

MARTIN HELM

**A generalization of a theorem of Erdős on  
asymptotic basis of order 2**

*Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux*, tome 6, n° 1 (1994), p. 9-19

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=JTNB\\_1994\\_\\_6\\_1\\_9\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=JTNB_1994__6_1_9_0)

© Université Bordeaux 1, 1994, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux » (<http://jtnb.cedram.org/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques  
<http://www.numdam.org/>

## A generalization of a theorem of Erdős on asymptotic basis of order 2

par MARTIN HELM

ABSTRACT – Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a system of disjoint subsets of  $\mathbb{N}^*$ . In this paper we examine the existence of an increasing sequence of natural numbers,  $A$ , that is an asymptotic basis of all infinite elements  $T_j$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  simultaneously, satisfying certain conditions on the rate of growth of the number of representations  $r_n(A)$ ;  $r_n(A) := |\{(a_i, a_j) : a_i < a_j; a_i, a_j \in A; n = a_i + a_j\}|$ , for all sufficiently large  $n \in T_j$  and  $j \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . A theorem of P. Erdős is generalized.

### 1. Notation

In this paper,  $\mathbb{N}^*$  will always denote the set of integers  $\{1, 2, \dots, n, \dots\}$ . An increasing sequence of natural numbers,  $A$ , is called an *asymptotic basis* of order 2 of a given set  $T$  of natural numbers if every sufficiently large  $n \in T$  has at least one representation in the form  $n = a_i + a_j$ ;  $a_i < a_j$ ;  $a_i, a_j \in A$ . Let  $r_n(A)$  be the number of such representations of  $n \in T$  by elements of  $A$ .

DEFINITION. A system  $\mathcal{T} = (T_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}^*}$  of disjoint subsets of  $\mathbb{N}^*$  satisfying  $\mathbb{N}^* = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} T_j$  is called a *disjoint covering system*.

DEFINITION. If for an increasing sequence  $A$  of natural numbers there exists a disjoint covering system  $\mathcal{T}$  such that

$$(1) \quad \exists j_0 : T_j = \emptyset \quad \forall j \geq j_0 \text{ or } |T_j| = \infty \text{ for infinitely many } j \in \mathbb{N}^*$$

and

$$(2) \quad A \text{ is an asymptotic basis of order 2 of all infinite elements } T_j \text{ of } \mathcal{T},$$

then  $A$  is called an asymptotic pseudo-basis of  $\mathbb{N}^*$ .

*Remark.* Let  $A$  be an asymptotic pseudo-basis in regard to a disjoint covering system  $\mathcal{T}$ . For any infinite element  $T_j$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  let

$$n_j := \min\{m \in T_j : r_n(A) > 0 \quad \forall n \in T_j, n \geq m\}.$$

Obviously any asymptotic basis  $A$  of order 2 of  $\mathbb{N}^*$  is an asymptotic pseudo-basis (e.g. for  $\mathcal{T} := \mathbb{N}^*, \emptyset, \emptyset, \dots$ ). But unfortunately the converse in general is not true since for any asymptotic pseudo-bases  $A$  of  $\mathbb{N}^*$  together with a corresponding disjoint covering system  $\mathcal{T}$  the set of all  $n_j$  that are defined in the above sense is not necessarily bounded.

## 2. Introduction

More than fifty years ago S. Sidon [5] asked if there exists an asymptotic basis of order 2 of  $\mathbb{N}^*$  that is economic in the sense that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  the assumption  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r_n(A)}{n^\varepsilon} = 0$  holds.

In 1953 P. Erdős [1] solved this problem ingeniously. In fact he proved the much sharper:

**THEOREM.** *There exists an asymptotic basis  $A$  of order 2 of  $\mathbb{N}^*$ , satisfying:*

$$(3) \quad A(n) \sim \alpha n^{\frac{1}{2}} (\log n)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$\text{with } A(n) := \sum_{a \in A, 1 \leq a \leq n} 1$$

and

$$(4) \quad \log n \ll r_n(A) \ll \log n.$$

An attractive and still open problem is to decide whether there exists a basis  $A$  of  $\mathbb{N}^*$  for which there exists  $c := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r_n(A)}{\log n}$ .

Moreover in [4] I. Ruzsa asks for a basis for which  $r_n(A) \ll \frac{\log n}{\log_2 n}$  holds.

