde{K}/K)$. If $H = \text{Gal}(\tilde{K}/k)$ then there is a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Cl}_k & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H/[H, H] \\
 \text{capitulation} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{transfer} \\
 \text{Cl}_K & \xrightarrow{\cong} & A
 \end{array}$$

from which the proposition follows by taking kernels.

Proposition 2. *The finite additive group X is a transfer kernel for G if, and only if, there exists a G -module extension $A \twoheadrightarrow B \twoheadrightarrow \Delta G$ with A finite and $X \simeq H^{-1}(G, B)$.*

Proof. This result is clear from the functorial relationship between group extensions over G and G -module extensions over ΔG (cf. [G] §10.5). As this is not the usual approach in the literature we sketch it here.

A group extension

$$(1) \quad A \xrightarrow{i} H \twoheadrightarrow G$$

yields the G -module extension

$$(2) \quad A \xrightarrow{j} B \xrightarrow{\tau} \Delta G$$

where $B = \Delta H / \Delta A \cdot \Delta H$, τ is induced from $\pi : \Delta H \rightarrow \Delta G$ and $j(a)$ is the appropriate coset of $i(a) - 1$.

Conversely, given (2), let

$$\tilde{H} = \{b \in B \mid \tau(b) = g - 1, \text{ for some } g \in G\}.$$

Then \tilde{H} is a group with multiplication $x \cdot y = \tau(x)y + x + y$, its identity element is 0 and the inverse of x is $x^{-1} = -g^{-1}x$, where $\tau(x) = g - 1$. The module homomorphism τ gives the group homomorphism $\tilde{H} \rightarrow G$ via $x \mapsto \tau(x) + 1$ with kernel A .

If B arises from the group extension (1), then the *hidden group* \tilde{H} in B gives an extension equivalent to H :

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & H & \\ & \downarrow \sigma & \\ A & \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \searrow \end{array} & G \\ & \tilde{H} & \end{array}$$

where $\sigma(h) = (h - 1) + \Delta A \cdot \Delta H$. The G -coinvariants on B , namely $B_G = B / (\Delta G)B$, are naturally isomorphic to $\Delta H / (\Delta H)^2$, whence to $H / [H, H]$ and so to $\tilde{H} / [\tilde{H}, \tilde{H}]$. Notice that $\tilde{H} / [\tilde{H}, \tilde{H}] \simeq B_G$ is just $x[\tilde{H}, \tilde{H}] \mapsto x + (\Delta G)B$.

We claim there is a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H/[H, H] & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & B_G \\ \text{transfer} \downarrow & & \downarrow \hat{G} \\ A^G & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & B^G \end{array}$$

where \hat{G} is the norm endomorphism $\Sigma_{g \in G} g$ and the lower isomorphism is induced by j .

In view of (3) we may replace H by \tilde{H} and view j as inclusion. Take a transversal t_g , $g \in G$, for A in \tilde{H} . If $x \in \tilde{H}$ with $\tau(x) = k - 1$, then the image of x under transfer is $\Pi_g t_{kg}^{-1} \cdot x \cdot t_g$, which is the same as $\Sigma_g t_{kg}^{-1} \cdot x \cdot t_g$, because each factor is in A . Now

$$\begin{aligned} t_{kg}^{-1} \cdot x \cdot t_g &= (-(kg)^{-1}t_{kg}) \cdot (kt_g + x) \\ &= (kg)^{-1}(kt_g + x) - (kg)^{-1}t_{kg} \\ &= g^{-1}t_g + (kg)^{-1}x - (kg)^{-1}t_{kg} \end{aligned}$$

and so the transfer image is $\widehat{G}x$ as required.

Proposition 2 follows by taking kernels. □

2. TRANSFER KERNELS

Let G be a finite group, not necessarily abelian. Put $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}G/(\widehat{G})$ and identify Λ_G with $\mathbb{Z}/|G|\mathbb{Z}$. If M is a $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module, then $d_G(M)$ denotes the minimum number of module generators of M .

Theorem 2. *The following are equivalent:*

- (a) X is a transfer kernel for G ;
- (b) X is isomorphic to the cokernel of a homomorphism $\varphi : U_G \rightarrow \Lambda_G^m$, where $m \geq d_G(\Delta G)$ and U is a finitely generated G -submodule of $\mathbb{Q}\Lambda^{m-1}$;
- (c) X is isomorphic to M_G for some finitely generated G -module M , where $\widehat{G}M = 0$ and $\mathbb{Q}M$ contains a $\mathbb{Q}G$ -copy of $\mathbb{Q}\Lambda$;
- (d) $|G|X = 0$ and there exists a surjective homomorphism $X \twoheadrightarrow M_G$ with M as in (c).