## 3. On asymptotic pseudo-bases

In this paper we prove the following:

**THEOREM.** For any  $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$  there exists a disjoint covering system  $\mathcal{T}^{(k)} = \{T_1^{(k)}, T_2^{(k)}, \dots\}$  satisfying:

$$\forall j \in \mathbb{N}^* : T_j^{(k)} \text{ is an infinite element of } \mathcal{T}^{(k)} :$$

$$(5) \quad \log_{k-1} n \gg T_j^{(k)}(n) \gg \log_{k-1} n \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

$$(where \log_0 n := id(n) = n),$$

and an asymptotic pseudo-basis  $A$  satisfying:

$$(6) \quad A(n) \sim 2\alpha(\log_k n)^{\frac{1}{2}} n^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and

$$c_1 \log_k n \leq r_n(A) \leq c_2 \log_k n,$$

$$(7) \quad \forall n \in T_j^{(k)} \text{ that are sufficiently large,}$$

$$\text{and } \forall j \in \mathbb{N}^* \text{ where } T_j^{(k)} \text{ is an infinite element of } \mathcal{T}^{(k)},$$

where  $\alpha, c_1$  and  $c_2$  are global real constants not depending on  $j$ .

*Remark.* The above theorem generalizes (3,4), which is just the special case  $k = 1$  (e.g. with  $\mathcal{T} := \mathbb{N}^*, \emptyset, \emptyset, \dots$ ).

The proof of the above theorem is based on a slight modification of Erdős' proof of (3,4). Therefore like the proof of (3,4), it is based on a probabilistic method and not constructive.

### 3.1 Inductive construction of suitable disjoint covering systems

First of all, for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , we are going to construct a special disjoint covering system  $\mathcal{T}^{(k)}$  satisfying (1) and (5).

**The case  $k = 1$ .**

For  $k = 1$  let  $\mathcal{T}^{(1)} := \mathbb{N}^*, \emptyset, \emptyset, \dots$ .

Obviously  $\mathcal{T}^{(1)}$  is a disjoint covering system and (1) and (5) hold.

**The case  $k = 2$ .**

For  $k = 2$  we define  $\mathcal{T}^{(2)}$  inductively as follows:

$$T_1^{(2)} := \{1\},$$

$$T_2^{(2)} := \{2^j : j \in \mathbb{N}^*\}.$$

Now, if  $T_1^{(2)}, \dots, T_r^{(2)}$  are already defined, let:

$$s := \min\{n \in \mathbb{N}^* : n \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^r T_i^{(2)}\}$$

and we define

$$T_{r+1}^{(2)} := \{s^j : j \in \mathbb{N}^*\}.$$

Now we consider the following equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{N}^*$  :

$$a \sim b : \iff \exists s, u, v \in \mathbb{N}^* : a = s^u, b = s^v.$$

$\mathcal{T}^{(2)}$  just consists of all equivalence classes concerning the above equivalence relation. Thus  $\mathcal{T}^{(2)}$  is a disjoint covering system and obviously (1) holds. For  $\mathcal{T}_i^{(2)} \in \mathcal{T}^{(2)} \setminus \{1\}$  there exists  $s \in \mathbb{N}^*$  such that

$$\mathcal{T}_i^{(2)} = \{s^j : j \in \mathbb{N}^*, s \in \mathbb{N}^* \setminus \{1\}\}.$$

For any sufficiently large  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$  there exists  $t \in \mathbb{N}^*$  such that

$$s^t \leq m < s^{t+1}.$$

Thus  $T_i^{(2)}(m) = t$  implies that:

$$T_i^{(2)}(m) \leq \frac{1}{\log s} \log m \leq T_i^{(2)}(m) + 1,$$

and consequently

$$\log m \ll T_i^{(2)}(m) \ll \log m.$$

Therefore also (5) holds.

**The case  $k = 3$ .**

**DEFINITION.** For  $s \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and any non-empty subset  $M$  of  $\mathbb{N}^*$  we define

$$s^M := \{s^m : m \in M\}.$$

We construct  $\mathcal{T}^{(3)}$  by dividing every element  $\mathcal{T}_i^{(2)}$  of  $\mathcal{T}^{(2)}$  except  $\{1\}$  into disjoint infinite subsets of  $\mathbb{N}^*$ .

For any  $\mathcal{T}_i^{(2)}$  of  $\mathcal{T}^{(2)}$  there exists  $s \in \mathbb{N}^*$ :

$$\mathcal{T}_i^{(2)} = \{s^j : j \in \mathbb{N}^*\}.$$



It is easy to see that also  $\mathcal{T}^{(k+1)}$  is a disjoint covering system satisfying (1) and (5).

### 3.2 Proof of the existence of an asymptotic pseudo-basis $A$ satisfying (6) and (7) in regard to $\mathcal{T}^{(k)}$ for any fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .

This part of the proof of the above theorem uses the probabilistic method of Erdős and Rényi [2]. Since [3] contains an excellent exposition of it, we only give a short survey of those of Erdős' and Rényi's ideas our next steps are based on without proof.