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b). Using Proposition 2 we may, and shall, assume $X \simeq H^{-1}(G, B)$, where $A \twoheadrightarrow B \twoheadrightarrow \Delta G$. Take a free resolution of B , so determining m and S in the following diagram:

$$(4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} S & \xrightarrow{=} & S & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ R & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}G^m & \twoheadrightarrow & \Delta G \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \parallel \\ A & \twoheadrightarrow & B & \twoheadrightarrow & \Delta G \end{array}$$

Now $H^{-1}(G, B) \simeq H^0(G, S)$ (we use Tate cohomology throughout) and the exact sequence $S^G \hookrightarrow S \twoheadrightarrow U$ gives

$$H^{-1}(G, U) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^0(G, S^G) \longrightarrow H^0(G, S),$$

where δ is the connecting homomorphism. Since A is finite, $\mathbb{Q}S = \mathbb{Q}R \simeq \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}G^{m-1}$, whence $S^G \simeq \mathbb{Z}^m$ and $\mathbb{Q}U \simeq \mathbb{Q}\Lambda^{m-1}$. Note that U is \mathbb{Z} -torsion-free and so U is contained in $\mathbb{Q}U$. Also $\widehat{G}U = 0$ gives $H^{-1}(G, U) = U_G$ and $H^0(G, U) = 0$. Thus $U_G \xrightarrow{\delta} \Lambda_G^m \twoheadrightarrow X$ is exact.

(b) \Rightarrow (c). The exact sequence $\Delta G \twoheadrightarrow \Lambda \twoheadrightarrow \Lambda_G$ of G -modules stays exact when we apply $\text{Hom}(U, -)$ because U is \mathbb{Z} -free. So we obtain the exact sequence

$$\text{Hom}_G(U, \Lambda^m) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(U_G, \Lambda_G^m) \longrightarrow H^1(G, \text{Hom}(U, \Delta G^m)),$$

where the right hand term is 0 because it is isomorphic to $H^0(G, \text{Hom}(U, \mathbb{Z}^m))$, which is 0 because $\text{Hom}_G(U, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ as U_G is finite.

It follows that the given homomorphism $\varphi : U_G \rightarrow \Lambda_G^m$ lifts to a G -homomorphism $\beta : U \rightarrow \Lambda^m$ with $\beta_G = \varphi$ giving the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \Lambda^m & \twoheadrightarrow & M \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ U_G & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \Lambda_G^m & \twoheadrightarrow & X \end{array}$$

with $M = \text{Coker}\beta$. This induces an epimorphism $M \twoheadrightarrow X$ and hence, by taking G -coinvariants, an isomorphism $M_G \simeq X$. Finally, $\mathbb{Q}M \oplus \mathbb{Q}U$ contains (a G -copy of) $\mathbb{Q}\Lambda^m$, whence $\mathbb{Q}M$ contains $\mathbb{Q}\Lambda$.

(c) \Rightarrow (d) is clear since $|G|$ annihilates $M_G = H^{-1}(G, M)$.

(d) \Rightarrow (b). Choose $m \geq \max\{d_G(M), d_G(\Delta G), d(X)\}$ and take a G -free presentation $L \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}G^m \twoheadrightarrow M$ of M . Since $\widehat{G}M = 0$, $L^G = (\mathbb{Z}G^m)^G$, thereby giving the exact sequence $U \hookrightarrow \Lambda^m \twoheadrightarrow M$, where $U = L/L^G$, and hence the exact sequence $U_G \xrightarrow{i_G} \Lambda_G^m \twoheadrightarrow M_G$. Choose $\alpha : \Lambda_G^m \twoheadrightarrow X$ and let $\beta : X \twoheadrightarrow M_G$ be the given homomorphism. Thus $\text{Coker } i_G \simeq M_G \simeq \text{Im}\beta\alpha$, which implies, by the Lemma below, that $\text{Im } i_G \simeq \text{Ker}\beta\alpha$. Consequently $\text{Ker}\alpha$ is isomorphic to a subgroup D of $\text{Im } i_G$. There exists a map of U_G onto D (remember we are dealing with finite abelian groups), giving the composite $\varphi : U_G \twoheadrightarrow D \hookrightarrow \Lambda_G^m$, with $\text{Im}\varphi \simeq \text{Ker}\alpha$. Again using the Lemma below, $\text{Coker}\varphi \simeq \text{Im}\alpha \simeq X$ and so $U_G \xrightarrow{\varphi} \Lambda_G^m \twoheadrightarrow X$ is exact. Finally, $\mathbb{Q}U \oplus \mathbb{Q}M \simeq \mathbb{Q}\Lambda^m$ shows that $\mathbb{Q}M \supseteq \mathbb{Q}\Lambda$ implies $\mathbb{Q}U \subseteq \mathbb{Q}\Lambda^{m-1}$.