*Remark.* Since, as we mentioned above, the case  $k = 1$  is already solved we restrict ourselves to the case  $k \geq 2$ .

By the method of Erdős and Rényi ([2] and [3]) for any sequence of real numbers  $(\alpha_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha_j \leq 1$ , there exists a probability space with probability measure  $\mu$  on the space  $\Omega$  of all strictly increasing sequences of natural numbers, satisfying:

- (8) the event  $B^{(n)} := \{\omega \in \Omega : n \in \Omega\}$  is measurable,  $\mu(B^{(n)}) = \alpha_n$ ,
- (9) and the events  $B^{(1)}, B^{(2)}, \dots$  are independent.

We denote by  $\rho_n$  the characteristic function of the event  $B^{(n)}$ .

From now on we consider only those sequences of probabilities  $(\alpha_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ , satisfying :

$$(10) \quad 0 < \alpha_j < 1,$$

$$(11) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_j = 0,$$

$$(12) \quad \exists j_0 : \alpha_{j+1} < \alpha_j \quad \forall j \geq j_0,$$

$$(13) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j = \infty.$$

Then by a particular variant of the strong law of large numbers, with probability 1,

$$(14) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \sim \omega(n) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

holds, where

$$(15) \quad \omega(n) := \sum_{j \in \omega; 1 \leq j \leq n} 1.$$

Let

$$\lambda_n := \sum_{1 \leq j < \frac{n}{2}} \alpha_j \alpha_{n-j}, \quad m_n := \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j,$$

and

$$\lambda'_n := \sum_{1 \leq j < \frac{n}{2}} \alpha_j \alpha_{n-j} (1 - \alpha_j \alpha_{n-j})^{-1}.$$

Then we have:

$$(16) \quad \lambda'_n \sim \lambda_n \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

and

$$(17) \quad \mu(\{\omega : r_n(\omega) = d\}) \leq \frac{\lambda_n^d}{d!} e^{-\lambda_n}, \quad d \in \mathbb{N}.$$

LEMMA 1. A sequence  $(\alpha_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}^*}$  of positive real numbers is defined by

$$(18) \quad \alpha_j := \alpha \frac{(\log_k j)^{c'}}{j^c} \quad \forall j > j_0,$$

where  $j_0, \alpha, k, c$  and  $c'$  are suitably chosen real constants, satisfying

$$0 \leq c', \quad 0 < c < 1, \quad 0 < \alpha, \quad 1 \leq k$$

so that  $\log_k(j) > 0, \forall j > j_0$  and (18) and (10 - 13) are compatible. The precise value of  $\alpha_j$  for small  $j$  is unimportant in case that their choice ensures that (18) and (10 - 13) are compatible also for  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{j_0}$ . Then as  $(n \rightarrow \infty)$

$$(19) \quad \lambda_n \sim \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 \frac{(\Gamma(1-c))^2}{\Gamma(2-2c)} (\log_k n)^{2c'} n^{1-2c}$$

$$(20) \quad m_n \sim \frac{\alpha}{1-c} (\log_k n)^{c'} n^{1-c}.$$

*Remark.* The above lemma is a slight generalization of Lemma 11 in [3], p 144. Its proof corresponds essentially to that of the above-mentioned Lemma 11 and is therefore left to the reader.

Now let  $k$  be a fixed natural number. To prove our theorem, corresponding to Erdős' proof of (3,4), we first choose a number  $\alpha$  with  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , so that

$$(21) \quad \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2\pi > 1$$

holds, and we define the sequence  $(\alpha_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}^*}$  by

$$(22) \quad \alpha_j = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & 1 \leq j \leq j_0, \\ \alpha \frac{(\log_k n)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{j^{\frac{1}{2}}} & j > j_0, \end{cases}$$

where  $j_0$  is a suitably chosen natural number so that  $\log_k j > 0 \quad \forall j > j_0$  and  $(\alpha_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}^*}$  satisfies (10 - 13).

Therefore by (14) and by Lemma 1 we have with probability 1

$$(23) \quad \omega(n) \sim 2\alpha\sqrt{\log_k n}\sqrt{n},$$

$$(24) \quad \lambda_n \sim \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha^2 \log_k n,$$

which because of (21) ensures the existence of a number  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$(25) \quad e^{-\lambda_n} \ll (\log_{k-1} n)^{-(1+\delta)}.$$

In view of (17) for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  :

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\{\omega : r_n(\omega) > e\lambda'_n\}) &\leq \sum_{d \geq e\lambda'_n} \mu(\{\omega : r_n(\omega) = d\}) \leq \sum_{d \geq e\lambda'_n} \frac{\lambda_n^d}{d!} e^{-\lambda_n} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{e\lambda'_n}{e\lambda'_n}\right)^{e\lambda'_n} e^{-\lambda_n} = e^{-\lambda_n} \ll \frac{1}{(\log_{k-1} n)^{1+\delta}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $T_i^{(k)}$  be an infinite non-empty element of  $\mathcal{T}^{(k)}$ .

There exists  $s_1, \dots, s_{k-1} \in \mathbb{N}^*$  so that

$$T_i^{(k)} = \left\{ s_1 \left( \begin{matrix} \dots & (s_{k-1}^j) \\ \dots & \dots \\ s_2 & \dots \end{matrix} \right) \right\}, j \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

Consequently :

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \in T_i^{(k)}} \mu(\{\omega : r_n(\omega) > e \lambda'_n\}) &\leq \sum_{n \in T_i^{(k)}} e^{-\lambda_n} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \log_{k-1} s_1 \left( \begin{matrix} \dots & (s_{k-1}^j) \\ \dots & \dots \\ s_2 & \dots \end{matrix} \right) \right)^{-(1+\delta)} \\ &\ll \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{j}\right)^{1+\delta} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the application of the Borel-Cantelli-Lemma proves the existence of a positive real number  $c_2$ , such that for any infinite  $T_i^{(k)} \in \mathcal{T}^{(k)}$

$$(26) \quad \mu(\{\omega : r_n(\omega) \leq c_2 \log_k n, n \in T_i^{(k)}, (n \text{ sufficiently large})\}) = 1.$$

On the other hand for any suitably chosen constant  $b < 1$  again in view of (17) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\{\omega : r_n(\omega) < b \lambda'_n\}) &\leq \sum_{1 \leq d \leq b \lambda'_n} \mu(\{\omega : r_n(\omega) = d\}) \\ &\leq \sum_{1 \leq d \leq b \lambda'_n} \frac{\lambda_n'^d}{d!} e^{-\lambda_n} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{e \lambda'_n}{b \lambda'_n}\right)^{b \lambda'_n} e^{-\lambda_n} \\ &= \left[\left(\frac{e}{b}\right)^b\right]^{\lambda'_n} e^{-\lambda_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore because of (16) there exists  $c_1, 0 < c_1 < 1$  such that

$$(27) \quad \left[\left(\frac{e}{c_1}\right)^{c_1}\right]^{\lambda'_n} e^{-\lambda_n} \ll (\log_{k-1} n)^{-(1+\frac{\delta}{2})}.$$

Thus for any fixed infinite  $T_i^{(k)} \in \mathcal{T}^{(k)}$ , with

$$T_i^{(k)} = \left\{ s_1 \left( s_2 \left( \dots \left( s_{k-1}^j \right) \right) \right) \right\}, j \in \mathbb{N}^*,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \in T_i^{(k)}} \mu(\{\omega : r_n(\omega) < c_1 \lambda'_n\}) &\ll \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \log_{k-1} s_1 \left( s_2 \left( \dots \left( s_{k-1}^j \right) \right) \right) \right)^{-(1+\frac{\epsilon}{2})} \\ &\ll \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{j} \right)^{1+\frac{\epsilon}{2}} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Again we apply the Borel-Cantelli-Lemma to prove the existence of  $c_1 > 0$  such that for any infinite  $T_i^{(k)} \in \mathcal{T}^{(k)}$

$$(28) \quad \mu(\{\omega : r_n(\omega) \geq c_1 \log_k n, n \in T_i^{(k)}, (n \text{ sufficiently large})\}) = 1.$$

We have shown that  $\omega$  has each of the desired properties with probability 1 and thus the whole proof is complete.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] P. Erdős, *Problems and results in additive number theory*, Colloque sur la Théorie des Nombres (CBRM), Bruxelles (1956), 127–137.
- [2] P. Erdős and A. Rényi, *Additive properties of random sequences of positive integers*, Acta Arith. 6 (1960), 83–110.
- [3] H. Halberstam and K. F. Roth, *Sequences*, Springer-Verlag, New-York Heidelberg Berlin (1983).
- [4] I. Z. Rusza, *On a probabilistic method in additive number theory*, Groupe de travail en théorie analytique et élémentaire des nombres, (1987-1988), Publications Mathématiques d'Orsay 89-01, Univ. Paris, Orsay (1989), 71–92.

- [5] S. Sidon, *Ein Satz über trigonometrische Polynome und seine Anwendung in der Theorie des Fourier-Reihen*, Math. Ann. 106 (1932), 539–539.

Martin Helm  
Graduate School and University Center  
of the City University of New-York  
Department of Mathematics  
Graduate Center 33 West 42 Street  
New-York 10036-8099 USA

e-mail: [hxm@cunyvms1.gc.cuny.edu](mailto:hxm@cunyvms1.gc.cuny.edu)