Lemma. (i) Given epimorphisms $f_1, f_2 : \Lambda_G^m \twoheadrightarrow X$, then $\text{Ker}f_1 \simeq \text{Ker}f_2$.
 (ii) Given epimorphisms $g_i : \Lambda_G^m \twoheadrightarrow X_i$, $i = 1, 2$, with $\text{Ker}g_1 \simeq \text{Ker}g_2$, then $X_1 \simeq X_2$.

Proof. In (i) the homomorphisms f_1, f_2 are free presentations of X as $\mathbb{Z}/|G|\mathbb{Z}$ -module. So Schanuel's Lemma and the Krull-Schmidt property give the result. For (ii), dualise with respect to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} and obtain $X_1^* \simeq X_2^*$ by (i).

(b) \Rightarrow (a). Our aim is to prove that the X of (b) is a transfer kernel in the module-theoretic sense of Proposition 2.

We use the isomorphism

$$H^1(G, \text{Hom}(U, \mathbb{Z}^m)) \simeq \text{Hom}(H^{-1}(G, U), H^0(G, \mathbb{Z}^m))$$

given by integral duality: $\xi \mapsto (x \mapsto \xi.x)$. Hence φ corresponds to a uniquely determined extension $\mathbb{Z}^m \twoheadrightarrow S \twoheadrightarrow U$ whose associated connecting homomorphism $H^{-1}(G, U) \rightarrow H^0(G, \mathbb{Z}^m)$ is φ (e.g. 11.1 in [GW]). Thus $U_G \xrightarrow{\varphi} \Lambda_G^m \twoheadrightarrow H^0(G, S)$ is exact and so $X \simeq H^0(G, S)$.

Take a free presentation $R \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}G^m \twoheadrightarrow \Delta G$ of ΔG and embed S in R with cokernel A . This can be done because

$$\mathbb{Q}S \simeq \mathbb{Q}^m \oplus \mathbb{Q}U \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^m \oplus \mathbb{Q}\Lambda^{m-1} \simeq \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}G^{m-1} \simeq \mathbb{Q}R.$$

Taking the pushout along $R \rightarrow A$ gives a diagram exactly like (4) except that A might not be finite. In any case $H^0(G, S) \simeq H^{-1}(G, B)$.

It remains to find a submodule L of A so that A/L is finite and $H^{-1}(G, B) \simeq H^{-1}(G, B/L)$. First note that $\mathbb{Q}B^G = 0$ by the middle column of (4) and $\mathbb{Q}S^G \simeq \mathbb{Q}^m$. Hence B_G is finite and so $A/A \cap \Delta G.B$ is finite. Pick a torsion-free G -submodule L of finite index in $A \cap \Delta G.B$. Then A/L is finite; also $\widehat{G}L = 0$, whence $L^G = 0$. The exact sequence $L \hookrightarrow B \twoheadrightarrow B/L$ then gives the exact sequence

$$H^{-1}(G, L) \xrightarrow{0} H^{-1}(G, B) \longrightarrow H^{-1}(G, B/L) \longrightarrow H^0(G, L) = 0,$$

which finishes the proof. □

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

To prove Theorem 1 it suffices, in view of Proposition 2 and Theorem 2, to establish the equivalence of

(i) X is a finite additive group such that $|G|X = 0$ and $|G|$ divides $|X|$;

with

(ii) X is isomorphic to M_G for some finitely generated G -module M , where $\widehat{G}M = 0$ and $\mathbb{Q}M$ contains a $\mathbb{Q}G$ -copy of $\mathbb{Q}\Lambda$.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii). By (d) of Theorem 2 it suffices to prove X has a transfer kernel for G as a homomorphic image. We shall show that any image of X of order $|G|$ is a transfer kernel. Change notation and call this image X . So we have $|X| = |G|$ and shall use induction on $|X|$: when $X = 0$, then $G = 1$ and so we can take $M = 0$.

Now let $X = X_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p^s\mathbb{Z}$. Since $|G| = |X|$, so G has an image $\overline{G} = G/G_1$ of order p^s and then $|G_1| = |X_1|$. By induction, $X_1 \simeq (M_1)_{G_1}$ for an appropriate M_1 . Define $M = \text{Ind}_{G_1}^G(M_1) \oplus \overline{\Lambda}$, where $\overline{\Lambda} = \mathbb{Z}\overline{G}/(\widehat{\overline{G}})$ is a G -module by inflation. Then $\widehat{G}M = 0$ since $\widehat{G}_1M_1 = 0$, whence

$$\begin{aligned} M_G &= H^{-1}(G, M) = H^{-1}(G_1, M_1) \oplus H^{-1}(G, \overline{\Lambda}) \\ &= (M_1)_{G_1} \oplus \overline{\Lambda}_G = X_1 \oplus \overline{\Lambda}_G = X. \end{aligned}$$

Also, since $\mathbb{Q}M_1 \supseteq \mathbb{Q}\Lambda_1$ so $\mathbb{Q}M \supseteq \mathbb{Q}(\text{Ind}_{G_1}^G \Lambda_1) \oplus \mathbb{Q}\overline{\Lambda} \simeq \mathbb{Q}\Lambda$, as required.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). We repeat the classical argument. Take a free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -presentation $F \twoheadrightarrow M$ of M , with $F = \mathbb{Z}G^m$. Since M_G is finite, the kernel of $F_G \twoheadrightarrow M_G$ is isomorphic to F_G and so M_G is the cokernel of an endomorphism f of F_G . It follows that $\det f = \pm|M_G|$.

Since $F \twoheadrightarrow F_G$ maps $\text{Ker}(F \twoheadrightarrow M)$ onto the image of f , there is a $\mathbb{Z}G$ -endomorphism \tilde{f} of F such that $\tilde{f}_G = f$ and $\text{Coker} \tilde{f}$ maps onto M . Now $\det \tilde{f}$ annihilates $\text{Coker} \tilde{f}$ (recall that $\mathbb{Z}G$ is a commutative ring). So

$(\det \tilde{f})M = 0$ implies $\det \tilde{f} = n\widehat{G}$ for a suitable integer n (since $\mathbb{Q}\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{Q}M$).

Finally, with ε denoting the augmentation on $\mathbb{Z}G$, $\varepsilon \det \tilde{f} = \det f$ and thus $n|G| = \varepsilon(n\widehat{G}) = \pm|M_G|$.

4. REMARKS

(1) Is the converse of Proposition 1 true? This is a fundamental problem. An even stronger form of this is the following: given a group extension $A \rightarrow H \rightarrow G$ with A abelian, does there exist an unramified Galois extension L with Galois group H so that L is the Hilbert class field \tilde{K} of the fixed field K of A ?

It should be noticed that any group H can be realised as the Galois group of an unramified extension L/k ([L], p. 121). Then $L \subseteq \tilde{K}$ and the difficulty lies in ensuring that $L = \tilde{K}$.

(2) Suppose X is a finite additive group such that $|G|X = 0$. Then

- (a) if X is a transfer kernel for G , $|G/[G, G]|$ divides $|X|$;
- (b) if $|G|$ divides $|X|$, then X is a transfer kernel for G .

Both these facts are variations of §3; for (b) one must first show that if, for each prime p , the p -primary part of X is a transfer kernel for a Sylow p -subgroup of G , then X is one for G .

However, neither (a) nor (b) has a converse if G is a non-abelian p -group. This is obvious for (b) (take $A = 1$). For (a), if X is \mathbb{Z} -cyclic and of order $|G/[G, G]|$, then X cannot be a transfer kernel for G : for if $X \simeq M_G$ with M as in (c) of Theorem 2, then lifting a generator of M_G to M gives a G -homomorphism $\Lambda \rightarrow M$ which becomes an isomorphism on coinvariants (by Nakayama's Lemma and $\mathbb{Q}M \supseteq \mathbb{Q}\Lambda$); then $|X| = |G|$ forcing G to be abelian.

REFERENCES

- [G] K.W. Gruenberg, *Relation Modules of Finite Groups*. CBMS Monograph 25, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1976.
- [GW] K.W. Gruenberg, A. Weiss, *Galois invariants for units*. Proc. London Math. Soc. 70 (1995), 264–284.
- [J] J.-F. Jaulent, *L'état actuel du problème de la capitulation*. Sem. de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux, 1987–1988, exposé no.17.
- [L] S. Lang, *Algebraic Number Theory*. Springer, New York, 1994.
- [M] K. Miyake, *Algebraic investigations of Hilbert's Theorem 94, the principal ideal theorem and the capitulation problem*. Expo. Math. 7 (1989), 289–346.
- [S] H. Suzuki, *A generalization of Hilbert's Theorem 94*. Nagoya Math. J. 121 (1991), 161–169.

K. W. GRUENBERG
School of Mathematical Sciences
Queen Mary and Westfield College
Mile End Road
London E1 4NS, England
E-mail : K.W.Gruenberg@qmw.ac.uk

A. WEISS
Department of Mathematics
University of Alberta
Edmonton
Canada, T6G 2G1
E-mail : aweiss@math.ualberta.ca